THE SANSKRIT LANGUAGE



THE GREAT LANGUAGES

General Editor

L. R. PALMER, M.A., D.PHIL., PH.D.

Professor of Comparative Philology in the University of Oxford

PUBLISHED

THE FRENCH LANGUAGE By A. EWERT, M.A., LITT.D.

THE GERMAN LANGUAGE
By R. PRIEBSCH, PH.D. and W. E. COLLINSON, M.A., PH.D.

THE SPANISH LANGUAGE, TOGETHER WITH PORTUGUESE, CATALAN, BASQUE

By WILLIAM F. ENTWISTLE, M.A., LITT.D., LL.D.

THE CHINESE LANGUAGE By R. A. D. FORREST, M.A.

RUSSIAN AND THE SLAVONIC LANGUAGES
By WILLIAM F. ENTWISTLE, M.A., LITT.D., and
W. A. MORRISON, B.A., PH.D.

THE LATIN LANGUAGE
By L. R. PALMER, M.A., D.PHIL., PH.D.
THE SANSKRIT LANGUAGE /
By T. BURROW, M.A.

THE ROMANCE LANGUAGES
By w. d. elcock, m.a., l.ès.l., d. de l'u. toulouse

IN PREPARATION

THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE By N. DAVIS, M.A.

THE GAELIC LANGUAGES By KENNETH JACKSON, M.A.

THE SCANDINAVIAN LANGUAGES
By EINAR HAUGEN, M.A., PH.D.

THE ITALIAN LANGUAGE
By BRUNO MIGLIORINI, DOTT. IN LETTERE
(abridged and re-cast by T. G. GRIFFITH, M.A., B.LITT.)

INDO-EUROPEAN LANGUAGES By G. BONFANTE, LL.D.

THE HEBREW LANGUAGE By G. R. DRIVER, M.A.,

THE ANATOLIAN LANGUAGES
By R. A. CROSSLAND, M.A.

THE GREEK LANGUAGE
By L. R. PALMER, M.A., D.PHIL., PH.D.

THE SANSKRIT LANGUAGE

by T. BURROW

FABER AND FABER
24 Russell Square
London

First published in mcmlv by Faber and Faber Limited 24 Russell Square London W.C. I Second impression mcmlix

Second Edition mcmlxv
Printed in Great Britain by
R. MacLehose and Company Limited
The University Press Glasgow
All rights reserved

PREFACE

The discovery of the Sanskrit language by European scholars at the end of the eighteenth century was the starting point from which developed the study of the comparative philology of the Indo-European languages and eventually the whole science of modern linguistics. In spite of this there does not exist in English any book presenting a systematic account of Sanskrit in its relation to the other Indo-European languages. One may even go further and say that there is no work in any language which adequately fulfils this purpose. Wackernagel's great work, begun sixty years ago, still remains to be completed, although, with the recent appearance of a further instalment, its completion has been brought nearer. Thumb's Handbuch des Sanskrit which was of service to many generations of students is now very much dated, and always fell between the two stools of trying to be an elementary text-book of Sanskrit and a treatise on its comparative grammar at the same time.

On account of its antiquity and well-preserved structure Sanskrit is of unique importance for the study of Indo-European, and an up-to-date account of its comparative grammar is necessary, not only to students of Sanskrit itself, but also to those interested in any branch of Indo-European philology. Consequently when I was asked to contribute a book on Sanskrit to the series *The Great Languages*, it was clear that by concentrating on the study of Sanskrit from this point of view the greatest need would be met. This is particularly true since for the history of Indo-Aryan inside India, from Sanskrit down to modern times, students already have at their disposal the excellent work of Jules Bloch.

Providing a reliable account of Sanskrit in its relation to Indo-European is at the present moment not altogether a simple matter. Forty years ago there existed a generally agreed doctrine of Indo-European theory which had been systematically presented in the early years of the century in Brugmann's Grundriss. At that time it would merely have been a question

of adopting this corpus of agreed doctrine to the needs of the student and general reader, and of the particular language described. Since then the discovery of Hittite has revolutionised Indo-European studies and a considerable part of the older theory has been unable to stand up to the new evidence. Consequently Indo-European studies can now be said to be in a state of flux. New theories have appeared, and are clearly necessary, but the process is not yet completed. There is no generally received body of doctrine replacing the old, and many of the fundamental points at issue remain disputed. Furthermore attention has tended to be largely concentrated on phonetic questions raised by Hittite, and matters of morphology, on which its evidence is also of fundamental importance, have been less exhaustively studied.

In these circumstances I have attempted to present a reasonably consistent account of the comparative grammar of Sanskrit based on the evaluation of the new evidence. A work like this is not the place to enter into discussion of the various conflicting theories that are in the field, if only for reasons of space, and bibliographical references have been systematically omitted. What has been written in recent years on these problems has been taken into account, and such theories as appear acceptable are incorporated in this exposition. It is hoped that it will go some way to providing an up-to-date synthesis of a subject which in its present state is hardly accessible outside the widely scattered specialist literature.

The study of Sanskrit has advanced recently in another direction also. Investigation of the influence of the pre-Aryan languages of India on Sanskrit and on Indo-Aryan in its later stages, has shown that this is considerable and solid results have been achieved. As far as the structure of the language is concerned, particularly in its early stage, which is the only one relevant to the comparative study of Indo-European, this influence hardly counts at all. On the other hand in the field of vocabulary it is very important that the Indo-European and non-Indo-European elements should be separated. The last chapter of the book contains a summary of the main findings on the part of the subject so far as established at the present stage. Future work will no doubt add more.

CONTENTS

PREFACE	page v
JEANSKRIT AND INDO-EUROPEAN	I
II. OUTLINES OF THE HISTORY OF SANSKRIT	35
III. PHONOLOGY	66
IV. THE FORMATION OF NOUNS	117
V. THE DECLENSION OF NOUNS	219
VI. NUMERALS, PRONOUNS, INDECLINABLES	257
VII. THE VERB	288
VIII. NON-ARYAN INFLUENCE ON SANSKRIT	373
SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY	389
INDEX	391

CHAPTER I

SANSKRIT AND INDO-EUROPEAN

§ I. INDO-ARYAN AND INDO-IRANIAN

In the greater part of India today languages are spoken which are derived from a single form of speech which was introduced into India by invaders from the north-west more than three thousand years ago. The invading peoples were known in their own language as arya-, a word which is also commonly used as an adjective meaning 'noble, honourable'. Behind them in Central Asia remained kindred peoples who eventually occupied the plateau of Iran, as well as large tracts of Central Asia. These peoples used the same name of themselves, in Avestan airya-, and from the genitive plural of this word the modern name Iran is ultimately derived. In conformance with this usage the term Arvan is now used as the common name of these peoples and their languages; alternatively the term Indo-Iranian is commonly used. To distinguish the Indian branch from the Iranian, the term Indo-Aryan has been coined, and as applied to language, it covers the totality of languages and dialects derived from this source from the earliest times to the present day. It is practical to distinguish three periods, Old, Middle and Modern Indo-Aryan. For the classical form of the old language the native grammarians used the name Samskrtameaning 'polished, cultivated, correct (according to the rules of grammar), in contradistinction to Prākrta the speech of the uneducated masses, which was the same Indo-Aryan in origin, but was subject to a process of steady change and evolution. As a term to distinguish Indo-Aryan from the non-Aryan languages the adjective arya- was used in opposition to mlecchábarbarian'. In addition we may note that one of the terms for 'speech', bhāratī (sc. vāk) had originally an ethnic sense. meaning 'language of the Bharatas'.1

¹ At an early period the most prominent of the Indo-Aryan tribes, whence also the indigenous name of India bhārata(-varşa).

Sanskrit in its narrower sense applies to standard classical Sanskrit as regulated by the grammarians but may be conveniently used more widely as equivalent to Old Indo-Arvan. In this sense it covers both classical Sanskrit and the preclassical or Vedic language. Middle Indo-Aryan, that is Prakrit in the widest sense of the term, comprises three successive stages of development: (1) The earliest stage is represented in literature by Pali, the language of the canonical writings of the Thera-vāda school of Buddhism. This is a language of the centuries immediately preceding the Christian era. On the same level of development are the various dialects recorded in the inscriptions of Asoka (c. 250 B.C.), and also the language of other early inscriptions. (2) Prakrit in the narrower sense of the word, or Standard Literary Prakrit, represents the stage of development reached some centuries after the Christian era. found mainly in the Drama and in the religious writings of the Jains. The various literary forms of Prakrit were stabilised by grammarians at this period and, as a written language, it remains essentially unchanged during the succeeding centuries. (3) Apabhramsa is known from texts of the tenth century A.D. but as a literary language it was formed some centuries earlier. It represents the final stage of Middle Indo-Arvan, the one immediately preceding the emergence of the Modern Indo-Aryan languages. The Modern languages, Bengali, Hindi, Gujarati, Marathi, etc., begin to be recorded from about the end of the first millennium A.D., and from then their development can be followed as they gradually acquire their present-day form.

Thus we have before us in India three thousand years of continuous linguistic history, recorded in literary documents. During the course of this period a single, and originally alien idiom has spread over the greater part of the country, and, evolving by slow degrees, has resulted in the various languages now spoken in Northern and Central India. Enormous changes have taken place during this time, and the languages we meet today are very different indeed from the ancient speech spoken by the invading Aryan tribes. Nevertheless the documentation available enables us to follow in detail the various intermediate stages of development and to observe how, by changes hardly noticeable from generation to generation, an original language has altered into descendant languages which superficially at any rate, are now barely recognisable as the same.

The earliest document of the linguistic history of Indo-Aryan is the Rgveda, which, by rough guess-work, is placed in the region of 1000 B.C. The language we find there is the source from which all later developments in India have arisen. But this language itself had evolved out of a vet earlier form of speech, by precisely the same kind of slow change and alteration which caused it to evolve later into something else. This earlier evolution is unrecorded by any direct documentation. but it can be reconstructed in considerable detail by means of comparison with related languages. By this method two stages in the prehistory of the language can be established: (1) By comparison of early Indo-Aryan with the very closely related Iranian, it is possible to form a fairly accurate idea of the original Indo-Iranian or Aryan language from which both have evolved. (2) By comparing Indo-Arvan and Iranian with the other Indo-European languages (enumerated below) it is possible also to go beyond this, and to reconstruct in general outline the characteristics of the original language from which all these are derived.

Since Iranian in view of its very close relationship with Indo-Arvan is of the first importance for the study of Indo-Aryan philology, a short account of its distribution and documentation is desirable. The migration of the Indo-Arvans to India brought about, or perhaps was the final stage of, the separation of the primitive Arvan community into two distinct divisions which henceforth evolved separately in linguistic as in other respects. The Iranians left behind in the region of the Oxus valley 1 proceeded to expand rapidly in various directions, occupying not only the Iranian plateau which remained their centre of gravity, but also large tracts of Central Asia, extending on the one hand to the confines of China and on the other hand to the plains of South Russia. From an early period Iranian showed a much stronger tendency to differentiation into separate dialects which soon became independent languages than was the case with Indo-Aryan, which for geographical and other reasons maintained a comparative unity over most of North India for a very long period.

For the old period Iranian is represented by documents in Avestan and Old Persian, and it is these texts which are of

¹ A recollection of Chorasmia as their original home is preserved in the traditions of the ancient Iranians.

prime importance for comparison with Vedic Sanskrit. Avesta is the name given to the ancient collection of sacred writings preserved by the adherents of the Zoroastrian religion, and it is after this that the language is named. It appears to have been an eastern Iranian dialect situated in the region of Chorasmia. The oldest section, the $G\bar{a}\theta\bar{a}s$, are attributed to Zarathuštra himself, who, in conformity with the indigenous tradition, may be placed sometime in the region of 600 B.C. According to this dating the earliest Iranian is considerably later than the date we have assumed for the earliest Vedic texts. On the other hand the language is not in any way less archaic and in some respects more so than that of the Rgveda. Old Persian, a southwestern dialect, and one showing tendencies to modernisation in comparison with the earliest Avestan, is preserved in inscriptions of the Achaemenian kings in a special cuneiform alphabet invented for the purpose.

The relations between this ancient Iranian and the language of the Veda are so close that it is not possible satisfactorily to study one without the other. Grammatically the differences are very small; the chief differentiation in the earliest period lies in certain characteristic and well-defined phonetic changes which have affected Iranian on the one hand and Indo-Arvan on the other. It is quite possible to find verses in the oldest portion of the Avesta, which simply by phonetic substitutions according to established laws can be turned into intelligible Sanskrit. The greater part of the vocabulary is held in common and a large list could be provided of words shared between the two which are absent from the rest of Indo-European. This resemblance is particularly striking in the field of culture and religion, and may be illustrated by a few examples: Skt. hiranya-, Av. zaranya- 'gold', Skt. sénā, Av. haēnā, O. Pers. hainā 'army', Skt. rsti-, Av., O. Pers. aršti- 'spear', Skt. kṣatrá-, Av. xšaθra- 'sovereignty', Skt. dsura-, Av. ahura-'lord', Skt. yajfid-, Av. yasna- 'sacrifice', Skt. hótar-, Av. zaotar- 'sacrificing priest', Skt. sóma-, Av. haoma- 'the sacred drink Soma', Skt. átharvan-' a class of priest', Av. aθaurvan-, āθravan-' fire-priest', Skt. aryamán-, Av. airyaman-' member of a religious sodality'. In the same way we find the names of divinities and mythological personages held in common, e.g., Skt. Mitrá-, Av. miθra-, Skt. Yamá-, son of Vivásvant-, Av. Yima, son of Vivahvant-, Skt. Apám Nápāt, Av. apam napāt

'Grandson of the waters' (a divinity), etc. In this field, however, movements of religious reform with which the name of Zarathuštra is associated have tended to alter the picture from the Iranian side. For instance Av. daēva-, O. Pers. daiva-, corresponding to Sanskrit devá- 'god' has acquired the meaning of 'devil'. In the same way some Vedic divinities appear in the Avesta as evil spirits: Skt. Indra-, Nāsatya-: Av. Indra, Nānhaiθya-.

The material for Old Iranian is somewhat restricted both as to quantity and as regards the number of dialects represented. For the Middle Iranian period, thanks mainly to discoveries of the present century, the documentation is much wider. We now have, in addition to Middle Persian proper (Pahlavi) extensive documents in two important East Iranian languages which are not represented in the early period, namely Sogdian and Saka (mainly in the dialect of Khotan, but with fragments of two neighbouring dialects). The publication and interpreta-tion of the material in these languages has progressed rapidly and successfully, but the results are not yet in the main available in a form easily accessible to students of general Indo-Aryan or Indo-European philology. Eventually a considerable contribution should be available from this source, because, although they cannot compete in antiquity with the Avestan and Old Persian texts, they constitute independent branches of Iranian which were not previously known and therefore have preserved things which were lost elsewhere from an early period.1

In the mediaeval period the domain of Iranian became very much restricted, mainly on account of Turkish expansion. Over large tracts of Central Asia Iranian has long since died out. It has remained principally in Iran or Persia proper, where modern Persian can look back to a continuous literary tradition of over a thousand years. On the periphery of this area, particularly on the Indo-Iranian frontier, there are still many minor languages surviving in small areas, and one which is still important, namely Paštō, the official language of Afghanistan. At the other side of the territory in the Northern Caucasus Ossetic still survives from one of the numerous Iranian invasions of South Russia.

¹ For instance the IE word for ' (young) pig', Lat. porcus, Lith. paršas, was not previously known in Indo-Iranian, but has now turned up in Khotanese: pā'sa-.

§2. PRIMITIVE INDO-EUROPEAN

The Indo-Iranian languages which have been briefly outlined form together one branch of the Indo-European family. The discovery of the historical relationship of the members of the Indo-European family was a direct result of the discovery of the Sanskrit language and literature by European scholars towards the close of the eighteenth century. The similarity of the Sanskrit language, both in grammar and vocabulary to the classical languages of Europe is so far-reaching that scholars familiar with Latin and Greek could not fail to be struck by the resemblance. Since up to this time there had existed no clear idea of the real nature of the development of languages and of their relations with each other, the explanation of this unexpected but quite undeniable affinity could not be provided without a completely new and scientific approach to the study of language. In his famous address to the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal in 1786, Sir William Jones indicated in broad outlines the significance of the new discovery:

'The Sanscrit language, whatever be its antiquity, is of wonderful structure; more perfect than the Greek, more copious than the Latin, and more exquisitely refined than either; yet bearing to both of them a stronger affinity both in the roots of verbs and the forms of grammar, than could possibly have been produced by accident; so strong indeed that no philologer could examine them at all without believing them to have sprung from some common source, which perhaps no longer exists. There is a similar reason though not quite so forcible, for supposing that both the Gothick and the Celtick, though blended with a different idiom, had the same origin with the Sanscrit; and the old Persian might be added to the same family.'

The truth of these remarks has been adequately demonstrated by the subsequent development of the science of Comparative Philology, which dates from this time. During the past century and a half the languages of the Indo-European family have been the subject of intensive scientific study. The main features of the parent language have been reliably reconstructed, and the historical and prehistorical development of the several branches has been worked out in detail. The methods and principles employed have been subject to pro-

gressive improvement and refinement, and new discoveries have continued and still continue to produce a wider and deeper understanding of the subject. The methods first evolved in the study of the Indo-European languages have further been successfully employed in the study of independent linguistic families (Semitic, Finno-ugrian, Bantu, etc.). The whole science of linguistics has come into existence as a result of the stimulus provided by the discovery of Sanskrit.

The Indo-European languages are divided into ten major branches, in addition to which there are known to have been other branches which have died out without leaving adequate record. The ten major branches are as follows:

- I. Aryan or Indo-Iranian, summarised above.
- II. Baltic (Lithuanian, Lettish and the extinct Old Prussian) and Slavonic (Old Church Slavonic or Old Bulgarian, Russian, Polish, Czech, Serbo-Croatian, Bulgarian, etc.). These two groups are very closely related to each other, though not as closely as Indo-Aryan and Iranian. There are some ancient divergencies between them which make it impossible to reconstruct a primitive Balto-Slavonic language, intermediate between Indo-European and the existing languages in the same way as Indo-Iranian can be reconstructed. Nevertheless in view of their many close resemblances it is convenient to group them together under a common name, Balto-Slavonic. The earliest recorded Slavonic is the Old Bulgarian of the ninth century; Lithuanian is known only from the sixteenth century.
 - III. Armenian, known from the fifth century A.D.
 - IV. Albanian, known only from modern times.

These four groups are collectively known as the *satom*-languages for reasons which will be explained below. Opposed to them are the *centum*-languages, which are as follows:

- V. Greek, with very numerous dialects. The literature begins with the Homeric poems, c. 800 B.C., that is to say, slightly later than the period usually assigned to the composition of the Rgveda.
- VI. Latin, which has developed into the various Romance Languages (French, Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, Rumanian, etc.). It is known in literature from c. 200 B.C., and there are scanty inscriptional remains from an earlier date.
- VII. Celtic, consisting of Continental Celtic or Gallic, which is extinct, and Insular Celtic which is divided into

Irish (Gaelic) and Brittanic (Welsh, Cornish, Breton). Literary records of Celtic begin with the Old Irish glosses of the eighth century.

VIII. Germanic, which may be divided into East Germanic or Gothic (extinct), Nordic or Scandinavian, and West Germanic, to which belong the English and German languages. The earliest literary monument of Germanic is the Gothic translation of the Bible by Ulfila (A.D. fourth cent.).

The two major members of the family which remain to be mentioned are known from discoveries made in the present century. They are:

IX. The so-called 'Tocharian' preserved in Buddhist manuscripts discovered in Chinese Turkestan, dating from the sixth to the tenth centuries A.D. It is divided into two dialects which are for convenience termed A and B.

X. Hittite, which is preserved in cuneiform tablets recovered from Boghaz-köi in Anatolia, the site of the capital of the ancient Hittite kingdom. The time covered by these records is from the nineteenth to the twelfth century B.C., the bulk of them being dated towards the end of this period. It is the oldest recorded IE language, and at the same time in many ways aberrant from the usual type. Its discovery has raised many new and interesting problems.

In addition to the major languages listed above, there existed n antiquity a considerable number of other IE languages which have become extinct and are known only from scanty remains n the form of inscriptions, proper names and occasional glosses. To put the Indo-European family into proper perspective the more important of these are enumerated below.

XI. Thracian, which, along with Dacian and other allied anguages, was once spread over a very extensive territory extending from the borders of Macedonia to South Russia.

XII. Phrygian, introduced into Asia Minor about the twelfth century B.C. The close connection, often suggested, between this language and Thracian, now seems unlikely.

XIII. Illyrian, with its South Italian offshoot Messapian. This may be the parent of the modern Albanian, but there are lifficulties in this theory, since, unlike Albanian, it seems, not to have been a satom language. This uncertainty illustrates the extreme paucity of our information about these ancient languages.

XIV. Osco-Umbrian, Italic dialects having fairly close rela-

tions to Latin, and commonly grouped with it under the common name Italic.

XV. Venetic of North-East Italy, a centum language of the West Indo-European group.

XVI. To complete the list mention should also be made of certain ancient languages of Asia Minor which together with Hittite form a special group. The Hittite cuneiform texts mention two such languages, Luwian and Palaean, and a small amount of text material, particularly of Luwian, is to be found in them. In addition there is the so-called Hieroglyphic Hittite, the decipherment of which is now fairly advanced, and which is considered to be of Indo-European origin.

The languages of the Indo-European family have become more widely diffused over the world than those of any other linguistic family. They also form the majority of the cultivated languages of mankind. It is not surprising therefore that the question of the original home of Indo-European has been the subject of much speculation. In the early days it was usually held that this lay in Central Asia, and that from there successive waves of emigration had carried the various members of the family to Europe. This was mainly due to the exaggerated importance attached to Sanskrit and to confusion between the primitive Aryans of whom we have spoken with the much earlier Indo-Europeans. It is as we have seen reasonably certain that it was from Central Asia, more specifically the Oxus valley, that the Indians and Iranians set out to occupy their respective domains. But there is not the slightest trace of evidence or probability that the ancestors of the Germans, Celts, Greeks and other European members of the family were ever near this area. Consequently it is now usually held that the original home lay somewhere in Europe. The main argument for this is the simple but effective one that it is in Europe that the greatest number of Indo-European languages, and the greatest diversity of them is to be found, and this from the earliest recorded times. At an ancient period we find enormous stretches of Asia in the occupation of Indo-Iranian, a single member of the family, and as yet little differentiated; in Europe on the other hand a concentration of many languages occupying comparatively restricted areas, and already markedly different from each other. It follows of necessity that the presence of Indo-European in the Indo-Iranian area is the result of

late colonial expansion on a vast scale, while in Europe the existence of such great diversity at the earliest recorded period indicates the presence there of Indo-European from remote antiquity.

It is true that the dicovery of the two Tocharian dialects in Chinese Turkestan has slightly modified this picture, and it has led some to think again of an Asiatic home. But the addition of one new branch only in Asia is obviously insufficient to turn the balance. Moreover the nature of Tocharian, which has undergone profound and far-reaching phonetic changes strongly suggestive of alien influence, makes it clear that this language has travelled far from its original home. Somewhat similar changes have taken place in Hittite and the allied languages of Asia Minor, and this is held to have been due to the influence of the pre-Indo-European languages which existed in that area (Proto-Hittite, Churrian, Urartean, etc.). So we may conclude that these languages also have been brought in by invaders, and since in ancient times the distribution of languages in this area was such that the non-Indo-European languages mentioned lay to the East and the Indo-European languages to the West, it becomes clear that the direction of the invasions must have been from the West, that is to say from Europe, across the Hellespont. Further it has been pointed out that the characteristics of this Asianic branch of Indo-European are such as can only be explained by the assumption that it was separated from the main branch of Indo-European at a period very much earlier than the movements which lead to the final break-up of IE linguistic unity. This means that the earliest of all the Indo-European migrations which can be deduced from our evidence, and one that must have antedated the migration of the Indo-Iranians by a very long period of time, already points to the existence in Europe of the Indo-European tongue.

Within Europe it is possible to narrow down considerably the territorial limits within which the cradle of the Indo-European languages is to be sought. It is known with reasonable certainty that the Italian and Greek peninsulas were colonised from the North. The occupation of France and the British Isles by Celts from Central Europe occurred at a comparatively late date (c. 500 B.C.). The Iberian peninsula remained predominantly non-Indo-European till Roman times, and in modern Basque there still exists a survival of pre-Indo-European

speech. The Eastern limit is indicated by the fact that before the two Asiatic migrations (Tocharian and Indo-Iranian) Indo-European must have been bounded to the East by an early form of Finno-ugrian, and there is some evidence of contact between these two families in the primitive period. There is reason to believe that the original centre of Finno-ugrian expansion lay between the Volga and the Urals and this forms the extreme limit beyond which Indo-European was not to be found in the early stages of its history. This leaves the central portion of Europe extending from the Rhine to Central and Southern Russia, and it is probable that by the time of the Indo-Iranian migrations the larger part of this area had long been occupied by various Indo-European dialects.

It is not possible to define the original Indo-European homeland in terms any narrower than these, nor is it desirable to try. since those who have attempted to do so have usually suffered from misconceptions about the nature of 'Primitive Indo-European' and about the time when the earliest divisions began. The evolution of the Indo-European should not be regarded as being on a par with that of the Romance languages from Latin. In the latter case the various languages are derived from a single unitary language, the language to begin with of one city. But in the case of Indo-European it is certain that there was no such unitary language which can be reached by means of comparison. It would be easy to produce, more or less ad infinitum a list of forms like Skt. nabhi-, Gk. δμφαλός ' navel '. which although inherited directly from the primitive IE period. and radically related are irreducible to a single original. In fact detailed comparison makes it clear that the Indo-European that we can reach by this means was already deeply split up into a series of varying dialects.

It is from this point of view that the question of the 'splitting' of Indo-European should be regarded. It has not been funcommon to find in works of general history or linguistics a conception that somewhere about the second half of the third millennium B.C. a single undivided Indo-European occupying a comparatively restricted area being taken by a series of migrations to the various countries where IE languages are later found, after which migrations the various individual languages were evolved. But it is now becoming clear that by this period the various members of the family must have already begun to

assume their historic form. For instance when the Indo-Iranians first set off on their migrations from Europe, very likely about 2000 B.C. as is often suggested, they took with them not Indo-European which they subsequently proceeded to change into Indo-Iranian, but the Indo-Iranian which we can reconstruct, which had already assumed its essential features in the original European homeland. It is clear that once the migrations began over such wide territories all opportunities for unitary development of Indo-Iranian must have ceased, and since, as we have observed there quite undoubtedly was at one time a unitary development of Indo-Iranian, this must have taken place before any migrations began.

What applies to Indo-Iranian must apply with equal force to the other members of the family. We have already remarked on the deep divergencies between the various European members of the family, and this can only be accounted for by pushing back the period of original division to a period much earlier than is usually assumed. If there ever existed a unitary Indo-European which spread from a restricted area, this lies long behind the earliest period which can be reached by any comparison. 'Primitive Indo-European' must be regarded as a continuum of related dialects occupying an extensive territory in Europe (very likely the major part of the area indicated above), dialects which already before the period of the great migrations had begun to assume the character of separate languages.

§3. Divisions of Indo-European

The question of the early Indo-European dialects has been the subject of considerable study and some useful results have been acquired. It is possible to form a fair idea of their distribution in the period preceding the emergence of the individual languages. The most striking and important early dialect distinction is that which separates the satom-languages from the contum-languages. These two groups are so named from the way they treat IE k in the word for 'hundred' (IE *kntóm). The contum-languages preserve it as such (Lat. contum, Gk. dratóv, Ir. cti, Toch. A. känt); in the satom-languages it is changed to some kind of sibilant (Skt. satóm, Av. satom, Lith. simtas, O. Sl. sūto). Similar changes occur in the case of IE k

and gh. The languages participating in this change are Indo-Iranian, Balto-Slavonic, Armenian, Albanian. Since this feature is so wide-spread, and since it occurs without any variation of the conditions in all the languages concerned, it must be assumed that the change took place in the Indo-European period, before the dispersal of the several languages, and that it affected a group of contiguous dialects within the Indo-European area. The unity of these dialects, and of the languages derived from them is further confirmed by the fact that the loss of the labial element in the IE series k^w , g^w , g^wh (e.g. Skt. ka-'who?', Lith. kds as opposed to Gk. $\pi o - \theta \in v$, Lat. quo - d, Goth. has) is characteristic of precisely this same group of languages.

Before the discovery of Tocharian and Hittite it was common to regard the centum-satem division as a division between Western and Eastern Indo-European, and it was customary to regard the centum-languages as a united group like the satemlanguages. This was never altogether satisfactory, since not only is Greek cut off from the Western IE languages by the intervening satem-language Albanian, but also because apart from this it displays no special similarities with them, but rather with the satem-languages. The discovery of the new languages, which were unmistakeably centum-languages, made it quite impossible to speak of an East-West division any longer, and also made it clear that there was no unitary centum-group. The centum-languages are alike only in preserving original k, f, fh as occlusives, and it is a commonplace of linguistics that common preservations are not necessarily a sign that dialects or languages are closely related. We may therefore substitute a division of the Indo-European dialects into:

I. A central group which can be equated with the satem-

- I. A central group which can be equated with the satemlanguages, and is characterised by the innovations mentioned above.
- II. Four peripheral dialect groups surrounding the central group, namely (1) West Indo-European comprising Italic, Celtic and Germanic; (2) Greek, which however has special relations with the central group; (3) Eastern Indo-European which has survived as 'Tocharian'; (4) Hittite and other IE languages of Asia Minor which separated earliest from the original IE stock.

The historical distribution of the IE languages corresponds

on the whole to this, but in the case of Sanskrit migrations at a comparatively late date took it to the extreme East of the Indo-European domain. Before this period its ancestor, primitive Indo-Iranian must have held a fairly central position, being directly in contact with the other dialects of the satom-group, and having to the East of it that form of Indo-European which eventually turned into the dialects A and B of Chinese Turkestan. Its position can further be determined by the specially close relations which are found to exist between it and Balto-Slavonic. Since the Balts and the Slavs are not likely to have moved far from the positions in which they are to be found in their earliest recorded history, the original location of Indo-Iranian towards the South-East of this area becomes highly probable.

The Western group of Indo-European languages consisting of Italic, Celtic and Germanic, is distinguished by certain common features in grammar and vocabulary, which indicate a fairly close mutual connection in prehistoric times. These ties are particularly close in the case of Italic and Celtic, even though they are not sufficient to justify the theory of common Italo-celtic. The connections of Germanic with the other two groups are less close, but they are quite definite. At the same time it has some special affinities with Slavonic, and further with the central group in general (e.g. absence of the medio-passive terminations in -r).

There is an almost complete absence of special features common to Indo-Iranian and Western Indo-European. All that has been pointed out so far consists of certain common elements of vocabulary which have been largely eliminated in the rest of Indo-European. These words are in many respects highly interesting and important, but they consist entirely of ancient Indo-European words which have been preserved independently by two groups which otherwise have no special connection. Such words are: Lat. credo, Ir. cretim, Skt. śrad-dhā- 'believe'; Lat. rēx, Ir. rí 'king'; Skt. rāj-, rājan-, Ir. rīgain 'queen'; Skt. rājnī, Ir. rīge' kingdom'; Skt. rājya-; Lat. ius' justice', iustus, Ir. uisse' just, righteous', Skt. yōs, Av. yaoš' rightness, purity'; Lat. ensis 'sword', Skt. asi-; Lat. rēs 'property'; Skt. rai-; Ir. bró 'millstone'; Skt. grāvan- (also, differently formed Goth. qairnus, etc.); Ir. gert 'milk', Skt. ghrād-'ghee'; Ir. aire (gen. s. airech) 'chief, noble'; Skt. aryā-,

årya- 'master, lord, noble, Aryan'. More dubious is the old equation Lat. *flamen*, Skt. *brahmán-* 'priest'. Many of these words are connected with religion, law, etc., and the fact that they are preserved in these two branches alone is due to the highly conservative tendencies which characterised the societies concerned. They do not imply any close connection between the original dialects on which the languages are based.

Greek shows little sign of close connection with any of the other centum-groups. On the contrary its closest connection appears to be with the satem-languages, particularly with Indo-Iranian and Armenian. It is sufficient to glance through a comparative grammar of Sanskrit to see that the correspondences between Sanskrit and Greek are much more numerous than those between Sanskrit and any other language of the family outside Indo-Iranian. This is particularly so in the case of verbal inflection. The fact that the two languages are recorded from such an early period is partly responsible for this state of affairs, but it is by no means entirely so. Some of the common features involved are of late Indo-European origin. and must be regarded as common innovations, and not as cases of the common preservation of ancient forms. For instance the Indo-European languages have no common form of the genitive singular of o-stems. The form -osyo which is common to Greek (-010, ov), Armenian (-0y) and Indo-Iranian (Skt. -asya, Av. -ahya) has no more claim to antiquity than Italo-Celtic -i or the Hittite form (-as, < 0s) which appears to be identical with the nominative. In fact the great variations in case suggest that the various forms have developed in the late Indo-European period when the language was already widely divided into dialects. It is therefore important evidence of close prehistoric connection. Likewise the augment is found only in Greek (ἔφερε), Indo-Iranian (Skt. ábharat) and Armenian (eber), with traces of Phyrgian. Since there is no reason to believe that it ever existed as a regular component of the verbal inflection in those languages in which it is not recorded, its development in Indo-Iranian, Greek and Armenian must be regarded as a common innovation of the closely related dialects on which they are based. The elimination of the r- endings of the medio-passive in Sanskrit and Greek is a significant common characteristic. Phonetically Sanskrit and Greek show a common treatment of the sonant nasals (IE v, m), replacing them by the vowel a. In view of the close connection that exists between them in other respects this is unlikely to be a matter of chance. In other respects, e.g. in the matter of prothetic vowels Greek seems to be closest to Armenian, and there are also some remarkable coincidences of vocabulary between them.

The fact that Greek shows more signs of close connection with the satom-languages Armenian and Indo-Iranian than with any other is in striking contrast to the absence in it of the distinctive sound changes of the satom group. We must assume that the IE dialect on which Greek is based was originally in the closest contact with the central dialect group, but that this contact was severed at a period preceding the satom sound changes.

The most striking thing about the two Tocharian languages is that they have no special connections whatever with Indo-Iranian, the only other Asiatic family. They are no closer to Indo-Iranian-in some respects they appear more differentthan to languages far to the West like Italic and Celtic. This is in accordance with the fact that the parent dialect of Indo-Iranian was originally a central dialect, and as such would have with a dialect on the Eastern periphery, from which Tocharian is descended, no more in common than with the percursors of Italic and Celtic on the extreme West. Neither have they any special relations with any other of the individual groups of Indo-European. The prevalence of the middle terminations in r in Tocharian does not indicate any close relationship with Italo-Celtic on the one hand or with Hittite on the other, but merely a type of inflection that was characteristic of early Indo-European, but was tending to be reduced or eliminated in the later period in dialects of the central area. Attempts to find other evidence of connection with one group or another have been singularly lacking in results. The two languages have become much altered from the original Indo-European. The old system of nominal inflection has to a large extent broken down, and the percentage of words in the vocabulary for which it is possible to find satisfactory etymologies is comparatively small. At the same time some features of the two languages have an ancient aspect which suggests that they are derived from a comparatively early form of Indo-European. would imply a comparatively early migration in the case of Tocharian, and such an assumption accounts best for the great

difference between Tocharian and Indo-Iranian. We must assume that an Eastern Indo-European dialect group had for centuries existed in isolation before the comparatively late migration which took Indo-Iranian to Asia from the central Indo-European area.

The separation of Hittite and the languages allied to it from the main body of Indo-European must have taken place earliest of all. This is the only way to explain the great differences which exist between it and the type of Indo-European that has been reconstructed from the previously known members of the family. The most striking feature of Hittite is the preservation of h, which has elsewhere disappeared. In addition to this the language deviates from the usual type in many other respects. In the formation of nouns the percentage of consonantal stems, and in particular the old neuter types in l and r alternating with n, is much greater than in the standard types of Indo-European. The feminine gender is undeveloped. The inflection of nouns is much simpler than in the type of Indo-European represented by Sanskrit, and there is no reason to believe that this is due to losses on the part of Hittite. Above all, the conjugation of the verb differs widely from the system reconstructed largely by the comparison of Sanskrit and Greek, which at one time passed for primitive Indo-European. Consideration of these facts has led some scholars, notably E. Sturtevant, to separate Hittite from the Indo-European family proper, and to postulate an earlier Indo-Hittite from which Hittite on the one hand and Indo-European on the other are separately descended. The majority of opinion is against this extreme view and it seems more satisfactory to speak of Early and Late Indo-European, rather than of Indo-Hittite and Indo-European. It has already been pointed out that the dialect divisions of Indo-European go back to a period long antedating the migration of Indo-Iranian. Even though the separation of Hittite must have been very early indeed, it need not have preceded the beginning of these dialectal divergences of Indo-European. Certainly there was no united Indo-European in the late period, which the Indo-Hittite theory demands. It is true that much of the evolution which has taken place in Indo-European outside Hittite, and which must be placed in the period following the separation of Hittite, is evolution common to all the branches (e.g. the development of the feminine), but

this is easily understandable as long as the various dialects remained in contiguity. The important difference now is that instead of thinking simply in the terms of Primitive Indo-European we may now distinguish Early Indo-European of the time previous to the separation of Hittite, and Late Indo-European characterised by certain developments which can be determined, in which different dialects evolving in common were gradually beginning to assume the character of different languages.

§ 4. Indo-Iranian and Balto-Slavonic

The satom-languages, apart from Indo-Iranian are only known from times much more recent than most of the centum-languages. Further some branches which are definitely known to have belonged to the satom-group—e.g. Thracian—have disappeared leaving few traces. It is therefore not possible to form a precise idea of the position of Indo-Iranian within the satom group as a whole at an early period. The only thing that emerges clearly is that there did at one time exist a special relationship between early Indo-Iranian and those dialects of Indo-European which developed eventually into the Baltic and Slavonic languages. Since this is important for the location of the early home of Indo-Iranian, the evidence may be given in some detail.

Phonetically the most noteworthy common feature is the change of s to s (>Slav. ch) after k, r, i and u in Indo-Iranian and Slavonic, and after r also in Lithuanian.\(^1\) This is unlikely to be a matter of chance, since the conditions under which the change takes place are so closely parallel. The conclusion which must be drawn is that at one time the two branches were in close geographical proximity, and that this innovation affecting IE s established itself over a limited area comprising Slavonic and Indo-Iranian, but excluding the rest of Indo-European. The fact that the change appears only to a very small extent in Baltic demonstrates that the Baltic group was to a certain extent autonomous of Slavonic even at this early date.

Another change which has occurred in both groups is that of k to ℓ before the vowels ℓ , ℓ . This however seems to be a case of parallel independent development. In Old Slavonic the paradigmatic alternation occasioned by this change, and by the second

¹ For examples see p. 79.

⁸ For examples see p. 76.

Slavonic palatalisation, remains in full force (e.g. Nom. S. vluku, Voc. vluče, Loc. vluče). Such alternation has been eliminated in Sanskrit even at the earliest period, and it is unlikely that it could have maintained itself in Slavonic over the very long period that it would be necessary to assume if the change in Slavonic had been so ancient.

In grammar a fair number of special features common to both groups can be enumerated, though there are also some notable divergences. The most important of these latter is the existence in Balto-Slavonic in common with Germanic of an element -m-which appears regularly in place of the -bh-which is familiar from Sanskrit and other IE languages (e.g. Dat. abl. Pl. Lith. vilkams, Sl. vlükomü, Goth wulfam: Skt. vfkebhyas). This is an ancient Indo-European divergence cutting across the usual dialect divisions. Another idiosyncrasy of Balto-Slavonic is the use of the old ablative to form the genitive singular of o-stems: Lith. vilko, O. Sl. vlüka.

In spite of these divergences there are many special grammatical features uniting the two groups. The more important of these may be briefly enumerated:

A. Nominal Inflection: (1) Nominative without r of r-stems, Skt. mātā 'mother', svásā 'sister': O. Sl. mati, Lith. motē, sesuō. (2) The locative plural in -su (as opposed to -oi in Greek) is found only in these two groups: Skt. výkeşu, O. Sl. vlūcēchū. (3) The Dual inflection is closely similar, containing a good deal that is not found elsewhere, e.g. Skt. Nom. D. bāle, yugē, nāmanī, mānasī, akṣt, sūnā: O. Sl. ženē, ižē, imeni, tēlesi, oči (Lith. akt), syny (Lith. sūnu), Gen. D. Skt. tayos, dvayos: O. Sl. toju, dvoju. (4) A similar development in the singular stem of feminine nouns in -ā: e. g. Instr. Skt. táyā, sēnayā: O. Sl. tojo, rokojo, Loc. Skt. sénāyām, Av. haēnaya: Lith. rañkoje. (5) Close similarity in the declension of i- and u-stems, as illustrated by equations like Dat. S. Skt. sūnāve: O. Sl. svnovi.

B. Pronouns and Adverbs: (1) Common characteristics in the form of the personal pronouns, e.g. Nom. S. in -om, Skt. ahám, O. Sl. azu, nasalised accusative, Skt. mám, O. Sl. me, Gen. S. Av. mana, O. Sl. mene (as opposed to Skt. máma). (2) The extended stem of the demonstrative pronoun, etc., in certain cases, e.g. Dat. S. Masc. Skt. tásmai, O. Pruss. kasmu stesmu, O. Sl. tomu, Fem. Skt. tásyai, O. Pruss. stessiei. (3) Preference

for the interrogative stem kwo- as opposed to the stem kwi-, Skt. ka-, Lith. kds. (4) The possession of certain common pronominal stems, e.g. Av. ava-, O. Sl. ovü, Skt. Av. ana-, Lith. ands, O. Sl. onü. (5) Various adverbs, Skt. küha, Av. kudā 'where', O. Sl. küde, Skt. kadā 'when?', tadā 'then', Lith. kadā, tadā, Skt. nā 'like', Lith. neī, Skt. bahís 'outside', O. Sl. bezü 'without', Skt. vinā, O. Sl. vūnē 'outside', O. Pers. (avahya) rādi 'on account of (that)', O. Sl. (togo) radī.

C. The Verb. In the conjugation of the verb features special to Indo-Iranian and Balto-Slavonic are not remarkably common. This may partly be due to the fact that Slavonic (and to a greater extent, Baltic) is only recorded late, and in the verbal inflection is less conservative than it is in the nominal inflection (e.g. loss of the perfect and the middle). Points of note are (I) similarities in the s- aorist, e.g. vrddhi of root (Sl. vesti: vėsu, Skt. váhati avākṣam) and termination -om of Isg. (as opposed to Gk. a); (2) The future in -syo- is found with certainty only in Indo-Aryan and Lithuanian: Skt. dåsyāmi 'I will give', Lith. dúosiu; (3) The causative is well developed in both groups, and many identical forms can be quoted, e.g. Skt. bodháyati 'he awakens', O. Sl. buždę, buditi.

In the sphere of vocabulary Indo-Iranian shares with Baltic and Slavonic a considerable number of words which are not found in the other Indo-European languages. These correspondences are much more numerous than those which can be discovered between Indo-Iranian and any other member of the family, and they supply important evidence for the early connection of the two families. There is for instance no common Indo-European word for 'goat'. Sanskrit ajá- is connected with Lith. ožýs, but parallels are absent in other IE languages. Greek and Armenian which go together in this case, as frequently have a similar word (att, aic), but one that cannot be united with it according to the laws of IE phonology. Another word is peculiar to the Western IE languages (Lat. haedus, Engl. goat, etc.). The distribution of these words corresponds roughly to the dialectal division sketched above, and illustrates the importance of vocabulary in the study of this question. The derivative ajina- 'skin' corresponds to O. Sl. azinu, jazino, and in both languages an original meaning 'goat's skin' has been widened to the meaning 'skin' in general. There is also a class of words in which the root is common to many IE languages,

but the particular suffix found in Indo-Iranian is found elsewhere only in Slavonic and Baltic. Examples of these are: Skt. phéna-' foam', O. Pr. spoayno, Lith. spáiné, as opposed to the Western IE words with m-suffix (Lat. spūma, Engl. foam). Skt. dákṣiṇa-' right(-hand)' corresponds exactly to O. Sl. desinū, Lith. dešinē, whereas various different suffixes appear in other languages (Gk. $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota o s$, $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, Lat. dexter, Goth. taihswa). Similarly Skt. grīvā' neck' and O. Sl. griva' mane' correspond exactly in formation, but can be compared only as far as the root is concerned with Gk. $\delta \epsilon \rho \eta$ 'neck' ($\sqrt{g^{mer}}$). The n- suffix of Skt. majjān- reappears only in Slavonic (O. Sl. moždanū) and Baltic (O. Pruss. muzgeno). A form corresponding precisely to Skt. miśrā-' mixed' appears only in Lith. mišras.

Another feature which deserves consideration is the existence of special meanings common to the two groups. The meaning 'wake' is common to Skt. budh- and the related Balto-Slavonic words, but is not found in other languages. The meaning

'write' of Iranian (ni-)pis- recurs in O. Sl. pisati.

Of the remaining words which are peculiar to Indo-Iranian and Balto-Slavonic the following are the most important: Av. sponta- 'holy', O. Sl. svētu, Lith. švēntas; Skt. savyá- 'left', Av. haoya-, O. Sl. šujt; Skt. barhis 'bed of Kuša grass', Av. barəziš 'cushion, pillow', O. Sl. blazina 'cushion'; Skt. kṛṣṇá- 'black', O. Sl. čṛuṇu, O. Pruss. kiṛṣṇa- 'lip', O. Sl. usta, O. Pruss. austin 'mouth'; Skt. oṣṭha- 'lip', O. Sl. usta, O. Pruss. austin 'mouth'; Skt. avatá- 'spring, well', Lett. avuots; Av. varəsa- 'hair', O. Sl. vlasu, Russ. volos; Skt. giri- 'mountain', Av. gairi-, O. Sl. gora 'id', Lith. giria, girē 'forest'; Skt. tūṣṇim 'silently', Av. tuṣni- 'silent', O. Pruss. tusnan; Skt. tucchyá- 'empty', Khotanese ttuṣṣaa-, O. Sl. tūṣtī, Lith. tùṣtias 'id'; Skt. dádhi (gen. dadhnás) 'curds', O. Pruss. dadan; Skt. páyas 'milk', Av. paēma, Lith. pēnas (with varying suffixes); Av. xṣvid- 'milk', cf. Lith. sviestas 'butter'; Skt. áṅgāra- 'coal', O. Sl. ogli, Russ. ugol'; Skt. bradhná- 'yellowish, light-coloured', O. Sl. bronū 'white'; Skt. árbha- 'small, child', cf. Russ. rebēnok 'child'; Skt. vratá- 'vow', Av. urvata-, O. Sl. rota 'oath'; Skt. āṇḍd- 'egg, testicle' (Kalaša ondrak 'egg'), O. Sl. jedro 'testicle'; Skt. pāṃsū- 'dust' Av. paṣnu-, O. Sl. pēsūkū 'sand'; Skt. dhānā 'corn, grain', Pers. dāna, Lith. dūona 'bread'; Skt. syāmā-, syāvā- 'dark-coloured', Lith. sēmas

'grey', Skt. sāndra- 'thick, viscid', cf. O.Sl. sedry krīvīnyje, sjadry krovnyja 'thickened, congealed blood'; Pers. raz 'vine, vine-tendril', O. Sl. loza; Av. fšaroma-, Pers. šarm 'shame', O. Sl. sramů: Skt. srāmá- 'lame', O. Sl. chromů; Av. hāma-'the same', Pali sāmam adv. 'self, of oneself', O. Sl. samu 'self'; Skt. viśpáti- 'head of settlement or clan', Av. vīspaiti-, Lith. viēšpats 'lord'; Av. sarsta- 'cold', Lith. šáltas; Skt. śāka- 'vegetables, greens', Lith. šēkas 'green fodder'; Skt. śaphara- 'Cyprinus sophore', Lith. šāpalas 'Cyprinus dobula'; Skt. śakuná- ' (large) bird ', O. Sl. sokolű ' falcon '; Skt. śápa- 'drift wood ', Lith. śapai; Skt. bhanga- 'wave', Lith. bangà. Among verbs which are common to the two groups we may mention Skt. hávate 'calls', Av. zavaiti, O. Sl. zovetű: Skt. śvit- ' to be bright, white ', Lith. śvitěti, O. Sl. svitěti; Skt. bhi-, bháyate 'fear', O. Sl. bojo se, Lith. bijaus; Skt. prus-, prusnáti 'sprinkle', O. Sl. prysnoti; Skt. dham-, dhmā- 'to blow'. O. Sl. dumo, doti, Lith. dumiù, dùmti; Skt. brmh-, brmhate ' (elephant) trumpets ', Lith. brenzgu, branzgu ' to sound, make a noise'; Skt. muc- 'to release, Lith. munkù, mùkti 'to get loose'; Skt. gr., grnāti' praises', O. Pruss. girtwei' to praise'. Lith. giriù, girti.

The list of common words and other features which are special to the two groups is clearly impressive, and the whole of the material must be referred to the period of Primitive Indo-Iranian. When on the contrary we look for signs of special contact between Iranian itself and Slavonic (or Baltic) we find that there are practically none. It is true that some of the words that are listed above are found only in Iranian and not in Sanskrit, but it is equally possible to point out others in which the reverse is the case. Furthermore if we take such a word, e.g. Av. spanta-, O. Sl. svetū, Lith. šventas, it is immediately clear that the form of the Baltic and Slavonic words is such that they cannot be derived either from the Primitive Iranian form (*svanta-), but that all the words must be referred to an earlier satum form (*svento-).

Attempts to find examples of Iranian loanwords in Slavonic have been singularly unsuccessful. There is a Russian word sobáka 'dog' which is plausibly derived from Median ornáka (Herod.) but the word is not pan-Slavonic, and it remains quite obscure by what means the word has reached Russian. In the

case of Russ. topór 'axe', Pers. tabar, we are dealing with a migratory word of uncertain origin. Iranian origin has been assumed for Sl. sitto '100' because the form of the word does not agree with Slavonic phonology, but neither is it the form we would expect to be derived from Iranian satom (which should give sot-). There is a remarkable coincidence between the Slavonic word for 'god' (O. Sl. bogi) and O. Pers. baga-, but in view of the complete absence of other loanwords it is better to see in these words a case of common inheritance.

This absence of Iranian influence on Slavonic is surprising in view of the repeated incursions of Scythian tribes into Europe, and the prolonged occupation by them of extensive territories reaching to the Danube. Clearly at this later period the Slavs must have remained almost completely uninfluenced politically and culturally by the Iranians. On the other hand at a much earlier period (c. 2000 B.C.) before the primitive Iranians left their European homeland, Indo-Iranian and the prototypes of Baltic and Slavonic must have existed as close neighbours for a considerable period of time. Practically all the contacts which can be found between the two groups are to be referred to this period and this period alone.

§5. Indo-Iranian and Finno-ugrian

During the same period there is conclusive evidence of contact between Indo-Iranian and Finno-ugrian, a neighbouring family of non-Indo-European languages. This latter family consists of three European languages which have attained the status of literary languages, Finnish, Esthonian and Hungarian, and a number of now minor languages which are spoken by a small number: Lapp, Mordwin, Ceremis, Zyryan, Votyak, Vogul, Ostyak. Of these Vogul and Ostyak are now found to the East of the Urals, but are considered to have moved there from the West. These two, with Hungarian form the Ugrian sub-group, and are distinguished from the rest by certain common features. The Hungarians moved from the region of the Volga to the territory they now occupy in the ninth century. In Siberia there are several Samoyede languages which as a group are related to Finno-Ugrian. The two families are classed together as the Uralian languages.

Even before the Indo-Iranian period there is evidence of

contact between Indo-European and Finno-ugrian. Certain remarkable coincidences (e.g. Lat. sal 'salt', Finn. suola; Skt. mddhu 'honey', Gk. μέθυ: Finn. mete-; Skt. nāman-, Gk. ὄνομα 'name': Finn. nime-, Goth. watō 'water', etc.: Fi. vete-) have long since attracted attention, but there is lack of agreement as to how exactly they are to be interpreted. One theory is that the two families are ultimately related, but the available evidence is not sufficient to establish this with any certainty. On the whole it seems more probable that the coincidences, insofar as they are not due to chance, are the result of mutual contact and influence in the early prehistoric period.¹

Evidence is both more abundant and easier to interpret when it comes to early Indo-Iranian contacts with Finno-ugrian. Here it is possible to point out a considerable number of words in Finno-ugrian which can be shown to have been borrowed from Indo-Iranian at this stage. The most important of the Finno-ugrian words which have been ascribed to Indo-Iranian

are as follows:

(I) Finn. sata '100', Lapp. cuotte, Mordv. śado, Čer. śūδō, Zyry. śo, Voty. śu, Vog. sāt, šāt, Osty. sòt, sāt, Hung. száz: Skt. śatám, Av. satam. (2) Mordv. azoro, azor 'lord', Voty. uzīr, Zyry. ozīr 'rich'; Vog. ōter, āter 'hero': Skt. ásura, 'lord', Av. ahura-'id'. (3) Finn. vasara 'hammer', Lapp. væčer, Mordv. vizīr, užer: Skt. vájra-'Indra's weapon', Av. vazra-'club, mace'. (4) Finn. porsas, Zyry. porś, poryś, Voty. parś, pariś 'pig' was ascribed to an Aryan *parśa-(=Lat. porcus) and this is now attested by Khotanese pā'sa-(5) Finn. oras '(castrated) boar', Mordv. urēś 'id': Skt. varāhá-, Av. varāza-'boar'. (6) Finn. utar, Mordv. odar, Čer. vodar 'udder': Skt. ūdhar 'id'; (7) Finn. ora, Mordv. uro, Hung. ár 'awl': Skt. ārā 'id' (= OHG āla, etc.); (8) Hung. ostor 'whip', Vog. ošter, Čer. woštyr: Skt. áṣtrā, Av. aštrā 'whip' (√aj-'to drive'); (9) Hung. arany 'gold', Vog. sureń, sareń, Mordv. sirne, Zyry. Voty. zarni: Skt.

¹ Borrowings are likely to have occurred in both directions, and usually it is difficult to decide which family has been the borrower. As an example of a probable loan from Finno-ugrian we may quote Engl. whale, O.N. kvale, O. Pruss. kalis: Av. kara- 'mythical fish living in the Ranhā (=Volga): Finn. kala 'fish' etc. The restriction of the meaning indicates that the IE languages are the borrowers, and it is likely that Iranian and the northern IE languages have done so separately.

hiranya-, Av. zaranya-; (10) Finn. arvo 'value. price', Hung. dr, etc.: Skt. arghá-, Osset. ary 'id' (Lith. algà, etc.); (II) Finn. sisar 'sister', Mordv. sazor, Čer. šužar: Skt. svásar-, Av. x'anhar-; (12) Hung. sor 'beer', Voty. sur, Vog. sor, Osty. sar: Skt. súrā 'strong drink', Av. hurā; (13) Finn. sarvi 'horn', Mordv. śuro, Čer. šur, Lapp čoarvve, Hung. szarv: Av. srū-, srvā 'horn' (=Gk. κέρας, etc.); (14) Vog. šuorp, šôrp' elk': Skt. śarabhá-' a kind of deer' (from the root of the last); (15) Mordv. sed' 'bridge': Skt. sétu-, Av. haètu-; (16) Mordv. vergas 'wolf', Zyry. vörkas: Skt. výka-, Av. vohrka-; (17) Zyry. Voty. turin 'grass': Skt. tfna-; (18) Zyry. vork 'kidney': Skt. vrkká-, Av. vorobka-'id'; (19) Vog. tas 'stranger': Skt. dāsá- 'non-Aryan, slave'; (20) Hung. vászon 'linen': Skt. vásana- 'garment, cloth'. (21) Fi. mehiläinen ' bee ', Mordv. mekš, Čer. mükš, Zyry. Voty. muš, Hung. méh: Skt. mákş-, mákşā, mákşikā 'bee, fly', Av. maxšī 'fly'; (22) Fi. siika-nen 'beard of grain, etc.', Mordy. śuva, Čer. šu, Zyry. śu: Skt. śūka- 'id'; (23) Mordv. śava, śeja 'goat': Skt. chaga-.

The detailed problems raised by these and other comparisons are not without complications, but certain general conclusions emerge clearly. Most important of all is the fact that, taking the words as a whole, the primitive forms which have to be assumed after a comparison of the Finno-ugrian forms, are identical with those which have been reconstructed for primitive Indo-Iranian, and are free of any of the later sound changes which are characteristic of Iranian on the one hand and Indo-Arvan on the other. This is quite well illustrated by the first word which represents a primitive form sata- (the Indo-Iranian and Sanskrit form) and not sata- (the Iranian form). The characteristic Iranian change of s to h is uniformly absent (3 Mordy. azoro, 11 Mordy. sazor, 15 Mordy. sed', etc.). Likewise characteristic Indo-Arvan changes such as of th, ih to h are not to be found (5 Finn, oras, etc.). There is therefore not the slightest doubt that the period when these borrowings took place was the primitive Indo-Iranian period, and it appears probable that the seat of this primitive Indo-Iranian must have been in the region of South Russia for this contact to have been possible.

One point that is noticeable when looking at a few of these words is that the change of Indo-European l, l to Aryan r, r has

already taken place (7 Finn. ora, 9. Vog. saren, etc., 16. Mordv. vargas). This is a change which is complete in Iranian, but incomplete in Indo-Aryan. That is to say that there were dialects in early Indo-Aryan which preserved IE l (not l), as well as those (the Rigvedic) which agreed with Iranian in this respect. The Finno-ugrian forms show that this feature must have already been widespread in the earlier, Indo-Aryan period, and the existence of r-forms in the Aryan of the Near East corroborates this. It cannot however have been universal, for in that case no l-forms would have been found in Sanskrit at all.

It is usually quite clear that these words have been borrowed by Finno-ugrian from Indo-Iranian and not vice versa. We have equivalents of the words in other IE languages, and before being borrowed into Finno-ugrian they have undergone the changes characteristic of the Aryan branch. Even where an Indo-Iranian word has no actual equivalent in the other IE languages, its structure and the possibility of deriving it from a known IE root will often show it to be an old inherited word. For instance Skt. vájra-. Av. vazra-. is formed with the wellknown suffix -ra (IE -ro), and can be derived from the IE root which appears in Gk. (F) ayvum 'break, smash'. There are however a few words in the above list where it is not possible to be certain in this way. Nothing like the Indo-Iranian word for 'bee' (No. 21) is found in any other IE language, and this makes it more likely on the whole that in this case the Indo-Iranians have adopted a Finno-ugrian word. Similar considerations apply to Nos. 22 (Skt. śūka-) and 23 (Skt. chága-). There may be further examples of Finno-ugrian words in Indo-Iranian, but the matter has never been investigated from this point of view. As plausible equations we may mention: Skt. kapha- 'phlegm', Av. kafa-, Pers. kaf 'foam, scum: Hung. hab 'foam, froth, cream', Veps. kobe 'wave, foam', Sam. (Kam.) khòwü 'foam'; Skt. kūpa 'pit, well': Fi. kuoppa pit', Lapp guöppe, Cer. kup, Voty. gop, etc.; Skt. salākā 'splinter, etc.': Hung. szilank' chip, splinter', Fi. sale, 3. saleen 'id', etc. In cases like these, and others could be added, no IE etymology has been found for the Sanskrit words. Since it is certain that we must assume long contact between the early Indo-Iranians and the neighbouring Finno-ugrians, and since there is no reason why the movement of words should have been entirely one way, we should consider Finno-ugrian to be a

likely source of Aryan words in cases like the above where striking similarity in form and meaning is found.

§6. ARYANS IN THE NEAR EAST

The earliest recorded traces of the Aryan peoples come neither from India nor from Iran, but from the Near East. The presence of Arvans in this area is recorded principally in documents of the Mitanni kingdom of North Mesopotamia during the period 1500-1300 B.C. The list of royal names preserved in a variety of cuneiform documents has a distinctly Arvan appearance, even though their interpretation is not absolutely certain in all cases. The names of these kings are as follows: Sutarna, Paršasatar, Sauššatar, Artadāma, Artašumara, Tušratha, Matiwāza, i.e. in Indo-Arvan form Sutarana- (cf. Ved. sutárman-), Prasastár- 'director, ruler', *Sauksatra- 'son of Suksatra- (?)', Rtadhāman- (nom. Rtádhāmā) V.S., Rtasmara-'mindful of right', *Tvisratha-, cf. V. tvesáratha- 'having rushing chariots', *Mativāja- 'victorious through prayer'. In addition there are found in private documents from this area written in Assyrian a number of proper names of local notables which can be interpreted as Aryan, e.g. Artamna, Bardašva, Birvašura, Puruša, Šaimašura, Satawaza, i.e. Rtamna- 'mindful of the law', Vārddhāśva- 'son of Vrddhāśva-', Vīryaśūra-'hero of valour', Púrusa-'man, male', Ksemasūra-'hero of peace or security', Sātavāja-' who has won prizes' (Bvr. cf. v. Vājasāti-).

This was a period of the expansion of Mitanni influence in the surrounding territories. Consequently we come across rulers of neighbouring principalities having similar Aryan names, and this extends as far as Syria and Palestine. The clearest examples of Aryan names among these are Šuvardata: *svardāta- 'given by heaven', Šatuara: *Satvara-, a stem bearing the same relation to Skt. sátvan- 'powerful, victorious: a warrior', as does Skt. īśvarā- 'lord' to Av. isvan-; Artamanya: Rtamanya- 'thinking on the law', Biridašva: Vrddhāšva- 'possessing large horses', Biryawāza: Vīryavāja- 'having the prize of valour', Indarota: Indrotá- (RV) 'helped by Indra', Subandu: Subandhu-.

The contemporary Hittite kingdom had close relations both of peace and war with the Mitanni kingdom, and some of the documents from the Hittite capital provide important evidence

for the presence of Aryans in the Mitanni country. The most interesting of these documents is a treaty concluded between the Hittite king Suppiluliuma and the Mitanni king Matiwāza (c. 1350 B.C.). Among the divinities sworn by in this document there occur four well known Vedic divine names. They are Indara, Mitraš(il), Našatia(nna), Uruvanašš(il), which stripped of their non-Aryan terminations are unmistakeably Ved. Indra-, Mitra-, Nāsatya and Varuṇa-. It is clear that not only Aryan language, but also Aryan religion in a form closely resembling that known from the Rgveda, was current in this region of the Near East during this period.

The introduction of the horse to the countries of the Near East which took place during the early part of the second millenium B.C. seems to have been due mainly to these Aryans. The usefulness of this animal in war soon made it popular in the neighbouring kingdoms, among them the Hittites. Among the archives of the Hittite capital there exists a treatise on the care and training of horses. This is written in the Hittite language, but the author, who had charge of the royal horses was a Mitannian called Kikkuli. Furthermore some of the technical terms used in the work are Aryan words. These are aika vartanna, tera v° , panza v° , satta v° and navartanna (hapl. for nava- v°) = Skt. eka-vartana- one turn (of the course), and likewise for the numbers 3, 5, 7 and 9. The existence of these loanwords in the Hittite text shows clearly the priority of the Aryans in this field.

In addition to the above evidence there are a few Aryan traces among the documents of the Kassite dynasty of Babylon (c. 1750-1170 B.C.). The Kassites themselves were invaders from the East, from the Iranian plateau, and their language, of which something is known, has no connection whatever with Aryan or Indo-European. Nevertheless in a list of names of gods with Babylonian equivalents we find a sun god Surias (rendered Samas) which must clearly be identified with Skt. sârya-. In addition Maruttas the war god (rendered En-urta) has been compared with Skt. marút-, though here some difficulty is caused by the fact that the Skt. word always occurs in the plural. Among the kings of this dynasty one has a name which can be interpreted as Aryan: Abirattas: abki-ratha-

The existence of Aryans in this area was unsuspected until

the discovery of these Aryan names in cuneiform documents. Even though the detailed interpretation of some of the names may be open to doubt, the material is sufficiently abundant to render it beyond all doubt that a sizeable influx of Aryans had taken place. Their location on the Near-Eastern scene is also quite clear. They were settled primarily in the Mitanni kingdom, and where they are found outside there, it is in areas specifically affected by Mitanni political and cultural expansion. There is no doubt that even the few Kassite names should be traced to this source, since in Iran, from where they emigrated, there is no trace of Aryans until the Medes and Persians appear on the scene in the early part of the next millenium.

The Arvans appear in Mitanni from 1500 B.C. as the ruling dynasty, which means that they must first have entered the country as conquerors. In this respect their career resembles that of their Indian and Iranian cousins, but in two important respects it differs. In the first place they did not succeed, as elsewhere, in imposing their language on the country they occupied. The native language of the people, Hurrian, remained the language of the country and was adopted by the conquerors. It is not even known whether, apart from proper names, they preserved their own Aryan language for any length of time. So far no document written in it has turned up. Secondly we find no trace of the implacable antagonism between Aryan and Non-Arvan which characterised the Indian and Iranian expansion. No distinction, apart from the usual class distinctions, appears between Aryan rulers and the people of the country. In the field of religion, in particular, Aryan and local gods appear to have been honoured side by side, which is in the strongest contrast to the behaviour of the Vedic peoples.

Linguistically the names do not provide enough material to draw many conclusions. It may be stated however that no certain examples exist of any phonetic development which is either distinctively Indian or distinctively Iranian. The little linguistic material we have may be explained best out of primitive Indo-Iranian, and the phonetic changes observed, in so far as the inaccuracy of the script allows, appear to be local and independent changes. Such are the changes v>b in biriya-, of l>z in panza (after nasal) and the assimilation of -pt- to -tt- in satta. There is clearly no point in arguments as to whether the language is Iranian or Indo-Aryan, since there is no evidence of

its being either, and we can be pretty sure that if more abundant material turned up, we should discover that we were dealing with a third and independent member of the Indo-Iranian family. It is only the antiquity and conservatism of the Indian tradition, as opposed to the Iranian, that has led scholars to regard these Aryans as specifically Indo-Aryans.

The date of the occupation is unknown beyond the fact that it was already completed by 1500 B.C. The probability would seem to be that it had taken place considerably before this, since from the earliest recorded period, the régime seems to be fully and finally established, and there is no hint in any source that the ruling families are newcomers. The direction of the invasion would seem certainly to have been from the North, via the Caucasus. This squares with what has been said above about the original location of the Indo-Iranians in South Russia, and the actual location of the Aryans in the Near East is most easily explained if it is assumed that the invasion came from this direction and not from the East.

§ 7. THE EMERGENCE OF INDO-ARYAN

The pre-history of the Aryan language of India takes us far from the North-West India of the Vedic period both in space and time. Comparison with other languages renders possible a reconstruction of linguistic history which is nowhere directly recorded, and establishes as a fact important migrations and movements of peoples which otherwise would be unknown to history. It has also been possible to say something definite, though naturally within fairly wide limits, about the origin of these movements, and about their chronology. The distribution of the IE languages suggests that their origin is to be sought in Central and Eastern Europe. The special relations of Indo-Iranian with the satom-group of languages, and with Balto-Slavonic in particular, together with evidence of contact between it and Finno-ugrian in the Primitive Indo-Iranian period, point to its original location in South Russia. The presence of Aryans in the Near East in the middle of the second millennium B.C. can best be explained by an invasion from this quarter. The major migrations, however, took place to the East, North of the Caspian Sea, and resulted in the major portion of the Aryan tribes being concentrated in what is now Russian

Turkestan. From there Iranians and Indo-Aryans separately penetrated into Iran and India. It is only at this period that a common Indo-Iranian, albeit with dialectal divisions, divides into two branches, Indian and Iranian. The existence of Indo-Aryan as an independent form of speech begins with the entrance of Aryan tribes into India. From this period the two branches evolve in comparative isolation.

Chronologically there is not much direct information to rely on. The earliest and most important data are those relating to the presence of Aryans in the Near East from 1500 B.C. onwards. This is an important pointer to the period of the migrations, which to judge by historical analogies are likely to have taken place during a limited period of time. The first half of the second millennium B.C. which would seem to be indicated by this evidence as the general period of the migrations is one which agrees comfortably with all the general considerations which can be adduced. The next direct information about the Aryans refers to the Iranians. The presence of Medes and Persians in Iran proper is attested in the Assyrian annals from the tenth century B.C. onwards, and it is unlikely that they had occupied this area in any force for very long before this period. For the Indo-Aryan invasion of India no direct evidence is available. Nevertheless the very great similarity between the Vedic language and the earliest Iranian precludes any long period of separation between the two, and makes it impossible that the age of the Vedic hymns can be pushed back to the third or fourth millennium B.C. The average rough guess which places the period of the Indo-Aryan invasions c. 1700-1400 B.C. and the period of the composition of the Reveda c. 1200-1000 B.C. is not likely to be many centuries out, either one way or the other.

There is some linguistic evidence to show that the Indo-Aryan invasion took place in successive phases, and not in one simultaneous movement. There are dialectal differences between the Vedic language of the North West and the later classical language of Madhyadesa. The most striking of these is that the Vedic language turns l into r whereas the classical language, to a large extent, preserves the distinction between r and l. This Vedic feature is characteristic of the whole of Iranian, and furthermore it can be traced in the Aryan of the Near East and in some Aryan words in Finno-ugrian. Clearly

the fact that the more easterly dialects of early Indo-Aryan have avoided this change indicates a comparatively early separation from the main body, in comparison with the Vedic dialect which has undergone this change in common with the rest of Aryan before being introduced into India.

Certain features of the Kafiri languages of the North West indicate important dialectal divergencies of ancient Arvan at a time preceding the invasion of India. In some ways these languages stand half way between Indo-Aryan and Iranian. They agree with Indo-Aryan in retaining s which Iranian changes to h, but with Iranian in the treatment of the two palatal series (e.g. zim 'snow': Skt. himá-, ja- 'kill': Skt. han). In this respect they form simply an intermediate dialect group, as might be expected from their position between the two main groups. On the other hand in their treatment of the sound which appears in Sanskrit as s they have preserved a form which is more archaic than anything found elsewhere in Indian and Iranian (c in cuna- 'dog', duc' 10', etc.). This can only be satisfactorily explained as the isolated preservation of a very ancient dialectal feature within Indo-Iranian. The same considerations apply to the absence of cerebralisation of s after u in words like dos 'yesterday' and muse'. The change of s to s' (>Skt. s) under specified conditions is, as we have seen, so ancient as to be shared by both Indo-Aryan and Slavonic, but it seems that some peripheral dialect of Indo-Arvan must have escaped it in connection with u. and it is from this source that the Kafiri forms are derived. The evidence would suggest that the Aryan dialect which preserved these archaisms was the very first to reach the borders of India. and that later successive waves of Indo-Aryan invaders confined it into a narrow space in the mountain valleys of the North-West frontier, where it has survived in isolation to this day.

The history of Indo-Aryan begins with the first introduction of Aryan speech into India, but between this event and the composition of the first recorded document of Indo-Aryan, the hymns of the Rgveda, a considerable period must have elapsed. This is clear from the fact that in the text of the Rgveda itself, although historical allusions are not uncommon, there is no reference anywhere to the fact of the migration, nor any definite indication that it was still remembered. Linguistic reasons also

compel us to assume such a period, since the number of linguistic (mainly phonetic) changes that have taken place since the common Indo-Iranian stage is considerable. No doubt the beginnings of dialectal cleavage go back to the Indo-Aryan period, but there is no doubt that the bulk of the characteristic changes of Indo-Aryan and Iranian respectively have taken place after the complete separation of the two groups, that is to say, after the Aryan invasion of India.

Some of the more important changes that affected Indo-Aryan during this period may be briefly listed: (1) Ih and Ih become h = Ir. f and z, f and f are confused as f = Ir. f and f are combinations f and f are single group f as results from the two combinations f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f and f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confused as f and f are confused as f and f are confused as f are confused as f and f are confus z), (3) a single group ks results from the two combinations k+s and s+s; these are kept apart in Iranian, (4) Aryan voiced groups of the type gzh, bzh are replaced by unvoiced ks, ps (Skt. dipsa-: Av. diwža-), (5) Aryan z is elided in all positions (Skt. $medh\dot{a}-$, cf. Av. $mazd\dot{a}$), (6) Elision of Aryan z before d gives rise to cerebral d ($nid\dot{a}-$) and this, in conjunction with other combinatory changes (astau, vit, $k\bar{a}rana-$) is the beginning of a new series of consonants previously foreign to Aryan, as well as to the rest of Indo-European. (7) s (s) is elided between two consonants (dbhakta, s-aor.). (8) All final consonant groups are simplified and only the first remains (Skt. $v\bar{a}k:$ Av. $v\bar{a}x\bar{s}$). (9) A tendency begins to weaken the aspirates dh and dh to d (dhd here d: Av. dsa, but Pa. dha has retained the older form). (10) The Aryan diphthongs di, du are turned into the simple (10) The Aryan diphthongs ai, au are turned into the simple vowels €. ō.

This list of changes is impressive enough, and of great importance for the future history of Indo-Aryan, and a reasonable length of time must be assumed for their completion. At the same time we have the impression that the period of fairly rapid linguistic change preceded the Vedic period. With the establishment of a recognised literary language, and a tradition of education associated with it, this rapid evolution was stopped as far as classical Sanskrit is concerned. The phonetic changes that distinguish Classical Sanskrit from the Vedic language are negligible in comparison with those that took place in the immediate pre-Vedic period. On the other hand the popular language, developing soon into the Prakrits, continued to show this tendency to rapid change. In particular it is interesting to note that the type of change seen in the examples listed above is similar to that of the later Middle Indo-Aryan changes. The

....s in final position is the beassimilation of conson... ginning of a process that affects all consonant groups. The development of voiced aspirates to h, which is general in the case of fh, th and sporadic elsewhere, is continued in Pali and Prakrit. The cerebral consonants once created become more and more prevalent. From the first Indo-Aryan is affected by certain characteristic tendencies to change which continue to be influential in later periods. These changes which set in from the beginning were rapid, and in the language of the people continued to be rapid. It was only the standardisation of Sanskrit at a very early period by organisers of Brahman civilisation, that arrested this development, in the case of the classical language, before it had proceeded too long, and thereby preserved for us a form of language which in most respects is more archaic and less altered from original Indo-European than any other member of the family.

CHAPTER II

OUTLINES OF THE HISTORY OF SANSKRIT

§1. THE VEDIC LANGUAGE AND THE CLASSICAL LANGUAGE

About the pre-history of Indo-Aryan, both in India where it emerged as an independent form of speech, and outside India through the successive stages of Indo-Iranian and Indo-European, much can be deduced, and deduced with certainty with the help of comparative philology. But of all these stages of the language no direct record is preserved. The historical period of the language begins—probably, as we have seen, round about the period 1200-1000 B.C.—with the composition and compilation of the *Rgveda*. From this time the literary tradition is continuous and uninterrupted, and the gradual development of Indo-Aryan, through the various stages until the period of the modern languages is reached, can be followed in detail.

During this period great changes have taken place, and their operation has been continuous throughout the whole period. By all this change and development Sanskrit has been affected only to a small extent. From the beginning, from the time of the composition of the Vedic hymns and the establishment thereby of a recognised literary language, there was a strong tendency among the Brahmins, the guardians of this literature and of the religious and social system that went with it, to preserve the language against change. This applied not only to the preservation of the sacred texts themselves, which have been handed down with scrupulous accuracy by oral tradition, or to the composition of literary works on ancient models, but also to the language of everyday speech among the Brahmins, and in the royal courts with which they were always closely associated. This led to a growing divergence between the language of the educated classes and that of the people, which was subject to a fairly rapid alteration in the direction of Middle Indo-Aryan from an early period.

At the same time the language of the élite did not remain without change, in spite of all the influence of conscious conservatism. The classical language as fixed by Panini (fourth cent. B.C.) is a noticeably younger form of language than that found in the Vedic texts, though much less altered from it than the spoken language of the masses, which is known slightly later from the inscriptions of Asoka. We have in fact up to this period two parallel developments of Indo-Aryan occurring side by side in different strata of the community, slow and gradual change in the dominant Brahman community restrained by education and a literary tradition, and beside it a rapid evolution among the mass of the population unhindered by education and tradition. With Panini's work Sanskrit in its external form became finally stabilised and no more change was allowed. From then on the history of Indo-Aryan is the history of Middle Indo-Aryan in its various phases (Pali, Prakrit, Apabhramsa) and then of Modern Indo-Aryan. In this evolution Sanskrit took no part, but remained as it was fixed by Panini at a period long antedating the bulk of the classical literature.

The differences between Vedic and Classical Sanskrit affect to a very small extent the phonetic structure of the language, and in this respect the contrast between Classical Sanskrit and Early Middle Indo-Aryan is most striking. They are more noticeable in the field of vocabulary and grammar, though here also they are comparatively restricted in scope.

Phonetically, apart from some dialectal phenomena such as d, dh for l, lh, and the replacement of r by l in certain words, the differences are mainly concerned with innovations of Sandhi. This is noticeable for instance in the case of -iy--uv- of the Veda which are normally replaced by y, v. The rule has been applied to the accepted text of the Reveda, so that for instance what is written tanvas is, from the evidence of the metre, to be pronounced tanúvas. Among Vedic peculiarities of final Sandhi we may note that s is only inserted between final n and initial t when it is etymologically justified (sarvāms tān, but varşman tasthau, maghavan tava, ajagmiran te), and that before vowels the terminations of the acc. pl. -ān, īn, ūn appear as -ām, imr and umr (sargam iva, paridhimr ati). In such cases later Sanskrit has regularised the Sandhi by the analogical extension of a form that was originally justified only in a certain context (e.g. -ān, -īn, -ūn was the regular phonetic development before

voiced consonants and in final position, and from there its use was extended to cases where a vowel followed). Apart from such comparatively minor changes Classical Sanskrit preserves the basic phonetic structure of the Vedic language intact.

The tendency to change is a good deal more noticeable in the morphology, and in Classical Sanskrit the wealth of forms prevalent in the earlier language is considerably reduced.

Nominal stem-formation shows a reduction in variety in the classical language, and with the disuse of certain suffixes whole classes of words so formed tend to become obsolete. For instance, the suffix -yu is productive in the Vedic language producing not only primary derivatives (yájyu-'pious') but also a number of secondary formations connected with denominative verbal stems (devayú-'devoted to the gods', vājayú-'eager to win'). After the early Vedic period it ceases to be productive, and in consequence most of the words so formed went out of use. Only those that were common enough to survive as individual words remained: manyú-'anger', dasyú-'robber', etc. Examples could be given of the same tendency over the whole field of nominal stem formation.

In nominal composition the Vedic type of governing compound seen in examples like bharddvāja- 'carrying off the prize', etc., became early obsolete. In other respects we see not a diminution in nominal composition, but a steady extension in its use. The members of a compound are rarely more than two in number in the Veda, and the conditions under which they may be formed are limited. As the language advances greater freedom is observed both as to the number of members in a compound, and as to the type of syntactical construction allowed to be so expressed. Finally the stage is reached where compounds of any length may be formed almost without restriction, the whole character of the literary language being thereby changed. In this respect the later classical language goes far beyond anything that would have been countenanced by Pāṇini.

There is considerable simplification and modernisation in nominal declension. Older forms of inflection in a-stems such as instr. sg. in -ā (vīryà beside vīryèṇa) and nom. pl. nt. in -ā (bhúvanā beside bhúvanāni) are given up in favour of the new formations. At the same time some innovations of the Vedic language (nom. pl. m. -āsas, instr. pl. -ebhis beside -ās, -ais) are discarded. One type of inflection of i- and u- stems (ávyas,

krátvas) is abandoned, though one type of special neuter inflection is preserved (várinas, mádhunas) and a new type of feminine inflection is introduced from the i- stems (gátyās. dhenvas). Of the two types of inflection of i- stems, the with type is abandoned in favour of the devi type with some influence of the former on the latter (nom. pl. devyds as opposed to devis of the Vedic language), and some isolated survivals (nom. sg. laksmis). The irregular vocatives in -s of the van- and vanistems (bhagavas, etc.) are abandoned. Endingless locatives of , the type aksán are abandoned in favour of the fully inflected forms (aksáni or aksní). The Vedic locative formations from the personal pronouns in -é (asmé, etc.) disappear. In the dual the number of cases that can be formed from these pronouns is reduced from five to three by the elimination of the nominative and ablative forms (āvám, yuvám; āvát, yuvát). In the conjugation of the verb the classical language simplifies considerably the complicated morphology of the earlier language. The alternative termination -masi of the 1st pers. plural is abandoned, and likewise the long forms of the 2nd plural in -tana, -thana. That form of conjugation in the middle which is characterised by the absence of t in the 3rd singular and the termination r in the 3rd plural (duhé, duhré, impf. áduha, áduhra) is abandoned. The old imperatives in -si disappear. The s- agrist is enlarged in the 2nd and 3rd singular to produce forms more easily recognisable (anaisit for anais). The root agrist is confined to roots in long \bar{a} and the root $bh\bar{u}$. Pluperfect forms are eliminated. The most important loss in the verbal structure is that of the subjunctive. This mood is very common indeed in the Veda, and also later till the close of the Brahmana period, but by Pāṇini's time, apart from forms of the first person incorporated in the Imperative, it had fallen quite out of use. The use of the unaugmented forms classed as Injunctive is confined to constructions with the prohibitive ma. Modal forms outside the present system cease to be used, as also participles from aorist bases. In place of the great variety of infinitive forms in the Veda, only one, that in -tum is used in the classical language. Similarly old variant forms of the gerund (e.g. in -tvī, -tvāya) and gerundive (e.g. in -tva, -enya) disappear.

An important difference between the Vedic and the classical language lies in the treatment of the prepositional prefixes attached to verbal roots. In the classical language the prefix

stands immediately before the verbal form with which it is compounded. On the other hand in the Vedic language its position is quite free, and it may be separated from the verb by several words, or, on occasion, come after it. This freedom was characteristic of Indo-European, and elsewhere the tendency has generally been to associate the prefix more closely with the verb as time went on. There is the same difference in this respect between Homeric and classical Greek as between Vedic and classical Sanskrit.

Finally there are changes in vocabulary. This has already been noticed in connection with disuse of certain types of nominal stem formation, but it applies equally to the whole field of vocabulary. A number of old Indo-European words which are current in the Veda are no longer used in the classical period. Such are átka- 'garment' (Av. abka-), ápas 'work' (Lat. opus), ándhas 'juice of soma plant' (cf. Gk. ἄνθος 'flower'?), áma- 'strength' (Av. ama-), árvant- 'steed' (Av. aurvant- 'swift'), avatá- 'spring' (Lett. avuots), ādhrá- 'mean, lowly' (Av. ādra-), āpi- 'friend, ally' (cf. Gk. ἤπιος 'kind'), iṣirá- 'vigorous, strong' (cf. Gk. ἰερός 'sacred'), īrmá- 'foreleg' (Lat. armus, etc.), uśij- 'a kind of priest' (Av. usig-), rsvá- 'high' (Av. 2rošva-), kravíş- 'raw flesh' (cf. Gk. κρέας), gātú-' way, course; abode' (Av. gātu-), gnå' wife of god' (Av. gonā' wife', Gk. γυνή. etc.), cánas-' pleasure, satisfaction' (Av. čanah-), cyautná- 'deed, enterprise' (Av. šyaoθna-), jánas 'race' (Gk. γένος, Lat. genus), jáni- 'woman' (Av. jaini-), jenya-' under the care of, entrusted to ' (Sogd. zynyh, Khotanese ysīnīya-, whence Central Asian Prakrit jheniga 'id'), jráyas-' expanse, flat surface ' (Av. zrayah- ' lake '), titaü- ' sieve ' (for *titahu-, cf. Gk. διαττάω ' sift ', etc.), toká- ' offspring ', tokman-'offshoot' (Av. taoxman- 'seed'), tvaks- 'to be active, energetic' (Av. 0waxš-), dasmá-, dasrá- 'accomplished, clever', dámsas- 'wonderful deed' (cf. Av. dahma- 'instructed', daŋra- 'accomplished', daŋhah- 'cleverness', Gk. δέδαε 'taught', ἀδαής 'uninstructed', δαήμων 'instructed', etc.), dáma-' house' (Lat. domus, etc.), dánu-' moisture' (Av. dānu ' stream', Osset. don), dāśvás-' worshipper', drapsá-' banner' (Av. drafša-), nákt- 'night' RV 7. 71, 1 (Lat. nox, etc.; adverbial náktam remains), náhuṣ- 'neighbour', néma- 'half' (Av. naēma-), pan- 'to praise, extol', pastyà 'habitation', pitú- 'nourishment, food '(Av. pitu- 'id', Lith. pětūs 'midday meal', etc.), bhṛṣṭṭ- 'spike' (Av. 'barəšti-, cf. Engl. bristle, etc.), pājas- 'surface' (Khot. pāysa-, Sogd. p'z 'face'), mṛḍīka- 'mercy' and related words (Av. mrrādīka-), mārya- 'young man' (cf. Gk. μεῖραξ 'lad' and the Marianni of the Mitanni documents), miyēdha- 'sacrificial offering' (Av. myazda-), mīḍhā- 'reward, prize' (Av. mišda-, Gk. μωθός, etc.), yāhu-, yahvā- 'young, youngest, latest' (Av. yaxu-, f. yezivī 'id'), yōs 'welfare, rightness' (Av. yaoš, Lat. iūs), rōdasī 'the two surfaces (of heaven and earth) (Av. raodah-, raoda- 'face', Pers. rōy 'id'), vādhri- 'castrated' (Gk. ĕθρίς 'castrated ram'), vasnā- 'price, value' (cf. Lat. vēnum, Gk. ũνος, etc., Hitt. uššania- 'to sell'), vāja- 'prize, booty', vīḍū- 'strong, firm', ven- 'to long for', śama- 'hornless' (cf. Gk. κεμάς 'young deer', Lith. šmūlas 'without horns'), śiρτā 'moustache', śunā- 'welfare', śyetā- 'orphan' RV. I. 7I. 4 (cf. Av. saē, O. Sl. sirū, etc.), sap-, saparya- 'to attend to religious ceremonies' (Gk. ĕπω 'attend to', Lat. sepēliō 'bury'), sas- 'to sleep' (Hitt. šeš-) stigh- 'to step, stride' (Gk. στείχω, Goth. steigan, etc.), syonā- 'soft, gentle', hāras- 'heat' (Gk. θέρος 'summer', Arm. jer 'warm weather'), hary- 'to be pleased, exhilarated' (Gk. χαίρω 'rejoice').

The existence of homonyms frequently results in the suppression of one of such pairs. The early Vedic language possessed ásura-¹ 'lord' (Av. ahura-) and ásura-² 'demon'. Only the latter is in use from the later Vedic period onwards. Similarly of the pair arí-¹ 'devoted, trustworthy' (whence árya-, árya-, cf. Hitt. ara- 'friend, ally', etc.) and arí-² 'enemy' (<*ali-, cf. Lat. alius, etc.), only the latter is preserved. Vedic kārú-¹ 'singer' (kf- 'to celebrate', cf. Gk. κήρῦξ, κάρῦξ 'herald') yields to classical kāru-² 'artisan' (kr- 'to do, make), and Vedic rájas¹ 'space' (raj- 'to stretch out', cf. Lat. regiō, etc.) is abandoned on account of the competition of rájas² 'dust, dirt' (lag- 'to stick'). Similarly of the pairs paruṣá-¹ 'light grey' (Av. pouruša-, cf. Engl. fallow, etc.) and paruṣá-² 'knotty, rough' (páruṣ-, párvan- 'knot'), pāyú-¹ 'protector' and pāyú-² 'anus', phalgú-¹ 'reddish, pink', phalgú-² 'hollow, without substance', the homonym listed first ceases to be used in the later language.

Changes of meaning naturally occurred over so long a period. Many of these occurred in the natural growth of the language. For instance váhni- in the Veda means simply 'carrier' and it is

applied to Agni in his capacity of carrying the oblations to the gods. Later it means 'fire' in general by a perfectly natural extension of meaning. The term dásyu- is primarily ethnological, meaning the non-Aryan inhabitants of India; later it acquires the meaning 'robber, brigand'. Similarly dāsá' slave' was originally a tribal name (cf. the Dahae of Central Asia), and the same may apply to śūdrá- 'member of the fourth caste', since a tribe with this name is known to have existed in N.W. India from both Indian and Classical sources.

In other cases the change of meaning in the later language is due simply to a misunderstanding of the Vedic word. This is the case with kratu-'sacrifice' as opposed to Vedic kratu-'wisdom, insight'. Here there is no change of meaning, but simply a failure to understand properly the meaning of the Vedic texts. In classical Sanskrit mātariśvan- means 'wind'; originally it meant the divine being who discovered fire by the method of rubbing two sticks, and also Agni himself (from *mātariś-'fire-stick', etymologically equivalent to Lat. mātrix); the change of meaning can only be due to the fading of the old mythology in the popular mind. Vedic kīlāla- meant a certain milk preparation (cf. Khowar kilāl' a kind of cheese'); its use in classical Sanskrit to mean 'blood' is due to a misunderstanding of the old texts.

False popular etymology in the case of the old words *dsura-* 'demon' and *dsita-* 'black' led to the creation of two new words. Since the initial *a-* in these words was falsely interpreted as the negative *a-*, *sura-* 'god' and *sita-* 'white' were created as their opposites.

The above examples show that the losses in vocabulary during this period of the history of the language were considerable. As elsewhere the Indo-European heritage of Indo-Aryan was steadily reduced with the passage of time. One result was that many of the old words of the Veda ceased to be understood in later times. The difficulties that ensued gave rise at an early period to a special school of interpretation (nirukta-) of the Veda. Collections of difficult vocables were made and attempts to explain them on an etymological basis were made. These labours were summed up in the work of Yāska, who may be roughly contemporary with Pāṇini. These attempts at interpretation were successful to only a limited degree, and it emerges quite clearly there existed no reliable tradition as to

the meaning of many Vedic words, with the result that the authors were frequently reduced to guessing. The same applies to the later commentatorial works culminating in the great Bhāṣya of Sāyaṇa. In modern times the labours of scholars, equipped with greater resources than the ancients, have done much to reduce the field of uncertainty, but even now there remains over a considerable amount of material which defies certain interpretation.

In contrast to the losses of the old vocabulary, classical Sanskrit has acquired a large number of new words from various sources. These gains far more than counterbalance the losses. and the vocabulary of classical Sanskrit is one of the richest known. Of course there are many words which appear first in the later language and at the same time belong to the most ancient layer of Indo-Aryan. The absence of such words from the older texts is partly accidental, since, extensive though they are, the Vedic texts do not contain the whole linguistic material of pre-classical Sanskrit. Partly also it is a question of dialect; the widening of the horizon in the case of later as opposed to Vedic Sanskrit led to the inclusion of Old Indo-Aryan material which may not have been current in the dialects which underlay the early standard language. For instance the adverb parut 'last vear' is not recorded before Pānini, but it is an ancient IE word as is shown by the Greek equivalent πέρυσι. Similarly the related parāri ' year before last ' is, we may be sure, absent from the early texts only by accident. There are many words which must be ancient because their formation is of an ancient type, e.g. vipula- 'abundant' from the root pr- 'to fill'. In this case the preservation of IE l, elsewhere not found with this root, suggests that its absence from the early Vedic texts is a matter of dialect.

A large number of the new words are fresh formations based on the existing stock of roots and formatives. As long as the suffixes of derivation retained their living character, there was ample scope for the creation of new terms as occasion demanded. This was particularly so since it was combined with the facility of compounding verbal roots with prepositional prefixes, and in this way terms could be created at will for any conceivable need. From the root kr-' to do' alone, by means of the suffixes of derivation, and with the help of some score of prepositional prefixes, many hundreds of words were manufactured, whose meanings cover every field of practical and theoretical expression.

The vocabulary was further enriched from outside Indo-Aryan itself. The pre-existing vernaculars made a sizeable contribution to the Sanskrit vocabulary. This influence is strongest, it seems, in the case of Dravidian words and that can be identified with certainty as Dravidian run into several hundred. Though a few are found already in the Vedic language, the majority do not become current before the classical language. A smaller proportion was provided by the Kolarian languages. Occasionally words were introduced from outside India, e.g. from Iranian (vārabāṇa- 'breast plate') or from Greek (horā 'hour'), but these were always rare.

Even when all these new words have been accounted for there remains a considerable number of words in classical Sanskrit whose origin is unknown. Most were no doubt originally desī words in the Indian terminology, and since the linguistic complexity of pre-Aryan India must have been greater than anything that now appears, we should not be surprised to find so many words whose origin remains unexplained.

Such in brief are the main changes which took place in Sanskrit between the early Vedic and the classical period. In the pre-classical literature this evolution can be traced in its succeeding stages. This literature, which is devoted entirely to religion and ritual, falls into three main sections.

- I. The Samhitās of the Rgveda, Sāmaveda, Yajurveda and Atharvaveda.
- II. The Brāhmaṇas, prose texts devoted to the mystical interpretation of the ritual.
- III. The Sūtras, containing detailed instructions for performing the ritual, of which the Srautasūtras deal with the great public sacrifices, and the Gṛhyasūtras with household ritual.

The periods which are conventionally assumed for the composition of this literature are (1) Samhitās 1200-800 B.C., (2) Brāhmaņas, 800-500 B.C., (3) Sūtras 600-300 B.C.

In the absence of any definite information, such chronology rests mainly on guess-work. On the other hand, the relative chronology of the succeeding strata can be established beyond all doubt by means of linguistic data contained in the texts themselves. The linguistic changes summarised above took place gradually and the language of the succeeding phases of the literature becomes steadily more and more similar to the classical norm. The gulf that separates the language of the

Regreda from classical Sanskrit is very much greater than that separating the language of even the earliest prose texts from it. The later Samhitas can be shown on the basis of language to be later in date than the Regreda, and within that work itself the tenth book is known to be the latest for the same reason. In the same way a chronological distinction can be made between earlier and later Brahmanas.

Since the Brahmanas are in prose, their language may be taken as reasonably representative of the spoken language of the upper classes in the later Vedic period. It still retains preclassical features, such as the use of the old subjunctive, but already the majority of the old Vedic forms have fallen into disuse. By the time of the composition of the Sūtras the language has reached in all essentials the stage at which it was codified by Pānini. In all the Sūtras it is possible to find grammatical forms which do not conform strictly to the Paninean rules. But in contrast to the earlier literature these forms are not as a rule archaisms. The difference is rather that their usage is somewhat more lax and careless than that allowed by the strict formulation of the grammarians, and in this respect they accurately reflect the spoken usage of the period of Pāṇini himself and of the period immediately preceding. Their language is based, not like the later classical Sanskrit on an established and traditional grammatical system, but on that same spoken language of the educated Brahmins, which is the source of the grammatical system of Pāṇini. These texts are very important in linguistic history: they stand side by side with Panini as an independent authority on the living Sanskrit language during the period immediately preceding its final codification. It is here, and not in the later literature, that we must look for a living illustration of the language that Pānini established in its final form.

§2. OLD INDO-ARYAN

The Sanskrit language, in its Vedic and Classical form, had, as already observed, a definite geographical location. In the very earliest period this lay in the Punjab, but the centre soon moved eastward to the countries of Kuru and Pancāla, and there it remained during the whole later Vedic period. Certain dialectal divergencies between the language of the Rgveda and that of the later literature—notably the use of l instead of Vedic

r—can be ascribed to this. In addition there existed dialect areas containing features which find no place in Sanskrit. Quite early in the Vedic period there were extensive settlements of Indo-Aryans to the East (Kosala, etc.) and to the South (Avanti, etc.). The spoken language outside the area which was the home of classical Sanskrit differed in certain respects from the spoken language of this area. The term Old Indo-Aryan is sometimes used as alternative to Sanskrit, but this is incorrect, since there were other dialects of Indo-Aryan in addition to those on which Sanskrit is founded. The term Old Indo-Aryan should be used for the whole body of Indo-Aryan during the early period, and Sanskrit is not co-extensive with this.

Of the non-Sanskritic dialects of Old Indo-Aryan no direct remains are preserved, and there would not be much to be said about it, if it were not for the fact that in the later Middle Indo-Aryan dialects a fair amount of material exists which cannot be explained out of Sanskrit, Vedic or Classical, but only out of equally ancient, but different forms of Indo-Aryan such as have been referred to above. A complete collection of such material has never been put together, but enough evidence is available to demonstrate the one-time existence of non-Sanskritic dialects of Old Indo-Aryan. The differences involved were not very great (as compared for instance with the early dialects of Iranian), but they are sufficient to be worth taking into account.

Among the phonetic features we may note primarily the change of final -as to -e in contrast to its treatment as -o in Sanskrit and the later Prakrit dialects of the central area. This was a distinguishing feature of Eastern Indo-Aryan, but examples are also found in the extreme North-West. In sare duhita 'daughter of the son' one dialectal form of this type is preserved in the Rgveda. In place of Sanskrit ks Middle Indo-Aryan forms sometimes show jh-, jjh-, ggh in cases where Iranian has the sonant combination yž (Pkt. jharai 'flows', Pa. paggharati, Skt. kṣar-, Av. yžar-, etc.). There are dialectal variations in the treatment of ancient rh (f); OIA ūr in place of Skt. īr is attested in some cases: Pkt. junna-'old'<*jūrná-(:Skt. jīrná-), tūha-'ford'<*tūrtha-(:Skt. tīrthá-). In some dialects ancient -žd- was replaced by -dd- instead of by single -d- with compensatory lengthening as in Sanskrit, e.g. Pa. niḍḍa-: Skt. nīḍa-'nest'. In Pa. idha'here' a more ancient form of the word is preserved than in Skt. ihā, Pkt. siḍhila-

46 OUTLINES OF THE INTO State of the interest of the interest

A number of grammatical differences can be observed, though the loss of so much of the old inflection in Middle Indo-Aryan has eliminated much of this. Forms of the third plural atmanepada like Pa. vijjare 'are seen '(>*vidyare) indicate that such r- endings were more extensively used in some Old Indo-Arvan dialects than in Sanskrit. Pa. jigucchati ' is disgusted ' (Skt. jugupsate) shows i- reduplication of the desiderative in the case of roots containing the vowel -u- which is absent in Sanskrit but known to Old Iranian (Av. cixšnuša- 'desire to please') Pa. harāyati 'is angry' continues an Old Indo-Arvan form bearing the same relation to Skt. hrnite as Vedic grbhāyáti to grhnati. In viheseti 'injures', vihesa 'injury' (<*vihesayati, *vihesā, Shims-) Old Indo-Aryan forms unknown to Sanskrit are represented. In Pa. sabbadhi 'everywhere 'an old adverbial termination is preserved which has a parallel in Gk. -01. Prakrit has an enclitic se of the third person corresponding to Av. he. še. O. Pers. šaly which is not found in Sanskrit. Pa. kāhāmi 'I will do 'represents an Old Indo-Aryan aniț future *karsyāmi as opposed to Skt. karisyāmi. Pa. sāmam 'oneself'. which is unknown to Sanskrit, is the equivalent of Av. hāma-, O. Sl. samu. Participial forms like mukka- ' released', runna-'weeping' continue old formations in -na, as opposed to the Skt. formations in -ta. The Ardha-Māgadhī participles in -mīna seem to represent an ancient Indo-Aryan variant of Skt. -mana. The participle dinna- 'given' implies an old formation reduplicating with i as in Greek (*didāmi: Gk. δίδωμι). The participles in -tāvin (vijitāvin- ' who has conquered ') are an ancient formation equivalent to the -tavant- participles of Sanskrit. In etase 'to go 'we have a Vedic type of infinitive not elsewhere found. The absolutives in -tūna (Pkt. °ūna), gantūna, etc., differ in apophony from the Vedic forms in -tvāna. Difference in apophony is frequently observable in stem formations: e.g. supina- 'dream' = Gk. υπνος as opposed to Skt. sudpna- with guna; garu- 'heavy' has guna of the root as opposed to Skt. guru-; compare in the same way turita- 'hastening', thinaslothful' (<*stīna-) with Skt. tvarita-, styāna-. Nominal stem formations unrepresented in Sanskrit are not uncommon, e.g. Pa. nahāru- 'sinew', theta- 'firm' theva- 'drop' < *snāru-,

*stheta-, *stepa- (stip- 'to drip'); Pkt. māhaṇa- 'brahmin' (lit. 'great one'), cf. V. māhina- 'great'.

Even in the modern Indo-Aryan languages ancient words not represented in the earlier stages are sometimes found: e.g. Hindi āṭā 'flour': Pers. ārd from the root in Gk. ἀλέω 'grind'.

From evidence such as this we can form some idea, fragmentary though it is, of the dialectal variety of Old Indo-Aryan. It is necessary to bear this in mind so that the evolution of Sanskrit can be seen in its proper perspective. The formation of a standard language implies a rigorous process of selection and exclusion. In all spoken language there is continuous variation from area to area and from class to class. Sanskrit was based on the spoken language of the higher classes of Madhyadeśa, influenced by the older sacred language of the Rgveda which had originated further West. This was the centre of propagation of Brahmin religion, in its orthodox form, and of a fixed standard language which was the property of the Brahmin community in whatever part of Āryāvarta they resided. Pāṇini speaks occasionally of differences in speech between the Easterners and the Northerners. But these are always trivialities. Sanskrit as a spoken language was essentially the same over the whole of North India, and from an early period also in the Deccan. Under the surface there were dialectal differences which for the earliest period can be dimly perceived, and which come out into the light of day during the next stage of the language, Middle Indo-Aryan.

§ 3. THE GRAMMARIANS

The importance of the grammarians in the history of Sanskrit is unequalled anywhere in the world. Also the accuracy of their linguistic analysis is unequalled until comparatively modern times. The whole of the classical literature of Sanskrit is written in a form of language which is regulated to the last detail by the work of Pāṇini and his successors.

Grammatical interest in India arose in the first place in connection with the necessity of preserving intact the sacred texts of the Veda. It was of the utmost ritual significance that every word used in the recitals at the sacrifices should be pronounced absolutely correctly. Among the means by which the correct transmission of the Vedic texts was achieved was the Padapätha, in which each word of the text was repeated separately.

To do this correctly, as it is done in the main, involved the beginning of grammatical analysis and, since it involved the resolution of Sandhi, phonetic analysis.

The phonetic teaching necessary for the correct recitation of the Vedas is embodied in the Prātiśākhyas. There are several of these attached to various Vedic schools, and they deal with the subject in great detail and with accuracy. They are a very important source for our knowledge of ancient pronunciation. It is disputed whether any of these texts in their present form are earlier than Panini, but in some form or other instruction of this sort must be as old as the Vedic schools themselves. Later works dealing with phonetics are the Siksās which exist in large numbers and contain valuable observations.

Difficulties in the interpretation of the Vedic texts owing to the obsolescence of words led to the beginnings of lexicography. The earliest work of this kind, the Nighantu consists of lists of difficult Vedic words, of divinities, etc., drawn up for the use of teachers. The commentary on these by Yaska, who is probably not far removed from Panini in time, contains the earliest systematic discussions on questions of grammar. Here we find the parts of speech already distinguished as nāman 'noun', sarvanāman- 'pronoun', ākhyāta- 'verb', upasarga- 'preposition 'and nipāta- 'particle'. The derivation of nouns by means of krt and taddhita affixes has become a well established theory, and an interesting argument between Sakaţāyana and Gargya is reported as to whether all nouns can be derived in this way from verbal roots. The former maintained that they could, and in spite of the cogent arguments on the other side advanced by Gargya, this was the theory that generally held the field in Sanskrit grammatical theory. It is a fact that a larger proportion of the Sanskrit vocabulary is capable of such analysis than is the case in most languages.

The date of Panini is most commonly fixed in the fourth century B.c. which is in accordance with the native tradition which connects him with the Nanda king of Magadha. Nothing is known of his life except the fact that he was born in the extreme North-West of India at Salatura. His Astadhvāvī which fixed the form of Sanskrit grammar once and for all, consists of some 4,000 aphorisms of the greatest brevity. This brevity is achieved by the invention of an algebraical system of notation of a kind not found outside the grammatical schools.

The system is so idiosyncratic that it could not possibly have been invented there and then by one man and imposed immediately on all his colleagues. It is clearly the growth of many centuries and Pāṇini sto be regarded as the final redactor of a traditional *Vyākaraṇa* who superseded all others on account of his superior comprehensiveness and accuracy. Many of the predecessors of Pāṇini are in fact cited in the text, but the merits of his own work condemned theirs to early oblivion.

The brevity which the Sūtra style aimed at and achieved was due to the fact that all instruction was still oral and dependent on memory. It implies also from the very beginning the existence of a commentary (vrti), also oral, in which the examples were contained. When this was first written down is not known, but the earliest existing commentary on Pāṇini, the $K\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$, dates from a thousand years after his time (c. A.D. 700). In spite of this enormous chronological difference it seems that most of the vast linguistic material contained in this commentary goes back to Pāṇini himself through an accurate and unbroken tradition.

The Sūtras of Pāṇini were supplemented and to some extent corrected by Kātyāyana at a date not long after the composition of the Aṣṭādhyāyī itself. These notes (Vārttika-) are of the same brevity as the original work, but were fortunately soon made the subject of an extensive commentary (Mahābhāṣya) by Patanjali. His date is fortunately known through contemporary references, notably to the Sunga king Puṣyamitra and to an invasion of the Bactrian Greeks, which fix him definitely in the second century B.C.

Later grammatical works exist in abundance, and many diverse schools arose, but none of them have any independent authority, being completely derivative from Pāṇini. The earliest is the Kātantra which arose about the Christian era, and whose author Sarvavarman is said by tradition to have been connected with the Sātavāhana dynasty of the Deccan. The work aimed at introducing the study of correct Sanskrit to a wider public than the educated Brahmins for whom Pāṇini and his immediate successors had written. Of later works mention may be made of the Grammar of Candra (A.D. sixth century) which achieved great popularity among the Buddists, and the Jainendra Vyākarana (c. 678) which was composed on behalf of the Jains. Later the polymath Hemacandra produced

also for the Jains the *Haima Vyākaraņa*. In addition, a number of minor systems are known which were popular in various localities, but which have nothing original to contribute.

The object of all these later grammars was to present the material contained in Pāṇini in a form comparatively easy to assimilate, and in this respect they performed a service to very many who were not equal to the arduous task of mastering the original text itself. How useful they were is shown by their continuous popularity. They contain little that is original since for them there existed no other source from which they could draw except the work of their illustrious predecessor. Pānini the main source of his work was the living speech of himself and his contemporaries. It is the merit of his grammatical system that by means of the Sūtra and commentary. and by such subsidiary compilations as Dhātupātha, Ganapātha, etc., the vast bulk of the contemporary linguistic usage was incorporated, analysed and codified in the teachings transmitted from teacher to pupil in the schools of the Grammarians. The rapid process of linguistic change that took the vernaculars through the various stages of Middle Indo-Arvan enhanced progressively the value of this codification. It is characteristic of Ancient India that the founders of schools and doctrines should be exalted to semi-divine status and regarded as omniscient. In the case of Pānini this was more justified than in other cases since he had direct knowledge of the living Sanskrit language of the fourth century B.C. which is the source of all his statements. As a result of his labours and the labours of his school this form of language was accepted as a standard throughout the long period that remained of the classical civilisation of India. As the gap between this and the vernaculars grew continually wider, the usage of the speakers and writers of Sanskrit grew more dependent on Pāṇini, and his authority more absolute. Pāṇini's grammar was based on the language of his contemporaries, and conversely the language of Kalidasa and his successors is based on the grammar of Panini. The Sanskrit of the classical literature was a living language in the sense that it was written and spoken by the educated in preference to any other, but at the same time it was a language that had to be learnt in schools by means of an arduous discipline. It was a prerequisite for all men of letters of the period that they should know by heart the

Aṣṭādhyāyī, and evidence of this dependence appears continually in their works.

As time went on, the cultivation of classical Sanskrit also came to depend on the Kośas or lexica. Apart from the Vedic nighanius lexicography is a later growth in India than grammar. The extant lexica are mostly late and are compilations out of earlier works. Amarakośa, the earliest existing, has not been accurately dated, but it is put approximately in the period A.D. 600-800. Earlier works are known and sometimes quoted, but not preserved. These works are in metre and intended to be learnt by heart, a practice which in the traditional schools has continued to this day. When this first became an essential requirement of a literary education is not clearly known, but certainly for the later period of Sanskrit literature we may assume that the writers were so equipped.

In spite of their late date and, in general, unscientific method, the lexica are of considerable value, since they preserve a large number of words which are not recorded in available texts. At the same time careless copying and inaccurate transmission has created some ghost words, which careful comparison of the various lexica may remove.

§4. EPIC SANSKRIT

The bulk of the classical Sanskrit literature was composed at a period very much later than the fixing of the language by Pāṇini. An earlier period in literary and linguistic history is represented by the two great popular epics, the Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa. It does not seem that either of these two works reached its final form until well after the Christian era, but the tradition of epic recitation goes back into the Vedic age. The Mahābhārata in particular was a long time in forming, and a good deal of what is incorporated in the final recension may claim an earlier date.

We have therefore in the Epics extensive documents of Sanskrit belonging to a period nearer to Pāṇini than the classical literature in the narrow sense. They provide also evidence of the wide popularity of one type of Sanskrit literature among the masses of the people, since these works were reserved for no special or cultivated audience, but intended for public recital to the population in general. Their popular character is evidenced by their language. This is Sanskrit definitely enough as

opposed to the contemporary Middle Indo-Aryan, but it is a Sanskrit which continually violates the rules which Panini had laid down and which were always observed in the more orthodox literary circles. Among the common deviations of the Epic language a few characteristic types may be quoted. The distinction between the active and middle forms of the verb, which was still fully alive in Pāṇini's time, and for which he caters in some detail, is beginning to be blurred in the Epic. Active forms are used for middle and vice-versa, and even the passive verb sometimes takes active endings (sruyanti 'are heard', etc.). There is some confusion between the gerunds in -tvā and -ya, and the rule of Pāṇini which restricts the former to uncompounded and the latter to compounded verbs is not always observed. Unaugmented preterites occur, a characteristic which is also found in the Veda, as well as in early middle Indo-Aryan. Conversely the augmented forms are occasionally found with the prohibitive particle (mā ... agamah 'do not go'). The particle mā is not used exclusively with the unaugmented agrist according to rule but indifferently with imperative (mā bhava) optative (mā brūyāh) future (mā draksvasi) and so on. The tenth class and causative verbs make a middle participle in -ayāna (codayāna- as opposed to correct codayamāna-) a usage to which metrical convenience has contributed. The careful rules of Pāṇini concerning the use of the alternative forms -atī and -antī in forming the feminine of present participles are not strictly observed. The distribution of set and anit forms frequently does not conform to rule.

These and other irregular forms correspond to what is found in early middle Indo-Aryan, indicating that Epic Sanskrit is a later form of Sanskrit than that of Panini. No pre-Paninean forms are found in the Epic, which means that although the epic tradition goes back to the Vedic period, and although the Mahabhārata story was familiar to people before Pāņini's time, even the earliest portions of the present text must be distinctly later than him. Since for centuries the transmission of the epic stories depended on oral tradition, and not a fixed oral tradition like that of the Vedic schools, it is not surprising that a circle of stories originating in the Vedic period should in their final form appear in a language of a much later date with no archaic forms preserved.

The recitation and transmission of the Epic legends was not

the business of the Brahmans, but of the Sütas, a class of royal servants whose duties had originally included that of charioteer. It was natural that their language should be of a more popular nature than that of the educated classes bar excellence, the Brahmans. At the same time it is interesting that all along, in spite of the competition of Prakrit, Sanskrit was cultivated in much wider circles than in the priestly schools for whom Pānini's work was intended. Outside the brahmanical schools the knowledge of grammatical theory must have been elementary to say the least, and in the early period at least the knowledge of Sanskrit on the part of the epic reciters must have depended primarily on usage and not on formal instruction. From this arose the tendency to approximate the language to some extent to the prevailing type of Middle Indo-Aryan. Later when the gulf between the two became greater formal instruction in Sanskrit became a universal necessity, but by this period the epic style and the epic language had already established itself in its own right, and linguistic features such as those mentioned above were accepted and retained.

The language of the Epics served also as a model for the language of the Purāṇas, of which the earliest core dates to the same period. It is continued in the numerous later compilations, and further in a variety of sectarian āgamas, etc. Linguistically these compilations are not of great interest, except occasionally in the matter of vocabulary, and many, particularly the later ones, testify to the deficient education of their authors in grammar.

§ 5. THE SANSKRIT OF THE CLASSICAL LITERATURE

The special characteristics of classical Sanskrit arise from the fact that most of the literature dates from a period very much later than the period in which the form of the language was fixed. If Kālidāsa is to be dated c. A.D. 450 a period of no less than eight hundred years separates him from the grammarian Pāṇini. The work of Kālidāsa stands almost at the beginning of the body of classical literature which is preserved, and the greater part of this is separated by more than a millennium from the regulator of the language. This accounts largely for the artificiality of style and language which is not absent from the best authors, and which in some is exaggerated beyond reason.

The literary gap in the period immediately preceding and succeeding the Christian era is due to the loss of the bulk of the pre-Kalidāsan literature, since it is known that kāvya in all its forms was actively practised during all this time. The earliest Sanskrit inscriptions (e.g. of Rudradāman, A.D. 150) show the existence of a developed Sanskrit Kāvya. Patañjali (c. 150) B.C.) quotes some kāvya fragments and mentions by name a poet Vararuci. His own work is a valuable example of the prose style of the period, and it enables us to form a picture of early Pāṇinean Sanskrit at a time when it was still a fully living language. The works of Aśvaghosa who flourished under Kaniska (A.D. 78+) preserved in Nepal (and fragmentarily in Central Asia), though long forgotten in India, have survived by fortunate chance, as the sole examples of Sanskrit Kāvva literature in its earlier phase.

The gap, only partially to be filled, between Pāṇini and the classical literature, is responsible for certain changes in style and usage, which have affected the language of the latter, in spite of strict adherence to the rules of grammar. These changes comprise certain losses and also a number of innovations. Of the losses the most important was that of the old system of accentuation. This was still in full force in the time of Patanjali and it must have continued in being for some time after that, but by the time the bulk of the classical literature was composed it had certainly disappeared from ordinary use. Certain of Pāṇini's grammatical forms though recognised were not in practice used. Already Patanjali remarks that forms of the second plural of the perfect like ūṣa, tera, cakra are no longer in use, their place being taken by the participial forms usitāḥ, tīrṇāḥ, kṛtavantaḥ. Later a good deal else was tacitly ignored. There are many constructions and idioms taught by Pāṇini which are not recorded in the later literature (anvāje- or upāje-kṛ 'to strengthen', nivacane-kṛ 'to be silent', etc.), and many others which have obviously been employed by the later authors as evidence of their grammatical learning (e.g. in Naiṣadhacarita, daršayitāhe, first person of the periphrastic future middle). There are losses in vocabulary and such words as anvavasarga- 'allowing one his own way', niravasita- 'excommunicated' and abhresa- 'fitness, propriety' are no longer used. In particular the Ganapātha contains numerous terms which are found nowhere else, and since this text was handed

down without meanings for a long time, it is often impossible now to discover the meaning of such words. The old distinction in meaning between the three past tenses (Imperfect, Aorist, Perfect) was not normally observed. The Aorist, though cultivated by the learned, seems to have gone out of common use. The middle perfect participles in -āna are entirely disused, and the active participles in -vas appear only rarely.

The innovations of the later classical Sanskrit affect mainly syntax and vocabulary. The most striking syntactical development is the increasing tendency to use compound words and the increasing length and complexity of the compounds used. In the earliest Sanskrit the use of compounds is not noticeably more predominant than in the Greek of Homer. In the language of Pānini's day there were still strict rules and limitations in the formation of compound words, as is clearly evident from his own statements and examples. In the later language they are formed without restriction (e.g. any adjective may be so construed with any noun, as opposed to the original arrangement by which this could only be done when the term had a special significance, kṛṣṇasarpa- 'cobra', etc.), and not infrequently in direct contradiction to Panini's rules (e.g. jagatkartar- 'world-creator' against P. 2. 2. 15-16). But the main thing is that there ceases to be any limitation to the number of members a compound may contain, since compound words treated as units may be compounded with further words, and by a process of accumulation long complexes are built up in which the syntactical relation of the members is expressed without recourse to inflection. This practice is not only at variance with the earlier usage and with Indo-European usage in general, but is also obviously incompatible with any form of popular speech which can have prevailed in India during the period This linguistic development is a purely literary development, and it is a sign of the growing artificiality of the Sanskrit language as the difference between it and the vernacular Middle Indo-Arvan grew wider.

Another syntactical development affects the verb, but this is based on popular usage. Of the past tenses the aorist, with the

¹ The hero of the drama Padmaprābhriaha (c. second or third cent. A.D.) asks a grammarian who speaks pedantically to use ordinary Sanskrit (vyāvahārihā bhāṣā). The pedantry which is illustrated consists in the liberal use of aorists and desideratives.

amalgamation of some imperfect forms, survived in Early Middle Indo-Aryan, but by the time of the later Prakrit all traces of the old preterites have disappeared. Their place was taken by passive constructions with the past participle passive. and it is from this usage that the preterites of modern Indo-Aryan derive. The tendency is also reflected in Sanskrit literature, and the passive construction becomes gradually more predominant. It had obviously the advantage of simplicity. since the complicated verbal inflection of Sanskrit could be dispensed with, and in works of deliberately simple style like the Hitopadesa it is evidently chosen for this purpose. For active use the participle in -tavant is adapted to serve as an alternative to the past tense: krtavān 'he did'. The nominal phrase in which the meaning is expressed by the juxtaposition of subject and predicate, without any verb becomes increasingly popular. This is particularly so in the philosophic literature, and since that language also favours long compounds, we may find long passages of exposition in which the only grammar consists of a few case inflections of abstract nouns.

The vocabulary of Sanskrit was on the whole remarkably stable. Nevertheless it is possible to collect from the later literature a considerable body of words which do not appear in the earlier period. In some cases it may be an accident that they are not recorded earlier, but even making this allowance, there must remain a fair number of new words. Increases in vocabulary derive from the following sources:

- (1) They could be created, when required, on the basis of existing Sanskrit roots, prefixes and suffixes, and by the formation of new compounds with special senses.
- (2) Some Prakrit words were adapted into Sanskrit, e.g. bhatta-, bhattara-, bhattaraka- 'master, lord' (Skt. bhartar-), udu- 'star' (Skt. rtu- 'season'; through a misunderstanding of uduvai- 'moon' < Skt. rtupati- 'lord of the seasons').
- (3) In language, as in other aspects of culture, Ancient India was resistant to foreign influences. For this reason foreign words are few, but a number exist. An early Iranian loanword appears in the word for writing, Skt. lipi, Aśoka dipi and lipi, O. Pers. dipi. Later contacts with Iranians were responsible for a few more words, e.g. vārabāṇa- 'armour, mail' (O. Ir. *varopāna- 'protecting the breast'), khola- 'helmet, a kind of hat' (Av. xaoða-, Pašt. xōl). O. Pers. asabāra- 'horseman' is

adapted as aśvavāra. A number of such words, e.g. divira'scribe' were current only in the north-west and did not gain
general currency. The Greek rule in N.W. India was responsible for the introduction of a few Greek words, e.g. khalīna'bridle' ($\chi a \lambda \bar{\nu} v \acute{o}s$), suruṅgā 'underground passage' ($\sigma \bar{\nu} \rho \nu \gamma \xi$). In addition there are learned borrowings in the field of astronomy, e.g. jāmitra- from $\delta u \acute{a} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \nu$. There was a tendency to
disguise such words by popular etymology. Gk. $\kappa \acute{a} \mu \eta \lambda o s$ 'camel' is adapted as kramelaka-, as if from the root kram-.
Likewise the astronomical term $\dot{v} \delta \rho o \chi \acute{o} s$ is turned into hydrogaand $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \acute{\epsilon} \beta \iota \nu \theta o s$ 'cicer arietinum' into harimantha-. Trade with
countries to the East introduced some foreign commodities to
India, and of these lavaṅga- 'cloves' for instance keeps its
Indonesian name (lawaṅ).

- (4) Dravidian and Kolarian loanwords will be treated separately below. The Dravidian words are particularly numerous and important, and provided a continuous source of the enrichment of the Sanskrit vocabulary from the earliest period onwards.
- (5) The term dest is applied to those words in Prakrit which are derived from no Sanskrit equivalent. The number of such words which can be explained out of Dravidian or some other source is comparatively small and will probably always remain so. They become still more abundant in the Modern Indo-Aryan period and present a philological problem which is not easy to solve. On the whole classical Sanskrit avoids such words, but a number are incorporated, and in particular the Jain writers have adopted a fair number.

§6. SANSKRIT AND PRAKRIT

During the whole period of its existence Classical Sanskrit had beside it as competitor Middle Indo-Aryan in its various forms, not only as a spoken language but also as a language of literature. In the early period this competition was much more important than it was later; though it appears paradoxical at first sight, the Sanskrit language only reached its full development as a language of culture and administration at a time when it had ceased to be a mother tongue.

The rise of Middle Indo-Aryan as a literary language coincided with the foundation of the new religions of Buddhism and Jainism round about 500 B.C. The founders of these religions

deliberately chose the vernacular—the dialect of Magadha in the first instance—as the vehicle of their teaching. In the third century B.C. Aśoka had his inscriptions engraved in various local dialects and ignored Sanskrit. It follows that the language of administration of the Mauryan empire was also in Middle Indo-Aryan, and not as universally the case later, in Sanskrit. If this process had not been reversed Sanskrit might have yielded place to the younger language, but quite the reverse happened and from the end of the Maurya period a steady process set in which resulted in Sanskrit becoming the predominant language of literature, culture and administration.

The epigraphical tradition established by Asoka continued for some centuries. Until after the Christian era the vernacular language alone was used for epigraphical purposes, and this means that business and administrative documents—all of which were written on perishable materials and have not survived—were composed in the same language. After the Christian era Sanskrit too begins to appear in inscriptions, at first in competition with Prakrit, and finally in exclusive use. The inscription of Rudradāman (A.D. 150) marks the victory of Sanskrit in one part of India. In the South Prakrit remained in use longer and was not finally ousted by Sanskrit until the fourth or fifth century A.D. Eventually the use of Prakrit was discontinued entirely and from the Gupta period to the Mahommedan invasions Sanskrit—admittedly often incorrect Sanskrit—remained in exclusive use.

The linguistic revolution in epigraphy is paralleled in other fields. The early Buddhist scriptures were exclusively in Middle Indo-Aryan. Towards the beginning of the Christian era a change took place, and the northern Buddhists adopted Sanskrit instead. Aśvaghoṣa (c. A.D. 100) is a master of polished Sanskrit, and that he should choose this language as a vehicle of propaganda is an indication of the ascendancy which Sanskrit had achieved at this time. Here also we may observe that Sanskrit established its ascendancy first in the north. The Theravādins of South India and Ceylon remained faithful to Pāli.

The Jains were slower in making a change than the Buddhists. They were the most conservative of Indian sects and up to the time of the final constitution of the present canon of the Svetambaras (at the council of Valabhl in A.D. 526) they used Prakrit exclusively. But even they turned to the use of Sanskrit in the

succeeding period. At the same time they continued to cultivate Prakrit seriously, beside Sanskrit, at a time when in other literary circles the traditional Prakrit was being employed as little more than a literary exercise.

In these fields we may observe the transition which led to the predominance of Sanskrit. Elsewhere lack of material makes a clear picture more difficult. In poetic literature there was under the Sātavāhanas and their successors an active tradition of lyrical poetry in Mahāraṣṭrī of which fragments are preserved in the anthology of Hāla. At the same time the major poetic works of the early period were in Sanskrit. The Mahābhārata and the Rāmāyaṇa have an importance in the literary history of India which nothing in Prakrit could even remotely approach, and they were the productions of a period when to judge by inscriptions Prakrit had almost superseded Sanskrit in everyday use. Thus it is obvious that the inscriptional evidence gives a very one-sided picture of contemporary linguistic conditions. Outside the sectarian religions Sanskrit was always, even when the use of Prakrit was most flourishing, the primary literary language of India.

The growing predominance of Sanskrit as opposed to Prakrit in the period succeeding the Christian era can be attributed to two reasons, one ideological and one practical. In the Maurya period the heterodox religions of Buddhism and Jainism had attained such influence as to threaten the existence of the old Brahmanical order. In the succeeding period, beginning with the usurpation of Puṣyamitra (c. 188 B.C.), a reaction set in and there began a gradual decline of these systems in the face of victorious orthodoxy. This change in the religious atmosphere was reflected in language, and Sanskrit, associated with the traditional Vedic religion gained ground at the expense of Prakrit, whose cultivation was mainly due to the activities of the unorthodox sects.

The practical reason was that Sanskrit offered a united language for the whole of India. In the early Middle Indian period the differences between the various local vernaculars were not so great as to preclude mutual understanding, but even at this period Aśoka found it necessary to engrave his edicts in three different dialects. With the progress of time the differences between the local dialects grew greater, so that Sanskrit became a necessary bond for the cultural unity of India. Furthermore

the Prakrits were unstable and subject to continual change through the centuries. Any literary language established on the basis of a vernacular rapidly became obsolete. The traditional Prakrits in the later period were as artificial as Sanskrit. and did not have the advantage of its universal appeal and utility. For such reasons alone Sanskrit was the only form of language which could serve as a national language in Ancient India, whose cultural unity, far more influential and important than its political disunity, rendered such a language essential. The relation between Sanskrit and Prakrit in the classical period is admirably illustrated by the Sanskrit Drama. Here it is the convention that certain characters speak Sanskrit and others speak Prakrit, and the usage of the drama no doubt accurately represents the actual practice at the time. The use of Sanskrit is fairly narrowly limited to the highest classes of society, namely kings, ministers, learned Brahmans and so on. Women, with few exceptions, speak Prakrit, and also children, showing that it was everybody's first language. Furthermore, Prakrit is spoken not only by all the lower classes, but also predominantly by the wealthy and influential class of merchants and bankers. The comic figure of the vidūsaka, an unlearned Prakrit-speaking Brahman, shows that not all members of this class were capable of mastering the strenuous discipline necessary for the acquisition of Sanskrit.

Only the earliest dramas, of which *Mṛcchakaṭika* is the best surviving example, reflect living usage in this way. In the greater number of extant dramas which belong to a later period (A.D. 500-1000), the composition is according to tradition, and the Prakrit becomes merely a transmogrified Sanskrit composed according to the rules of the grammarians learned by rote. In this period the vernacular had advanced much further on the road to Modern Indo-Aryan.

§7. THE SANSKRIT OF THE BUDDHISTS AND JAINS

The adoption of Sanskrit by the Buddhists, and later the Jains, widened the field of Sanskrit literature, and the Sanskrit language which was thus adapted to new needs did not remain unaltered in the hands of these authors. The Sanskritisation of Buddhist literature is particularly complicated since it took place gradually and beginning by a compromise between Sanskrit and the Middle-Indian dialects of early Buddhism ended

in the adoption of pure classical Sanskrit. We may distinguish between the following types of Buddhist Sanskrit:

- (1) Mixed Sanskrit. This language was used by the Mahāsanghika school. In it the original Prakrit appears half Sanskritised, the words being in the main restored to their Sanskrit phonetic form while the Prakrit grammar is largely retained. For instance Pa. bhikhussa, gen. sg. of bhikhhu 'monk' (Skt. bhikşu-) is not replaced by a regular Sanskrit bhikşos, but is mechanically changed to bhikşusya. It may be assumed that for a period, in certain circles, such a hybrid language was actually employed by those who wished to employ the superior Sanskrit language but were not able to master its grammar.
- (2) The Sarvastivādins of the north-west adopted proper Sanskrit from an early period. The old canonical works were translated into Sanskrit, and fragments of them are preserved in this form. The language of these works has of necessity incorporated wholesale the vocabulary and syntax of the original Māgadhī, but allowing for this, and for some false Sanskritisations which are to be expected, it is free from the barbarisms of (1).
- (3) We must distinguish from (2) works of the same school which were not translations but which were independently composed at a period much later than the canonical literature. The stories which were inserted to enliven the matter of the Vinaya-pitaka, and which are collected in the Divyāvadāna, illustrate best this type of Sanskrit. Though it fails often enough to satisfy the canons of Pāṇinean grammar, the style is admirably clear and lucid and not an unwelcome change to the laboured artificiality of some of the classical prose. The vocabulary is characterised by the use of many vernacular and provincial words, many of which turn up again in Modern Indo-Aryan (e.g. lardaya-' to load': Hi. lāḍnā), and which are not found in the older types of Buddhist language (e.g. Pali).
- (4) The use of pure classical Sanskrit, associated with all the characteristics of the Kāvya style, is seen in the works of Aśvaghoṣa and his successors. Such works are distinguished from other works of classical Sanskrit literature only by the use of Buddhist technical terms. Likewise the works of the logicians and philosophers follows the style of similar orthodox works in Sanskrit, with the addition of the terminology peculiar to the Buddhists.

The Jains resisted longest the use of Sanskrit, and only began to take to it in the second half of the first millennium A.D. During this period Prakrit only gradually gives way to Sanskrit, but in the end Sanskrit establishes itself here as elsewhere. The Sanskrit of the Jains is influenced by the language of the earlier Prakrit literature in the same way as the Sanskrit of the Buddhists. In vocabulary it draws more extensively than contemporary classical Sanskrit on vernacular sources, and words familiar later in Modern Indo-Aryan are often first recorded here.

§8. SANSKRIT IN GREATER INDIA

The expansion of Indo-Aryan was halted in South India by the native Dravidian languages (Tamil, Telugu, Kanarese) which in course of time established themselves as literary languages. Nevertheless the influence of Indo-Aryan in this region was at all times powerful, and it is evident in the vocabulary of these languages from the earliest records. They were earliest influenced by Prakrit, which was the administrative language of the Sātavāhanas and their immediate successors. Inscriptions extending as far south as Kāñcī show that all the Telugu-Kanarese area was governed by Arvan dynasties whose mother tongue was Prakrit. The intruding Indo-Aryans were not numerous enough to impose Indo-Arvan as the spoken language of the area and after about A.D. 400 the Prakrit inscriptions cease. Sanskrit replaced Prakrit, as elsewhere, for purposes of administration and culture, and as a spoken language it was replaced by the native Dravidian. At the same time the native Dravidian began to be cultivated, Kanarese from c. A.D. 450 and Telugu from c. A.D. 650. The Prakrit influence in these languages, dating from the earlier period, is rapidly overlaid by extensive borrowings from the Sanskrit vocabulary. In their early classical form these languages draw on Sanskrit wholesale, and the process was continued in the succeeding periods. At the present time a considerable and essential part of the vocabulary of these languages is Sanskrit.

In the Tamil country of the extreme South Indo-Aryan influence was weakest. Tamil was the earliest Dravidian language to be used for literary purposes, and it was to begin with comparatively free from Aryan influence. In the later period the influence of Sanskrit increases, but never on the scale that is found in its two northern neighbours.

Ceylon received its Aryan language through colonisation from Eastern India. In addition Buddhism established Pāli as a literary language. At a later period still the cultivation of Sanskrit was introduced, at some periods on quite an extensive scale.

The spread of Buddhism was responsible for the introduction of Indo-Arvan linguistic influence into large regions of Central Asia. At one time a form of Prakrit served as the administrative language of the kingdom of Kroraina in Chinese Turkestan. Buddhist Sanskrit texts were current over a wide area, and works long lost in India have been recovered in recent years in Central Asia. Under Buddhist influence the native languages of this area began to be cultivated, notably the Iranian Khotanese, and the two closely related Indo-European languages which go by the name of Tocharian. The vocabulary of these draws abundantly on Sanskrit or Prakrit sources. On the other hand Tibetan which became Buddhist from the seventh century onwards resisted foreign linguistic influence, and by what must have been a considerable tour de force, the whole Buddhist vocabulary was rendered into native Tibetan. This had been done at an earlier period by the Chinese where differences of script and language rendered any other course impracticable.

The influence of Sanskrit was equally extensive in countries to the East and South-East. In Burma there is early evidence of the influence of Sanskrit Buddhism. This was replaced (A.D. eleventh cent.) by a religious reformation which established Theravāda Buddhism as the official religion and with it Pāli as the language of religion. Further East there were Hindu colonies in South Siam (Dvārāvatī), Cambodia (Kambuja) and Annam (Campā). Abundant Sanskrit inscriptions dating from the second to the third centuries A.D. remain to show the importance of Sanskrit in these areas, and its influence was felt on the native languages when they came to be cultivated. Even today Siamese is drawing on Sanskrit for its technical vocabulary. At the same time Hindu culture spread to Indonesia and in Java, Sumatra and Bali Sanskrit literature was cultivated.

The native languages came strongly under the influence of Sanskrit and Sanskrit culture-words remain widely current in the area today. The classical language of Java abounds in Sanskrit words, just as its literature draws its inspiration from Sanskrit models. The Mahommedan conquest of Java (A.D. sixteenth cent.) put an end to Hindu dominion in the area, but the influence of the preceding centuries was too deep to be eradicated.

§Q. WRITING IN INDIA

The art of writing was late in making its appearance in Aryan India. It had existed before the Arvan invasion in the Indus civilisation, but it perished along with this civilisation. During the period when the Vedic civilisation was being built up no form of writing was employed in India, and in its absence the technique was evolved of preserving intact the Vedic literature by means of oral tradition. Even when writing was introduced this oral tradition persisted in the various departments of knowledge and it continued as a basic feature of Indian education and culture down to modern times.

It is not known when the alphabet was first introduced into India. So far as preserved records go it is only attested from the third century B.C. when the two alphabets, Kharosthi and Brāhmī, appear fully developed in the Asokan inscriptions. The Kharosthi alphabet, which is written from right to left, is confined to the extreme North-West of India, to that part of the country which in preceding centuries had been part of the Persian dominions. It is an adaptation of the Aramaic alphabet which was employed in this region in the Achaemenid period, and it was probably evolved towards the close of this period. It continued in use in the same area, and in some adjoining parts of central Asia down to the fourth century A.D., after which records in it cease.

The Brāhmī alphabet, which differs from Kharosthī in being written from left to right, is the source of all later Indian alphabets, as well as of those in countries abroad which formed part of the area of Indian cultural expansion (Burmese, Siamese, Javanese, etc.). It is also derived from some form of the Semitic alphabet, but the exact source from which it is adapted and also the period remain uncertain. It is suggested that it may have been introduced from the South Semitic area by means of the trade routes to the ports of Western India, and the period most commonly assumed is about 500 B.C.

The work of adaptation was considerable since it involved not only the addition of vowel signs, but also the changes and additions necessary to express adequately the Indian consonantal system. The perfection with which the task was accomplished was consequent on the labours of the ancient Indian phoneticians whose achievements have already been mentioned. In spite of this, use of writing was only slowly adopted in the Brahmin schools, and in the early period its function lay primarily in business and administration and only secondarily as an instrument of literature. For this reason all the earliest records preserved are in Prakrit, and Sanskrit documents only appear later. It is unlikely that much literature existed in manuscript form before the second century B.C.

The early Brāhmī alphabet was comparatively uniform and served for the whole of India outside the small area where Kharoṣṭhī was in use. After the Christian era local variations were intensified and Brāhmī developed into a variety of regional alphabets differing from each other as much as they had changed from the original form. The structural principles of the alphabets always remained the same but the individual shapes of the letters were subject to endless variation. In North India the alphabet gradually evolved into what is now known as Devanāgarī. With the introduction of printing this alphabet was adopted generally for Sanskrit, but before this period Sanskrit manuscripts were written in the various regional alphabets of the localities where they were produced, e.g. Sāradā in Kashmir, Bengali, Oriya, Telugu-Kanarese, Malayalam and, in the Tamil country, Grantha.

The commonest material used for writing in India was palm-leaf. The exclusive use of this prevailed in South India down to modern times. The characters were incised on this material by means of a stylus and the ink rubbed in afterwards. In the North, particularly in Kashmir, the inner bark of the birch was used on which the letters were written in ink. This method was also used in the North for palm-leaf manuscripts, and the differences between the Northern and Southern alphabets is largely occasioned by different methods of writing. As a result of the perishable nature of these materials really ancient Indian manuscripts are rare. The oldest are those that have been discovered, in a more or less fragmentary condition, in the dry soil of Central Asia. The bulk of Sanskrit literature is preserved only in manuscripts belonging to the last few centuries.

CHAPTER III

PHONOLOGY

§ 1. INDO-EUROPEAN CONSONANT SYSTEM

The comparative study of the phonetic systems of the existing IE languages makes it possible to reconstruct, with a reasonable degree of certainty, the phonetics of the parent language. On this basis a systematic historical account of the Sanskrit phonetic system can be provided in which the various stages of development in the prehistoric period can be distinguished in respect of their relative chronology. Developments may be severally characterised as: (1) Changes in the Indo-European period; (2) Changes common to Indo-Aryan and Iranian only; (3) Changes peculiar to Indo-Aryan, which have occurred after its separation from Iranian. In sketching the phonetic development of Sanskrit we shall indicate, as far as possible to which of these three periods the various changes belong.

The following reconstruction of the IE consonantal system has been generally adopted by comparative philologists:

			Surd		Sonant
		Surd	Aspirate	Sonant	Aspirate
	Labio-velar	k*	kwh	g*	gwh
Occlusives	Velar	\boldsymbol{k}	kh	g	gh
(Stops,	{ Palatal	k	kh	ģ	ĝh
Plosives)	Dental	t	th	ď	dh
	Labial	Þ	ph	b	bh

Nasals: m, n, η ; Liquids: l, r; Semivowels: y, v; Sibilants: s, z: Doubtful b: d.

The reconstructions are of two kinds. In the first and commonest case the phoneme postulated for Indo-European occurs in a number of the existing languages in which it has continued unchanged; in the second and rarer case the phoneme assumed for Indo-European is nowhere preserved as such, but it is deduced by comparison of the forms derived from it. Naturally

there is the greatest certainty in the case of the first class, but even the pure reconstructions of the second class are, with few exceptions, established beyond reasonable doubt.

In the following cases an Indo-European consonant is preserved unchanged in Sanskrit and in other languages:

- p: páñca '5': Gk. πέντε; pátati 'flies': Gk. πέτεται; άρα 'away, from': Gk. ἀπό: sárpati 'crawls': Gk. ἔρπει Lat. serpit.
- t: tanú- 'thin', Gk. τανν-, Lat. tenuis; tráyas '3', Gk. τρει̂s, Lat. trēs; vártate 'turns'; Lat. verto.
- d: dáša '10', Gk. δέκα, Lat. decem; dīrghá- 'long'; Gk. δολιχός, O. Sl. dlŭgŭ; véda, 'I know', Gk. olδa, Lat. video.
- k: kravíş- 'raw flesh', Gk. κρέας; kákşa- 'armpit', cf. Lat. coxa.
- g: yugám 'yoke', Gk. ζυγόν, Lat. iugum; sthag-'to cover', Gk. στέγω.
- n: náma 'name', Lat. nōmen; náva- 'new', Gk. νέος, Lat. novus; nábhas 'cloud', Gk. νέφος; dắnam 'gift', Lat. dōnum, O. Sl. danŭ.
- m: mātár- 'mother', Lat. māter; mā 'me', Lat. mē; mūṣ- 'mouse', Lat. mūs, O. Sl. myšī; dáma- 'house', Gk. δόμος, Lat. domus.
- l: lubh-, lúbhyati 'desire, covet', Lat. lubet, Goth. liufs, O. Sl. ljubŭ 'dear', laghú-'light, swift', Gk. έλαχύς, Lat. levis.
- r: rudhirá- 'red, blood', Gk. ἐρυθρός, Lat. ruber; rāj-, rājan- 'king', Lat. rēx, Gallic °rīx; bhárati 'bears', Gk. φέρω, Lat. fero, Goth. baíra.
- y: yúvan-'young man', Lat. iuvenis; yákrt'liver', Lat. iecur; yús-'broth, soup', Lat. iūs, O. Sl. jucha.
- w (v): vác- 'speech', Lat. vōx; váhati 'carries', Lat. vehit; náva- 'new', Lat. novus; ávi- 'sheep', Lat. ovis.
- s: sána-'old', Lat. senex, Ir. sen; sánti 'they are', Lat. sunt; sūnú-'son', Lith. sūnùs, Goth. sunus; ámsa-'shoulder', Goth. ams; ásthi 'bone', Gk. ỏστέον, Lat. os, ossis.

In cases like the above the reconstruction of the IE forms presents a minimum of problems; reconstruction in the full sense is not necessary since the phonemes in question are widely preserved. They are not preserved in all languages (e.g. Engl. thin: Lat. tenuis; Welsh hen, Ir. sen), but a study of all the available evidence leaves little doubt as to which languages

preserve the original sound. In other cases change has been more widespread. There are instances where the original IE sound is preserved only in one language, others in which the sound, which theory demands for the parent language, is preserved nowhere at all. Even in these cases it is possible to fix the original sound with reasonable certainty.

§ 2. THE SONANT ASPIRATES

The sonant aspirates which it is normally believed Indo-European possessed are preserved as a class by Sanskrit alone. Elsewhere they are changed in various ways; in Iranian, Slavonic, etc., the aspiration is lost; in Greek they are changed into the corresponding surd aspirates, in Latin (and the other Italic dialects) into fricatives. Examples of this series are as follows:

bh: Skt. bhrū- 'brow', Gk. ὀφρῦς, O. Sl. brūvǐ; bhrātā 'brother', Gk. φράτηρ 'member of a phratry', Lat. frater, O. Sl. bratrŭ, O. Ir. brāthir; bhárati 'bears', Av. baraiti, Gk. φέρω, Lat. fero, Arm. berem, O. Sl. berǫ, Goth. baira, O. Ir. berim; nábhas 'cloud, sky', Gk. νέφος, O. Sl. nebo, Hitt. nepiš.

dh: dhā-, dádhāti 'to place', Av. daδāiti, Gk. τίθημι, Lith. déti; dhūmá- 'smoke', O. Sl. dymŭ, Lat. fūmus; mádhu 'honey, mead', Av. maδu, Gk. μέθυ, O. Sl. medŭ, A.S. medu, O. Ir. mid; vidhávā 'widow', cf. Gk. ἢίθεος 'young (unmarried) man', O. Sl. vidova 'widow', Lat. vidua, O. Ir. fedb.

gh: stigh- 'to stride', Gk. $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$, Goth. steiga; meghá- 'cloud', cf. Gk. $\partial \mu i \chi \lambda \eta$, O. Sl. migla, Alb. mjégule. In the case of the guttural series the sonant aspirates have undergone changes in Sanskrit in common with the other members of the series. These will be detailed below.

Although the sonant aspirates are preserved in Indo-Aryan alone among the IE languages, there is little doubt that they should be attributed to the parent language, since no other type of phoneme can account so simply for the various developments that appear. The theory, prevalent in some quarters, that in these cases we are dealing with a series of original IE fricatives, has nothing to recommend it.

Although Sanskrit preserves the sonant aspirates as a class, it does not preserve them all unchanged. The special develop-

ments of the sonant aspirates belonging to the two guttural series will be treated below. The dental and labial sonant aspirates are normally preserved as in the examples given above, but in some cases, even in the earliest period dh and bh are weakened to h, an anticipation of their later fate in Middle Indo-Aryan.

dh: hitá- 'placed' (dhā-), also -dhita- in the Veda; -hi, termination of the 2 sg. impv., also -dhi, Av. -δi; the verbal terminations of the 1st dual and plural ātmanepada, -vahe, -vahi, -vahai; -mahe, -mahi, -mahai, cf. Av. -maide, -maidī; ihá 'here', Pa. idha, Av. iδa; sahá 'with', Vedic also sadha- in cpds., Av. haδa; lóhita-, róhita- 'red', cf. rudhirá-; róhati 'climbs', Vedic also ródhati; nah- 'to bind', cf. ppt. naddhá-; snuh- 'to drip', cf. Av. snaod-.

bh: grah- 'to seize', Vedic also grabh-; kakuhá- 'high' be-side kakubhá- 'id', kakúbh- 'peak'.

An aspirate was not allowed to remain in Sanskrit when an aspirate followed. The effect of this rule in grammar is seen in reduplication where the corresponding unaspirated sonant is used-dhā-, dadhaú, bhā-, babhaú, han-: jaghana. The same rule is observable in Greek (θνήσκω: τέθνηκα); it is not however an Indo-European feature inherited in common, but a phenomenon that has occurred independently in each language. In Greek this de-aspiration did not take place until the sonant aspirates had been turned into surds, and consequently the unaspirated surd is the result. We find therefore in these cases an initial surd of Greek corresponding to an initial sonant of Sanskrit. Thus the IE root bheudh- 'to perceive' produces on the one hand Gk. πεύθομαι (through *φευθ-) and on the other hand Skt. budh-. The same correspondence is seen between Gk. τείχος 'wall' and Skt. dih- 'smear, cement with earth', deht 'rampart'; similarly Gk. πενθερός 'father-in-law': cf. Skt. bandhu-'relation', bandh-'to bind', Gk. πῆχυς 'arm': Skt. bāhú-. Gk. πυθμήν 'bottom': Skt. budhná-. In other Indo-European languages no dissimilation of this kind took place. and whatever phoneme corresponds regularly to an IE sonant aspirate appears also in this position: e.g. from IE dheigh- (Skt. dih-) Goth. deigan 'knead'. Lat. fingo, Osc. feihúss 'muros'.

When a sonant aspirate came immediately before final s or t the aspiration was lost at an early period, e.g. in Skt. ádhok, 2 and 3 sg. impf. of duh-' to milk' for earlier *ádhoks, *adhokt,

ddhāk, 3 sg. s-aor. of dah-' to burn', for *adhākšt; likewise in the nom. sg. of root stems °dhuk' milking', °dhruk' injuring' from °dhuks, °dhruks. In their earlier form these roots had two aspirates, *dhugh-, *dhagh-, *dhrugh-. In the above combinations the second aspirate was lost before the rule of aspirate dissimilation set in, and consequently the aspiration of the initial consonant was preserved in these cases. An exactly parallel development took place in Greek: $\theta \rho i \xi$ ' hair', pl. $\tau \rho i \chi \epsilon s$, $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$ ' I nourish', fut. $\theta \rho \epsilon i \psi \omega$. From the standpoint of the individual languages it appears that in such cases the aspirate of the final is thrown back, but it becomes clear from comparative study that there were originally two aspirates of which the first was preserved from dissimilation in these circumstances.

The treatment of internal combinations of sonant aspirate + t or s was different, and will be described below (§ 14, 16). Here we may note only that the aspiration in class. *dhipsati*, etc., as opposed to Ved. *dipsati* is an innovation due to analogy.

§ 3. THE SURD ASPIRATES

The surd aspirates appear only in Indo-Iranian with any frequency, and even there they are much less common than the corresponding sonants. Of these very few indeed can be established as Indo-European by direct comparison. Such comparisons are available only in Greek where there appear a few aspirates corresponding to the surd aspirates of Sanskrit, and in Armenian. Elsewhere, apart from a few traces in Latin (e.g. funda 'sling': Gk. σφενδόνη) this series has been confounded with that of the unaspirated surds.

The following examples will serve for illustration:

ph: Skt. sphūrj-, Gk. σφαραγέσμαι; sphyά- 'wooden ladle', cf. Gk. σφήν; phåla- 'ploughshare', Pers. supār; sphuráti 'throbs, quivers', Lith. spiriù, Gk. σπαίρω, Lat. sperno, cf. also Gk. σφαῖρα 'ball'; phena- 'foam', Osset. fing, O. Sl. pēna, O. Pruss. spoayno; śaphá- 'hoof', Av. safa-.

th: véitha 'thou knowest', Gk. oloθa; sthā- 'stand', Gk. loτημι; sthag- 'to cover', Gk. στέγω; rátha- 'chariot', Av. raθa-, cf. Lat. rota 'wheel'; pṛthú- 'broad', Av. pərəθu-, Gk. πλατύς, without aspiration, but cf. πλάθανος 'flat board for making cakes'; pṛthuka- 'young animal', Arm. orth 'calf', Gk. πόρτις, πόρταξ' heifer', without aspiration, but cf. παρθένος 'maiden' with aspiration.

kh: śańkhá- 'shell', Gk. κόγχος; śắkhā 'branch', Arm. çax, Lith. šakà; khá- 'well', Av. xå.

In contradistinction to the sonant aspirates which constitute an ancient and primary element of the IE phonetic system, the surd aspirates are a late creation, and recent advances in IE theory have made it possible to show how they came into existence. Apart from some possible cases of spontaneous aspiration in combinations with s (Skt. sthag-, etc.), their origin can be attributed to a combination of IE H with a preceding unaspirated surd. The nature of this H which has lately figured largely in IE studies will be examined below. For the present it will be sufficient to remark that it has disappeared in all IE languages except Hittite, but when immediately preceded by p, t, k, it has had the effect of aspirating these consonants. Thus the aspiration which in Skt. sthā- 'stand' (IE stā, i.e. stan-, i.e. sten,) arises in the first instance from the reduced form of the root, IE stH- (Skt. tisthati < ti-stH-eti), and is generalised from there. In Greek on the other hand (iornu) the unaspirated t proper to the strong form of the root has prevailed. In Sanskrit path- 'road' (Instr. pathå, etc.) < path-, H is a suffix which appears in its guna grade as \bar{a} (< aH) in nom. s. pánthās. In the latter case th appears only by analogy from the weak cases, as is confirmed by the Av. form panta (original alternation panta H-pat H-). The root prath- 'to extend' and its derivatives (pṛthú- 'broad', etc.) contains two incorporated suffixes (IE pl-et-H-), the combination producing the surd aspirate in Sanskrit. This H- suffix, without the intervening t- suffix appears in Hitt. palhis 'broad', and in Lat. plānus. In Gk. πλατύς, no H- suffix is present, and there is therefore no aspiration. In Skt. rátha- 'chariot' we have a nominalised adjective (*rath-á 'wheeled' with a common change of accent) based on the \bar{a} (-aH) stem which appears in Lat. rota 'wheel' (rotaH).

A corresponding aspiration of sonants by H is possibly a factor to be considered, but not many examples have been found. Such an instance may appear in Skt. sindhu-'river' as compared with the root syand-'to flow', where the form of the noun may be easily explained by the presence of an H-suffix before the final u-suffix.

It is believed that one type of IE H (H₂) affected a preceding surd differently, by voicing it, in Skt. pibati = O. Ir. ibid

'drinks' ($\sqrt{p\bar{o}}$, i.e. peH_3 , pres. * $pi-pH_3-eti$), but this appears to be the only example. Incidentally it may be observed that b, apart from a case like this, or as an allophone of p (Skt. $upabd\acute{a}$: cf. pad-' foot', etc.) was of extreme rarity, if not altogether absent in Indo-European.

§ 4. THE FIRST PALATALISATION

When we come to the so-called guttural series (Labio-velar, Velar, Palatal, see above), we find that these sounds have been very considerably altered in Sanskrit. To begin with the Palatal series, the treatment is illustrated by the following equations:

k: Skt. śván- 'dog', Av. span-; Gk. κύων, Lat. canis; śatám '100', Av. satəm, Lith. śimtas: Gk. ἐκατόν, Lat. centum, O. Ir. cēt, Goth. hund; śćte 'lies': Gk. κεῖται; śánkate 'hesitates, doubts': Lat. cunctor; víś- 'clan, settlement', Av. vis-, O. Pers. viθ-, O. Sl. viši 'village', Alb. vis 'place': cf. Gk. οἶκος 'house', Lat. vīcus; śróni- 'buttock', Av. sraoni-, Lith. ślaunis: Lat. clūnis, O. Ic. hlaun; śru- 'to hear', śrávas- 'fame', Av. sru-, sravah-, O. Sl. slovo 'word': Gk. κλέος, κλύω, Lat. clueor, etc.; dadárśa 3rd sg. pf. 'he saw': Gk. δέδορκε; diś- 'to point out': Gk. δείκνυμι, Lat. dīco, etc.

d: o, etc.

g: Skt. jānu 'knee', Av. zānu; Gk. γόνυ, Lat. genu;
jārant- 'old', Arm. cer 'old man': Gk. γέρων; jāmbha'tooth', O. Sl. zobu, Alb. δemp 'id': Gk. γόμφος 'nail',
Engl. comb, Toch. A kam, B keme 'tooth'; juṣ- 'to enjoy',
jōṣa- 'enjoyment', Av. zaoša- 'id': Gk. γεύομαι 'taste', Lat.
gustus, Goth. kiusan 'chose'; rajatá- 'silver', Av. zrozata-,
Gk. ἄργυρος, Lat. argentum, Ir. argat 'id'; ājati 'drives',
Av. azaiti; Gk. ἄγω, Lat. ago; bhūrja- 'birch', Lith. bēržas,
O. Sl. brēza: AS. beorc.

v gh: Skt. himá-'snow', hemantá-'winter', Av. zima-, Arm. jiun 'snow', Alb. dimen, Lith. žēmā, O. Sl. zima 'winter': Gk. χείμων, Lat. hiems, O. Ir. gim-red 'winter': amhú-'narrow', āmhas-'distress, difficulty', O. Sl. ązūkū 'narrow': Gk. ἄγχω 'throttle', Lat. ango, angustus, O. Ir. cum-ung, Goth. aggwus 'narrow'; lih- 'to lick', Arm. lizum, Lith. lēšiù, O. Sl. lizati: Gk. λείχω, Lat. lingo, O. Ir. ligim, Goth. bi-laigōn' to lick'.

It will be observed that ultimate Indo-Aryan and Iranian developments differ from each other (Skt. \hat{s} , j, k=Av. s, z, z). This is because the changes that have occurred have taken place in two phases: (1) a previous common Indo-Iranian development \hat{s} , \hat{s} , $\hat{s}h$, (2) the change of these to the actual forms in Indo-Aryan and Iranian after the separation of the two groups. The first change is common to Indo-Iranian and the languages of the satom group (see Chapter I) and took place within the Indo-European period. Later there were various special developments in other languages too, which in the case of Slavonic happen to be identical with those of Iranian.

The intermediate forms s, t, th will explain most of the Indo-Iranian developments, as they will the Balto-Slavonic, but it is likely that before complete assibilation there was an affricate stage. Evidence of this is preserved in the Kafiri dialects, which occupy an intermediate position between Indian and Iranian. The treatment that occurs in Kati duc ' 10' and cut ' empty ' for instance (Skt. dáśa, śūnyá-) seems clearly to reflect a form more ancient than that of Sanskrit. We may therefore postulate an earlier Indo-Iranian (and the same will apply to the satom languages generally) series ℓ , f, fh (or t', d', d'h to be distinguished from ℓ , f, fh of the second palatalisation). This being so it becomes possible that (1) Skt. j of this series, with its affricate pronunciation, has developed directly out of f, through confusion with the other affricate j; without a sibilant stage 2, and (2) that Old Persian θ , d which appear in place of s, z in the rest of Iranian (θard- 'year', Av. sared-, Skt. sarad-; daustar-'friend', Av. zaoša- 'enjoyment', Skt. jóṣa-, joṣṭár-; dasta-'hand', Av. zasta-, Skt. hásta-) have developed directly out of such affricates and that there is therefore no common Iranian treatment.

§ 5. TREATMENT OF THE LABIO-VELARS

The languages of the satom group all agree in another feature, namely in the loss of the labial element in the IE series k^w , g^w , $g^w h$. In the centum languages the series was to begin with preserved, but later subject to various developments, of which the commonest is the substitution of pure labial occlusives. Leaving aside cases which have been affected by the second palatalisation, the treatment of the labio-velars may be illustrated by the following examples:

R-: Skt. kd-' who?' kim ' what', Lith. kds, O. Sl. ku-to: Gk. τίς 'who', πόθεν 'whence', Lat. quis; yákṛt 'liver', Av. yākarə; Gk. ἡπαρ, Lat. iecur; krīṇāmi 'I buy', O. Russ. krīnuti; Gk. ἐπρίαμην 'I bought', Ir. crenim, Welsh prynaf; kfmi- 'worm', Lith. kirmélé: Ir. cruim, Welsh pryf; rindkti 'leaves', rikté- 'left', Arm. lk'anem 'I leave', elik' he left', Lith. lēkù 'I leave ': Gk. λείπω, Lat. linguo, etc.

gw: Skt. gam- gácchati 'go': Gk. βάσκω, Lat. venio, Goth. qiman; gó- (nom. s. gaús) 'cow', Arm. kov, O. Sl. govedo: Gk. βοῦς, Lat. bōs, O. Ir. bō; Skt. gnắ '(divine) woman, wife', Av. gonā, O. Pruss. genna, Arm. kin, pl. kanaik': Gk. yuvi, βανά, Ir. ben, Goth. qinō; gurú- 'heavy' Gk. βαρύς; gur-'to lift up, heave', Av. gar- 'to throw': Gk. βάλλω; gravan-'pressing stone': Ir. bro, gen. broon Welsh breuan 'millstone'.

gwh: Skt. jaghåna 'slew': O. Ir. geguin, cf. Gk. ἔπεφνον, φόνος; arghá-'value, price'; Lith. algà: Gk. ἀλφάνω 'earn'; gharmá-'heat', Av. garəma-, O. Pruss. gorme: Gk. θερμός 'hot', Lat. formus; laghú-'light, swift': Gk. ἐλαχύς, έλαφρός, Lat. levis.

As can be seen from these examples the satom languages have uniformly abandoned all trace of the labial element in these constants, and this, in conjunction with their sharing in the first palatalisation, provides strong evidence that they are derived from a single dialect group within Indo-European. Both changes belong to the late Indo-European period and not to the separate evolution of the various languages of this group.

The element w which is attached to these yelars differs from full IE w in that it is not convertible to the vowel u when the succeeding vowel is elided: e.g. Skt. hánti 'slays': ghnánti ' they slay ' from IE gwhenti: gwhnonti; similarly Gk. ἔπεφνον. etc., as opposed to usual treatment of w as seen in such cases as svápna- 'sleep': suptá- 'asleep'. It is possible, however, that this applies only to the late Indo-European stage. There exist some survivals, particularly in Hittite, in which this element is seen to be vocalised, after the manner of w proper. Corresponding to the Sanskrit verbal forms above Hittite has 3 s. kuenzi: 3 pl. kunanzi and corresponding to Skt. nakt-, Gk. wif. Lat. nox 'night' (IE *nok*t-) it has nekuz mehur 'night time'. Other instances of this treatment are seen in Toch. A. kuryär

'commerce': Skt. krī-'to buy' (IE *k*rī), in Toch. A. kukāl'chariot', Gk. κύκλος 'wheel': Skt. cakrā-, Engl. wheel (*k*ek*los) and in Gk. γυνή 'woman': Skt. gnā (*g*nā). Examples like these seem to reflect an earlier stage of Indo-European, when the labial element in connection with these velars was equivalent to ordinary w.

§ 6. THE PURE VELAR SERIES

This series has been invented to account for those cases in which k, g, etc., of the *centum*-languages are not palatalised in the *satom*-languages, and they are devoid of the labial element whose influence is so marked in the case of the labio-velars. Examples quoted are such as the following:

k: Skt. kákṣa- 'armpit', cf. Lat. coxa, OHG. hahsa; Skt. kravíṣ- 'raw flesh', Lith. kraŭjas, O. Sl. krŭvǐ 'blood', Gk. κρέας, Lat. cruor; Skt. krntáti 'cuts' (√ker-t-), Gk. κείρω; karkaṭa- 'crab', Gk. καρκίνος, Lat. cancer; krnátti 'spins', cf. Gk. κάρταλος 'basket', Lat. crātis; aṅká- 'bending, lap', cf. Lat. uncus, Gk. ὄγκος; 'kulva- 'bald', Lat. calvus; kaví- 'a wise man', Gk. κοέω 'take notice of', Lat. caveo.

g: Skt. sthag- 'to cover', Gk. στέγω, Lat. tego; tigmá-'sharp', Gk. στιγμή 'puncture'; ågas- 'guilt', Gk. åγος; ugrá- 'strong', Av. aogaro; 'strength', Lat. augeo, augustus. gh: stigh- 'to stride', Gk. στείχω Goth. steiga; dīrghá-'long', O. Sl. dlugu, Gk. δολιχός, Hitt. dalugaš; meghá- 'cloud', Lith. miglà, Gk. δμίχλη.

The difficulty that arises from postulating a third series in the parent language, is that no more than two series (Lat. quis: canis, Skt. kás: śvan-) are found in any of the existing languages. In view of this it is exceedingly doubtful whether three distinct series existed in Indo-European. The assumption of the third series has been a convenience for the theoreticians, but it is unlikely to correspond to historical fact. Furthermore, on examination, this assumption does not turn out to be as convenient as would be wished. While it accounts in a way for correspondences like the above which otherwise would appear irregular, it still leaves over a considerable number of forms in the satom-languages which do not fit into the framework. Such are Skt. klam- 'to be tired' beside śram- 'id', cf. Gk. κλαμαρός 'weak, slack', and ruc-/ruk- 'to shine' beside ruśánt 'bright', cf. Gk. λευκός 'white', etc. Examples of this kind

are particularly common in the Balto-Slavonic languages: Lith. akmå 'stone', Skt. áśman-, cf. Gk. ἄκμων; klauśyti 'to hear', cf. Skt. śroṣamāṇa-, Toch. A. klyos-; Lith. pekus, O. Pruss. pecku, Skt. páśu 'domestic animal', Lat. pecu; O. Sl. svekrů 'father-in-law', Skt. śváśura-, Lat. socer; O. Sl. črěda 'collection, herd', Skt. śardha-; Lith. smakrà 'chin', Alb. mjekre, Skt. śmáśru- 'beard', Ir. smech 'chin'. Clearly a theory which leaves almost as many irregularities as it clears away is not very soundly established, and since these cases have to be explained as examples of dialect mixture in early Indo-European, it would appear simplest to apply the same theory to the rest. The case for this is particularly strong when we remember that when false etymologies are removed,¹ when allowance is made for suffix alternation, and when the possibility of loss of labialisation in the vicinity of the vowel u is considered (e.g. kravíṣ-, ugrá-), not many examples remain for the foundation of the theory.

§7. THE SECOND PALATALISATION

After the completion of the changes characteristic of the satem-languages the parent dialect of Indo-Iranian possessed the two series k, g, gh and s, \dot{z} , $\dot{z}h$ (or \dot{e} , f, fh). The latter remained essentially unchanged till the end of the Indo-Iranian period. The former underwent the following alteration. Before the vowels \ddot{e} (later changed to \ddot{a} in Indo-Iranian) and \ddot{t} and before the semivowel g, g, g and gh developed respectively into the affricates \ddot{e} , \ddot{f} and $\ddot{f}h$, of which the last was later altered into Iranian f and Sanskrit h respectively.

- k: Skt. ca 'and', Gk. τε, Lat. que; catvåras 'four', O. Sl. četyre, Lith. keturi, Gk. τέσσαρες, Lat. quattuor; sácate 'associates with', Av. hačaiti, Gk. ἔπεται 'follows', Lat. sequitur, Ir. sechithir; páñca 'five', Lith. penki, Gk. πέντε, Lat. quinque; pácati 'cooks', O. Sl. pečetů, but I sg. peko; cakrá' wheel', A.S. hweohl, cf. Gk. κύκλος, Toch. A. kukäl; cáru-'a particular vessel', A.S. hwer 'kettle', cf. O. Ir. coire, Welsh pair'id.'
- g: Skt. jīvá- 'alive, life', jtvati 'lives', Av. jvaiti, O. Sl. živű 'alive', Lat. vīvus, Gk. βίος; jyá 'bowstring', Lith. gijà

¹ For instance Skt. kāla-' black' is from Dravidian and not connected with Lat. cāligē, etc.; the Aryan root kan- (Skt. kanyā' girl', etc.) cannot be connected with Gk. καινός ' new', because its primary meaning is quite certainly ' little' and not ' new'.

'thread', Gk. βιός 'bow'; játu 'gum, lac', A.S. cwidu, cf. Lat. bitūmen; "jāni- 'wife', Goth. qēns; rajant 'night', Gk. ἐρεμνός, ἐρεβεννός 'dark'.

gh: Skt. hánti 'slays', Av. jainti, as opposed to Skt. jaghåna 'slew', O. Ir. geguin, Hitt. kuenzi 'slays'; árhati 'is worth', Av. arojaiti, as opposed to Skt. arghá- 'price', Lith. algà 'reward', Gk. ἀλφάνω; háras- 'heat', Gk. θέρος 'summer' (:gharmá-, etc. above); dáhati 'burns', Av. dažaiti: nidāghá- 'heat of summer', Lith. degù 'I burn'.

The second palatalisation took place fairly early in the development of Indo-Iranian, before the change of ¿ to a which distinguishes this branch from the rest of Indo-European. Similar changes appear in some other languages of the satom group, e.g. Slavonic (četyre '4', živű 'alive') and Armenian (Jerm' warm') but these appear to have occurred independently and later.

§8. THE TWO PALATAL SERIES IN INDO-ARYAN

In Indo-Aryan the distinction between the two palatal series, which is fully preserved in Iranian $(s, z, z : \xi, f, f)$ is retained only in the case of the surds (s: c). On the other hand the sonants, both unaspirated and aspirated, are confused with each other as j (= ℓ and ℓ) and ℓ (= ℓ ℓ ℓ ℓ) and ℓ ℓ respectively. But the distinction between the two remains effective in many ways in the grammatical system, because according to their origin both ℓ and ℓ ℓ are treated in two different ways in various contexts. In declension and inflection the rules of sandhi operate differently according to the different origins of j and h. This may be illustrated from the formation of the participle in -tafrom the two types of root respectively.

- j: (a) yaj- 'sacrifice' (Av. yaz-): iṣṭá-, ṣṛj- 'to let go' (Av. harəz-): ṣṛṣṭá-, mṛj- 'to wipe' (Av. marəz-): mṛṣṭá-.
 (b) nij- 'to wash' (Av. naēj-): niktá-; bhaj- 'to distribute' (Av. baj-): bhaktá-; yuj- 'to join' (Av. yaoj-): yuktá-.
- h: (a) vah-' to carry' (Av. vaz-): ūdhá-, lih-' to lick' (Av. raēz-): līdhá-; sah-' to overcome' (Av. haz-): sādhá-, sodhá-. (b) dah-' to burn' (Ir. daj-, Av. dažaiti): dagdhá-, druh-' to injure, betray' (Av. druj-): drugdhá-. In this, as in many other respects the distinction between the two series remains active in Sanskrit grammar.

In the case of the second palatalisation, as opposed to the

first which operated in all conditions, there exists an alternation in the roots affected between palatalised and non-palatalised forms, depending on whether the vowel following was originally ℓ or δ . This is seen in perfects like $jagh{\ell}ana$ 'slew' and $jig{\ell}ya$ 'conquered', as contrasted with the present tense forms $h{\ell}anti$ and $j{\ell}ayati$. Similar alternation is not permissible in the case of roots whose j and h belong to the first palatal series, e.g. $jaj{\ell}ana$ 'begat' (jan-: Av. zan-) and $juh{\ell}ava$ 'called' $(h\bar{u}: Av. zav-)$.

To begin with the distribution of palatalised and nonpalatalised forms must have depended entirely on the nature of the succeeding vowel, and consequently an alternation between the two must have been active in the paradigms of noun and verb. In the parallel palatalisation of Slavonic such alternation exists, e.g. between vluku 'wolf' nom. s. and vluče voc. s., and between peko 'I cook' and pečetů 'he cooks'. Since the natural tendency of linguistic evolution is to smooth out such irregularities (as is done later in Russian, etc.) it is likely that the Slavonic palatalisation did not long precede the beginning of the literary tradition. On the other hand in the case of Indo-Iranian the change had taken place early enough for the working of analogy to become widely effective. Variation in the paradigms of noun and verb after the Slavonic style has been eliminated, except as between vocalic and consonantal suffixes (loc. s. vāci, loc. pl. vāksú). On the other hand the alternation remains active between different nominal derivatives (bhoga-: bhoja-, etc.). At the beginning of a root alternation between palatal and guttural remains active only in the case of a few roots as those quoted above. Mostly it is eliminated, and in this respect Sanskrit shows a greater tendency to innovation than Old Iranian; cf. Skt. akar 3rd sg. root aor., Av. čorot (early Aryan acart < ekert), and agamat, a-aor. Av. fimat. In the latter case the proper name Jamadagni- (' who goes to the fire') preserves the earlier, pre-Vedic form. In the reduplication of the perfect, etc., the alternation always remains, based on the fact that the vowel of the reduplicating syllable was originally e (jagāma < gwegwome, etc.).

There are a few instances in Sanskrit where j of the older palatal series alternates with g in the formation of nominal derivatives, e.g. sárga- 'emission' (srj-, Av. haroz-) yága- 'sacrifice' (yaj-, Av. yaz-). The guttural here cannot be

original, and it is due to the analogy of the palatals of the later series operating after the two had fallen together in pronunciation.

§ q. Developments of s in Indo-Iranian AND SLAVONIC

In Sanskrit it is the rule that the dental sibilant must be replaced by the cerebral after k, r, r, i and u. By a similar rule in Iranian s (>Ir. h) is replaced by \tilde{s} . Further in the Slavonic languages s is usually replaced by ch in these conditions, and this ch represents an earlier \tilde{s} . In Lithuanian a similar change is found, but only after r. Examples are:

After k: (Skt. k, Ir. x, Slav. ch < kx < k) Skt. vak, y dmi, Av. vax, y dmi; Skt. k, dmi dmi; cf. O. Sl. chud dmi dmi; Skt. áraikṣam, s-aor. of ric- ' to leave ' (IE leik*-), Gk. ἔλευμα; cf. O. Sl. těchů, s-aor. of teko 'I run'.

After &: Skt. &: (Skt. ks, Ir. &, Slav. s), Skt. dksa- 'axle', Av. aša-, O. Sl. osi, Lith. ašis, Gk. afw, Lat. axis; Skt. dákşina- 'right (hand)', Av. dašina-, O. Sl. desinŭ, Lith. dešinē, Gk. δεξιός, Lat. dexter, etc.; Skt. takş- 'to construct in wood (as a carpenter), Av. taš-, O. Sl. tesati, Lith. tašýti, Gk. τέχνη 'art' (*téksnā), τέκτων 'carpenter' (*tékstōn), Hitt. takš- 'to join', Lat. texo 'weave'; Skt. makṣti 'quickly', Av. mošu, Lat. mox.

After r (and r): Skt. vársman- 'summit', vársīyas- 'higher', O. Sl. vrichŭ 'summit', Lith. viršùs 'upper part'; mṛṣ-' to overlook, forgive', márṣa- 'forbearance', Lith. miršti 'to forget', maršas 'forgetfulness', Toch. A. märs- 'to forget'; dhṛṣṇóti 'dares', O. Pers. adaršnauš 'he dared', cf. Gk. θάρσος

'boldness', Goth. gadars' dare', Engl. durst, etc.

After i: Skt. pis-'to pound', O. Slav. pichati' to knock, strike', Lat. pinso; trisú, loc. pl. of tri-'three', O. Sl. trichü;

strike, Lat. pinso; trisu, loc. pl. of tri- 'three', O. Sl. trichü; áśvesu, loc. pl. of áśva- 'horse', Av. aspaešu, cf. O. Sl. vlűcéchü (vlűkű 'wolf'); vísa- 'poison', Av. víša-, Lat. vīrus, Gk. lós. After u: juṣ- 'to enjoy', jóṣa- 'enjoyment', Av. zaoš-, cf. Lat. gustūs, etc.; műṣ- 'mouse', O. Sl. myši, Lat. mūs, etc.; śúṣka- 'dry', śóṣa- 'drying up', Av. huška- 'dry', O. Sl. suchű, Lith. saűsas, Gk. aðos 'id'.

As can be seen, the parallelism between Indo-Iranian and Slavonic is not absolutely complete, because they differ in the treatment of that s which follows IE k (>Skt. s, Slav. s). But in all other respects they agree, and the correspondence is too close for such changes to have taken place independently. In Lithuanian the same kind of development is observed, but only in connection with r, which points to a remoter contact than was the case between Indo-Iranian and Slavonic.

Cerebralisation of s does not take place in Sanskrit when r or r immediately follows: e.g. visra- 'bad-smelling (meat)', cf. viṣa-, etc., Av. vaēša- 'corruption'; tisras, tisfbhis, tisfnām, from tri-'three'; gen. s. usras from uṣar-'dawn', sisrate from sar-'to go'. In Avestan there is no such restriction, e.g. tišrō, nom. pl. fem. cf. θ ri-'three'.

\$10. THE SO-CALLED MOBILE S

Indo-European s when it formed the first member of an initial consonant group, was an unstable sound, and liable to disappear under conditions which it has not been possible accurately to define. Forms with and without s are found side by side in the various languages, as illustrated by the following examples:

Skt. tányati 'thunders', Lat. tonāre: Skt. stanayitnú-' thunder ', cf. Gk. στένω, O. Sl. stenję, etc.; Skt. tāyú- ' thief ', O. Sl. tatŭ 'id', Gk. τητάω, Hitt. tāya- 'steal': Skt. stená-'thief', stāyú-, stāyánt-, etc.; Skt. tf-, tārā 'star': Skt. stf-, Av. star-, Gk. ἄστηρ, etc. 'id'; Skt. tij- 'to sharpen', tigmá-'sharp': Gk. στίζω, στιγμή, etc.; Skt. tud- 'to push', Lat. tundo: Goth. stautan 'id'; Skt. phéna- 'foam', O. Sl. pěna: O. Pruss. spoayno, with variant suffix Lat. spūma: Engl. foam; Skt. plīhán- 'spleen': Av. spərəzan-, Gk. σπλήν, etc.; Skt. páśyati 'sees': spaś- 'spy', Lat. specio; Skt. khañj-'to be lame': Gk. σκάζω; Skt. phâla-'plough-share': Pers. supār; Pers. fih 'oar': Skt. sphyá-'wooden ladle'; Av. (vī-) xad-'to break up (earth)': Skt. skhad-'to smash to pieces', cf. Gk. σκεδάννυμ; Skt. nava-'sneeze': Germ. niesen: Engl. sneeze (neu-s-: sneu-s-); Skt. lavaná- 'salty, salt': cf. Lat. sal, nihākā 'fog', nīhāra- 'mist, dew': snih-' to be moist', etc. There is no perfectly satisfactory theory to account for this variation which affects all Indo-European languages. Most probably it is the result of some kind of external sandhi affecting initial s- in the Indo-European period. It seems fairly clear that the phenomenon is due to loss of initial s, and if this is so the theory that would regard the s as the remains of some kind of prefix is out of the question.

§ 11. THE RECONSTRUCTIONS p, ph, dh

In a certain number of words etymologically connected, chiefly between Indo-Aryan and Greek, an s (s) in the former appears to correspond to τ or θ in the latter. The commonest examples of this interchange, which has caused considerable difficulty, are the following: Skt. taksan - carpenter : Gk. τέκτων; Skt. fksa- 'bear', Lat. ursus: Gk. άρκτος, Ir. art; Skt. ksan- 'to wound': Gk. κτείνω 'kill'; Skt. ksi- 'to dwell', Av. šay-: Gk. κτίζω, κτίμενος; kṣi- 'to possess': Gk. κτάομαι; rakş- 'to injure': Gk. ἐρέχθω; kṣám- 'earth': Gk. χθών; kṣar- 'flow': Gk. φθείρω 'perish'; kṣi- 'to destroy': Gk. φθίνω. It has been customary to assume a set of IE fricatives to account for these correspondences, namely b. bh, dh, but it is certain that these creations are without serious foundation, because there exists an alternative explanation which can be easily applied in most cases, that of suffix variation. An IE teks- emerges clearly enough from Sanskrit, Latin and Hittite (taks-, texo, taks-). Gk. τέκτων (<*teks-ton) can be quite simply explained by assuming that to this there has been added the compound suffix ten/ton, as opposed to the simple nsuffix in Sanskrit. It is clear that the τ in Gk. aprecos is a suffix from those forms in which it does not appear (apros, aprilos, "Apreades): this being so the form that appears in Sanskrit and Latin is obviously to be explained as containing a different suffix. The s- suffix in Skt. ákşi is to be classed with that in O. Sl. oko, gen. s. očese, and is different from the τ , θ in Gk. ὄκταλλος, ὀπτίλος, ὀφθαλμός. Skt. kṣan- is naturally to be explained as an enlargement of the root sas- 'to slaughter', having incorporated the suffix which appears in sásana-'slaughter'. In Gk. κτείνω 'kill' (ks-ten-yō) we find an alternative compound suffix -ten-, a fact which is confirmed by the existence of $\kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon s \cdot \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho o l$ showing the r/n alternation which is characteristic of these suffixes. A comparison of Gk. κτέρας 'possession' (and the proper name Πολύκτωρ) with κτάομαι 'possess', shows suffixal alternation which may well extend to the whole complexes -ter- and -ta- with the root reduced to the first letter. In the case of ksi-: κτι it is plausibly suggested that a reduced form of the root teks- 'construct' with varying suffixes is involved (*tks-i, *tks-ti-), and such a development would be perfectly normal in Indo-European, even though excessive reduction makes it difficult to prove. In any case enough forms can be analysed to make it clear that there is no need for the assumption of additional sounds in Indo-European.

§ 12. TREATMENT OF r AND l

In Iranian IE r and l appear indiscriminately as r.¹ In the language of the Rgveda this is predominantly the case. In Classical Sanskrit both l and r are found, but their distribution does not correspond exactly with that of Indo-European. In certain Eastern dialects of Indo-Aryan (notably in the inscriptions of Aśoka and in the Māgadhī of the Drama) only l is found. The treatment of IE l in Sanskrit is illustrated by the following examples:

- (a) l becomes r: rinákti 'leaves': Lat. linquit; śrónibuttock': Lat. clūnis, Lith. ślaunis; sarpis 'butter', Toch. A. şalyp, cf. Engl. salve; aratni- 'elbow': Gk. ωλένη, Lat. ulna; śrávas 'fame': Gk. κλέος, O. Sl. slovo 'word'; gárbha- 'embryo': δελφύς 'id', ἀδελφός 'brother' (cf. sodara-); cakrá- 'wheel': Gk. κύκλος; parasú- 'axe': Gk. πέλεκυς; piparti 'fills': Gk. πίμπλημι; pur- 'city': Lith. pilis, Gk. πόλις; śri- 'to lean': Gk. κλίνω; sūrya- 'sun', Lat. sōl.
- (b) l remains: lúbhyati 'covets': Lat. lubet; palitá-'grey-haired': cf. Gk. πολιός, πελιτνός, etc.; "kulva-'bald': Lat. calvus; palāva-'chaff': O. Sl. plēva, Lat. palea; palvala-'pond': cf. Lat. palus 'swamp'; plīhán-'spleen': Gk. σπλήν, Lat. lien; dala-'portion': Lith. dalis; klóman-'lung': Gk. πλεύμων.

In comparing the Vedic with the Classical language we notice: (i) that in a number of words the latter has l where the former has r, and this normally in cases where l appears in other IE languages, e.g. $lagh\acute{u}$ - 'light' v. $ragh\acute{u}$ -, Gk. $i\lambda a\chi \acute{v}s$, Lat. levis; plu- 'to float', v. pru-, Gk. $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}\omega$; lip- 'to smear', v. rip-, Gk. $i\lambda \acute{\epsilon}l\phi\omega$; lih- 'to lick', v. rih-, Gk. $\lambda \acute{\epsilon}l\chi\omega$; (ii) that a considerable proportion of the classical words which preserve IE l are not found in the text of the Rgveda, either by accident, or because their meaning was of such a nature that they were not likely to appear in a text of sacred hymns (e.g. $pl\acute{u}si$ - 'flea':

¹ There are a few exceptions in Modern Persian and occasionally elsewhere: Pers. listan 'to lick', Skt. rih-, lik, Gk. λείχω; lašin 'soft', Skt. ślakṣnd-; lab 'lip': Lat. labium; Oss. sald' cold': Lith. śditas.

Arm. lu, Alb. pl'est, cf. Lith. blusà); (iii) that some derivatives which have become isolated from their roots preserve IE l even when it is normally replaced by r in the corresponding roots: ślóka- 'verse' (śru-), vipula- 'great, extensive' (pf-, piparti' fill').

The explanation of this apparently complicated treatment is fairly simple. The dialect at the basis of the Rgvedic language lay to the north-west, while the classical language was formed in Madhvadeśa. The original division must have been such that the Western dialect turned l into r in the same way as Iranian (being contiguous to Iranian, and at the same time probably representing a later wave of invasion), while the more easterly dialect retained the original distinction. It was in this latter area that Classical Sanskrit was elaborated, but it was not evolved as a separate literary language, distinct from that of the Veda; on the contrary it developed as a modification of the old sacred language of the Vedic hymns. The latter was always the foundation of the literary language, but since after the earliest period (and this excludes most of the later tenth book of the Rgveda), the centre of its cultivation shifted eastward to Madhyadeśa, in its further development it was subject to the continuous influence of the dialectal forms of this region. So in the case of the distribution of r and l many of the basic words of the vocabulary retain always the form established by the Vedic literature, but in other cases L-forms based on the dialect of Madhvadeśa replace them. In cases where the word in question is not found in the Vedic text, and where therefore there existed no established literary tradition, the Eastern form with original l almost universally appears.

The treatment of IE r is different in that in the vast majority of cases it continues to be represented by r in all periods of the language, e.g. rudhirá- 'red, blood', Gk. ἐρυθρός; járant- 'old', Gk. γέρων 'old man'; raí- 'property', Lat. rēs, pári 'round', Gk. περί; vártate 'turns', Lat. vertitur, párdate 'breaks wind', Gk. πέρδεται; párṣni- 'heel', Gk. πτέρνα, Goth. fairzna; sru- 'to flow', Gk. βέω; náras n. pl. 'men', Gk. ἀνέρες, ἄνδρες; sárpati 'crawls', Gk. ἔρπω, Lat. serpō; ráj-, rájan- 'king', Lat. rēx; rátha- 'chariot', Lith. rātas 'wheel', Lat. rota 'id'; vīrá- 'man, hero', Lith. výras, Lat. vir, etc.

On the other hand instances of l in place of IE r are compara-

tively rare: lohita- 'red' (also rohita-, Av. raoidita-, cf. rudhirá-); dlam 'suitable, enough', v. dram, cf. Gk. dpaplokw; lajjate 'is ashamed' (rajyate' is coloured red', Gk. $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\zeta\omega$ ' dye'). The origin of these forms lies in dialects still further East, where r was universally replaced by l. This is a process opposite to that of the Vedic dialect and Iranian, and independent of it. From this source too Sanskrit has borrowed some forms, but fewer, since the popular dialects had not the prestige of the Vedic language, and exercised correspondingly less influence on the development of the standard language.

§13. Indo-European h

Of late a new phonetic element has entered into accounts of Indo-European as a result of the discovery of Hittite. In this language there appears a sound h which was unaccounted for in the normally prevailing conception of IE phonetics. It is found in basic IE words and must therefore be attributed to Indo-European. Since it is absent in the corresponding words in all the other languages, they must be presumed to share a common change by which it has been lost, and to represent, in this respect, a more advanced state of Indo-European than that preserved in Hittite. Common examples of h are: Hitt. eshar blood: Skt. ásrk, Lat. aser, Gk. ĕap, Toch. ysar; haśtai bone: Skt. ásrk, Gk. doréov, Lat. os; hant- front: Skt., ánti (in front of), near', Gk. doréov, Lat. ante; harki- white': Toch. ārki, Gk. doróo, Skt. árjuna-, etc.; pahhur fire: Gk. nop; pahš- to protect: cf. Skt. pā- id', etc.; išhai-, išhiya- bind: Skt. syáti binds'; newahh- renew: Lat. novāre; palhiš broad: cf. Lat. plānus, etc. For Indo-European the symbol H, used by H. Pedersen is the most convenient (IE*pelh- etc.).

In some instances H disappears without trace (dsyk' blood') but in others its effects survive. It is clear that the long vowel in Lat. novāre results from the combination of a short vowel + H, a combination which remains in Hittite, and the same can be assumed in the case of Lat. plānus (plaH-, varying in apophony from Hitt. palh-). Skt. syāti' binds', from the Hittite evidence, stands for *shyāti, of which sh- is the root in its weak form, and yā the suffix of the fourth class. The simple root with guṇa appears in the aorist (dsāt) showing the same development of

ah to \bar{a} . Hitt. $pah\bar{s}$ -' to protect' is enlarged by an s-suffix, and when this is removed we see the same correspondence ah: \bar{a} between this and Sanskrit $p\bar{a}$ -. Since the nominal and verbal suffixes \bar{a} are identical, the same development $ah > \bar{a}$ (as in novāre) is to be assumed in the case of the feminine suffix \bar{a} (Lat. nova, Gk. $\nu \epsilon \bar{a}$, Skt. $n d \nu \bar{a}$), and this implies a similar development in the case of the long vowels $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} in nominal and verbal derivation, since the compound suffixes $y\bar{a}$ and $v\bar{a}$ must in the same way be derived from i+ah and u+ah, and the corresponding weak grades are for i-h and u-h.

Another effect of H, observable in languages other than Sanskrit, is the coloration of a succeeding vowel by H, producing notably a change from e to a. For instance the root which appears in Sanskrit as $kr\bar{i}$ - 'to buy' is to be set up for Indo-European as k^wri H-, and in Greek an original aorist form ek^wri H-eto appears as encetarrian, the original presence of H being indicated by the a instead of e of the termination. Similarly from the root kruH- (Skt. $kr\bar{u}$ - in $kr\bar{u}r\dot{a}$ -, etc.) an original es/os stem * $kre\dot{u}$ Hos appears in Greek as an -as- stem, $\kappa\rho\dot{e}as$. The confusion of the vowel qualities has eliminated such variation in Sanskrit, but there remain a number of other circumstances in which the presence of H can be detected, notably:

(1) The older theory assumed a vocalic r and l (written r^r , l^l and in other ways) before a following vowel in certain cases to account for correspondences like Gk. βαρύς 'heavy', Skt. gurú-, Goth. kaúrus 'id'. But there was no clear reason why the rule that these phonemes appear as vowels in interconsonantal position, but as consonants before and after vowels. should not be valid in this case. A restoration gurhú- showing that the r originally occupied an interconsonantal position, accounts for all these developments. In most IE languages where r develops into a vowel (varying from language to language) +r, this combination remains before a vowel when H disappears, and similarly in the case of l. In Sanskrit the process is somewhat different, since here vocalic r normally remains, but when the loss of H would leave it before a vowel, its place is taken by the combinations ir and ur. Iranian, which is usually so close to Indo-Aryan, differs markedly on this point, showing ar where Sanskrit has ir or ur. Examples of such words are Skt. tirás 'across': Av. tarō; síras- 'head', Av. sarah-, cf. Gk. κάρα, κάρηνον; purás ' in front', Av. parō, Gk. πάρος;

- púras n. pl. 'cities', cf. Lith. pills 'fort' (guna in Gk. πόλις); purú- 'much', Av. pouru- (Ir. paru-); híranya- 'gold', Av. zaranya-; girí- 'mountain', Av. gairi-, cf. Lith. giria 'forest' (guna in O. Sl. gora 'mountain'); giráti, giláti 'swallows', tiráti 'crosses, overcomes', kiráti 'scatters', etc. The variation between i and u in these cases depends on the preceding consonant; preceded by a labial, or in some cases by an old labio-velar, u appears, elsewhere i is normal.
- (2) The combination rH also gave rise to a special development when followed by a consonant. In this case \$\vec{ir}\$, \$\vec{ur}\$ appears in Sanskrit, but in Iranian predominantly ar. So we have \$\vec{sir}\$\vec{san}\$-' head' beside \$\vec{siras}\$ (krhsen-: krhes-), \$\vec{tirnd}\$- and \$k\vec{irnd}\$-beside \$tirdii\$, \$kirdii\$, etc., and with \$\vec{u}\$, \$\vec{purnd}\$-' full' (after labial). Other words with \$\vec{ir}\$, \$\vec{ur}\$ of this origin are: \$\vec{irmd}\$-' arm, foreleg', Av. \$aroma-, O. Pruss. \$irmo\$, Lat. \$armus\$, Engl. \$arm\$; \$\vec{ur}\$ drhvd-' upright, high', Av. \$aro\vec{va}\$ wa-, Lat. \$arduus\$; \$\vec{ur}\$ dr\vec{u}\$ ard 'wool', Av. \$varom\vec{a}\$, Lith. \$vllna\$; \$\vec{urmi}\$-' wave', Av. \$varom\vec{i}\$-, A.S. \$wielm\$; \$\vec{urvar\vec{a}}\$ cultivated land', Av. \$urvar\vec{a}\$ 'cultivated plant' <*\vec{r}\$+uvar\vec{a}\$, cf. Lat. \$ar\vec{ar}\$ e' plough', Gk. \$\vec{apoupa}\$ 'ploughed field', etc.; \$\vec{dirgh\vec{a}}\$- 'long', Av. \$dar\vec{ar}\$ ya-, O. Sl. \$dl\vec{u}\$ gives 'purva-' former', Av. \$paurva-, O. Sl. \$pr\vec{u}\$ vize; \$bh\vec{u}\$ rja-' birch' (Lith. \$b\vec{er}\$\vec{z}\$ as, etc., with different grade). To account for these developments original long sonant liquids were set up \$(\vec{r}\$, \$\vec{l}\$), and these could have conceivably existed at an intermediate stage \$(rH > \vec{r} > \vec{ir}\$, \$\vec{ur}\$), the development being parallel to that of \$iH\$, \$uH\$ to \$\vec{l}\$, \$\vec{u}\$.

In the same fashion the long sonant nasals which were postulated may be replaced by nH and nH. From the root san(H) 'to win' the Skt. participle $s\bar{a}t\dot{a}$ - develops regularly through *saHto-from snHto-, with regular weak form of root. In the case of nH the nasalisation is preserved (or reintroduced), but the original presence of H is clearly enough indicated by the long vowel: $d\bar{a}nt\dot{a}$ - 'tamed', $s\bar{a}nt\dot{a}$ - 'appeared', from dam(H)-, sam(H)-.

aanta- tamed, santa- appeased, from dam(H)-, sam(H)-.

(3) In the Vedic language -ya- after a light syllable is pronounced as one syllable if it is simply a combination of y + a: ávya- 'belonging to a sheep', kavyá- 'wise', ványa- 'of the forest', havyá- 'oblation'. When on the other hand it goes back to -iHa (a suffix parallel to -ira, -isa, etc.) ya is pronounced -iya: dámiya- 'belonging to the house', ráthiya- 'relating to a chariot', jániya- 'relating to the people', udaníya- 'watery'. The two types are of course confused in the later language, and

the difference revealed by the Vedic metre, is simply explained when it is realised that there are two different suffixes, (1) i+a, (2) i+h+a. The declension of the stems in \bar{i} and \bar{u} (<ih, uH) where the suffix always retains its syllabic value before a vocalic ending (gen. s. v_1h_2 , t_1 , t_2) confirms this quite clearly, since the corresponding genitives of t_2 , t_3 , t_4 , t_4 , t_5 , t_5 , t_6 , t_7 , t_8 , t

(4) Most significant of all, traces of the original nature of H are preserved in Sanskrit in cases where it was immediately preceded by an occlusive. Here the combination occlusive + H may produce an aspirated occlusive. As already stated, it was in this way that the whole category of surd aspirates arose in late Indo-European. Examples of this have already been given. Examples of sonant aspirates arising in this way are seen when an aspirate in Sanskrit appears to correspond to a non-aspirate in other languages, or when a final non-aspirated occlusive of a root appears with aspiration in a derivative. In these cases original suffixal H is responsible for the aspiration; e.g. máhā-'great': Gk. µéyas. Here the root is followed by the suffix $aH(>\bar{a})$, which appears in its weak form (-H-) in the gen. sg., and this H being in immediate contact with the preceding g causes aspiration (meg-H-és>meghés>mahás), and from such forms the aspiration is extended to the whole declension. The same thing has taken place in duhitár- 'daughter' (dhug-H-itár-): Gk. θυγάτηρ, ahám 'I' (egH-ém): Gk. ἐγώ (egóH), sadhástha- 'seat, abode' (sed-H-es-): sad- 'to sit', sindhu-'river': syand-'to flow'.

Before the discovery of Hittite there existed in Indo-European studies a 'Laryngeal Theory', which, since it received partial confirmation from the new Hittite evidence, has come to be generally adopted in recent years. Briefly stated in its most popular form the theory maintains that there existed three laryngeals, which in this notation would be represented by H_1 , H_2 , H_3 . The original long vowels of Indo-European (as opposed to those long by vṛddhi), result from a combination of a single guṇa vowel e with the several laryngeals, so that from eH_1 , eH_2 and eH_3 , \bar{e} (e.g. $dh\bar{e}$ - ' to put'), \bar{a} (e.g. $st\bar{a}$ ' to stand') and \bar{o} (e.g. $d\bar{o}$ ' to give') are respectively derived (i.e. the roots are originally $dheH_1$, $steH_2$, deH_3). The theory further maintains that when preceded by these three laryngeals this same guṇa

vowel takes the form e, a and o respectively (es-'to be': Hies-; anti'in front': Hienti; ost(h)i-'bone': Hiesti).

Hittite provides some positive evidence in support of this

Hittite provides some positive evidence in support of this theory, but it is incomplete, and in certain respects contradictory. We have already quoted instances showing the development of the guṇa vowel + H to \bar{a} and of the change of e to a when preceded by H. On the other hand there are difficulties: although b appears where the theory demands it in bant: Gk. dvrl it is absent in appa: Gk. dvrl where the theory equally demands it. In Hittite there is only one b and it is a long way from this three or even four demanded by the theory. It is not therefore surprising that the theoreticians differ considerably in the details of their exposition. For the purposes of Sanskrit grammar the question of the plurality of H is fortunately of little significance, because the variation of vowel quality (a, e, o), with which it is bound up, has ceased to exist in Sanskrit. For all practical purposes it is possible to operate with a single, undifferentiated H, and that will usually prove sufficient.

Another aspect of the Laryngeal theory should be briefly mentioned. From the beginning it has been involved in the theory of Indo-European 'Shwa' (2). In the Laryngeal theory it is replaced by a vocalic version of the laryngeals (μ with three varieties). As a result of this the laryngeals themselves commonly receive the notation a_1, a_2, a_3 . It will be pointed out below that the hypothesis of an Indo-European 2 is without justification either in the framework of the laryngeal theory or of any other. Indo-European μ is not capable of vocalic function and when left in interconsonantal position through loss of the associated guna vowel it is in Sanskrit elided: e.g. Skt. dadmás, dadhmás from $d\bar{a}$, $dh\bar{a}$.

§ 14. COMBINATIONS OF OCCLUSIVES

The following changes in combination are inherited from Indo-European:

(I) A sonant is changed into a surd when immediately followed by a surd: yuktá-'joined': yuj-'to join', yugám' yoke', cf. Gk. ζευκτός: ζεύγνυμ; patsú, loc. pl. of pád-'foot', cf. Gk. ποσοί, ποσί. Conversely a surd becomes sonant when followed by a sonant: Skt. upabda-'trampling on': pád-'foot', cf. Av. frabda-'fore part of the foot', Gk. ἐπίβδαι' day after

- a festival'; dadbhis instr. pl. of dant-, dat-' tooth abjit-'conquering the water': dp-' water'.

 (2) In the case of the combination sonant aspirate + surd the
- whole group is voiced and the aspiration attached to the second consonant; thus from dah- 'to burn' (from dagh- by the second palatalisation), budh- 'to understand' and labh- 'to receive, the participles in -tá are dagdhá-, buddhá- and labdhá-. In the older Avestan language a similar development is observed, though the aspiration as always in Iranian has been lost: aogadā 'said' from *augdha, i.e. Aryan augh- (Av. aog-) +ta, cf. Gk. ευχομαι; ubdaēna- 'woven' from Aryan vabh-. The later Avestan substitutes combinations of type (1) above even in the case of the original sonant aspirates: aoxta 'said', druxta- 'betrayed' (draog-: Skt. druh-) dapta- 'deceived' (dab-: Skt. dabh-). In the same way in Sanskrit dhatte' places' has been substituted for *daddhe (= Av. dazdē) which would be the regular combination of dadh-+te. Elsewhere in Indo-European innovating forms of this type have completely replaced the old type of combination: e.g. Gk. erros: eyw; πύστις, cf. Av. apaitibusti 'not noticing', as opposed to Sanskrit buddhi-.
- (3) Dental combinations in Sanskrit normally conform to the above rules: vétti 'he knows' from vid-, ruddhá- 'obstructed 'from rudh-+tá, etc. On the other hand Iranian substitutes the sibilant s or z in these positions: võistā 'thou knowest': Skt. véttha; hastra- 'session': Skt. sattrá-; ni-uruzda- 'locked up': Skt. ruddhá-. The Greek treatment agrees with Iranian: οἶοθα 'thou knowest', πύστις 'information', cf. Av. apaitibusti: Skt. buddhi-. In the Western IE language -ss- results from the combination: Lat. ob-sessus (sedeo), O. Ic. sess 'seat'. A tendency to modify the dental combinations is therefore wide-spread. It is assumed that in Indo-European a sibilant was inserted in these cases (tot. toth. ded, dedh). Since all interconsonantal sibilants are elided in Sanskrit an IE volt tha would produce Skt. vettha, and at the same time it accounts for the Iranian and Greek forms. In the case of the voiced combination we find two kinds of treatment in Sanskrit, on the one hand the usual type ruddha-, vrddha-, etc., and on the other hand some ancient forms testifying to the existence of z instead of d as in Iranian: dehi impv. 'give' beside daddhi. cf. Av. dazdi. and dhehi 'put'.

both with e out of earlier az according to the rule below. Either this is a case of dialectal divergence, or the type dehi (<dazdhi) represents the regular phonetical treatment which has been replaced in the majority of cases by new analogical formations.

§ 15. COMBINATIONS INVOLVING THE PALATAL SERIES

The second palatal series is simple in the matter of consonant combination, since all that is involved is the retention of the

combination, since all that is involved is the retention of the original guttural before a consonant, which then combines according to the rules given above: vac-'to speak': uktá-, yuj-'to join': yuktá-, yokṣyāmi, dah-'to burn': dagdhá-.

Combinations of the old palatal series are much more complicated. In the early Indo-Iranian period ś, ź and źh were changed to ś and ž before dental occlusives (with aspiration and voicing of the occlusive in the case of źh according to the rule given above for dagdhá-, etc.): the resulting sibilants were identical with those that arose from Indo-European s, z after i, u, etc., and their subsequent history is the same. In Sanskrit s became cerebral s and cerebralised the following dental and z, i, u, etc., and their subsequent history is the same. In Sanskrit is became cerebral s and cerebralised the following dental and ž, after undergoing the same process, was elided leaving cerebral d: e.g. váṣṭi 'he wishes' (vaś-) Av. vašti, Hitt. wekzi, cf. Gk. ἐκών ptc. 'willing'; aṣṭaú '8' (cf. ašīti- '80'), Av. ašta, Lat. octo, etc.; Skt. mṛḍīká- 'pardon', Av. mrəzādīka- (mṛŷ-d, cf. Skt. mṛḍ- 'to wipe away 'and Pers. āmurzīdan 'to pardon'); Skt. ūḍhá 'carried' for *uzḍha- (uzḍha- (vah- 'to carry' from vath- «IE veŷh-); Av. gərəzdā 'complained', 3 sg. aor. mid. from garəz- = Skt. garh-; Skt. ldḍhi 'licks' (lih-), etc.

The same change was liable to take place in contact with other consonants: cf. Av. fšumant- 'possessing flocks' (pasu-, Skt. paśú-); Av. frašna- 'question', Skt. praśna-; Av. vīzībyō, Skt. viḍbhyás (viś-). In these combinations there is not complete agreement between Indo-Aryan and Iranian, but in the case of the latter example at any rate an Aryan *vižbhyas is attested. The Sanskrit development through vizbhyas to viḍbhyás is exactly the same as that seen when z (ž) was out of IE s, e.g. in viprúḍbhyas for *vipruzbhyas (viprúṣ- 'drop').

When immediately followed by s these palatals appear as k in Sanskrit in intervocalic position; and the treatment is therefore the same as that of the second palatal series: vákṣi 'you wish' (vaś-) like vakṣyāmi 'I will speak' (vac-). This is not a

case of preservation of IE & (cf. Hitt. wek- 'wish') but of its restoration. This is known for the following reasons:

- (1) Iranian continues to distinguish the two types of combination derived from IE guttural + s and from IE palatal + s:

 (a) vakṣyāmi, Av. vaxṣyā (wekw-); kṣatrá- 'sovereignty':
 Av. xṣabra-; kṣáp- 'night': Av. xṣap-, cf. Gk. ψéφas; bhakṣ- 'partake of, eat': Av. baxṣ- 'distribute'; tvakṣ- 'to be active': Av. owaxs-.
- (b) kákṣa-'armpit': Av. kaša; fkṣa-'bear': Av. aroša-; dákṣina-'right': Av. dašina-, cf. Gk. δεξιός, etc.; maksá 'quickly': Av. mošu, cf. Lat. mox; rákṣas-'injury': Av. rašah-; takṣ-'to construct in wood': Av. taš-, cf. Hitt. takš-' join', etc.; kṣúdh- 'hunger': Av. šuδa; kṣi- 'to dwell', kṣċtra- 'field': Av. ši-, šōiθra- 'settlement'.
- (2) The evidence from Iranian that there were two combinations in early Indo-Iranian both represented in Sanskrit by ks is further confirmed by evidence provided by Sanskrit itself, In cases where the group is followed by t it gives k or s according to its origin: (a) ábhakta 3 sg. mid. s-aor. of bhaj-, cf. 1 sg. ábhakṣ-i; cf. also bhaktá-'food': bhakṣ-'to eat'; (b) 3 sg. tāṣṭi, caṣṭe from takṣ-, cakṣ-; niraṣṭa-'castrated' from nirakṣ-, etc. When the group is final the sibilant is elided according to the general rule. When the k goes back to the guttural series it invariably remains: $v\bar{a}k$ nom. s. from * $v\bar{a}k$ \$, cf. Av. $v\bar{a}x$ \$. When on the other hand the old palatal series is involved, although there are some instances of k (°dyk, °spyk, nom. sg. to °dṛś-, °spṛś-) the normal and regular treatment is -į: viţ 'settlement': viś-; vipāṭ 'the river Beas': vipāṣ-; spáṭ 'spy': spáṣ-; rāṭ 'king': rāj-, cf. Lat. rēx; °vāṭ 'carrying': vah-'to carry', etc. Here the anomaly of the k-forms is explained by the dissimilatory influence of r in the vicinity.

 It is clear from this evidence that, where Sanskrit has a single

combination ks, there were originally two different combinations. What immediately preceded ks in the prehistoric period of Indo-Aryan where the palatal series is involved is made clear by the forms of the nom. sg. quoted above. Just as nom. sg. $v\bar{a}k$ is derived from earlier $v\bar{a}k\bar{s}$ by regular loss of the final sibilant, in the same way $vi\bar{t}$ is derived from $vi\bar{t}s$. At a period which probably did not very long precede the beginning of the re-corded tradition this is was changed into ks and thus confounded with original ks. The change is seen in the loc. pl. viksú (later

supplanted by an analogical vissu) as opposed to the nom. vis(s). Since the simplification of final consonant groups preceded this change, the cerebral, that is to say half the original combination, is preserved in the nom. sg.

It is necessary also to go beyond this ts since even from the point of view of Sanskrit this will not explain caste, etc. (*catte would have resulted). In this connection the sandhi of two sibilants should be compared. There are instances of s+s becoming ts: vatsyāmi, dvātsīt from vas-' to dwell', and of s+s becoming ks: dvéksi' you hate' from dvis-. Here again forms of the nom. sg.—dvit viprut—show that there was an intermediate stage ts (which is obviously what would be expected in the case of this combination).

In Iranian the development was somewhat different. Here s+s out of Aryan s+s result in single s. This is in accordance with another rule for the sandhi of sibilants by which one can stand for two when they come together: cf. Skt. asi for as+si.

When palatal c is preceded by s the latter is changed to the palatal sibilant s: e.g. saścati 3 pl. reduplicated present of sacto associate with. When s is followed by s the two lose their identity and are merged as cch: ducchúnā 'misfortune' from dus + śuná-' prosperity'. Since the same sandhi results when s is preceded by a dental (pacchás from pad-' foot' + suffix -śas) we may see here the same tendency to occlusion as in the other sibilant combinations noted above. In tuccha-' empty' (for *tuśya-, cf. Khot. tuśśa-<*tusya-) and kacchapa-' tortoise' (cf. the proper name Kasyapa-) we have the same development of a group -śś- which has resulted from an early 'prakritic' assimilation.

From the standpoint of Indo-European Skt. ch (cch) results from an original combination sk (skh); and in these cases Iranian has s: chid-' to cut', Av. said-, Gk. σχίζω, Lat. scindo; chāyā 'shade', Pers. sāyah, Gk. σκία; gácchati 'goes', Av. jasaiti, Gk. βάσκω; precháti 'asks', Av. parasaiti, Lat. poscit. We must assume that in these cases Aryan s and ś were assimi-

lated to ss which was then treated as above. It should be noted that Skt. ch is different from the other consonants among which it is classified in that it is always a long or double consonant. It is a matter of indifference whether ch or cch is written, though it is customary to use the former at the beginning of a word and the latter in the middle.

§ 16. COMBINATIONS INVOLVING SIBILANTS

Indo-European s became z when followed by a sonant occlusive. This z became ž in primitive Indo-Iranian under the same conditions that s became š (Skt. s). In Iranian z and ž are preserved: Av. hazdyāţ 'would sit down' (sad-, Ir. had-), mižda-'reward', Goth. mizdō. In Sanskrit they are eliminated in the following ways:

- (a) Before unlike consonants z and z (Aryan ž) are replaced by d and d respectively: ádga-'branch': Pahl. azg; madgú'a water bird', majj-'to dive' (<*madj-<*mazj-): Lith. mazgóti'dive'; instr. pl. uṣádbhis, mādbhis from uṣás-'dawn', mās-'month'; viprúdbhis, instr. pl. of viprúṣ-'drop'.
- (b) Followed by dental d, dh, z is elided and a preceding vowel a is changed to e: edhi 2 sg. impv. 'be': Av. zdī (as-); sedūr for *sazdur 3 pl. perf. of sad-'to sit': cf. Av. hazdyāt; nėdistha-'nearest': Av. nazdišta-; medhā' wisdom': cf. Av. mazdā' wise'; miyėdha-'food offered to the gods': Av. myazda-; ādhvam' sit' 2 pl. impv. from ās-; šašādhi from šās- etc. This applies also to cases where z developed from original d: cf. dehi, dhehi above. A different treatment is seen in addhā adv. 'certainly': Av. O. Pers. azdā.
- (c) Before d, dh, z (which may be derived from IE s or from the old palatals, § 15) is elided with cerebralisation of the following consonant, and compensatory lengthening of a short vowel: nīḍá- 'nest', Lat. nīdus, Engl. nest (*nizdo- from ni+sed-); mīḍhá- 'reward', Av. mižda-, O. Sl. mizda, Gk. μισθόs, Goth. mizdō; dūļábha- 'difficult to deceive' (dus + dabh-, Vedic ļ for ḍ); pīḍ- 'to press' (cf. piṣ- 'to pound'), hīḍ- 'to injure' (cf. hiṃs- 'id') krīḍ- 'to play' (cf. ON hrista 'shake') for pizḍ-, hizḍ-, krizḍ-; astoḍhvam 2 pl. mid. s-aor. of stu- 'to praise'; mṛḍīkḍ- 'mercy' (first syllable metrically long in the Veda): Av. mərəždīka-; ūḍhḍ- 'carried': vah- (<uzḍha-, i.e. uźh+ta), lēḍhi 'licks' (<lezḍhi, i.e. leźh+ti). A preceding short a may be either lengthened (tāḍhi</p>

takş + dhi, dṣāḍha-: sah-), turned into o (vóḍhum 'to carry': vah-; ṣoḍhā 'sixfold': ṣáṣ) or turned into e: tṛṇḍhi 'shatters' from the present base tṛṇḍh- of tṛh-.

Occasionally a is represented by d even in this position: dididdhi, mimiddhi, ririddhi from dis-'to point', mih-'to urinate', rih-'to lick'; saddhā beside sodhā. The same variation is seen in Pa. nidda-, kiddā which reflect a different dialectal treatment in Old Indo-Aryan.

Between consonants z disappeared without trace: jagdhá-'eaten'<*jagzdha-(ja-ghs-ta-), as also did s (cf. dbhakta above). The combination sonant aspirate + s was in the Indo-Iranian

The combination sonant aspirate +s was in the Indo-Iranian period treated in the same way as the combinations of sonant aspirate +t noted above. That is to say, in intervocalic position gh+s gave $g\tilde{z}h$, and so on. Avestan preserves such voiced combinations though the aspiration as elsewhere is dropped: $ao\gamma\tilde{z}\tilde{a}$ 'you said' ($< aug\tilde{z}ha$, i.e. augh+sa), $diw\tilde{z}ai\delta\gamma\tilde{a}i$ 'to injure' ($< dib\tilde{z}ha$ -, i.e. di(d)bh+sa). Sanskrit has the surd combinations $k\tilde{s}$, ts, $p\tilde{s}$ in these cases, but these have replaced original $g\tilde{z}h$, $d\tilde{z}h$, $b\tilde{z}h$. The absence of aspiration in Vedic $aduk\tilde{s}at$ ' milked', dipsati' desires to injure', coming under the general rule ($\S 2$) of the dissimilation of aspirates, presupposes forms like $dhug\tilde{z}ha$ -, $dhi(d)b\tilde{z}ha$ - where the rule could operate. On the other hand in final position, where these groups were surd and de-aspirated from the beginning (Aryan $^{\circ}dhuk\tilde{s}$ nom. sg.), there is never any loss of aspiration in Sanskrit.

Furthermore there are a few cases in Sanskrit where jh, jjh appear instead of kş where such a voiced combination is involved: jājjhat-'laughing' (reduplicated formation from has-), nirjhara-'waterfall', containing the root which normally appears as kṣar- (= Av. ȳar-). These are Prakritisms, and further examples are quotable from Middle Indo-Aryan: Pa. Pkt. jhāma-'emaciated': Skt. kṣāmá-; jhāy-'to burn': Skt. kṣāy-; jhīna-'exhausted': Skt. kṣāná-. Pali has also jagghati'laughs' with ggh instead of the more usual treatment-jjh-. In all these cases voiced combinations of the type preserved in Av. ȳar-, etc., are to be assumed, and the difference between these forms and the normal kṣ of Sanskrit is indicative of dialect variation in Old Indo-Aryan.

Something has already been said about combinations of sibilant + sibilant. There are three types involved which differ in respect of the date of their operation:

- (1) By an old IE rule s+s could be represented by a single s: dsi 'you are', Av. ahi, Gk. ϵl (IE esi out of $\epsilon s+si$); dnhasu loc. plur., Av. qzahu (ánhas' distress'+su).
- (2) By a rule specific to Indo-Aryan, but one whose operation lay mainly in the prehistoric period, s+s became ts and ts+s became ts+scombinations, since the loss of the final sibilant took place during the stage *is, this appears as i : nom. sg. ukhāsrai 'dropping from the pot' (srams-), parnadhvai 'shedding leaves' (dhva(m)s-); "dvii 'hating', viprui 'drop'.

 (3) Neuter nouns in -as, -is, -us make their loc. pl. in -ahsu, -ihsu, -uhsu (optionally -assu, -iṣṣu, -uṣṣu). This is the latest type, and it is patently imitated from the sandhi of the nom.
- sg., as has happened also in the bh- cases (mánobhis, havírbhis, etc.).

§ 17. THE CEREBRALS

In the cerebral series (t, th, d, dh, n, s) Indo-Aryan presents an innovation as opposed to the rest of Indo-European. This somewhat infelicitous name, a mistranslation of Skt. mūrdhanya-, dates from the very earliest days of Indo-Aryan philology, and has stuck through long habit. Phonetically retroflex or retroverted more adequately describes these sounds which are distinguished from the dentals in that the tip of the tongue is turned back to the roof of the mouth. They are characteristically Indian sounds, and were certainly acquired by the Indo-Aryans after their entry into India. At the same time their use spread to the more easterly of the Iranian languages, those bordering on the Indo-Aryan area (Paštō, Khotanese, etc.). Cerebrals are also found abundantly in Khotanese, etc.). Cerebrals are also found abundantly in Dravidian, and they are certainly ancient in that family. They are also found prevalently in the Munda languages, but since they appear to be absent in Savara, a member of the family less affected by external influences than any other, they may not be original in that family. Since it is only in India and the immediate vicinity that an Indo-European language has developed such sounds, and since it may be safely assumed that an early form of Dravidian possessing such sounds was spoken over large portions of India prior to the advent of the Aryans, the influence of Dravidian may be held to be responsible to some extent for their emergence. At the same time, in native Indo-Aryan words they are explicable entirely out of the combinatory changes that affected certain consonant groups.

Most of these have been mentioned and can be classified quite simply: (1) Originally dental t, th became cerebral when preceded by s (Aryan s) which in this position may either represent IE s (§ 9), or be a modification of Aryan palatals s, s (>Skt. j : IE k, g) : vṛṣṭ- 'rain' (vṛṣ- : cf. Gk. ἔρση, ἐέρση 'dew', Ir. frass' rain shower'), váşti 'wishes' (vaś-, cf. Hitt. wekzi), áştrā 'goad' (aj- 'to drive': Lat. ago, etc.); (2) Originally dental d, dh, became cerebral when preceded by z (Aryan ž of the same twofold origin as s); since in this case the sibilant was elided the resulting cerebrals d, dh (l, lh in the Rgveda) came to stand alone in intervocalic position: exx. nīdá-, ūdhá-, etc... see above; (3) The occlusion of the first part of the group ss (which may be for s + s or s + s) produced ts; finally the t came to stand alone, the simplification of the consonant group in this position (dvit, vit, above), while intervocalically the group developed further to ks; (4) Originally dental n became cerebral n under wider conditions, namely when preceded in the same word by s, r or r, except when a palatal or dental intervened: kārana- 'cause', etc.

These were the only ways by which a cerebral could appear regularly in Sanskrit. It leaves their scope restricted inasmuch as a cerebral cannot appear at the beginning of a word, according to these rules, and the surds t, th cannot appear singly in intervocalic position. In the early language they rarely do, but examples of both these kinds of cerebral are not uncommon in the later language. The origin of such cerebrals is twofold:

(I) In Middle Indo-Aryan a preceding r or r may cerebralise dentals. Some of these forms have been adopted into Sanskrit, occasionally even into the early language. Such are hāṭaka'gold' (cf. Russ. zolotó, and, with different suffix hiranya-), aṭani-'tip of bow' (as opposed to ārtnī of the earlier language), naṭa-'actor', cf. nṛt-'to dance'. avaṭá-'spring' (for *avṛṭa-), cf. avaṭá-'id' (for *avṛṭa-), vikaṭá-'enormous', for vikṛṭa-, bhaṭa-'soldier'for bhṛṭā-, bhaṭṭāraka-'lord', cf. bhaṛṭr-, jaṭhara-'belly', cf. jartú- and Goth. kilpei 'womb', āḍhyá-'rich', cf. ṛāh-'to prosper', bhaṇḍ-'to quarrel with', cf. Wakhi

vārand-' to abuse, scold', ánu-' fine', cf. Gk. ἀλέω' to grind', and with the same development as in Sanskrit Pašt. ansl' id'. In pāṣyá-. pāṣāṇá-' stone', cf. Germ. Fels, and in bhāṣ-' to speak', ct. Lith. balsas 'voice', the same development has taken place in connection with s.

Assimilation and cerebralisation happens very occasionally when an r followed (it is rare also in later Indo-Aryan), e.g. ānḍá-'egg', cf. Kalaša ondrak'id', O. Sl. jędro'testicle', and the later Prakritism kheṭa-'village, market town', cf. kṣċtra-'field, place', Av. šōiðra-'habitation'.

There are a few cases of apparently spontaneous cerebralisation: sthunā 'column', Av. stūnā, at- 'to wander', earlier at- (whence dtithi- 'guest': Av. asti-).

(2) There are a number of words containing cerebrals which are of Dravidian origin. Such are kuţila- 'crooked', cf. Ta. koţu-, kuţa, etc., 'id'; kuţi- 'hut, cottage', Ta. kuţi; kaţhina- 'hard', Te. gaţţi, kaţţīdi, etc.

In some of these cases there have been unsuccessful attempts to connect these words with Indo-European.

On the other hand the initial cerebrals, of which a few appear in Sanskrit (tīkā 'commentary', damara-'uproar', dhakkā 'a large drum', etc.) cannot in the ordinary way be explained either out of Indo-Aryan or Dravidian, because the latter avoids cerebrals in initial position. Such words become increasingly numerous in the more modern stages of Indo-Aryan, and like a good deal of the later vocabulary remain mysterious as to their origin.

§ 18. MISCELLANEOUS CHANGES

The phonetic changes undergone by a language are for the most part subject to general laws, but when all has been done to elucidate these some exceptions will remain. For instance one can hardly doubt that Skt. kéśa-'hair' is the equivalent of Av. gaēsa-'id', since an associated u-stem is available in both cases (Av. gaēsav-: cf. Skt. keśav-a-). Contamination with kesara-'mane' (cf. Lat. caesaries) may explain the change in Sanskrit. Such sporadic changes are found more abundantly in the case of certain consonant combinations. The combination pl normally remains when l does not become r (plu-'swim, float') but it is changed to kl in klóman-'lung' as opposed to

Gk. πλεύμων, Lat. pulmō 'id' (the original meaning was 'swimmer, that which floats'), and in viklava- 'distressed' as opposed to viplava-, vipluta-. In the case of typ- 'to steal' IE klep (Gk. κλέπτω, Goth. hlifan, etc.) has been altered to tlep: a confusion of the groups kl and tl is common the world over. A similar change of the occlusive, also sporadic, is found in the case of the group -tn-. It remains normally (rátna-, pátnī) but in the feminines of certain adjectives in -ita it appears changed to -kn-: ásiknī, páliknī (ásita- 'black', palitá- 'grey-haired') Later examples of this tendency are seen in Pkt. savakkī (beside savattī) 'co-wife', Panj. saukkan, and in Panj. arak 'elbow' (aratni-).

The sibilants are liable to certain changes when in proximity to one another. Initial s was changed to s in Sanskrit when s followed in the next syllable: śvásura- 'father-in-law': Av. hvasura-, Gk. ἐκυρός, Lat. socer; śmáśru- 'beard'; cf. Lith. smakrà, Ir. smech 'chin'. The same assimilation in the reverse order is seen in śaśá- 'hare' for *śasa-: cf. Khotanese saha-, Germ. Hase, Engl. hare. On the other hand s is preserved in the roots śās- and śas- because the change was impossible in forms like śásti, śastá- (but cf. śaśana- for śāsana- in the N.W. Prakrit). There is also a change of s to ś when s follows: śúska- 'dry': Av. huṣka-, ślakṣṇá- 'soft', Pers. lašin, cf. Gk. λαγνός, λαγαρός, Lat. laxus, Engl. slack; śliṣ- 'to adhere', śleṣmán- 'phlegm', cf. Engl. slime, etc.

Since in cases where a final s has disappeared an initial s is replaced by s, e.g. sat 'six' (*sats < *sats) and virā-sāt 'overcoming men', it is likely that śūṣka-, etc., are the result of secondary dissimilation of an intermediate *sūṣka-. This rule does not apply where the system of related forms is strong enough to prevent it, e.g. vásu-, vásīyas-, vásīṣtha-, though even here isolated forms with s are handed down.

In Vedic kṣumánt = Av. fšumant- (:paśu-) we have an isolated example of a change which has parallels in Iranian (cf. Khot. kṣārma- 'shame '=fšarma-).

More or less isolated cases of the reduction of three consonant groups in Sanskrit appear in stána- 'breast': Av. fštāna-, Pers. pistān Toch. B pēścane; hradá-'lake': cf. Av. yzrād-'to flow'; and tváṣṭar-'n. of the divine architect'= Av. bwōrəṣṭar-.

From the Indo-European period there was a certain instability about r, v, y as the second members of initial consonant

groups. This accounts for equations like Skt. bhanj- 'to break', Ir. bongaim, Skt. bhuj- 'to enjoy', Lat. fungor on the one hand and Lat. frango, fruor on the other. Similarly in the case of v Skt. sas, Lat. sex, etc., are opposed Av. xšvaš, etc., and Skt. ksip- 'throw' to Av. xšvažw-.

§ 19. FINAL CONSONANTS

In final position consonants and consonant groups receive in many respects special treatment. This was true also in the prehistoric period; for instance the aspiration in nom. sg. °dhuk as opposed to its absence in adukṣata is due to the fact that at an early period the combination gh + s became -ks in final position, whereas intervocalically it became $g \not \equiv h$. But the tendency to special development in final position has become much stronger by the historical period, and its features anticipate in some respects the later Prakritic developments of Indo-Aryan.

Of the occlusives only the unvoiced series p, t, t, k are allowed to stand in absolutely final position, and in their place the corresponding voiced series b, d, d, g are substituted before voiced consonants and vowels. The sonantisation before initial vowel is a special characteristic of Sanskrit, and it anticipates the voicing of all intervocalic surds in later times.

Consonant groups were drastically reduced during the period immediately preceding the historical record, and in this respect Vedic contrasts remarkably with early Iranian. Here too the same general tendency was at work which later resulted in the assimilation of all consonant groups. With few exceptions (e.g. nom. sg. ark from arj- 'vitality') not more than one consonant may stand at the end of a word, however many were there to begin with. This had serious results in some aspects of the morphology, and led to some grammatical innovations. Thus the terminations are lost in the case of the second and third persons singular of the root and s-aorists, and the s of the s-aorist suffers the same fate in these persons when preceded by a consonant, so that the formations lose their grammatical clarity. On account of this the root aorist comes to be abandoned in Classical Sanskrit except in the case of roots in long \bar{a} , and new extended formations are provided in the case of the s-aorist (ánaisīt for ánais).

The weakest of the final consonants was s. In final position this is weakened to the breathing h (visarga). In sandhi the

same change occurs before k, p and the sibilants. Preceded by \bar{a} it is elided before voiced consonants and vowels. The same thing happens when it is preceded by a, but here the -as is in most contexts replaced by o. In the non-Sanskritic dialects of Old Indo-Aryan there was an alternative development of -as to -e. An example of this is found even in the Regreda (sure duhitā 'daughter of the sun'); later it is a characteristic of the Eastern (Māgadhī) Prakrits, and examples are also found in some of the Kharoṣṭhī inscriptions of the North-West. These developments of final -as began in the Indo-Iranian period, and in Avestan likewise - \bar{o} is the common representative of final -as, beside which there is a dialectal variant - \bar{o} corresponding to the -e of Māgadhī, etc. Final s is preserved only before t, th, while before c, ch it exists in the modified form s.

When preceded by i, u, s became originally s (§ 9) which would normally become Sanskrit s. In place of this s, before voiced consonants and vowels r is substituted. The intermediate stage was presumably z and in this case there is complete difference of treatment of a phoneme at the end of a word from its treatment internally. This external sandhi was extended to the sandhi of compounds (durdama-, etc.) but old forms like $d\bar{u}ldbha$ - ($d\bar{u}d^\circ$ - $duzd^\circ$ -) and $k\acute{a}r\bar{u}lat\bar{\imath}$ show that this is not original but analogical. Likewise the sandhi of neuter s- stems in declension (havirbhis, havihsu; $m\acute{a}nobhis$, manahsu) is in imitation of the external sandhi.

Final r was weakened in much the same way as s. Finally it is represented by visarga (punah), but it is retained when preceded by a and followed by a vowel (punar āgacchati). Elsewhere it behaves in sandhi exactly like s. It even becomes s before t (punas tam) a development which is certainly analogical rather than phonetic. In the case of uninflected forms with final r preceded by i or u it is impossible to tell from any sandhi context whether the word originally ended in s or r; thus though we may conclude that the original form of the adverb meaning 'outside' was bahir because of Pa. Pkt. bāhira- 'external' (: Skt. bāhya-) derived from it, its form cannot be phonetically determined from Sanskrit itself, and the stem is usually given as bahis. This weakening of final r had no doubt a good deal to do with the abandonment of a large number of the old neuters in r. They were already in decline, but the phonetic weakness of final r no doubt hastened the process (the

stem $\hat{u}dhas$ 'udder' beside $\hat{u}dhar$ is due to the tendency to confuse s and r in final position).

VOWELS

20. Correspondences

The correspondences between the vowels of Sanskrit and those of other IE languages may be gathered from the following table, in which the examples are quoted after the presumed IE original vowel:

- a: Skt. ájra- 'plain', Gk. ἀγρός 'field', Lat. ager, Engl. acre; άρα 'away, from', Gk. ἄπο, Lat. ab; ánti 'opposite, near', Gk. ἀντί, Lat. ante 'before'; ániti 'breathes', cf. Gk. ἄνεμος 'wind', Lat. animus; áyas 'metal', Lat. aes; nas- 'nose' (instr. naså, etc.), OHG nasa, O. Sl. nosŭ; haṃsá- 'goose', Gk. χάν, χήν, Lat. anser, Germ. gans; yaj- 'to sacrifice, worship', yajñá- 'sacrifice', Gk. ἄγιος, ἀγνός 'holy'; śad- 'fall', Lat. cadit.
- e: Skt. bhárati 'bears', Gk. φέρει, Lat. fert, O. Ir. berid; asti 'is', Gk. ἔστι, Lat. est; áśva- 'horse', Lat. equus; sána- 'old', Lat. senex, O. Ir. sen; sádas 'seat', Gk. ἔδος; paśú- 'domestic animal', Lat. pecu, O. Pruss. pecku, Lith. pekus, Goth. faíhu; mádhu 'honey, mead', Gk. μέθυ, AS. medu; mádhya- 'middle', Lat. medius, Gk. μέσος.
- o: Skt. ávi- 'sheep', Gk. őıs, Lat. ovis; páti- 'husband, lord', Gk. πόσις, Lat. potis 'able'; ápas- 'work', Lat. opus; ánas- 'wagon', Lat. onus 'burden'; ásthi' bone', Gk. ὅστεον, Lat. os; dáma- 'house', Gk. δόμος, Lat. domus, O. Sl. domü; dadárśa 'saw', Gk. δέδορκε; výkas nom. sg. 'wolf', Gk. λύκος.
- ā: mātár- 'mother', Lat. māter; bhrātar- 'brother', Lat. frater; svādú- 'sweet', Gk. άδύς, ήδύς, Lat. suāvis; ásthāt 'stood', Gk. ἔστā, ἔστη; bāhú- 'arm', Gk. πῆχυς; snā- 'to bathe', Lat. nāre; áśvā 'mare', Lat. equa.
- ë: Skt. råj-, råjan- 'king', Lat. rēx; mås- 'month', Gk. μήν, Lat. mensis; sāmi- 'half-', Gk. ήμι-, Lat. sēmi-; må 'not' (prohibitive), Gk. μή, Arm. mi; pitå 'father', Gk. πατήρ.
- δ: ás- 'mouth', Lat. ōs; vāk nom. sg. 'speech', Av. vāxš,
 Lat. vōx; āśú- 'swift', Gk. ἀκύς, Lat. ōcior 'swifter'; pā- 'to drink', Gk. πώνω, Lat. pōtus; nápāt 'grandson', Lat. nepōs; pāt nom. sg. 'foot', Gk. (Dor.) πώς; vfkās nom. pl. 'wolves', Goth. wulfōs.

i: Skt. imás 'we go', Gk. iµev; vidmá 'we know', Gk. iðµev; cf. Lat. video; tiṣṭhāmi 'I stand ', Gk. ίστημι; divi ' in heaven ', Gk. A.Fí; rificánti 'they leave ', Lat. linquunt.

s: Skt. jīvā- 'alive', Lat. vīvus; vīrā- 'man, hero', Lith.

výras; pivan- 'fat '. Gk. πίων.

- u: Skt. śrutá- 'heard'. Gk. кдито́s: rudhirá- 'red', Gk. έρυθρός, Lat. ruber; snuså 'daughter-in-law', O. Sl. snucha, Gk. νυός, Lat. nurus; udán- 'water', Gk. υδωρ, °ατος, Lat. unda ' wave '.
- ū: Skt. dhūmá- 'smoke', O. Sl. dymu, Lat. fūmus; bhrá-'brow', Gk. ὀφρῦς, AS. brū; pū-' to be rotten', páti-' putrefaction', Gk. πΰθω, Lat. pūs, pūteo, Goth. fūls.

ai: Skt. edhas 'fuel', Av. aesma-, Gk. aiθω 'burn', devár-' brother-in-law', Gk. δαήρ (<δαιξήρ), Arm. taigr, Lat. levir.

- ei : Skt. éti ' he goes ', Lith. eiti, Gk. elou ; héman ' in winter ', hemantá- 'winter', Gk. χείμων, Alb. dimen; devá- 'god', Lith. dēvas. Lat. dīvus. Osc. deivai 'divae'; dehi 'embankment, wall '. Gk. τείγος. Osc. feihúis ' muris '.
- oi: Skt. véda 'I know', Av. vaēda, Gk. olda, Goth. wait; té 'those', Gk. τοί; bhares 'you should bear', Gk. φέροις.

au : Skt. ójas- 'strength', Av. aogara' id', cf. Lat. augustus ; śoṣa- 'drying up', Lith. saūsas 'dry', Gk. avos' id', AS. sēar.

eu: Skt. bódhāmi 'I observe', Gk. πεύθομαι 'find out, learn'; osati 'burns', Gk. ενω 'burn, singe', Lat. ūro; jósati

'enjoys', Gk. γεύομαι 'taste', Goth. kiusan 'choose'.

ou: Skt. loká- 'space, room, world', Lith. laukas 'plain', Lat. lūcus 'grove'; bodháyati 'he awakes' (trans.), Lith. pa-si-baudyti 'to awake oneself', O. Sl. buditi 'to wake, rouse'; sūnós gen. sg. of sūnú- 'son', Goth. sunaus, Lith. sūnaūs.

- āi: Skt. dat. sg. fem. sénāyai, devyai, Gk. χωρφ, Lat. equae, etc.
 - či: Skt. áraikṣam, s-aor. of ric- ' to leave ', cf. Gk. ελευμα.
- ōi: Skt. instr. pl. výkais, etc., Av. daēvaiš, Gk. λύκοις; dat. sg. tásmai ' to him ', Av. aētahmai, cf. Gk. ἴππω. etc.

āu: Skt. naús 'ship', Gk. vaûs, cf. Lat. nāvis.

ēu: Skt. dyaús 'sky', Gk. Zeús; áyaukşam 'I joined', cf. Gk. ¿ζευξα.

ōu: gaús 'cow', Gk. βοῦς; aṣṭau '8', Goth. ahtau.

Sonant Liquids and Nasals:

7: Skt. prccháti 'asks', Lat. poscit (<*porscit), OHG

forscon; pitisu loc. pl. of pitar- 'father', Gk. marpaon; vitta- 'turned', Lat. versus, vorsus; mita- 'dead', cf. Lat. mortuus, mors, Lith. mitti 'to die', O. Sl. sumriti 'death'.

/: Skt. mṛdú- 'soft ', Lat. mollis, cf. Gk. ἀμαλδύνω 'soften, weaken '; pṛthú- 'broad ', Gk. πλατύς 'flat '; vṛka- 'wolf ', Av. vəhrka-, Lith. vilkas, Goth. wulfs.

n: Skt. matá- 'thought, considered', matí- 'thought, idea' (man-), Gk. αὐτόματος 'of one's own accord', Lat. commentus, mens, mentio, etc.; hatá- 'slain' (han-), Gk. φατός (: φόνος, etc.); así- 'sword', Lat. ensis; nắma 'name', Gk. ὄνομα, Lat. nōmen, Hitt. lāman; a- 'not' in ájñāta- 'unknown', Gk. ἄγνωτος, Lat. ignōtus, O. Ir. ingnad.

m: Skt. śatám 'hundred', Gk. ἐκατόν, Lat. centum, Goth. hund, Welsh cant, Lith. šimtas; gáti-'going', Gk. βάσις, Lat. in-ventiō, Goth. gaqumps; abhrá-'cloud', Av. awra-, Lat. imber; saptá'seven', Gk. ἐπτά, Lat. septem.

§ 21. Notes on the Vowels

The most characteristic distinguishing feature of Indo-Iranian as opposed to the remaining IE languages is the possession of only a single vowel a corresponding to the three vowels a, e, o elsewhere, and likewise in the case of the long vowels, a corresponding to $\bar{a}, \bar{c}, \bar{o}$. It is clear that this uniformity is due to a special Indo-Iranian development, since the other languages are in substantial agreement with each other in the distribution of the vowels a, e, o. Furthermore the palatalisation of the velar series which occurs in Indo-Iranian before a only when it corresponds to e in the other languages (ca=Lat. que, etc.) testifies to its existence in these positions in the prehistoric period of Indo-Iranian. The confusion of a and o is found also outside Indo-Iranian, in Germanic, Slavonic and Hittite. It is not possible to say for certain whether we have here independent parallel development in the various language groups, or whether this fusion of o and a is an ancient dialectal feature of Indo-European. Certainly in the case of Indo-Iranian and Slavonic, which show other signs of special affinity, the possibility of an ancient common change is deserving of consideration. The change e to a on the other hand is found only in Indo-Iranian, and it is one of the most characteristic features distinguishing this family from the rest of Indo-European.

The Indo-Iranian development of the sonant nasals (to a) is the same as that of Greek, and it is one of the several features that links these two branches. Sonant nasals as such are found nowhere, but have been reconstructed for Indo-European from theoretical considerations. The sonant liquids have in the same way been replaced in most languages by combinations of vowel +r or l. Only Indo-Iranian preserved the vocalic r, which represents also original vocalic l. In Sanskrit there exists only one case of vocalic l, namely the root klp-' to arrange'. Because of Vedic kfp-, Av. kohrp- 'form, body', which are usually compared with Lat. corpus it is generally considered that this l is of secondary origin, but this is not altogether certain. Nevertheless as a general rule Sanskrit is much more consistent in turning l into r in its vocalic form than in its consonantal form. On the basis of sonant r (which is attested in Indo-Iranian) and l the sonant nasals can be safely reconstructed. They occur in the same conditions, that is to say by the suppression of the associated guna vowel which leaves them to function as vowels, and their treatment in various languages is similar. Thus we have for r in Gk. ap(pa), in Balto-Slavonic ir and in Germanic ur similarly for n Gk. a, B. Sl. in, Germ. un. It is clear that the assumption of original sonant nasals is as much necessary to account for the variation in the associated vowel in the various languages as it is by the principles of apophony which are briefly noted below.

Among the vowels of Primitive Indo-European it has been customary to postulate the so-called 'shwa' (2). This is based on such comparisons as Skt. pitár-'father': Gk. $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, etc. Skt. sthitá-'stood': Gk. $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \delta s$, etc. In such cases the 2 was considered to represent the reduced grade of the original long vowels, corresponding to the zero grade of the short vowels e, a, o. It was supposed to have become i in Indo-Iranian, and a in all the other IE languages. I have shown elsewhere 1 that this reconstruction is without justification, and that it was due to a faulty analysis of the Sanskrit words concerned. In these words the i is IE i and it is part of the suffix, not part of the root. Skt. sthitá-should be analysed sth-itá and its formation therefore differs from that of the related words, so that the phonetic reconstructions based on these comparisons become void. The same analysis is to be adopted in all the relevant

forms: sth-iti- 'standing' (cf. snīh-iti-) sth-irá- 'firm' (cf. sthéyān, sthéman, Pa. theta-), aor. 3 sg. ásth-ita 'stood' (cf. avād-i-ran, etc.), perf. I pl. dad-ima (contrast pres. dadmás), stan-i-hi 'roar' (cf. stanayitnú-, etc.), s-itá- 'bound' (cf. sināti, siṣāya, etc.), ś-itá- 'sharp' (cf. Ved. śiśayá-, Av. saēni, etc.), krav-iṣ- 'raw flesh' (cf. roc-iṣ-, etc., and Lith. kraūjas, etc.). It is also clear, and established by many examples in Sanskrit that in the zero grade the original long vowels are completely elided: e.g. in the present tense of dā and dhā, dadvás, dadmás, datté, datsé, dadhvás, dadhmás, dhatse, dhatsva, etc. (likewise in Iranian. Av. dadəmahi, dasta, daste, dazde, dadəmaide, etc.); the same elision is found in the participles dattá 'given' and 'tta (devátta- 'given by the gods', etc.) and in Av. ptar- 'father' beside p-itár.

If this a had been confined to the comparatively few words in which Sanskrit i appeared to correspond to a in the other languages, it would never have acquired very great importance in Indo-European theory. It was due to its becoming a basic element in the early theories of apophony that it acquired such importance in the traditional theory of Indo-European. In the comparative dictionaries this 2, so insecurely founded, appears in the utmost profusion in IE reconstructions, particularly in the case of the so-called disyllabic roots. Skt. i is also suffixal when it appears after such roots and the H which constituted the final element of the root is elided (táritum < *tar H-itum). The theory of apophony was further complicated by the invention of original long diphthongs, possessing a weak grade zi which was held to have developed into \bar{i} (sometimes into -ay-), but there is nothing in the facts to justify the assumption of such long diphthongs or of the weak grades which are supposed to be derived from them. In addition a second 'shwa', supposed to be a reduced grade of the short vowels was introduced by certain authorities. As a result the theory of apophony, which, as will be seen below, is really of the utmost simplicity, became extraordinarily complicated. With the discovery of Hittite h, and the subsequent rise to popularity of the laryngeal theory, the main features of the old theory were transferred to the new. IE H was identified with the old shwa (2), and it was believed that all its varieties could function in a vocalic as well as a consonantal function like the liquids and nasals. It has even been common to use the sign a to indicate IE H in its consonantal function (s_1, s_2, s_3) , and the whole presentation of the laryngeal theory has continued to be vitiated by the original error of the invention of 'shwa'. Needless to say the objections that apply to 'shwa' in the old form of the theory apply to it with equal force in the new. There is no satisfactory evidence to show that H in any of its varieties could function as a vowel and it is certainly never represented in Sanskrit by i.

The effects of IE H on the vowels have already been noticed. By the restoration of H a very considerable simplification of the vowel system is achieved.

- (i) The long vowels \bar{a} , \bar{e} , \bar{o} (>Skt. \bar{a}) may be long through viddhi, in which case they have developed out of the short vowels a, e, o. But there is another series of long vowels which are long by nature, e.g. the \bar{a} , \bar{e} , \bar{o} in $st\bar{a}$ 'to stand', $dh\bar{e}$ 'to place' and $d\bar{o}$ 'to give' (Skt. $sth\bar{a}$ -, $dh\bar{a}$ -, $d\bar{a}$ -). In such cases the laryngeal theory analyses the long vowel into short vowel + several varieties of H ($dheH_1$, $steH_2$, deH_3) the quality of the vowel being determined by the following laryngeal. Thus in all cases long vowels are of secondary origin.
- (ii) The varieties of guṇa vowel are partly due to qualitative alternation in Indo-European. This was particularly so in the case of the alternation e/o (φέρω: φόρος). But some cases of o are left over which have been considered to be original (δοτέον 'bone') and a can only rarely be put down to vocalic alternation (Lat. quater, etc.). Cases of 'original' a, and o according to the laryngeal theory go back to H₂ and H₃ followed by the guṇa vowel which was in itself undifferentiated (H₂ent-' front', Hitt. hant-, Gk. ἀντί, etc., H₂est-' bone', Hitt. hastai, Gk. ἀστέον, etc.). Thus we are reduced to a single original guṇa vowel, conventionally written e, which is the state of affairs to which Indo-Iranian again returned at a later period as a result of special developments of its own.

A few words of caution should be added in illustration of the fact that the laryngeal theory has not yet acquired a completely satisfactory form. It is never possible to be certain for instance that the vowel o is original, since alternating e- forms may be missing by accident. Furthermore there exist some o/a alternations which the theory does not altogether account for. As regards original a the absence of any b in forms like Hitt. appa 'away' can only be explained away by making the theory uncomfortably complicated. It must be admitted in such a case

that the actual evidence available does not allow us to go any further than IE apo.

(iii) IE \bar{i} , \bar{u} have in all cases developed out of iH, uH. The special developments of r, l, v, m followed by H have already been outlined. In this way the old reconstructions of long sonant liquids and nasals can be dispensed with.

These simplifications effected, the IE vowel system is reduced to very few primitive elements. There is only one purely vocalic element to begin with, which may be written e. The development of three varieties (e, a, o) and of the corresponding long vowels can be explained on the basis of the effect of laryngeals and of vocalic alternation. In addition there are six elements which may under certain conditions (between consonants, initially before, and finally after consonants) function as vowels—i, u, r, l, n, m—but elsewhere (between vowels, etc.) function as consonants—y, v, r, l, n, m. As regards diphthongs it should be noted that the second element is consonantal, and that from the point of view of Indo-European it would be more consistent to write eyti goes i, gews- i taste i, etc.

§ 22. QUANTITATIVE ALTERNATION: APOPHONY

The purely vocalic element (Skt. a, IE a, e, o) was subject to a quantitative gradation of the following type. It could be elided in any syllable, radical or suffixal, or alternatively it could be lengthened. In other words any syllable may appear in the normal grade (a), the strengthened grade (\bar{a}), or the zero grade. This gradation is of fundamental importance in Sanskrit grammar, and its importance was fully recognised by the Indian grammarians. They gave the name *vrddhi* to the strengthened grade and *guna* to the normal grade. The weak or zero grade they did not name because they constructed their grammatical system in such a way that they started from the zero grade as the basic grade and from this they derived the guna and vyddhi grades by two successive processes of strengthening. The comparative philologists differ from the Indian grammarians in that they regard the guna as the normal grade and from it derive the vrddhi and zero grades by the opposite processes of strengthening and weakening.

The operation of this gradation may be illustrated by a few examples:

- (1) Normal grade: sádas 'seat', sácate 'associates with', padds, gen. sg. of pad- 'foot', ghas- 'to eat', dabhnoti' injures', hásati 'laughs'.
- (2) Extended grade: sādáyati 'causes to sit', rātiṣācas nom. pl. 'associating with liberality', pādam acc. sg. 'foot', ghāsa-'fodder', dāābhya-'that cannot be injured', hāsa-'laughter'.

 (3) Zero grade: sedúr'they sat'<*sazdur, cf. Av. hazdyāṭ
- 'would sit', sáścati 3 plur.' they associate', upabdá-' trampling under foot', á-dbh-uta-' wonderful' (literally 'that cannot be harmed, impregnable', of divine beings), jákṣiti 'eats' (i.e. [a-ghs-i-ti), jákṣati 'laughs' (ja-hs-ati), cf. Vedic jájjhatī and Pa. jagghati for different treatments of h+s).

The same three grades apply to all suffixal elements. Thus in the case of the *n*-suffix we normal grade (guṇa) in voc. *rājan*, loc. *rājani*, zero grade in gen. sg. *rājāas*, extended grade in acc. sg. *rājānam* from the stem *rājan*-' king'. The same gradation applies to all suffixal elements.

Fundamentally this alternation $a/\bar{a}/z$ ero is all there is to the system of apophony. Some complications are caused by the combinations of a with semivowels, etc., and by some phonetic

changes. These may be briefly summarised as follows:

(1) When a is lost the semivowels (y, v) assume their vocalic form in the appropriate phonetic context: $y \acute{a}jati$ 'sacrifices'; $ijy\acute{a}$ 'sacrifice'; $v\acute{a}pati$ 'sows'; $upt\acute{a}$ - 'sown'. When the semivocalic element comes second, i.e. in the diphthongs, the original Indo-Iranian alternation $\bar{a}i$, ai, i, $\bar{a}u$, au, u is modified in Sanskrit to ai, e, i; au, o, u of which e and o ceased to be diphthongs in pronunciation. The guna and viddhi grades acquire the alternate forms ai, au, e, $o/\bar{a}y$, $\bar{a}v$, ay, av according as a consonant or vowel follows.

Exx. Normal grade; jétum 'to conquer', jáyati 'conquers', śrótum 'to hear', śrávaṇa-' hearing'.

Strengthened grade: ájaiṣam 'I conquered '(s.aor.), jigāya 'he conquered '(perf.), áśrauṣam 'I heard', śuśrāva 'he heard '.

Zero grade: jitá-' conquered', śrutá-' heard'.
(2) The liquids r, l were vocalised under the same conditions. Though ! has been mostly merged with ! Indo-Iranian preserves the original sonant pronunciation, so the apophony remains simple. Guna: kártum 'to do'; Vṛddhi: cakára 'did'; Zero: kṛtá 'done' | cakré 3 sg. perf. atm. 'did'.

- (3) The nasals were likewise capable of functioning as vowels, but here the situation is complicated by the change, in Indo-Iranian as in Greek, of the sonant nasals to a. The series is therefore (I) an, am, (2) ān, ām, (3) a/n, a/m, e.g. (I) gámana'going', hánti 'slays', (2) jagāma 'went', jaghāna 'slew',
 (3) (a) hata-'slain', gatá-'gone', (b) ghnánti 'they slay',
 jagmúr' they went'.
- (4) Long \bar{a} is sometimes original, that is to say it appears in the guna position, e.g. in the roots dhā ' to place', dā ' to give', and sthā ' to stand'. It is elided in the zero grade like the ordinary guna vowel, e.g. dadhmás, dadmás. We have seen that this \bar{a} is for aH (or in the IE system \bar{e} , \bar{a} , \bar{o} are for eH_1 , eH_2 , eH_2). Thus we are dealing with the ordinary guna vowel in this apophony, and H which cannot function as a vowel but is elided in such positions.
- (5) The combinations iH, uH resulted in \bar{i} , \bar{u} , while in combination with corresponding diphthongs (-eiH-, -euH-) the H disappeared without trace. So there arises an apophony $e/\bar{\imath}$, o/\bar{u} , etc., beside the normal diphthongal apophony: (1) nétum to lead '/náyati 'leads'; hóman 'invocation'/hávana- 'id'.
- (2) anaişam 'I led'/nāyaka- 'leader'; juhāva 'called'.
 (3) nītá- 'lead', hūtá- 'called'.
- (6) Sonant r followed by H resulted in $\bar{i}r$, $\bar{u}r$, while in the corresponding guna grades it disappeared. In these cases we have the weak grade $\bar{i}r$, $\bar{u}r$ in apophony with ar, $\bar{a}r$: (1) tártum 'to cross', pipárti 'fills'; (2) tárayati 'causes to cross'; (3) tīrná- 'crossed', pūrná- 'filled'.
- (7) When n and n were followed by n the result in Sanskrit is in the first case \bar{a} , in the second case $-\bar{a}n$ (examples occur only before t). Hence the apophony sanóti: sātá-; dāmyati, damáyati, dantá-.
- (8) There are some deceptive cases where no real apophony is involved. An example is på-tum 'to drink': p-ūá-'drunk'. Here the i of the second form is suffixal and therefore cannot be in apophonic relationship to the radical \bar{a} of the first form.
- (9) Roots consisting of more than two consonants admit of two types of guṇa grade: (1) vártate 'turns', etc., (2) trásati' is afraid', etc. Usually roots belong to one or the other type and keep to this in the guna grade (and in viddhi which follows the guna in this respect) but double forms occur in some cases, e.g. from drś- ' to see ' we have the series: Weak grade drṣṭd-,

guņa I daršáyati, guņa 2 draksyami, vrddhi I daršanika-,

vrddhi 2 ádrāksam.

(10) Final viddhied forms terminating in semivowel, liquid or nasal may lose this final element: sákhā 'friend' (acc. sákhāyam, stem sákhi-), áśmā 'stone' (acc. áśmānam, stem áśman-), dātā 'giver' (acc. dātāram, voc. dātar, dat. dātrē, etc.). The tendency is found elsewhere in Indo-European (Lat. sermo, etc.) but nowhere as consistently as in Sanskrit (e.g. Gk. πατήρ, Lat. pater beside Skt. pitá).

This vocalic gradation was connected with the Indo-European accent. In Sanskrit the connection between alternation of grade and alternation of accent is clear from many examples: émi 'I go': imás' we go'; śrótum' to hear': śrutá-'heard'; ásti'is': sánti'are' (Lat. est, sunt); hánti 'slays': ghnánti 'they slay' (Hitt. kuenzi: kunanzi); átti 'eats' (ád-ti): dánt-'tooth' ('eater'). From such examples it is clear that the zero grade is due to the unaccented position of the syllable, and that the guna grade is properly the grade of the accented syllable. There are of course many examples in Sanskrit, as in other languages where accent and apophony do not agree, e.g. Skt. vfka- 'wolf', fksa- 'bear', tfna- 'grass', vipra- 'sage, brahmin'. These however create no difficulty since it is known that in many cases the position of the accent has changed in course of time. This is obviously the case in the examples quoted since they are all in origin adjectival formations (e.g. tfna- (<*trnå-) is 'what pierces', cf. trnåtti) and it was the rule that such formations were suffixally accented. It is also very common in Sanskrit for nominalised adjectives to throw back the accent on to the first syllable.

The application of the above accent rule in its full rigidity would allow only one guna syllable in any word. The words quoted are of that type, but the majority of Indo-European words, in any language, are not so. This is mainly due to two reasons. Firstly, when inconvenient or grammatically less clear forms would result, the elimination of the unaccented guna vowel was resisted, or if eliminated it was quickly restored. So we have as the gen. sg. of pad-'foot' not *bdds which would have resulted from the rule, but padds with guna vowel in unaccented position. The existence of dant-'tooth' ('eater', cf. sant-'being': as-) beside adant-'eating' gives us one clear case where a guna vowel in unaccented position has been restored by analogy. Secondly the nature of the Indo-European accent underwent a change during the later Indo-European period. It had the power to reduce neighbouring unaccented syllables for a certain period of time, and then, in later Indo-European it ceased to have this effect. Consequently forms like those quoted above which show the full effects of apophony must be considered as belonging to the most ancient stratum of Indo-European. But after the accent ceased to have the effect of reducing adjacent syllables, Indo-European was creating new formations in abundance, a faculty retained by the individual languages particularly in their early stages. The very numerous formations of the type yajatá- 'adorable', daršatá- 'worth seeing', devásya' of the god', etc., etc., had their origin in this later period when the accent had ceased to have the power to influence the vocalism of the surrounding syllables.

§ 23. QUALITATIVE ALTERNATION: METAPHONY

There existed in Indo-European also a qualitative alternation of the guṇa vowel, and this is well preserved in most branches of the family: e.g. Gk. $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma \omega$ 'I say': $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma os$ ' word'; Lat. tego 'I cover': toga 'gown'; Russ. vezú 'I carry': vóz 'cart, load'; Engl. sing: sang. The alternation affects both the guṇa vowel, as in the examples above and its vṛddhied extension (Gk. $\delta or \acute{\eta}\rho$: $\delta \acute{\omega} \tau \omega \rho$ 'giver'). In Indo-Iranian this alternation has entirely disappeared owing to the confusion of the vowel qualities a, e, o in a. Consequently this Indo-European alternation has no significance for Sanskrit grammar, and it deserves brief mention only because the student of the comparative grammar of Sanskrit will meet it in the material cited from the related languages.

This alternation, like the qualitative alternation is clearly connected with the Indo-European accent. This is evident from the juxtaposition of such forms as Gk. δαίμων, δαίμονος on the one hand and ποιμήν, ποιμένος on the other. The rule is clear that ε is the normal grade of a syllable which bears the accent and has always borne the accent (Gk. ἔστι, ἔπος, νέος, etc.). Accentual changes and the workings of analogy have to some extent contrived to obscure the picture but this central fact remains beyond doubt. An example of the working of analogy may be mentioned; the termination of the genitive singular appears in some languages in a form that represents IE -ες, in

others in a form that represents IE -os. Since this termination was sometimes accented and sometimes unaccented, we may reasonably assume that the two forms were originally differentiated according to accent. Later in the individual languages one form was generalised, sometimes the -os form and sometimes the -os form being chosen.

The fact that the IE accent should have two quite different effects is bound up with what has been said above about the accent. The elision of the guṇa vowel was frequently resisted for morphological reasons, or if eliminated it was restored. Such retained or restored guṇa vowels were then, possibly at a later period, affected in a different way by the accent, so that o appears in place of e. Or again the main accent of a word may have changed with the result that the vocalism of the syllable which lost the accent was altered. For instance the numerous words of the type represented by Gk. $\delta ai\mu\omega\nu$ belong to a class (agent-nouns) which was originally suffixally accented. The type of formative $-\tau\omega\rho$, $-\mu\omega\nu$, etc., beside older $-\tau\eta\rho$, $-\mu\eta\nu$ seems to have come into existence as the result of such an accent shift

§ 24. SANSKRIT AND INDO-EUROPEAN ACCENT

The last two sections illustrate the importance of the part played by accent in Indo-European. In dealing with the morphology the accent is an indispensable element, without which no proper grammatical analysis can be made. For this reason the accent will be continually under discussion during the succeeding chapters. Here a few general remarks will suffice.

The full technical details of the Vedic and early classical accent of Sanskrit, and of the various methods used to denote them, are somewhat complicated but the main principles are as follows. Each word had normally one accent whose position varies from word to word. Any syllable from the first to the last may bear the accent (e.g. ápaciti 'retribution', dhāráyati 'holds', namasyáti 'respects' and aparāhṇá- 'afternoon' are accented on the first, second, third and last syllable respectively). No simple set of rules can be given to determine on which syllable of a word the accent will fall.

Certain words were enclitic by nature and never bore the accent. These are such particles and pronominal forms as ca

'and', ma' me', me' of me', etc. Elsewhere the accent might be dropped in certain circumstances. (1) In the vocative a noun lost its accent except at the beginning of a sentence, when it was accented on the first syllable regardless of its natural accent. (2) The finite verb in the main clause of a sentence unless it appears at the beginning of a sentence, in which case it retains its natural accent. In dependent clauses it retained its accent whatever its position. In this case a verbal preposition is most commonly compounded with the verb and loses its accent, e.g. prá gacchati 'he goes forward', yadi pragácchati' if he goes forward'.

The accent so indicated is termed by Pāṇini udātta-' raised' and the rise was one of pitch or musical tone. The main accent affected also the pronunciation of the following syllable, since the return of the voice to the normal level was effected during the enunciation of this syllable. The accent of the syllable immediately following the udātta is termed svarita- and it is described by Pāṇini as a combination (samāhāra-) of udātta and anudātta. That is to say it begins at the high pitch of udātta and descends in the process of utterance. There exists also an independent svarita which arises secondarily out of the contraction of tya to yà, etc., in which case the main accent of the word is the svarita. This is a post-Vedic development since the metre of the earlier texts shows that the contraction had not yet taken place.

The main accent affected the pronunciation of the preceding syllable. This was pronounced lower than normal and it is termed by Pāṇini sannatara. The remaining unaccented syllables were termed anudātta. Thus out of one main accent of a word there arose four different varieties of pitch: udātta-, anudātta-, svarita-, sannatara-. Since however all this variation is dependent entirely on the main accent, only that needs to be noted, as above. A separate notation is needed for the independent svarita (vykyàs, tanvàs, etc.) but even that may be dispensed with for the Veda if the words are transcribed according to the pronunciation (vykýas, tanúvas).

The complications of the accent detailed above were responsible for an unnecessarily complicated system of notation adopted by the Vedic schools. According to the usual system, that adopted in the *Rgveda* for instance, the principle is to mark the syllable preceding the udatta, the sannatara, with a sub-

script line, and the dependent svarita following the udatta by a vertical stroke above. The udatta itself is left unmarked. This achieves the same purpose in a less convenient manner than the method adopted in modern transcription, and by some Vedic schools. The modern recitation of the *Rgveda* follows the notational system to the extent of pronouncing the sannatara lowest and the svarita highest musically of syllables and ignoring the udatta altogether. This is a secondary development although it may be old, and at variance with the teachings of Pāṇini which are in complete agreement with the findings of comparative philology.

The system of accentuation described above has for centuries been totally extinct in spoken Sanskrit as it has in all forms of Indo-Aryan derived from it. When exactly the accent died out in ordinary spoken use it is impossible to say with certainty. It was certainly a living thing in the time of Patanjali and even later than Patanjali, Sāntanava treated of the subject in his *Phiṭṣūṭra*. According to the author of the Kāṣṭkā commentary (c. A.D. 700) the use of accentuation was optional in the spoken language, which probably means that in practice it was no longer used at this time. On the whole it is unlikely that the use of accentuation survived long after the Christian era. In Middle Indo-Aryan we may take it that the change occurred much earlier, at the very beginning of anything that could be called Middle Indo-Aryan.

The old Indo-European accent was lost, at some time or other in most Indo-European languages just as in Indo-Aryan. Accentual systems derived directly from Indo-European are found only in Greek and Balto-Slavonic. They may also be deduced from the phonetic developments classified as Verner's law for an early stage of Germanic. The existence of accurate information about the accentuation of two of the oldest members of the family, Sanskrit and Greek, is of the utmost value for the understanding of Indo-European.

A comparison of the accentuation in those languages in which it is preserved reveals basic agreement, though to a greater or lesser extent all languages have innovated in detail. The position of the accent in Indo-European for instance is frequently established by the correspondence of Sanskrit and Greek: e.g. bhárati 'bears': Gk. φέρει; śrutá- 'heard': Gk. κλυτός; gurú- 'heavy': Gk. βαρύς; νάcas 'word': Gk.

čnos, etc., etc. In other cases they differ showing innovation on one side or the other: mātár-' mother': Gk. μήτηρ; bāhá-' arm': Gk. πῆχυς, etc. The tendency to innovate is also evident from the frequent disagreements between accent and apophony, whether in individual languages (Gk. τόμεν 'we know' as opposed to the more original accentuation of Skt. vidma) or in all (Skt. vfka-, Gk. λύκος, etc.). In the latter case the innovation is of the Indo-European period. By means of comparison of the individual languages, by the study of apophony so intimately bound up with accent, and by the proper understanding of the part played by accent in the morphology, it is possible to form a clear and accurate idea of the Indo-European accent. The details are part of the morphology and will be found in the chapters concerned.

The nature of the old accent in Sanskrit and Greek is known from the technical descriptions handed down and partly in the case of Sanskrit from the traditional recitation of the Veda. It was in both languages predominantly musical, and not a matter of stress. This is confirmed by the fact that in both languages metre is completely independent of accent, depending solely on the length of syllables. From this agreement it is deduced that the same kind of accent prevailed in late Indo-European. But, as we have already seen, there must have been a change between early and late Indo-European in this respect. Earlier the accent had the power to reduce the neighbouring syllables, indicating a strong stress element. In the later period this power was certainly lost and this agrees with what is known about the accent of Sanskrit and Greek.

Beside the normal acute accent Indo-European possessed under certain circumstances a circumflex accent. This is clear from the agreement between Greek and Lithuanian, e. g. circumflex accent in gen. sg. fem. Gk. $\theta\epsilon\hat{as}$, Lith. gerõs, gen. pl. masc. Gk. $\theta\epsilon\hat{av}$, Lith. gerõ, instr. pl. masc. Gk. $\theta\epsilon\hat{av}$, Lith, vilhais, as opposed to acute accent in nom. sg. fem. Gk. $\theta\epsilon\hat{a}$. Lith. gerô-ji (gerà). In such cases Sanskrit has the ordinary udātta accent as elsewhere, and it does not, as Indo-European did, distinguish between the two types of accent. The independent svarita which came to exist in Sanskrit as a separate type of main accent is, as we have seen, a post-Vedic creation and unconnected with differences of accent type in Indo-European.

Nevertheless traces of the old circumflex have revealed themselves in the Veda from a study of the metre. In certain cases the metre makes it clear that a long \bar{a} is to be pronounced disyllabically, e.g. $g\bar{a}m$, $dy\bar{a}m$ as gaam, dyaam, and the termination of the genitive plural $-\bar{a}m$ as -aam. In such cases the corresponding Greek forms frequently have the circumflex accent, and this gives reason to believe that metrical peculiarity of the Veda is the effect of the circumflex accent of Indo-European.

CHAPTER IV

THE FORMATION OF NOUNS

§ I. GENERAL REMARKS

The Sanskrit nominal stem may coincide with the root, as happens in a minority of cases, but usually it is derived from it by the addition of a suffix. These suffixes are very numerous and are inherited from Indo-European. They are not, as occurs in some languages (e.g. Engl. man-ly, man-hood) derived from what were originally independent words, but are in every case analysable into their component parts, that is to say the individual consonants or semivowels of which they are composed. These primary elements include nearly all the available phonemes, but the ones most commonly used are r, n, s, t, y/i, v/u, m, H and k. They may appear either with guna, i.e. preceded by the thematic vowel (-ar, -an, -as, IE er-, en-, es-, etc.) or in their weak form (-r, -n, -s). The thematic vowel itself may appear as a suffix but naturally, since elsewhere it is always a question of the guna grade of a consonantal suffix, only in final position (bhav-an-a-, udr-a, etc.). The IE primary suffixes could be added either to roots or to words already ending in another suffix: e.g. the suffix -as is added to the root in vácas- 'speech', to a base having the suffix n in réknas- 'inheritance, property', the suffix t in srotas-'stream' and the suffix v in pivas- 'fat'. Since the root itself could originally function freely as a noun, that it to say was a word in the full sense, there is no difference in principle between primary and secondary derivation of this kind. A suffix could be added to any word, whether it already had a suffix or not, and the nature of the process was precisely the same. The result was that owing to the very large number of possible combinations of the primary elements, the number of these compound suffixes in all IE languages is very large, and the complexity of nominal stem formation in Sanskrit and the allied languages is entirely a matter of the multifarious combination of a comparatively small number of primitive elements.

In the descriptive and synchronistic grammar of Sanskrit the various suffixal combinations are treated as units, which is what they have in fact become in the course of the development of the language. For the historical and comparative treatment of the subject a more radical approach is needed. Here it is necessary, as is done in the following pages, to start from the single, primitive elements, and in the exposition to build up the whole suffixal system from them in the way that it had developed in the prehistory of the language.

Between the original simple suffixes, as so analysed, no discernible distinction of meaning or function can be found. In some ways they have no meaning. Thus an ancient IE word wet 'year' appears in Hittite as such (also in Sanskrit reduced to -ut in parut 'last year'); in Greek it appears with the suffix -os as (F)éros, without anything being added to the meaning. Likewise in Sanskrit neuter action nouns with suffixes (-as, etc.) do not differ fundamentally in meaning from roots used in the same sense: dviş-'hatred': dvişas'id.', etc. Of course when several words derived from the same root with different suffixes appear side by side, differences of meaning between these words usually develop, but this is a matter of idiom and nothing to do with the ultimate nature of the suffixes as such. What applies to the simple suffixes applies equally to the compound suffixes. In the examples quoted above the suffixes -tas. -nas and -vas are used in precisely the same way as the simple suffix -as. Hittite has a series of suffixes -sar, -tar, -mar, -var (with variant n-stems) making neuter action nouns of exactly the same nature, and this accurately reflects Indo-European usage. In the course of time specialisation of usage in the case of various suffixes has developed in all languages, but this is secondary and it is possible in some cases to show how it has come about.1 The most important distinction in nominal derivation in early Indo-European was not between the different suffixes simple or compound, but in a difference of accentuation according to which a word formed with the same suffix functioned either as an action noun or agent noun/adjective. Accented on the root it was an action noun and neuter, accented on the suffix it was an agent noun or adjective and originally of the so-called 'common gender'. The system is preserved to some extent in Sanskrit and is exemplified by such doublets as bráhma n.

¹ E.g. in the case of the comparative suffix-tara, see p. 149.

'prayer': brahmå m. 'priest', yáśas n. 'glory': yaśas-m. 'glorious'. The Sanskrit examples are not very numerous, and are only found in the case of a small number of suffixes; they are in fact the last remnants of a system dying out. In earlier Indo-European on the other hand the system was of very great extension and importance, and it is fundamental to the understanding not only of the formation of nouns but also of their declension.

The thematic vowel stands apart from the other suffixes in many ways. Its original function seems to have been to produce action nouns or adjectives from the various primitive neuter nouns, e.g. udr-d otter : Gk. $v\delta\omega\rho$ water. It was in fact an alternative method to the above in the formation of such nouns. This is its normal use in Hittite, which indeed ignores the method indicated above. The numerous neuter thematic stems which are only enlargements of simple consonantal stems (Skt. $a\bar{n}jan-a-n$.: Lat. unguen, etc.) appear to be a later development and are ignored by Hittite.

In the descriptive grammar of Sanskrit nominal derivatives are divided into two major classes, primary and secondary, in the terminology of the Indian grammarians krt and taddhita. The former comprises all those formations which are derived directly from a root by means of a suffix (e.g. vácas 'speech' from vac-) and the second those which are derived from the basis of nouns already made (e.g. áśvavant- 'possessing horses' from áśva- 'horse'). Convenient as this twofold classification is from the point of view of Sanskrit itself, it has no fundamental or ancient significance from the point of view of Indo-European. For one thing the same suffix is found functioning in both ways. and when a suffix is found to function predominantly or even exclusively in secondary derivation, it is historically a case of secondary specialisation. The suffix -vant is normally a secondary suffix in Sanskrit, but it is primary in such examples as árvant- 'steed', yahvánt- 'young', also in Av. bəzvant- 'abundant', 2rozvant- 'straight', and in Hitt. dassuwant- 'strong'. It was as a primary suffix that this, like other suffixes which have become predominantly secondary in Sanskrit, first came into being. A historical account of nominal stem formation must therefore be arranged entirely according to the external form of the suffixes concerned.

Secondly many formations which from the point of view of

Sanskrit are primary, are in origin secondary formation. Thus udrá- 'otter' which counts as a primary derivative (ud-rd-) is clearly in origin a secondary derivative meaning 'connected with water, water-animal' (udr-d-, cf. Gk. υδωρ n. 'water', etc.). In origin all types of such thematic adjectival derivation are secondary, but owing to the obsolescence of the old neuter action nouns on which they were based they acquired the nature of primary derivatives. In so far as such suffixes remained living suffixes, they were employed as units in the later period in a primary way. Not all formations in -rd have the same history as udr-d-, etc., but the type of derivation came into existence in this way.

Again in the old IE dichotomy of the types brahma: brahmā we have in the second of these pairs a type of secondary derivation. Logically and presumably historically the neuter action noun precedes the agent noun. The form brahma one connected with bráhma' presupposes by its meaning the existence of the more primitive neuter. In the Sanskrit system such agent noun formations count as primary formations, and this is what the majority have become owing to the disappearance of the corresponding neuter types. This is illustrated very well by the agent nouns in -tár (dātár- 'giver', etc.). Hittite has nouns in -tar but only neuter action nouns. It is clear that the relation between the two types is the same as that between bráhma and brahma and that datar- was originally 'one connected with giving 'corresponding to an obsolete *datar n. 'giving'. When the neuter type went out of use it became a primary formation connected directly to the verbal root.

In the development of the system of nominal stem formation in the prehistoric period, certain general tendencies will be observed, notably:

(1) The decline of the neuters. Whole categories of neuter nouns with ancient IE suffixes such as -er and -el have become almost extinct in most IE languages except Hittite; but the letters r and l play a great part in IE nominal derivation, so that although the original types have disappeared, they have left great masses of further derivatives which cannot be explained without them. In other cases the old neuter nouns have not disappeared, but have been transferred bodily to the masculine and feminine classes. This is particularly the case with stems in i and u. and the action nouns in -ā, but it occurs frequently elsewhere.

- (2) The growth of grammatical gender. In the earliest period the threefold classification did not exist. There was no feminine and nouns were divided into two types, 'neuters' and 'common gender', the latter so called because the masculine and feminine developed out of it. This is the state of affairs actually found in Hittite, and it is further confirmed by many survivals in other languages (Lat. ferens masc. and fem., Skt. suvásās nom. sg. m. and fem., etc., etc.). The feminine gender arose in the later period of Indo-European, and strictly speaking only then is it possible to speak of gender in the proper sense of the term.
- (3) The great variety of possible suffixes that could arise from the various combinations of the primitive suffixal elements led necessarily to a process of selection, so that many combinations which are known to have existed have not survived to the Vedic period. Thus out of a series of suffixes forming neuter nouns, and based on the primitive suffixes -er and -en, namely IE -er/r, -mer/mr,-wer/ur,-yer/ir,-ter/tr, ser/sr and -en/n, men/mn/, wen/un, -yen/in, -ten/tn, -sen/sn, only -men/mn remains as a living suffix in Vedic in the formation of neuter nouns. The others are better represented in agent-noun and adjectival derivatives which is in accordance with what has been said above about the decline of the neuter formations.
- (4) Another feature of great importance is the growing use and extension of the thematic vowel (Skt. a, IE e/o) as a final suffix. It has been said above that the original use of this vowel as a suffix was probably to form adjectival derivatives, in which case it was accented. Later its use spread as an extension of consonantal stems. This tendency is well known in the further development of Indo-Aryan (Class. Skt. pāda- 'foot' replacing pād-|pad-, etc.), but it had been actively at work for long in the prehistoric period. Thus Skt. áñjana- n. 'ointment' replaces an older consonantal stem which is preserved in Lat. unguen. As a result of this development thematic stems became by far the most numerous type both in Sanskrit,¹ and in other languages which reflect the late IE stage. In Hittite, on the other hand, which reflects an earlier stage of Indo-European there is not such a great preponderance of a-stems.

¹ In the Rgveda 45 per cent of all nominal stems end in -a.

\$2. ROOT NOUNS

Root nouns are an ancient type very much in decline in the earliest recorded Indo-European languages. In Sanskrit they are preserved better, on the whole, than elsewhere. Such are påd-|pad-'foot': Lat. pēs, pedis, vắc-'speech': Lat. vōx, rắj-'king': Lat. rēx. They are usually either masculine or feminine, but in the case of verbal roots functioning as action nouns they are normally feminine (dyút-f. 'brilliance', etc.). The old neuter type of root noun (cf. Lat. mel, fel, etc.) is practically extinct. Of the few examples mention may be made of sám' welfare' which is indeclinable, ván-' wood, tree' which is probably neuter (like its extension vána-n.) and ås' mouth': Lat. ōs.

This type of stem was originally subject to the laws of apophony: Vṛddhi or Guṇa in the Nom. Sg., Guṇa in Acc. Sg. and Nom. Pl. and the weakened form of the root in the other cases. This system is partially preserved in the case of some common nouns (n. sg. pắt, gen. sg. padás) but the general tendency is for it to be levelled out. In this levelling out any of the three grades may come to function throughout the declension, the weak form of the stem being normal in the case of verbal roots used as nouns: (1) vắc- 'speech', gen. sg. vācás, (2) spáś- 'spy', nom. sg. spát, (3) fc- 'hymn', nom. sg. fk, gen. sg. rcás.

Roots functioning as nouns may be used either as action or agent nouns (in the latter case they are feminine): drúh-(1) 'injuring, injurer', (2) 'injury'; dvis (1) 'hatred', (2) 'enemy'; bhúj-(1) 'enjoyment', (2) 'enjoyer'. When used as the second member of compounds they have the latter function only.

This type which has a moderate extension in the Vedic language, becomes more restricted later in accordance with the pre-existing tendency. That is to say with one exception—when such stems are used as the last member of compounds. In this case both in the Vedic and Classical language roots may be freely used as nominal stems. They are also used more widely in the Vedic language as infinitives of the type dysé ' to see ' than otherwise independently. Elsewhere as we shall see the Vedic infinitive tends to preserve old nominal stems which have otherwise become extinct.

Roots ending in short -u, -i, -y cannot function as nominal

stems. In circumstances where other roots do so they take the suffix -t: "jit- 'conquering', mit- 'pillar', stit- 'praise', "bhrt' bearing', etc.

§3. THEMATIC STEMS BASED ON THE ROOT

Stems with the thematic suffix -a can be formed on the basis of stems ending in all the other suffixes, and these are best treated in connection with the various types of stem to which the thematic suffix is added. The most simple type of thematic stem is that formed directly from the root. These stems may be divided into two classes according to the usual scheme: action nouns and the like with accent on the root, and agent nouns, etc., with accent on the suffix, final accented -a having here as elsewhere an adjectival function. Certain pairs are quotable where both types occur in connection with the same root: coda-'goad': coda-'instigator'; éşa-'speed': eṣa-'speeding'; vara-'choice': vara-'suitor'; śōka-'glow': śoka-'glowing'. The same type of alternation is familiar also in Greek, róµos' a cut': roµós' cutting', etc.

In Greek and in other languages which distinguish the vowels o and e the vowel of both root and suffix is o. Original o is attested by Sanskrit in some cases where a guttural has not been palatalised: ktta-'intention', gáya-'property', ghaná-'striker, solid'. Such an arrangement can hardly be original since normally e would be expected in the accented and o in the unaccented syllable. It is likely therefore that we have here a phonetic compromise between the nominal and adjectival types. In Sanskrit there are distinct traces of a variation o/e between the two types in the suffixal vowel in the case of roots ending originally in gutturals. The final guttural in these cases is usually preserved in the case of action nouns with radical accent and palatalised in the other type: e.g. bhóga-'enjoyment': bhojá-'bountiful'; róga-'disease': rujá-'breaking, destroying'; śóka-'glow, heat; grief': śucá-'bright'; yóga-'union': a-yujá-'without an associate'; árgha-'value': arha-'worth, valuable' (accent not quoted). The distinction is found in some cases where the accent is on the suffix in both types: arká-'ray': arcá-'brilliant'; roká-'lustre': rocá-'radiant'. Here the accent of the action nouns has been secondarily transferred to the suffix. The variation

between the palatalised and non-palatalised form indicates an original variation in the quality of the suffixal vowel according to the position of the accent.

to the position of the accent.

In action nouns the radical syllable commonly has guna in agreement with the related languages: dáma- 'house', Gk. δόμος, Lat. domus, Russ. dom (IE dem- 'to build'). Nouns of this type are áya- 'going, course', háva- 'invocation', tára- 'crossing', véda- 'knowledge', jóṣa- 'enjoyment' and so forth. There is however another type, for which it is difficult to find parallels outside Indo-Iranian, with vṛddhi of root and, paradoxically, usually having the accent on the suffix. These appear to have been formed on the basis of the vṛddhied nom. sg. of root nouns, just as later Vedic pāt nom. sg. 'foot' is extended to pāda-. Typical instances are: bhārá- 'burden' (cf. the vṛddhi in Gk. φώρ 'thief'), dāvá- 'fire', tārá- 'crossing', sādá- 'sitting', sāvá- 'libation', vāsá- 'residence'; with radical accent, vāra- 'choice', māna- 'opinion'.

Irregular accent is found in the whole class of such nouns which are formed from verbal roots combined with a prefix:

Irregular accent is found in the whole class of such nouns which are formed from verbal roots combined with a prefix: samgamá-'coming together, union', abhidrohá-'injury', etc.; and in a minority of cases elsewhere: bhogá-'bend', jayá-'victory', javá-'speed'. These irregularities show that a tendency to confuse the two types was beginning; jayá- and javá- for instance also mean 'victorious' and 'speeding' which is their original significance, but at a time when the importance of the old distinction was diminishing, they came to be used indiscriminately in both functions. Here, as elsewhere throughout the formation of nouns, the Vedic accent is not original, but in spite of such exceptions the old system remains predominant enough for its principles to be clearly seen.

predominant enough for its principles to be clearly seen.

The oldest type of apophony among agent noun/adjectives of this class is that which has the weak grade of the root due to the accented suffix: vṛdhá-'increaser' (: várdha-'increase'), budhá-'intelligent' (: bódha-'understanding'), śucá-'bright' (śóka-'glow'), turá-'victorious' (tára-, tára-'crossing'), priyá-'dear', kṛśá-'thin', rucá-'brilliant', etc. More frequently the restored guṇa vowel appears: arcá-'shining', dravá-'running', yodhá-'fighter', nadá-'roarer', vadhá-'slayer', etc. Like the action nouns they may also appear with vṛddhi, and this is connected with the vṛddhi of the nom. sg. of the corresponding root stems: vāhá-'beast of burden' (cf.

the root stem °vāh-, nom. sg. °vāļ, acc. sg. °vāham) sāhá- 'victorious' (cf. °sāh-), nāyá- 'leader', grābhá- 'seizer', etc.

The agent nouns of this type are on the decline, and as happens elsewhere in the same circumstances, many such stems are no longer used as independent nouns, but only as the last members of compounds: e.g. "adá-' eater' (annādá-' eater of food'), "gamá-' going' (dūramgamá-' going far'), "gará-' swallower' (ajagará-' goat swallower', i.e. python), "ghná-' slaying' (goghná-' slayer of cows'). This is because the formation which came to be normally used in making agent nouns was that in -tár, and this tended to oust other formations in ordinary free use. In contrast the tár- formations were not capable of being used in composition, so there is a dichotomy of the type ánnasya attá: annādá-' eater of food'. In a small number of nouns of this type the accent has been secondarily transferred to the root. Such are vfka-' wolf', víṣa-' server'. Such transference is common in nominalised adjectives throughout the system.

The adjectives sána-' old 'and náva-' new' can be fitted into neither of the above classes. From the corresponding forms in other languages (Gk. véos 'new', Lith. sēnas 'old', etc.) it can be seen that they are distinguished from other thematic stems by having the radical e-grade and from the adjectival type by having radical accentuation. This is because they are based on old root stems new-, sen-, which were adjectives by meaning from the beginning, and consequently the thematic vowel here is merely an extension of the stem, as in the action nouns, and not meaningful as in the usual oxytone thematic adjectival type. In Latin the root stem sen- is still used outside the nom. sg. (senex, senem, senis).

Both types of the above nouns are masculines. Feminine agent nouns such as are found in Greek (η obos 'way', η $\tau \rho o \phi o s$ 'nurse') are non-existent in Sanskrit, which in this respect is less archaic than Greek. The masculine gender of these actionnouns is in contrast to the neuter gender of the various thematic action nouns derived by extension from the various neuter suffixes to be mentioned later. This is because the root nouns, at least those ending in occlusives developed early the distinction between nom. and acc. and used -s in the nom. sg. That is to say they were 'common gender', and the thematic derivatives based on them automatically acquired the same gender.

Later they were specialised as masculines owing to their external form. In contradistinction there are a couple of neuter nouns vána-' forest' and tána-' offspring' which are extensions of root stems (ván-, tán-) which had retained their neuter gender. Old neuter formations are found in yugám 'yoke' (: Gk.

Old neuter formations are found in yugám 'yoke' (: Gk. ζυγόν, Lat. iugum) and padám 'step' (: Gk. πέδον, Hitt. pedan). These are old formations, among the very few simple thematic neuters that can be traced to Indo-European. They will be discussed in connection with the suffix m (p. 172 ff.).

§ 4. Neuter Formations with alternating r/n Suffix

The suffixes r (which in Sanskrit may also represent IE l) and n must be studied together since they early became associated in a common paradigm in which the nom. acc. was formed by the r-stem, while the oblique cases were formed on the basis of an n-stem. This ancient type of neuter noun is tending to obsolescence in the earliest Sanskrit, as it is in Greek and most of the other languages. In Hittite on the other hand, which presents here, as so often, a more archaic stage of Indo-European, the system is unimpaired. The system as found in Hittite contains simple r/n stems with this alternation, e.g. eshar 'blood', gen. sg. esnas, also a series of compound suffixes formed by the addition of these suffixes to stems in u, m, s, t, namely -war, -mar, -sar, tar. Examples are partawar 'wing', gen. sg. partaunas. tarnummar 'letting go, to let go', gen. sg. tarnummas (mm< mn), hannessar 'law, law suit', gen. sg. hannesnas, paprātar 'uncleanness', gen. sg. paprannas (nn < tn). This early system of neuter nouns exists only in fragments in other IE languages, but an abundance of suffixes containing r and n have these primitive neuter types as their ultimate source.

There are a few simple neuter stems in r with alternating n-stem in Sanskrit. Such are dhar 'day', gen. sg. dhnas (Av. azan-'id'), ûdhar 'udder' gen. sg. ûdhnas (Gk. oððap, oŭðaros, Engl. udder, etc.; there also appears to be in the Veda a second ûdhar 'cold' = Av. aodar-'id.'). In these the suffix has the guna grade, but it may also appear in the weak grade, in which case it is strengthened by a further suffix. This is usually t: ydkrt 'liver', gen. sg. yaknás (Av. yākar-, Lat. iecur, Gk. fmap, all without any t), fdkrt 'dung', gen. sg. fakrt, with a

variant -th in kappth-' penis'. This additional t may be compared with additional t which in Greek strengthens the alternating n-suffix in these nouns: οῦθατος compared with Skt. ádhnas, etc. We may also compare the fact that final radical -γ is avoided and -t added in lokakft, etc. A suffix j (<g) is found in dsγk' blood' (nom. sg., stem dsγj-), gen. sg. asnás (: Hitt. ešhar, ešnaš, Toch. ysār, Gk. ĕap, Lat. assir). This g also appears in Lat. san-g-uis' blood' which like saniès' gore' is derived from the n-stem of this word with loss of initial vowel through apophony.

Since this type is becoming obsolete we have occasionally defective nouns like *vádhar* 'weapon' (Av. *vadar*-) not used outside nom. acc. sg. The old alternating *n*-stem appears in the extension *vadhánā* fem. 'id'. Some stems even more obsolescent occur only as the first members of compounds: uşar- (uşarbúdh-'waking at dawn'), anar- (anarvis- 'seated on a chariot'), vasar-, (vasarhán- 'smiting in the morning'), vanar- (vanargú- 'going in the woods', cf. the deriv. vānara- 'monkey'; n-stem in vánan-vat), sabar- (sabardhúk: for savar-, cf. sávana 'milked at the soma-pressing'). The stems máhar 'greatness' and bhúvar 'abundance 'appear only in liturgical formulas and in the compounds maharloka- and bhuvarloka-, but the instrumentals of the corresponding n-stems, mahna (cf. Av. mazann.) and bhūnā are common in the Rgueda. The n-stem gámbhan 'depth' appears only as endingless loc. sg., but a corresponding r-stem *gámbhar is implied by the extension gambhára- n. 'id.'. Corresponding to Vedic loc. sg. rājáni 'under the direction of 'Avestan has nom. acc. sg. rāzarə 'rule, regulation'. The instr. $d\bar{a}n\dot{d}$ implies an old nom. sg. * $d\bar{a}r$ 'gift', and from these alternating stems the two extensions Gk. $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho\sigma\nu$, O. Sl. $dar\ddot{\omega}$ and Lat. $d\bar{o}num$, Skt. $d\dot{a}nam$ are derived. These instrumental forms, and also dśnå ' with a stone ' (Av. asan-) and prenå ' with affection ' being isolated, have come to function as the instrumentals of the corresponding man-stems.

The adverb avár 'down, downwards' has the same formation as the above neuter nouns, but differs in its accent which corresponds to that in the endingless loc. sg. The same adverbial accent is found in prātár, etc. below. Such adverbs also resemble the locatives without ending in that they may optionally add the termination -i: Just as we have akṣáṇi 'in the eye' beside akṣán, so we have Skt. upári 'above' beside Gk. vnep

and Av. adairi 'below' beside the *adhar adv. which is implied by the adjectival derivative ádhara-'lower'.

Neuter stems in -ar not otherwise preserved form the basis of a small class of denominative verbs in the Vedic language: ratharyáti 'rides in a chariot', śratharyáti 'becomes loose', implying *ráthar nt. 'riding in a chariot' and *śrathar nt. 'looseness'; cf. vadharyáti 'smites with a weapon' beside vádhar. There are parallel denominative verbs from the corresponding n-stems: vipanyá- 'to be wise, inspired' (cf. also vipanyā, vipanyū-, and with -r- vipra- 'inspired, wise'), bhuranyā- 'be turbulent, agitated' (r-stem in Lat. furor), etc. There are various secondary formations testifying to the existence of old neuter r-stems. The curious formations dhaurita- n. 'horse's trot 'and adhorana- m. 'elephant driver', which turn up in later Sanskrit can be explained as denominative formations on the basis of an old neuter noun *dhāvar 'running'. The Vedic vrddhied derivative jamarya- 'earthly' is based on a *jámar 'earth' corresponding to Av. zəmar- 'id.' (j- as in jmå, más, etc.). A neuter *śvétar ' whiteness, white spot ' is implied by the derivatives śvaitari 'having an (auspicious) white mark ' (a cow) and svetra- nt. 'white leprosy', and the alternating n-stem appears in the extension svetant fem. 'dawn'.

Since Skt. r represents both r and l of Indo-European, IE stems in -l, which functioned precisely as r-stems, cannot be distinguished from r-stems in Sanskrit, except by comparison with other languages. Such a stem is found in svàr (súvar) 'sun', gen. sg. súras (cf. Lat. sōl, Goth. sauil, etc.). The heteroclitic declension which is absent in Sanskrit appears in other languages (Av. xōng<*svans, gen. sg., etc.). Some of the r-stems mentioned above appear by comparison with other languages to have been originally l-stems: máhar-: Gk. μεγαλο-; Av. zomar-: cf. Gk. χθαμαλός, Lat. humilis, etc.; Av. rāzaro: Lat. rēgula. The denominative verb saparyáti' serves, honours, worships' is like Latin sepelio 'bury' ('honour with funeral rites') derived from an old IE neuter *sepel 'honouring, worshipping' derived from the root sep-, Skt. sap-'honour, serve.'

The t-extension to the suffix n, which appears in Greek $(o\vec{v}\theta a\tau os)$, etc., is absent in Sanskrit $(\vec{u}dhnas,$ etc.), but in some derivative forms an additional t-suffix is found which may be connected with the extension t of the Greek neuters: vasanta-' spring', cf. $vasar^o$, vesanta-' pond' $(\sqrt{vis}-)$, bhuvanti

'causing abundance', cf. bhûvar, bhūnā. The two forms avaṭá-and avatá-'spring' imply an alternating r/n stem to which t has been added (*avrt-ā, *avrt-ā), and the country name Avanti-may be derived from a stronger form of the same base (cf. Lat. Aventīnus). The t-extension appears regularly in the participles in -ant which will be discussed later.

§ 5. COMPOUND NEUTER SUFFIXES IN r/n

By adding the simple suffixes r and n to stems in u, m, s and t the suffixes -war/n, mar/n, etc., which were so productive in Hittite in the formation of neuter nouns, were produced. In addition they could be added to i-stems, and this ancient neuter type is preserved in the Latin passive infinitives, $\bar{u}tier$, scribier, etc. Outside Hittite the compound neuter r-stems have become comparatively rare; the corresponding n-stems are better represented, and have tended to replace the r-formations in the nom. acc. sg.

A neuter suffix -wer, -war is found outside Hittite in such examples as Gk. είδαρ 'food' (*είδΓαρ), δέλεαρ 'bait', Lat. cadāver' corpse', Toch. B malkwer' milk' and the like. They are not uncommon in Avestan: snāvar- 'snew', θanvar- 'bow', karšvar- 'region of the earth', dasvar- 'health', sāx*ar- 'design, plan', vazdvar- 'firmness'. The Iranian evidence shows that they had survived in reasonable abundance to the Indo-Iranian period, but in Indo-Aryan they had already become extinct by the earliest period. This was because the n-stem was generalised in all cases: nom. acc. sg. snāva 'sinew', etc. Only the adverb sasvār 'secretly, stealthily' preserves the suffix in this form, with the usual adverbial (=locatival) shift of accent. It implies a neuter noun *sásvar which we may compare with Hitt. šešuvar 'sleep' (šešzī 'sleeps', Skt. sástī), the original meaning being 'while people sleep'. In its weak form the suffix is preserved in the adverb múhur 'suddenly, in a moment' (whence muhūrtā- 'moment'; also múhu with simple u-suffix, for *mṛhu-, cf. Av. mərəzu- 'short (of life)', Gk. βραχύς). Neuter nouns in -van are I dhánvan 'bow', 2 dhánvan 'desert', snāvan- 'sinew', párvan- 'joint' (cf. Av. paourvainya- 'linked'), sfkvan- 'corner of the mouth', and some sporadic occurrences in the Rgveda, pátvan- 'flight', santīvan- 'acquisition' and vivásvan- 'illumination'. In addition a small number of dative infinitives

are formed with this suffix: dāvāne 'to give' (Gk. δοΓεναι, δοῦναι) tūrvāne 'to overcome', dhārvane 'to injure'; similarly in Av. vīdvanōi 'to know'.

A suffix compounded of i and r appears only in the adverb bdhir 'outside'. A few defective in-stems appear in the Rgveda in the instrum. sg., namely prathind, mahind, varind. These forms, in origin old neuter in-stems, have been attracted to the paradigm of the masc. man-stems prathimdn-'width', mahimdn-'greatness' and varimdn-'width', and so preserved. The neuter suffix -in further compounded with v appears in sfkvin- nt. 'corner of the mouth'.

The compound suffixes m-er, m-en alternated in the same way, but outside Hittite, mer (mr) is rare and obsolescent. A fair number of examples can be collected from Greek ($\lambda \hat{v} \mu a \rho$, $\lambda \hat{v} \mu a ros$ 'impurity', etc.) but they exist only as survivals. No examples are found in Sanskrit but their one time existence in Indo-Iranian is shown by adjectival derivatives like $admar - \hat{a}$ 'gluttonous' based on an old *admar 'eating, food'. Such forms have been entirely replaced by the extension of the man-stem to the nom. acc. sg.

The neuter suffix man is the only one of the r/n suffixes that remained fully productive in languages other than Hittite. Examples are: (nom. acc. sg.) djma 'career, march' (: Lat. agmen), kárma 'deed', cárma 'skin', pákṣma 'eyelash', pátma 'flight', bráhma 'prayer', bhárma 'maining, supporting; load' (: O. Sl. bremę 'burden', Gk. $\phi \in \mu$ a), vásma 'garment' (: Gk. $\epsilon \ell \mu$ a 'id', Lesb. $F \in \mu$ a), lóma, róma 'hair' ($\sqrt{\ell u}$ -: cf. lava- 'fleece, wool, hair'), mánma 'thought' (: O. Ir. menme 'mind, understanding'), várma 'protective armour', vártma 'course, way' (O. Sl. vremę 'time'), sádma 'seat', syáma 'thong, rein', svádma 'sweetness'.

A small number of dative infinitives are formed on the basis of this suffix: trắmane 'to protect', dắmane 'to give', dhármane 'to support', bhármane 'to maintain', vidmáne 'to know'. In Greek infinitives are also made with this suffix, much more abundantly, and including an archaic type without termination; τμεν 'to go', δόμεν 'to give'; ἔδμεναι 'to eat', τμεναι 'to go', δόμεναι 'to give', etc.

The nouns of this class are primarily verbal abstracts (action nouns), but they show a strong tendency to acquire concrete meanings, as happens with other neuter abstracts: e.g. hánma

'weapon' as well as 'blow', tárdma 'hole', márma' a mortal place, vital organ', cárma 'skin', vásma 'garment', etc.

Like other neuter suffixes in -n this suffix is extended by t in Greek (gen. sg. σώματος, etc.). A case of such extension is found in Skt. varīmát- 'breadth' (inst. sg. varīmátā RV. 1. 108. 2).

The neuter suffix -t-er alternating with -t-en, which is so well represented in Hittite, has become exceedingly rare in other IE languages. Only isolated examples such as Lat. iter, Toch. ytār 'way' are quotable. In Sanskrit a solitary example of this kind appears to be preserved in RV. 6. 49. 6.: jāgataḥ sthātar jāgad ā kṛṇudhvam, 'may ye bring stability to the moving world'. Misunderstood by the redactors the form has been handed down without accent as if vocative of sthātār-' stander', and the passage has been rendered unintelligible. A restoration sthātar n. 'stability' gives meaning to the line. Apart from this the neuter suffix -tar- appears in a small number of locative infinitives, e.g. dhartāri 'to hold', vidhartāri 'to bestow'. In Avestan some dative infinitives are made with the same suffix: vīdōibre 'to look at' barəbre 'to support'. These forms are interesting as showing that the neuter r-stems were capable to some extent of being inflected throughout the declension instead of being replaced by n-stems. Under what precise conditions this happened originally it is not now possible to say.

Some adverbs appear with this suffix, having the usual change of accent: antár 'inside' (: Lat. inter), prātár 'early' (: Osc. pruter), sanutár 'aside, apart' (cf. Engl. a-sunder). These imply old neuter nouns *ántar 'the interior', etc. This type of adverb became very productive in Latin, aliter 'otherwise' (cf. anyátr-a), breviter, leviter, etc. With additional suffix -ā we get adverbs in -trā, e.g. śayutrā 'in bed, abed', and this suffix may, and normally does later, appear with a short vowel, anyátra 'elsewhere', átra 'here', etc. Among the adverbs the Veda has some interesting collective formations: devatrā 'among the gods', puruṣatrā 'among men'. They may be explained by comparing Hittite forms like antuḥšatar 'the population, mankind' (antuḥšaš 'man'). Similar neuter collectives *devatar, etc., lie behind these adverbial forms.

A neuter suffix -tan is found only in naktán- 'night' (instr. pl. naktábhis). Alternating -r appears in Gk. νύκτωρ' by night' and Lat. nocturnus. This neuter suffix is found sporadically

elsewhere (Lat. glūten 'glue', etc.) and in Old Persian it is used to make dative infinitives (čartanaiy 'to do', etc.).

The compound suffix sar/sn, which is very common in Hittite,

The compound suffix sar/sn, which is very common in Hittite, is more or less obsolete elsewhere. It is an extension of the neuter s-stems, and in Hittite the -ar/n has been added so consistently that the simple s-stems have practically disappeared. In other languages the simple s-stems are well preserved so that it is unlikely that the sar/sn formation ever had the same extension elsewhere as appears in Hittite. Nevertheless there are considerable traces of it. Adjectival derivatives of the type matsar-a 'exhilarated' are based on such formations, and the coexistence of mandasana-'id.' shows that there was the old r/n alternation. Similarly $p\bar{u}sarya$ -'well-nourished' implies pasar nt. 'fatness, prosperity', equivalent to Gk. nvap 'beest milk', and the alternative n-stem is used in the masc. derivative pasan-'nourisher (name of a god)'.

A few neuter stems in -sn- are preserved in the oblique cases of nouns which appear in the nom. sg. as simple s-stems, e.g., gen. sg. sīrṣṇás, doṣṇás, nom. acc. sg. sīras 'head', dós 'arm'. A small class of locative infinitives is made on the basis of neuter stems in -san: neṣáṇi 'to lead', parṣáṇi 'to pass', gṛṇṇṣáṇi 'to sing', iṣáṇi 'to emit' (for iṣ-ṣani with the old sandhi, p. 95). In Greek also this suffix forms infinitives. The common type φέρειν is best explained in this way (*φερεσεν, *bheresen, the simple base without ending being used as in τδμεν, etc.).

§ 6. Action Nouns transferred to the Masculine

It has been remarked above that the major distinction in Indo-European was between neuter action nouns accented on the root and masculine, originally common gender, agent nouns accented on the suffix. But in Sanskrit as in other languages not all nouns fit into this simple classification; among the *n*-stems for instance with which we are dealing there are quite a number of masculine formations which cannot be classed as agent nouns, and which from the point of view of their meaning go rather with the neuter action nouns of the above type. Such

¹ Originally *py-usar (\sqrt{p}) as is seen by comparing wu and Skt. psyu sa. Similarly pus- 'to thrive, prosper' is for *pyus-, originally a denominative formation like urusyds, etc. (*pyusyds).

are: (-an-) mūrdhán- 'head' (AS. molda), plūhán- 'spleen' (Av. spɔrɔzan- m., Gk. σπλήν m.),¹ majján- 'marrow' (cf. O. Pruss. musgeno); (-van-) ádhvan- 'way' (Av. advan-), grāvan- 'pressing stone' (O. Ir. brō, Welsh breuan); (-man-) ūṣmán- 'breath, vapour', ojmán- 'strength' (Lith. augmā), omán- 'favour, assistance', takmán- 'a particular disease', ātmán- 'soul, self', pāpmán- 'sin' (also adj. 'wicked'), pāmán- 'scabies', premán- 'love', bhūmán- 'abundance', raśmán- 'rein', reṣmán- 'whirlwind', sīmán- 'parting of the hair', svādmán- 'sweetness'; (-iman-) jarimán- 'old age', mahimán- 'greatness', harimán- 'yellow colour', etc. The question naturally arises as to why such words should have a form which is properly a characteristic of agent nouns. There is no apparent reason why words meaning 'liver' and 'udder' should be neuter and words meaning 'marrow' and 'spleen' masculine; nor is there any immediately apparent cause why verbal abstracts like omán- 'assistance' should differ in formation from the normal neuters in -man.

In the case of a few of such words we may be dealing with disguised agent nouns. A good example of this kind is seen in Skt. klóman- Gk. $\pi\lambda\epsilon\acute{\nu}\mu\omega\nu$ 'lung', so named because it floats on water ('swimmer, floater' \sqrt{pleu} -). Likewise we may interpret raśmán-as 'that which binds, binder' and reṣmán-as 'destroyer'.

When these have been explained away there still remain a number of pure action nouns or abstracts constructed after the manner of agent nouns. In these cases we have to do with a transfer of action nouns from the neuter to the masculine class. The following facts speak for this: (I) A number of words appear in both classes in Sanskrit, várşman- n., varşmán- m. 'height, top, surface', svádman- n., svādmán- m. 'sweetness', dáman- nt. 'giving' (inf.), dāmán- m. 'gift, liberality'. Here the neuter may be taken to be the older formation as conforming to old rule. In the case of dhánvan- nt. and dhánvan- masc. 'desert' the latter is unknown to the earlier language. (2) The same alternation is found as between different languages: Skt. ojmán-, Lith. augmuõ: Lat. augmen nt. (with extension augmentum); Skt. syáman- nt. 'thread, suture': Gk. ὑμήν.

¹ This word appears in the different languages with a varying arrangement of suffixes in each case: Skt. plihán-: (s)pl-i-H-ĝh-én-; Av. sporozan-: spl-ĝh-en-; O. Sl. slėzena: s(p)el-ĝh-en-ā; Gk. σπλην: spl-én, cf. σπλάγχνον; spl-n-ĝh-no-; Lat. liēn: (sp)l-i-ēn or (sp)l-i-H-ēn), O.Ir. selg: s(p)el-ĝh-ā.

(3) The two adjectival forms pāmar-á- and pāman-á- 'affected with scabies' show that there was an old neuter r/n stem which has given way to the masc. pāmán-, and aśmarī 'gall stone' attests an old neut. *áśmar as opposed to the masculine n-stem which is always found elsewhere (Skt. áśman-, Lith. akmuō; Gk. ἄκμων 'anvil'). The same relationship exists between the Gk. adjective ἡμερος 'gentle, tame 'and Skt. sāmaná- 'quiet'.

The general tendency of the neuter to decline, and with it the decline of the old antithesis marked by accent and gender between action noun and agent noun made it easy for a type of masculine (and feminine) action noun to develop. To a certain extent also personification is responsible for the gender. Terms like dāmán- 'liberality', omán- 'favour (of the gods)' and bhūmán- 'abundance' are regarded in the Vedic hymns as divine powers in their own right. Disease (takmán-) and sin (dhvasmán-, pāpmán-) are likewise regarded as active evil powers. At the same time there are some more mechanical transfers. The abstract formations in -imán- (prathimán-'width', varimán' id', etc.) take the masculine gender as a class, and there is probably a rhythmical reason behind this because the formations in -man- preceded by long ī remain neuter (várīman-'width', hávīman-'call', etc.).

Masculine action nouns of the same type are common in Greek: τέρμων 'boundary 'beside τέρμα nt. 'id' (Lat. termen), χειμών 'winter' beside χεῖμα nt., θημών 'heap' beside θῆμα nt. (Skt. dhāman- nt. with different sense), λειμών 'meadow', λιμήν 'harbour', ἀδήν 'gland' (Lat. inguen nt.). In Latin there are both masculine (ordō, sermō) and feminine (margo, legio, cupido) n-stems of this type.

Owing to their early obsolescence the neuter r-stems have not undergone this transference with the exception of a single example. The defective stem uṣar- (uṣr-) 'dawn' is feminine (acc. pl. uṣrás) but was originally a neuter r-stem (cf. uṣarbúdh § 4).

§ 7. THEMATIC EXTENSION OF NEUTER STEMS IN 7 AND n

The original function of the thematic suffix was adjectival and in this case it was accented: karan-á' doing', etc. This conclusion is indicated by Hittite which possesses such stems (veštaraš' herdsman', etc.) but no thematic neuters like the

other languages. When we compare the thematic type of neuter, e.g. Skt. sánara-' acquisition', kárvara-' act' with the non-thematic stems in -ar, -var, etc., illustrated above, it is clear that the thematic suffix here has no grammatical function. This, coupled with the absence of such formations in Hittite is an argument for the secondary origin of this type; clearly as between Lat. unguen and Skt. afijana- the former is the older formation. It is not difficult to see how such forms arose. There were agent nouns of the type brahmán- beside bráhman-nt. but also from the earliest period another type of agent noun/adjective made by the addition of the accented thematic vowel (Gk. latpós beside latíp, Hitt. veštaraš beside Av. vāstar-). It was then natural and easy to create a neuter thematic type balancing the thematic adjectival type (kárana-nt. after karaná-, etc.).

Thematic neuter stems corresponding to the various r- and n-stems listed above may appear either with the guna of this suffix (kárvara- 'deed') or with the reduced grade (dhártra-'support'). Both types occur from simple r-stems: (1) sánara-'gain', tásara-'shuttle', pañjara-'cage'; framework of the ribs (cf. pajrá-adj. 'fixed, firm', Lat. pango, etc.), gambhára-'depth', udára- 'belly'. It will be noticed, here and below, that there is a certain fluctuation in the accent of the trisyllabic forms. Final accentuation, the characteristic of adjectives, is avoided, but the accent may fall on the penultimate syllable instead of on the root. (2) ágra- 'point', rándhra-'hole', śvábhra-' pit'. There are a few substantives with final accentuation but these are adjectival in origin, e.g. kycchrá- nt. ' difficulty', but also krcchrá- adj. ' difficult', riprá- nt. ' defilement', but cf. Gk. λιπαρός 'greasy', abhrá nt. 'cloud', cf. Gk. ἀφρός 'foam' masc. (nt. ámbhas- 'moisture' beside which there must have been *ámbhar, cf. Lat. imber), kṣīrá- nt. 'milk' of uncertain etymology but from its accent of adjectival origin.

In sth-dla-' place, ground' there is a thematic neuter formation involving the l-suffix.

A few old neuters in -var have been extended by the thematic vowel: kárvara- 'deed', replacing earlier *kárvar, gáhvara- 'hiding place', phárvara- 'sowing, sowed field' (*(s)phar-: Gk. σπείρω), catvara- 'quadrangle, cross-roads' (from a neuter *catvar on which the adjectival catváras 'four' is based).

With the tar-suffix we have a neuter formation in -tara in srastara-' bed of grass' (\sqrt{srams} -) and a fairly abundant series of neuters in -tra: átra-' food' (: atrá- m. 'eater', kártra-'spell', kṣétra- 'field' (: Av. šōiðra- 'habitation'), vástra-'garment', śrótra- 'hearing, ear' (: AS. bleo por 'noise'). satra- 'thread' (cf. the Lat. agent noun sator). In addition to such words which can be explained quite simply out of primitive neuter tar-stems, there is another series of neuters with the suffix -tra less easy to explain since they have paradoxically accent on the final syllable. Such are antrá-, antrá- (: Gk. έντερα pl.), astrá-, destrá- 'direction', netrá- 'guidance', rāstrá- 'rule, kingdom', śastrá- 'invocation', sattrá- 'sacrificial session', śāstrá-'command', stotrá-'praise, hymn of praise', sthātrá- 'station', hotrá- 'office of hótar-, oblation', potrá-'office of pótar-', nestrá-' office or vessel of néstar-'. A few of these forms may be explained as originally adjectival, e.g. antrá- 'what is inside', astrá- 'what is thrown', but the majority clearly cannot be explained in this way. They must be explained from a different point of view. There is a series of agent nouns in -tar denoting holders of professions and priestly offices, e.g. samstar- 'reciter' hótar- 'sacrificial priest', pótar-'purifier' and closely associated the nestar- (prob. 'sifter', cf. Gk. νεικητήρ λικμητήρ Hes.). Such nouns as a class have the nominal accent, i.e. on the root. The above neuters, hotrá-'office of hótar-', etc., have become, as far as their meaning is concerned, secondary derivatives from these agent nouns, thus reversing the position originally prevailing between neuters and agent nouns. In ordinary taddhita derivation there is a special rule in Sanskrit whereby the taddhita derivative is accented on the final -a if the primary formation from which it is derived is accented on a previous syllable: nairhastá- 'handlessness', ātithyá- 'hospitality', saumanasá- 'friendliness' from nírhasta-, átithi-, sumánas- (and vice versa, pálitya- from palitá- 'grey-haired'). There are also examples from nonvrddhied formations, e.g. sakhyá- 'friendship' from sákhi-. This is a new way of using accent in derivation which Sanskrit has developed, and it is this system which accounts for the final accentuation in hotrá-, etc. These formations are however not from the beginning taddhitas, but a subdivision of the old simple neuters in -tra which have been adapted for a special purpose and have had their accent altered accordingly.

There are a fair number of neuters with the gradation -atra, e.g. nákṣatra- 'lunar mansion' (nakṣ- 'to reach'), pátatra- 'wing', vádhatra- 'weapon'; with penultimate accentuation, kṛntátra- 'piece cut off', d-dtra- 'gift'; with taddhita accent, kṣatrá- 'sovereignty'; post-Vedic kalatra- 'wife'.

A thematic extension of an old neuter sar-stem appears in támisra- 'darkness' (cf. Av. tạθra-); with l pátsala-

'way'Un.

In Hittite there is a neuter suffix in -an (not alternating with -ar) making primary verbal abstracts, henkan 'death', etc. The same suffix appears in the Greek infinitives in -ev and sporadically elsewhere (Lat. unguen). In Sanskrit the thematic extension of this suffix has become exceedingly productive in the formation of neuter action nouns from verbal roots. They frequently stand in opposition to agent nouns having the same suffix but accented on the last syllable: kárana- nt. 'deed', karaná- masc. 'doing'. Examples with radical accent are áñjana- 'anointing, ointment', cáyana- 'heaping up', dárśana- 'vision', pátana- 'fall', bhójana- 'enjoyment', sádana- 'seat', etc., etc.; from a non-verbal root, sámana- 'assembly'. Such words sometimes appear with weak form of root, e.g. bhúvana-'world', and, when the root-vowel is a, sometimes with vrddhi bhājana-' vessel'. There is a tendency in the later language to associate these latter formations with the causative of the verb, e.g. táraṇa- 'crossing', táraṇa- 'getting (somebody) across, delivering'. Penultimate accent is sometimes found: vrjána-'enclosure, settlement' (also vrjana-), krpána- 'misery' (: krpaná- 'miserable'), damsána- 'wondrous deed', vesána-'service', dh-ána- 'wealth', r-ána- 'battle' (cf. Av. aronu-' id '.).

Similar neuter formations are found occasionally from the compound n-stems: vayúna- 'delimitation, appointed time' (: vétā 'limit, time, etc.'), karúṇa- 'deed'; dráviṇa- 'property', vájina- 'race, contest'; vétana- 'wage' (\sqrt{vi}), r-átna- 'treasure' (rā- 'to bestow'); mātasna- 'lung'.

The neuter suffix men/mn could be extended by the suffix -t (Gk. σώματος gen. sg., etc., cf. the Hittite infinitive forms in -manzi, -wanzi). This complex could also receive the thematic extension, Lat. augmentum, strāmentum, etc. There is one such example in Sanskrit, śrómata- nt. 'fame' (-mat-<*-mnt-), cf. OHG hliumunt, Germ, Leumund,

§ 8. MASCULINE FORMATIONS OF THE TYPE brahmán—FROM r- AND n-STEMS

The essential feature of this type is the suffixal accent as opposed to the radical accent of the neuters, and vrddhi in the nominative singular. There is some evidence that originally they were themselves capable of being used as adjectives without any change of form. Examples of this are found in many languages, e.g. Gk. μάκαρ 'blessed', μάρτυρ' witness', Lat. über in the sense of 'rich', Hitt. kurur meaning both 'enmity' and 'inimical, enemy'. In Sanskrit there are two formations which may be compared with Gk. μάρτυρ, although they have accent on the suffix, namely aptúr- 'active in holy works' and yantúr- 'controller'. With the suffix -us we have forms like náhuṣ- 'neighbour' and mánuṣ- 'man' which are not in any way distinguished from the corresponding neuter types. Such formations are, however, very much in the minority, because Indo-European early developed this method of indicating the adjectival function of a stem by switching the accent.

In some cases in Sanskrit the two types exist side by side. notably in the case of the suffixes -man- and -as-, but more often the old system has broken down. This is mainly due to the elimination of the old neuter types, which has left important classes of masculine agent nouns standing isolated. In Sanskrit there is an abundant class of agent nouns in -tár, kartár- 'doer'. etc. The nature of this formation only became clear with the discovery in Hittite of an archaic class of neuters in -tar. We have seen above that this, like similar formations, has left many traces in other languages and therefore must at one time have been widely prevalent. This means that the two types *kártar nt. 'doing, action' and kartár- masc. 'doer, agent' must at one time have existed side by side, and this being so it becomes immediately clear that the relationship of the two types is exactly the same as that between bráhman- and brahmán- Skt. kartár 'doer' is one connected with *kártar 'doing' and sthātár- ' one who stands ' is similarly related to that sthátar nt. which, as we have seen, is preserved in a somewhat disguised form in one passage of the Reveda.

Since this is one of the commonest formations in Sanskrit the citation of further examples may be dispensed with. A few words are necessary about the accent. Suffixal accent is proper

to this type and occurs most frequently in Sanskrit, but there is also a type with retracted accent associated with a curious syntactic distinction: $d\bar{a}t\bar{d}$ $vds\bar{u}n\bar{a}m$ but $d\bar{d}t\bar{d}$ $vas\bar{u}ni$. It has been noticed above that specialist words with this suffix (hótar, etc.) have also as a rule accent on the root. In Greek also there are two sets of forms, with suffixal accent, $\delta orrip$ 'giver', $\beta arrip$ 'goer', $\theta errip$ 'establisher', and with radical accent, $\delta \omega r \omega p$ 'giver', etc. The first of these preserves the most ancient form, with reduction of the root consequent on the accentuation of the suffix. In Sanskrit the suffixal accent is preserved to a large extent, but apart from very few exceptions, e.g. dryhitár-'one who makes firm', guṇa is universal in the agent nouns. Its maintenance or reintroduction in spite of the basic law of apophony may be ascribed to the influence of the related neuters.

This suffix is prominent in the formation of nouns of family relationship: pitár- 'father' (cf. Lat. pater, etc.), duhitár- 'daughter' (cf. Gk. θυγάτηρ, etc.), mātár- 'mother' (Gk. μήτηρ, Dor. μάτηρ, OHG muoter, etc.); bhråtar- 'brother' (Gk. μητηρ, OHG muoter, etc.); bhråtar- 'brother' (Gk. φράτωρ, φράτηρ, φρατήρ 'member of a phratry', Goth. brō þar, OHG bruoder, etc.), jåmātar- 'son-in-law' (Av. zāmātar-, Alb. δender), yåtar- 'wife of husband's brother' (Gk. εἰνατέρες, Lat. ianitrīces plur., O. Sl. jetry, Lith. jénté); náptar- 'grandson' (secondary substitute for nápāt = Lat. nepōs). Of these it is probable that the word for 'father' is an old agent noun (p-i-tar-' protector' from $p\bar{a}-(y)-$ ' to protect'), but in the majority of cases the etymology is too obscure for it to be possible to say much with certainty. Its gradation is of the old type (cf. Gk. δοτήρ, etc.) as is to be expected in such a word. Only duhitár- agrees with pitár- in accent and apophony; the rest have both accent and guna of root with the exception of mātár-, and even here Greek has radical accent, which may easily be original in spite of the agreement between Sanskrit and Germanic. It is not unlikely that these contain some old neuters (*måter, etc.: Lat. māteriès would be an extension of such a neuter) which were adapted when the gender-system developed. There is also the possibility of the analogical extension of the suffix. This has certainly happened in Skt. náptarand probably in the unusually formed jâmātar- (cf. Gk. γαμβρός 'son-in-law' differently formed.

The defective noun star- (instr. pl. stfbhis), tar- (nom. pl.

taras) = Gk. acrip is formed with this masculine suffix; the word has become so reduced that in the latter form only the suffix is left.

The suffix -tar is the only one of the r-suffixes that has become prolific in the formation of agent nouns. But both the simple r-suffix and the various compound suffixes which have been enumerated were capable of being used in this way and a small number of examples have survived.

An example with the simple r-suffix is seen in nár- 'man, warrior' (Gk. ἀνήρ, Umbrian ner-, etc.). A corresponding neuter *åner is deduced from certain derivatives (Gk. ἢνορέη, εὐήνωρ, etc.).

The suffix -var is so used in catvaras masc. pl. 'four'. The corresponding neuter *cátvar' square' is not preserved, but there is a thematic extension of it in catvara- nt. 'quadrangle, cross-roads'. Another example is devár- 'husband's brother', cf. Gk. $\delta \bar{a} \dot{\eta} \rho$ (for $\delta a F \dot{\eta} \rho$), Lat. levir, etc. There is no example of such a formation with the mar-suffix, but it will be noted below that karmåra- 'smith' implies an earlier karmå(r).

The suffix -sar appears in this class in the word svásar'sister' (sva-'one's own') with retracted accent, and in the
numerals tisrás, cátasrás' three, four' (fem.). Here the accusative form has come to be used for the nominative; the old
nominatives *tisores, k*etesores are represented in Celtic (O. Ir.
teoir, cetheoir). Lat. uxōr' wife' is a noun of this class, being
based on an r-extension of the s-stem which appears in Skt.
ókas-nt.' home'. There seems to have been a tendency for this
suffix, when used adjectivally, to be specialised in the formation
of feminine nouns, and with the above we may compare the
thematic derivative in Hittite išhaššaraš' lady' (išhaš' lord').

Similarly agent nouns and adjectives are formed with the accented n-suffixes, related in the same way to the neuter n-stems: tάkṣan- 'carpenter' (: Hitt. takṣan nt. 'joining'), rājan- 'king' (: rājāni nt. 'under the guidance of ', alternating r-stem in Av. rāzar- nt.), pratidīvan- 'opponent at play', vibhvan-, vibhvān- 'powerful'. There are a few old masculines of this formation no longer referable to verbal roots, yūvan- 'young man' (cf. Lat. iuvenis, etc.; yo-ṣ-it 'young woman, woman'), svān- 'dog' (Gk. κύων), Examples from other languages are Av. spasan- 'scout, spy', vindan- 'one who acquires', Gk. πευθήν 'spy', ἀρηγών 'helper', Lat. edō 'one

given to eating', etc. In addition this formation has provided in Greek the nom. sg. of active participles of the thematic type (φέρων, λιπών, alternating with -οντ- in other cases, as opposed to διδούς, etc.) and in Germanic it forms the basis of an adjectival declension. The accent is generally retracted in Sanskrit. It has already been remarked that this is usual in the case of words of adjectival origin which have become completely nominalised (e.g. råjan-).

The accent is likewise retracted in the adjectival formations in -van, but here the weak grade of the root shows that this is not original. Examples are fkvan- 'worshipping, praising' (: Hitt. arkuwar nt. 'prayer'), drúhvan-'injurious', yúdhvan-'fighting', śúbhvan-'beautiful', stúbhvan-'praising', pátvan-'flying' (: nt. pátvan- 'flight'), mádvan- 'exhilarating, exhilarated', jásvan- 'famished'. Suffixal accentuation appears only in musīván- 'thief'. Roots ending in i, u, r take the additional suffix t before this suffix: kftvan- 'active', sútvan-'pressing', sftvan-'moving'. That these are based on an old set of neuters with alternating r/n stem is shown by the feminine. This is based on the r-stem of the neuters, e.g. pivarī fem. ' fat ' is derived directly from the neuter stem which appears in Greek as $\pi i a \rho$, whereas the masculines are derived from the associated n-stem. Exactly the same distinction between masculine and feminine is found in Greek: πίων masc., πιείρα fem. 'fat'. Similar feminine formations in Sanskrit are yájvarī 'pious', śárvarī 'night', °śīvarī 'lying', °yāvarī 'going', "dāvarī 'giving' (e.g. Godāvarī 'cow-giving', name of the river). The suffix forms both primary derivatives, as above, and secondary derivatives. Such are rtåvan- 'righteous', satyåvan- 'truthful', maghávan- 'bountiful', and svadhåvan-'powerful'. A fair proportion of the secondary formations retain the adjectival accent: amatīván- 'indigent', arātīván-'hostile', rnāván- 'indebted', śrustīván- 'obedient'. Their feminine is likewise in -varī (rtāvarī, etc.), indicating that there existed at one time also secondary neuter formations in var/n of the type *rtāvar ' righteousness '.

In the case of the suffix -man a number of pairs are found in the Vedic language with varying accent and meaning illustrating the general principle of noun-formation in Indo-European: brahman-: brahman-; sádman-'sitting, seat': sadmán-'sitter'; dhárman-'ordinance': dharmán-'ordainer'; dāman-' gift': dāmán-' giver'. Other masculine agent nouns of this type are darmán-' breaker', bhujmán-' fertile', somán-' soma-presser'. There is one secondary formation in -mán, aryamán-' friendly, allied'. In Avestan there is the same antithesis between neuter and masculine man-stems in cinman-nt. 'care': činman- masc.' caring for'; zaēman- nt. 'wakefulness': zaēman- masc.' wakeful'. Formations of this masculine type in Greek are ἴδμων 'one who knows' (: ἴδμεν-αι inf.), τλήμων 'enduring', ἡγεμών 'leader', etc.; in Latin an alimōnes nom. pl. (>alimōnia) is quoted.

Masculines with the suffix -san- are very few: Pūṣān-, name of a god (cf. pūṣaryà- above, § 5), vṛṣan- 'male', ukṣān- 'ox' (Engl. ox, oxen, Welsh ych, pl. ychen, Toch. okso). The last two involve extended roots with incorporated s-suffix (vakṣ-, vṛṣ-) and from that point of view may be classed as an-stems. A feminine san-stem appears in yoṣan- 'woman'.

Masculine tan-stems are not found in Sanskrit, but appear occasionally in other languages: Av. marstan- 'mortal', aiwixšaētan- 'dweller, occupier', Gk. τέκτων, γείτων 'neighbour'.

The compound suffix -in- is one of the most productive adjectival suffixes in the language. It may appear in primary formations, arcin- 'shining', but it is used much more frequently in secondary formations with a possessive sense, asvin-'possessing horses', dhanin-' wealthy', paksin-' winged', etc., etc. Such adjectives may be formed in any number from stems in -a and $-\bar{a}$, in which case the final vowel of the stem is replaced by -in-, and less frequently from other stems, e.g. śvanin- keeping dogs', savasin- 'strong'. The suffix has invariably the accent, which is proper to these adjectival types, but it is invariably in the weak grade, which cannot be original, and this is associated with the formation of a new analogical form of nom. sg. in i. Originally there must have been vrddhi in the nom. sg. and vrddhied forms of this formation are found in other languages (Gk. ovpavioves, etc.). In Latin there are some feminine action nouns which use the same formation (just as bhūmán- 'abundance', etc., have taken on the form proper to action nouns, see above, § 6), legio, legionis, etc. These have likewise generalised the strong form. The original system with alternation of strong form in nom. sg. and weak form in gen. sg., etc., is preserved in Oscan which uses a weak form of the stem

in the oblique cases: dat. sg. leginei, etc. The use of this suffix in a specifically possessive sense is found also in Iranian, e.g. Av. parenin-' having wings', but examples are comparatively few.

A fair number of adjectives are formed with the accented suffix -vin: sragvin-' wearing a garland', tapasvin-' heated', tojasvin-' brilliant', etc. This complicated suffix which is unknown outside Indo-Aryan, seems to be a contamination of the suffixes -van- and -in-. Beside it there is a rarer suffix -min (like -mant beside -vant), e.g. vāgmin-' eloquent', gomin-' possessing cows', svāmin-' owner, master' (sva-' one's own').

§ 9. ADJECTIVAL FORMATIONS IN -nt-

It has been noted above that -n as a neuter suffix could in Indo-European take the extension -t. This appears regularly in Greek ($\sqrt[n]{\delta}\omega\rho$, $\sqrt[n]{\delta}a\tau os$ etc.), and elsewhere there are traces of it, though not many (Skt. $vdr\bar{t}mat$ -, etc.). The -t could also be added to the adjectival n-suffix, and the compound suffix so produced has proved more productive than the neuter -nt-. In Sanskrit it appears in the suffixes -ant, -vant and -mant, all of which are highly productive.

The suffix -ant- appears in a small number of adjectives, namely brhánt- 'great', mahánt- 'great', rhánt- 'small', pfṣant- 'speckled' and rúśant- 'bright', to which may be added the pronominal adjectives tyant- 'so much' and ktyant- 'how much'. The first three have the proper adjectival accent, and in pfṣant-, rúśant- the apophony shows that the radical accent is unoriginal. Similar adjectives in Iranian are seen in bərəzant- 'high' and mazant- 'big'. These are related to neuters in the usual way (Av. barəzan- 'height', mazan- 'greatness') but in this case the adjectival forms have received the t extension whereas the neuters have not.

These adjectives are sometimes referred to as being of participial origin. This is obviously not so, since the specialisation of this suffix in participial use, though ancient, is nevertheless a secondary development. The common usage of the suffix in active participles had not been fixed at the time of the separation of Hittite, because there the participles in -ant have a passive sense as opposed to the active sense which prevails in the rest of Indo-European: kunant-'slain' as opposed to Skt. ghnánt-'slaying'. Both are specialisations out of a more

general sense 'one connected with slaying'. Such a general meaning is all that is inherent to begin with in any adjectival formation, and it is by adaptation that the special functions of the various suffixes arise.

The non-thematic participles and those from thematic verbs which are accentuated on the suffix keep the suffixal accent: addnt-'eating', tuddnt-'pushing', etc. Elsewhere it conforms to the regular accentuation of the verbal stem: bhárant-'bearing', jíghāmsant-'desiring to slay', etc. This accent is shifted to the suffix in the weakest cases, an ancient feature which has often been levelled out, and the same applies to the suffixally accented adjectives: gen. sg. adatás, brhatás. In the nom. sg. the stems in -ant differ from the adjectival types in simple -n in that the case is denoted by the termination s and not by vṛddhi. This is so also in Hittite and it seems that from the earliest period of Indo-European that can be reached the nom. sg. was normally expressed in this way in the case of stems ending in occlusives.

The suffix -vant occurs in a number of primary formations which illustrate its origin from the compounding of simpler suffixes. Primary formations are: vivasvant- also vivásvant-'brilliant' (: simple n-stem in vivasvan- nt. 'brilliance' and in the Av. derivative Vivanhana-), sásvant- 'numerous, all' (cf. śáśīvas- 'more numerous' and śaśayá- 'abundant'), árvant-'swift, steed' (: arvan-'id'), fkvant-'hymning, worshipping' (: fkvan- 'id'), satvánt- 'name of a tribe of warriors' (: sátvan-'warrior'), yahvánt 'young, youngest' (: yahú- 'id'), vivakvant- 'eloquent'. The existence of pairs like fkvan-: fkvant: arvan-: arvant illustrates the fact that this suffix is a t-extension of a simpler van-stem. In yahú: yahvánt the analysis goes further and a simple u-stem is left. In Avestan we find draguant-'wicked', as opposed to Skt. druhvan-'id', and an interesting treble series, 272zu-, 272zvan-, 272zvant- 'straight' which shows how the compound suffix is built up step by step. Av. bəzvani-'abundant' bears the same relation to Skt. bahú-, as Skt. vahvánt- to vahú-. Such pairs are found also in Hittite: daššu-: daššuwant- 'strong, healthy'.

It is as a secondary suffix that -vant is most frequently used in Sanskrit: dśvavant- 'possessing horses', kéśavant- 'hairy', putrávant 'having a son' and so on in unlimited number. The usage also occurs widely in Iranian, Av. zastavant- 'having

hands', amavant-' strong', etc., and, outside Indo-Iranian, in Greek: χαρίεις, χαρίεντα (for ° Fεις, °Fεντα) ' having grace, graceful', ἰχθυόεις ' abounding in fish', etc.

In the Rgveda there are occasional examples of non-adjectival formations in -vant: for instance ásvavant- sometimes appears not as an adjective, but as an abstract-collective noun, e.g. 1. 83. 1, áśvāvati prathamó góşu gacchati 'he goes first in (the possession of) horses and cows', where the singular collective corresponds to the plural gosu. Such traces are valuable in that there was originally an old class of neuters in -vant related to the adjectives in -vant according to the usual principle. Secondary formations with the neuter suffixes are known in Hittite (antuhšatar 'mankind', from antuhšaš, 'man', etc.), and such are to be ascribed to Indo-European. We may construct on these lines a neuter *áśvavar 'collectivity of horses, property in horses' alternating in the way usual in the case of neuters with *áśvavan-. or with extension áśvavant-, on the basis of which *aśvavánt 'possessor of horses would be derived in the usual way. Another piece of evidence is got by comparing Av. karšivant- 'cultivator' with Skt. kṛṣīvalá- and karṣīvaṇa- 'id'. The alternation of suffix between the last two words can only be explained by the existence of an old alternating neuter *kársivar/n, and from this Av. karšivant- has been derived in the same way as áśvavant-.

According to the usual system one would expect the original accent of the adjectives in -vant to have been on the suffix. In the secondary formations in Sanskrit this accentuation appears in nrvánt- 'manly', padvánt- 'having feet' and nasvánt- 'having a nose', where the primitive stems are monosyllabic, and in some cases where the primitive stem is accented on the suffix (but never when this stem ends in -a or -ā), agnivánt- 'having a fire', āsanvánt- 'having a mouth', etc. The primary formations have the accent only in a minority of cases. The same tendency to throw back the accent was observed in the adjectives in -van.

The suffix -mant appears in very few primary derivatives, namely virúkmant- 'shining', dyumánt- 'bright' (cf. dyumnánt. 'brightness', suṣumánt- 'kind' (cf. suṣumná- nt. 'kind. ness'), dasmánt- 'glorious' (only dasmát nt. sg. used adverbially). The relation of āśumánt- 'swift' (āśumát adv.) to āśurecalls that of yahvánt- to yahú-, etc. Elsewhere it is used as a

secondary suffix in exactly the same sense as -vant. Occasionally the two suffixes are used after the same word, e.g. agnimánt-beside agnivánt-, but usually one only of the two suffixes is used in connection with each word. There are no absolute rules to say when each suffix will be used, except that -mant is regularly employed after stems in -u, pasumánt- 'possessing cattle', etc. (frequently also to avoid repetition of v, yávamant- 'rich in barley', etc.). This rule is interesting because much the same kind of rule is found in Hittite both in the case of suffixes related to this (Inf. arnummar' to bring', Supine wahnumanzi 'to turn (trans.)' as opposed to ešuwar, ašuwanzi from eš- 'to be', etc.), and in the 1st person plur. of the verb (arnummeni 'we bring' as opposed to epweni 'we hold', etc.). The accent of the adjectives in -mant follows the same rules that apply to the formations in -vant.

§ 10. THEMATIC ADJECTIVAL FORMATIONS FROM 7- AND 7- STEMS

There was an alternative way in Indo-European of making adjectives and agent nouns from the primitive neuter formations. and it was equally commonly used. This was the addition of the accented thematic vowel. These derivatives have the same meaning as those just described, and the two types of formation often exist side by side, e.g. Skt. atrá- 'eater'. attár- 'id'; Hitt. veštaraš 'herdsman': Av. vāstar- 'id' Gk. laτρός, lāτήρ 'physician'; Gk. ζητρός 'executioner' Skt. yātár- 'avenger, punisher' (cf. yātanā 'punishment, torment' with -n- indicating an old alternating neuter). The accent is normally on the suffix, but it is occasionally transferred to the radical syllable: dámstra- 'fang'. The type has prospered, and with the dying out of the bulk of the old neuter types, the suffixes -rá, etc., have come to have the appearance of primary suffixes. Formations of this kind are made on the basis both of the simple neuter suffixes -(a)r, -(a)n and of the compound suffixes.

(i) Examples of formations in -rά are: ugrá- 'powerful'
(: Av. aogar- 'strength'), usrá- 'matutinal, shining like dawn'
(vasar°, uṣar- 'dawn'), udrá- 'water-animal, otter' (Gk. νδωρ, etc.), a-vadhrá- 'not injuring' (vádhar 'smiting; weapon'), kṣudrá- 'small', kṣiprá- 'swift', vakrá- 'crooked', hasrá- 'laughing', etc. An old neuter alternating r/n stem is often

indicated by the existence of derivatives from the n-stem side by side with these formations in -rá, e.g. beside vipra-' inspired' (with retracted accent), vipanyá, etc.; cf. also kṣiprá-' quick': kṣepnú-, kṣipaṇú-; gṛdhrá-' greedy': gṛdhnú-' id'; dhvasrá-' dusty': dhvasáni-' sprinkler (a cloud)'; śvitrá-' white': śvetanā' 'dawn', etc. The accent is thrown back in only a minority of cases and these are mainly substantivised adjectives: śūra-' strong man, hero' (Gk. ἄκῦρος' powerless'), djra-' field' (√aj-; original accent in Gk. ἀγρός; Gk. ἀγείρω' gather, collect', is formed from a primary neuter *ager, cf. the type ratharyáti), vájra-' club, thunderbolt' ('smasher, crusher', cf. Gk. ἄγνῦμι) vápra-' mound, earthwork' (Av. vafra-' snow'), túmra-' humped', of the Indian bull (cf. Lat. tumeō, tumōr, etc.). indicated by the existence of derivatives from the n-stem side

etc.).

In this, the oldest type, the thematic vowel preceding the r is eliminated on account of the following accent. There are also a smaller number of adjectives in which the -ά is added without any such reduction: dravará- 'running', patará- 'flying', nyòcara- 'suitable, agreeable', ávara- 'lower' (: avár), úpara- 'nearer'; with vṛddhi, vānara- 'monkey' (vanaro' forest'), vāsará- 'matutinal' (vasaro' early morning'). The same type with full vowel before the r appears also in other languages, Av. aðara- 'lower', urvīsara- 'fugitive' (urvaēs-), Gk. ἐλεύ-θεροs' free', etc. Such forms must have originated at a time when the accent had ceased to have the effect of reducing unwhen the accent had ceased to have the effect of reducing unaccented syllables.

accented syllables.

A parallel series in -lo was formed in Indo-European. In the Vedic language this suffix would also appear as -ra. Instances of -la occur in Sanskrit, e.g. śukla- 'white' (also śukra-), sthūla- 'thick' (also sthūra-), gopāla- 'cowherd', but it is never possible to be sure about the origin of l in Sanskrit.

(ii) From the base -var/ur: With strong form of suffix, bhāsvara- 'brilliant' (*bhāsvar nt. 'brilliance'), īśvara- 'lord', śākvara- 'strong', adhvara- 'sacrifice' (of adjectival origin from its accent; cf. adhvan- 'way'), sthāvara- 'stable' (also sthāvana- showing old alternating neuter), naśvara- 'perishable', vyadvara- 'a gnawing animal' (: Gk. είδαρ nt.), niṣadvara- 'mud'; with t-suffix inserted, itvara- 'going', sytvara- 'id', jitvara' 'victorious'. The variant -vala appears in vidvala' 'clever' (cf. Gk. είδυλίς 'id'), palvala- 'pond' (cf. Lat. palūs 'marsh'), and in a number of secondary formations, kṛṣīvala-

'cultivator' (: kāṛṣīvaṇa-'id'), ūrjasvala-'strong', pariṣad-valā-'having a pariṣad, king', āsutīvalā-'priest who presses soma'. A vṛddhied formation is seen in bhārvarā-'impetuous' (cf. bhurvāṇi-'id.' and Lat. fervor, etc.). These formations often exist side by side with adjectives in -van: īśvarā-'lord': Av. isvan-; itvarā-'going'; 'itvan-; sthāvarā-'standing, stable': sasthāvan-'standing together'; pīvara-'fat': pīvan-'id'. This relationship is based on old alternating neuters, *iśvar/n'authority', etc.

The weak form of the suffix appears in bhāsurá- 'shining', chidurá- 'tearing', bhaṅgurá- 'breaking', bhidurá- 'splitting', vidurá- 'wise', medurá- 'fat', aṅkura- 'bud, shoot; swelling tumour' (: Gk. ἀγκύλος 'swollen, proud'), kṣurá- 'razor' (Gk. ξυρόν nt., cf. O. Sl. česati 'to comb', etc.). In the nominalised śvásura- the accent is retracted, as commonly; the accent of Gk. ἐκυρός is more original. Beside pāmsurá- 'dusty', and madhurá- 'sweet' forms with l appear, pāṃsulá-, madhulá-, without it being possible to say which is original. Beside śmaśrula- 'bearded' there is śmaśruná-. The weak form of the suffix appears as -vr- in tīvrá- 'intense'.

- (iii) A parallel suffix -irá appears in rudhirá- 'red' (as opposed to simple -ra- suffix in Gk. ἐρυθρός, etc.), badhirá- 'deaf' (√ba(n)dh- 'to bind, obstruct'), madirá- 'intoxicating', iṣirá- 'vigorous' (: Gk. iερός from simple r-stem), śithirá- 'loose', rathirá- 'charioteer', médhira- 'wise' (with retracted accent), sth-irá- 'firm', sph-irá- 'fat', rucira- 'bright'; more rarely -ila, tṛdilá- 'porous', salilá 'flowing', nt. 'water' beside sarirá-, śithilá- 'loose' beside śithirá-; with guṇa of suffix (like -vara-, valá) samuṣyalá- 'cohabiting' (sam + vas-).
- (iv) From the neuter suffix -mar there are a few such derivatives: admará- 'gluttonous' (implying *admar nt. 'eating'), ghasmará 'id', sṛmará- 'a swift moving animal' (cf. Gk. δρμαίνω, denom. vb. from n-stem), pāmara- 'scabby; miserable' (also pāmaná- from n-stem), aśmará- 'stony' (cf. aśmán above, § 6); with weak form of suffix dhūmrá- 'grey'; with l pakṣmalá- 'having (long) eyelashes' (pákṣman- nt. 'eyelash'), śleṣmalá- alternating with śleṣmaná- 'afflicted with phlegm' (śleṣman- masc. 'phlegm'), bhīmalá- 'fearful'.

It was observed in dealing with the simple r-neuters that they might either appear with guna $(\hat{u}dhar)$ or by the weak form of the suffix followed by the extension t $(y\hat{u}krt)$. Forms of the

latter type can be deduced from certain derivatives of marstems: karmatha-'workman' from *karmrthá-(*karmrt(h)-'work'+á; aspiration as in káprth-), likewise narmatha-'jester' and harmuta 'tortoise' (*harmrt-á-'an animal possessing a *harmrt, i.e. 'roof or shell', cf. harmyá' roof').

(v) A few such adjectives are formed from sar-stems: sapsará-'attending on' (\sqrt{sap-}), matsará-'exhilarating; exhilarating')

- (v) A few such adjectives are formed from sar-stems: sapsará- 'attending on' (√sap-), matsará- 'exhilarating; exhilarated' (cf. mandasāná- from the corresponding san-stem), 'ṛṣṣará- 'hurting; thorn' (related to arṣaṣāná- 'injuring' in the same way as matsará- to mandasāná-), samvatsará- 'year', dhūsara- 'grey', kṛṣara- 'a confection of sesamum, rice, etc.', kṛcchrá- 'painful, difficult' (if for *kṛpṣrá-), usrá- 'bull' (for *urṣrá-, i.e. *vṛṣrá-, -ṣr- alternating with the san of vṛṣan-).
- (vi) Based on the old neuters in -tar there are adjectival formations in -tará and -trá. By a secondary development the suffix -tara has come to be specialised in the formation of comparatives, but there are a few old formations where this is not so, and where the original, more general function of the suffix is apparent. For instance aśvatará- 'mule' is an animal which partakes of the nature of a horse (*aśvatar nt.) and in the same way Iranian kapautara-' pigeon' (Mod. Pers. kabūtar) is a bird characterised by bluish-grey colour (*kapautar nt.). In kārotará 'filter, sieve' there is a vṛddhied formation based on neuter action noun *karotar 'sifting'. This root most commonly appears with i- extension (Gk. κρίνω, etc., Ir. crīathar ' sieve ') but a u-extension, as here, is found in Goth. and-hruskan ' ἀνακρίνειν'. Other examples of this type are vatsatará- 'yearling calf', and with retracted accent sánutara- 'clandestine' (: sanutár adv.) and divatara- 'diurnal'. The adjective antara- 'interior' is derived from antar 'inside (Lat. inter, etc.) and this in its turn from IE en 'in '+ the neuter suffix -ter. In the same way Skt. pratará- (only in the adv. pratarám), Av. fratara- 'being in the pratara- (only in the adv. prataram), Av. fratara- being in the front', Gk. πρότερος 'former' are derived from pro-through an intermediate *proter' the front' (adv. *proter). In this way there arises a class of adjectives based on prepositions, such as Skt. avatara- 'lower' (only in the adv. avataram), úttara- 'upper', Av. nistara- 'being outside', Gk. πρότερος 'former', ὑπέρτερος 'higher', etc. These prepositional formations have a comparative meaning ('higher, lower', etc.) but this does not come from the suffix but from the nature of the base to which it

is attached. On the basis of these formations, and from similar cases like Lat. dexter, Gk. δεξιτερός 'right, being on the right' there was evolved for this suffix a special comparative meaning, with which it is added as a secondary suffix to adjectives in Indo-Iranian and Greek (rarely elsewhere: O. Ir. librither, comp. of lebor' long'): āmátara-'rawer', cárutara-'dearer', tavástara-'stronger', etc.: Gk. ἀμότερος 'rawer', κουφότερος 'lighter', etc. The fact that it is not widespread in Indo-European shows that this use of the suffix is comparatively late.

There are a few adjectives and nouns of adjectival origin in

There are a few adjectives and nouns of adjectival origin in -trá: atrá-'eater' (for at-trá-from ad-), vṛtrá-'enemy; n. of a demon', mitrá-'friend; n. of a god', putrá-'son' (cf. Oscan puklum' puerum', Paelignian puclois, with -kl-<-tl-; Lat. puer with simpler r-suffix); with retracted accent, dámṣṭra-'fang', tárutra-'victorious', vibhṛtra-'who is carried about (Agni)', johūtra-'calling aloud', úṣṭra-'drought-animal, camel' (apparently from vah- with irregular sandhi, cf. Av. vaṣṭra-'drought animal'=voḍhār-); with vṛddhi, jaṣṭra-'victorious'; with gradation -atra, ámatra-'violent', yájatra-'worthy of worship'.

(vii) With -nd- there are a number of nouns and adjectives, e.g. stend- 'thief', yajñd- 'sacrifice' (Gk. ἀγνός 'holy, pure'), ghṛṇḍ- 'heat', nagnḍ- 'naked', uṣṇḍ- 'hot'; with radical accent śvitna- 'white'. The most common use of the suffix is to make participles from certain verbal roots (about seventy): bhinná- 'broken', bhugnḍ- 'bent', pūrṇḍ 'full', mlānḍ- 'withered', etc.

With the gradation -aná there are formed a certain number of agent nouns: karaná-'active', tvaraná' hastening', krošaná-'shouting', vacaná-'speaking', svapaná-'sleeping', etc. These are distinguished by their accent in the usual way from the corresponding class of neuter action nouns: cf. kárana-'deed', vácana-'word'. In Germanic and Slavonic this formation makes passive participles (O. Sl. nesenű'carried', Goth. fulgins' hidden'). The contrast in accent between kárana-nt. and karaná-masc. is also found in Germanic, where the infinitive is the equivalent of this neuter type: Goth. filhan' to hide', fulgins' hidden'.

Though the old type of accentuation is preserved frequently in these adjectives (as above), the system was breaking down, and radically accented forms occur, particularly from verbs of the first class with fixed radical accent: jávana- 'hastening' (jávati), dyótana- 'shining' (dyótate), etc.

(viii) Corresponding to -vará and -urá thematic adjectival

(viii) Corresponding to -vará and -urá thematic adjectival stems are made on the basis of the neuter van- suffix, with two gradations, -vana and -una. (a) vagvaná- 'talkative', śuśukvaná- 'shining', satvaná- 'warrior'; from prepositional bases pravaná- 'sloping forward, inclined', udvana- 'elevated'. (b) mithuná- 'paired' (Av. miθwara- from alternating r-stem), śakuná- 'bird' (√śak, as prophesying the future), aruná- 'red', dāruná- 'terrible'; with radical accent árjuna- 'white' (Gk. āργυρος 'silver' from r-stem; cf. also Skt. rjrá- from uncompounded r-stem), píśuna- 'slanderous, treacherous' (cf. Gk. πικρός 'bitter, inimical', from simple r-stem), táruna- 'tender' (cf. Gk. τερήν with uncompounded n-stem, τέρυς, uncompounded u-stem), víşuna- 'various'; with penultimate accent, dharúna- 'holding', yatúna- 'energetic'.

Fuller types of gradation are found in occasional forms: -avana in śrávaṇa-' lame' (Lat. cl-au-dus, etc.), lavaṇá' salty'; nt. salt (*slavana-: Lat. sal); -ona in śroṇá-, śloṇá-' lame', syoná-' soft, agreeable', duroṇá-' house'.

- (ix) The suffix -iná parallel to -uná appears in a few words: vrjiná- 'crooked', hariná 'yellowish: deer', aminá- 'overpowering', āśiná- 'old' (√aś-), śākiná- 'strong'; with radical accent, dákṣiṇa- 'right'. With guṇa of the first element the combination appears as ena only in the feminine sāmidhent (fk) 'connected with lighting the fire'. In Iranian the combination -aina is common: Av. izaēna- 'made of leather', drvaēna- 'wooden', etc. In Sanskrit there are certain further derivatives from such a suffix, namely the gerundives in -enya: várenya- 'desirable', īkṣéṇya- 'worthy to behold', etc. The gradation -yana (cf. vaná, etc.) is not found in Sanskrit, but it appears in Av. airyana- 'Aryan'. The full grade of both suffixes (-ayana) is not found but certain patronymics with double vṛddhi (Dākṣāyaṇa-, etc.) appear to be based on such a formation. The corresponding forms in Avestan (e.g. Vanhudātayana-) are without vṛddhi.
- (x) Adjectival formations from neuter man-stems are rare: nimná-'low; nt. depth'. The neuters nymná-'manliness', sumná-'kindness' and dyumná-'brightness' appear from their accentuation to be of adjectival origin. Formations of this type are commoner in other languages, e.g. Lat. alumnus

- 'nursling' (cf. alimōnes, alimōnia), Gk. στέρεμνος 'hard', the Avestan middle participles in -mna, yazəmna-, etc., and the corresponding Greek participles with guṇa (φερόμενος, etc.). The two types differ in the same way as -vana/-una, -vara/-ura, etc. The corresponding Sanskrit participles with vṛddhi will be treated below.
- (xi) There are a few thematic adjectives based on the suffix -san: kṛṣṇá-'black' (: O. Pruss. kirsna-, O. Sl. črŭnŭ), ślakṣṇá-'smooth', akṣṇa-'oblique' (adv. akṣṇayā), tīkṣṇá-'sharp', kṛṭṣṇá-'all'; also a few substantives of adjectival origin: pyūkṣṇa-'covering for a bow', hálikṣṇa-'a kind of animal; a particular part of the intestines', mṛṭṣṇa-masc. nt. 'dust, powder', deṣṇā- nt. 'gift' ('what is given'). With different gradations of suffix karāṣṇa-'arm', vadhaṣṇā-masc. or nt. 'deadly weapon'; Pūṣaṇā- beside Pūṣān (cf. satvanā-and sātvan-), duvaṣanā-'going far (or the like)'.

 (xii) Apart from cyautnā- 'stirring', nt. 'exploit' (: Av.
 - (xii) Apart from cyautná- 'stirring', nt. 'exploit' (: Av. šyaoθna-) the suffixes -tna and -tana are specialised in connection with adverbs of time (cf. the similar use of -tara in divātara-): nūtana-, nūtna- 'belonging to the present time', pratná- 'old', sanātāna- 'eternal', adyatana 'of today', hyastana- 'of yesterday', etc.

In the above examples we have a series of adjectives all formed in the same way by the addition of the accented thematic vowel to the various r- and n- suffixes. It has been pointed out that these suffixes were capable of taking the extension -t, and there are a few adjectival forms which are based on such an extension. An example from an r-stem is muhūrtá-'moment' from muhur (: Av. mərəzu- 'short', of time). Reference has already been made to certain formations showing Prakritic tendencies, karmatha-, harmuta. From the n-suffix extended by t there are a number of thematic formations which to judge by their accent were originally adjectival, vasantá-'spring' (cf. vasar'), veśantá-'pond' (\sqrt{vis}'\text{where rainwater} settles') and with weak grade of suffix avatá-'well'. Based on the man-suffix there are hemantá-'winter', sīmânta-'parting of the hair' (:sīman-'id. boundary') and aśmanta-'fireplace'; on van/un, śakúnta-'bird' beside śakúna- (also śakúni-, śakúnti- with i-suffix, cf. śákvan-, etc.). In párvata-'mountain', which we may compare with Hitt. peruna-, perunant-'rock', there is another variant of the weak form of

this suffix (-wn-), and, as often elsewhere, retraction of the accent. These formations are not very common and some of them from quite an early period were misunderstood as if they were compounds with anta-' end' as second member. For this reason the variant forms, veśānta-, sīmānta- occur. It is not unlikely that some other apparent compounds of this type, e.g. karmānta-' work, business' (Pa. kammanta-), which only occur in the latter form, are corruptions of this type.

§ 11. THEMATIC FORMATIONS WITH VRDDHI OF SUFFIX

We have seen above that there exist two quite distinct ways of making adjectives and agent nouns on the basis of the primitive neuter suffixes. In addition there is a series of formations which must be classified by themselves, since they participate in the characteristics of both the above types. They are thematic formations, frequently accented on the final syllable, but at the same time the suffix to which the thematic vowel is attached, has vrddhi, like the agent nouns of the type brahmán- in the nom. sg. In this respect they represent a cross between the two systems, and they appear to be thematic extensions of formations of the brahmán-type, based on the nom. sg. For instance we may explain Vedic karmara- 'smith' as follows. From the evidence of Hittite and Greek it is clear, as shown above, that the neuter man- stems were originally alternating stems with nom. acc. sg. in -mar. We have also seen that on the basis of all these neuter suffixes in r and n, simple and compound, adjectives and agent nouns could be made by the method illustrated by brahmán-. Instances in connection with most of the suffixes were quoted. On this analogy we might expect on the basis of *kármar nt. (obl. base kárman-) an agent noun *karmår. Vedic karmåra- is a thematic extension of such a form, and it has been already pointed out above that this tendency to thematisation, which is familiar from the later history of Indo-Aryan, had already been operating in the pre-historic period. Another formation of this type appears to be mārjārá- 'cat', but they are exceedingly rare from r-stems. On the other hand such formations are common in the case of the n-suffixes, and in particular they have given rise to a series of middle participles in Sanskrit to which nothing exactly corresponds in the other languages.

From the simple n-suffix we have the suffix -ānd which is used in the formation of middle participles of the type adānd'eating', duhānd-' milking, etc.' Skt. adānd- is a thematic formation corresponding to the non-thematic Lat. edō-ōnis. A formation of exactly the same kind is Lat. colōnus, but such are exceedingly rare. There is final accent in the above examples, and in the perfect participles, bubudhānd-' waking', etc.; but in the reduplicating presents and in the desiderative, initial accent.

Other formations of this type are rare: samāná- 'same, common', a thematic extension of a masculine n-stem such as appears in Goth. sama (as sámana- nt. is an extension of a corresponding neuter n-stem) and purāná 'ancient'.

On the basis of the man-suffix there are middle participles in -amāna, yājamāna- 'sacrificing', etc. It is based on that form of the neuter men-stem which is attached to the root with the thematic vowel: Gk. φέρεμεν inf., etc. The vrddhied masculines corresponding to this type are represented by such forms as Gk. ἡγεμών ' leader ', κηδεμών ' one who cares for '. Thematic extension of such a type produces the Skt. type vájamāna. In the thematic conjugations to which this type of participle is attached, the stable verbal accent prevails. This type of participial formation is peculiar to Sanskrit, since the most closely related types of participle (Av. yazəmna-, Gk. φερόμενος) are differently formed, in the manner indicated above. As has happened in other cases it was by adaptation that such formations acquired the status of middle participles, and this adaptation seems to have been comparatively late, since such participles are known from only a small section of Indo-European.

There is a parallel series of formations in -asānā, most of which have the character of pseudo-participles. Such are: jrayasānā 'far-extending', namasānā- 'rendering homage', bhiyāsāna- 'fearing', mandasānā- 'rejoicing', vyāhasānā- 'growing', šavasānā- 'strong', aršasānā- 'injuring', sahasānā- 'overpowering'. In many cases there exists a neuter -as- stem beside these formations (jrāyas-, nāmas-, šāvas-, sāhas-). We have seen that the neuter as-stems were capable of taking the extension r/n. On the basis of the san-stem produced by such an extension (*nāmasan-, etc.) these adjectives have been produced by the combined method of vyādhi of the suffix and addition of the accented thematic vowel. It will also be observed that they bear

the same relation to the infinitives in -sen (Gk. φέρεων, i.e. *φερεσεν, *bheresen) as exists between bháramāna- and φέρεων. To some extent they have acquired the character of participles, but the process of adaptation is incomplete. Unlike the participles in -māna they are not integrated with any tense stem, and the practice of classifying them with the participles of the saorist was more of an emergency measure than a serious attempt at their analysis.

In ūrdhvasāná- 'erect' we find -sāná used purely as an adjectival suffix. In Pa. rakkhitamānasāna-'whose mind is guarded', a formation of this type is used to provide an adjectival termination for a bahuvrīhi compound.

Suffixes of the same type are made on the basis of the other compound n-suffixes: -avāna- in bhfgavāna- 'shining', vása-vāna- 'possessing riches', and in the proper names Apnavāna- and Pfthavāna-; -ayāna- in tūrvayāṇa- 'victorious' and Hārayāṇa- n. pr. Here belong the middle participles in -ayāna-, made in the Epic language from tenth class and causative verbs: cintayāna-, pālayāna-, etc. Though not used in the Vedic language, nor allowed in the Classical, this formation could be an ancient dialectal feature.

§ 12. VARIOUS EXTENSIONS OF THE r AND n SUFFIXES

The suffix $-\bar{a}$ is regularly used to make the feminine of the thematic adjectives classified above. In addition it appears in a number of independent formations. In $y \dot{o} \dot{s} a n \bar{a}$ (once $y o \dot{s} a n \bar{a}$) 'woman' and $kany \dot{a} n \bar{a}$ 'girl' it appears as an extension of feminine n-stems ($y \dot{o} \dot{s} a n$ -'woman', Av. $kain \dot{t} n$ -'girl'). The formation $kany \dot{a} l \bar{a}$ which is also found shows that the fem. *kany a n- on which $kany \dot{a} n \bar{a}$ is based was originally an alternating neuter. There are also words which may be of adjectival type though no corresponding masculine occurs, e.g. $\dot{a} \dot{s} \dot{t} r \bar{a}$ 'goad' ('driver'). In addition there are a number in which $-\bar{a}$ is simply an extension of old neuter r and n stems, adding nothing to the meaning, e.g. $m \dot{a} t r \bar{a}$ 'measure' (* $m \bar{a} t a r r + \bar{a}$). Others are $s \dot{u} r \bar{a}$ 'intoxicating liquor', $d h \dot{a} r \bar{a}$ 'cutting edge', $ur \dot{u} r \bar{a}$ 'cultivated land' (Av. $ur var \bar{a}$ 'crop': an old r/n neut. of Indo-European is attested by Ir. arbor, nom. acc. pl. arbann), $v \bar{a} g u r \bar{a}$ 'net', $t \dot{a} m \dot{s} r \bar{a}$ 'darkness', $h \dot{o} t r \bar{a}$ 'oblation'. The same type is formed on the basis of the n-suffix: $t f \dot{s} n \bar{a}$ 'thirst',

sénā 'army', sthāṇā 'post', dhénā 'milk-cow'. The gradation -anā is common, the words being accented either on the last syllable or the penultimate (for accent of ā-stems see below, p. 190): arhāṇā 'worth', barhāṇā 'might', vadhānā 'slaughter', vanānā 'desire'; asanā 'missile', jaraṇā 'old age', dyotanā 'brilliance', raśanā 'rein', hasanā. With these action nouns in -anā compare the Greek type ŋδονή 'pleasure'.

There are a few such formations in $-\bar{\imath}$ and $-\bar{u}$: $r\dot{a}tr\bar{\imath}$ -' night', tandri-' sloth'. nabhani-' spring'.

Stems are frequently made by the addition of i and u to the r and n suffixes.

(a) The suffix -i serves as an enlargement of r-stems in ángh-r-i masc. 'foot' (cf. O. Sl. noga 'foot' from different gradation of root) ábhri- fem. 'hoe', áśri- fem. 'edge, point', angúri fem. 'finger'. Adjectives are arcátri- 'singing hymns', átri- 'devouring', bhári- 'abundant', śubhri- 'beautiful', jásuri- 'exhausted', dáśuri- 'pious', sáhuri- 'mighty' (cf. Gk. ἐχυρός, ὀχυρός 'firm' with thematic suffix); nouns of adjectival origin, sūri- 'patron' (√sū-, as the instigator of the sacrifice), vádhri- 'a castrated animal' (:vadhar; Gk. ἔθρις 'id.').

The suffix -i is in the same way added to the n-suffix in (fem.) śreni- 'row', śroni- 'hip', seni- (seni-) 'sickle', jūrni- 'heat', jyāni- 'loss', glāni- 'fading'; (masc.) ghini- 'heat', yoni womb'. In the formation of action nouns -ni is used coextensively with -ná in the past participle. Adjectives are aśni- 'eating', vdhni-' carrying' (later 'fire'), tūrni-' speeding', dharnisustaining', prent- 'loving', pṛśni- 'speckled' (cf. Gk. περκνός with thematic suffix); of adjectival origin, agni-'fire'. With the gradation -ani there are such nouns as (fem.) dyotani- 'brilliance', vartani 'track', aráni- 'firestick' (ar- 'to fit', alternating r in arari- 'door-leaf'). Adjectives are tarániswift', caráni-' moving', etc. Similar formations from the compound n-suffixes are: hrādúni- fem. 'hail', tuvisvánipowerful' (-vani as secondary suffix), aratni- masc. 'elbow. cubit', istáni, epithet of Agni, turváni- overcoming', bhurváni-'agitated', susukváni- 'shining', parsáni- 'carrying across', sakṣáṇi- 'overcoming', carṣaṇi- 'active'; no longer of clear derivation, vṛṣṇɨ- ' ram ', pāṛṣṇɨ- fem. ' heel ' (Gk. πτέρνα, etc.).

(b) The *u*-suffix in combination with *r* produces occasional neuter nouns, *áśru* 'tear' (Toch. A. ākār, plur. ākru-nt), śmaśru 'beard', and some adjectives, *dhārú* 'suckling' (Gk. θῆλυς

'female'), bhīrú-'timid', patáru-'flying'; in combination with n some adjectives and nouns of adjectival origin: dhṛṣṇú-'bold', gṛdhnú-'greedy', sūnú-'son', dhenú-fem.'cow,'bhānú-masc.'light'. The combinations -tnú and -snú were fairly productive in the formation of adjectives: kṛṭnú-'active', darṭnú-'breaking', draviṭnú-'running', pīyaṭnú-'reviling', sṭanayiṭnú-'thunder', kavaṭnú-'stingy, mean'; vadhasnú-'murderous', jiṣṇú-'victorious', cariṣṇú-'wandering', etc. The combination-vanu appears in vagvanú-'noise'.

§13. THE SUFFIX s

The neuter suffix -as is better preserved than any of the other old neuter suffixes, and a larger number of words of this type have directly corresponding words in other IE languages than is the case with any other suffix. Such are: śrávas-'fame'(Gk. κλέος, Ir. clú 'id.', O. Sl slovo 'word'), jánas-'race'(Gk. γένος, Lat. genus), mánas-'mind'(Gk. μένος 'spirit'), háras-'heat'(Gk. θέρος 'summer'), nábhas-'cloud, sky'(O. Sl. nebo, Hitt. nepiš-), árśas-'piles'(Gk. ἔλκος, Lat. ulcus 'ulcer'), vácas-'word'(Gk. Fεπος, ἔπος), sádas-'seat'(Gk. ἔδος), pásas-'male organ'(Gk. πέος), edhas-'fuel'(Gk. alθος 'burning'), sáhas-'strength'(Goth. sigis 'victory'), ápas-'work'(Lat. opus), ánas-'waggon'(Lat. onus 'burden'), vánas-'charm, desire'(Lat. venus). Other examples of this very frequent suffix are tápas-'warmth', práyas-'pleasure', téjas-'splendour', dóhas-'milking', káras-'deed', héṣas 'injury'(hiṃs-), etc.

The normal type has the regular radical accent of neuters, also guna of suffix. Accent shift in declension (type yákyt, yaknás) has been abandoned, and the accent remains on the root throughout the declension. There are some variant types of gradation, namely (1) vyddhi of root in ágas- 'sin' (Gk. áyos), ápas- 'work' (usually ápas-), vásas- 'garment', váhas- 'offering', pájas- 'side, surface'; (ii) weak grade of root in úras- 'breast', síras- 'head', júvas- 'speed' (also jávas-), mýdhas- 'contempt', dúvas- 'offering'; (iii) reduction of suffix in yós- 'welfare' (Av. yaoš-, Lat. iūs), dós- 'arm'. These variants show that the working of apophony was at one time active in these formations, although in most cases it has been levelled out. The type with weakened grade of root is interesting since it can only be explained out of original terminational accent in

the oblique cases. There are rare examples of this switch of accent in declension, e.g. bhiyás- 'fear' (transfer to fem.), instr. sg. bhīṣá (beside bhiyásā).

Some twenty-five dative infinitives are formed with this suffix. These are sometimes accented on the root, dyase 'to go', cdksase 'to see', dhdyase 'to cherish', but much more commonly on the suffix, rcdse 'to praise', cardse 'to fare', jīvdse 'to live', dohdse 'to milk', bhojdse 'to enjoy', sobhdse 'to shine', spūrdhdse 'to strive', etc. The origin of this anomalous accentuation, which is in contrast both with usual fixed radical accent of as-stems and with the original terminational accent of the oblique cases, is not at all clear. It may be noted that it recurs in other types of infinitive: dāvdne 'to give', vidmdne 'to know'. In a very few dative infinitives based on the s-suffix terminational accent is found with reduction both of root and suffix: jisé 'to conquer', stusé 'to praise'.

The normal locatival accent appears in upási 'in the lap' (only this form), cf. akṣáṇi, etc. The related adverbial accent appears in purás 'in front', tirás 'across' and mithás 'mutually'; cf. avár, etc.

There are a few instances of transference of gender in the case of action nouns in -as. Such are, (masc.) tavás-'strength' (as well as 'strong' adj.), fem. jarás-'old age', bhiyás-'fear' and uṣás-'dawn' (cf. Gk. $\eta \omega s$). These appear mainly to be due to personification. The transference involves the adoption of the adjectival accent (as in bhūmán-'abundance', etc., above).

This neuter suffix was capable from an early period of being extended by the addition of the neuter r- and n-suffixes. Examples of this (sīrṣṇás, doṣṇás, gen. sg., etc.) have been given above, together with derivatives from such stems (matsará-, mandasāná-, etc.). It could also be added to other suffixes, producing a variety of compound suffixes, e.g.: -tas in rétas' seed', srótas' stream' (simple t-stem in sravat); -nas in rétnas-' inheritance, property', ápnas-' wealth', árṇas-' flood', and, preceded by i and ī, dráviṇas-' property', parīṇas-' abundance'; -sas (repetition of the suffix) in dákṣas-' ability, dexterity' (simple -as in daśas-yáti) and pákṣas-' side' (simple -as in ptijas); -vas in ptivas-' fat', várivas-' expanse'. This latter combination normally appears in the weak form -us:

drus-'wound', dyus-'length of life', tápus-'heat', tárus'victory', yájus-'sacrificial formula', vápus-'beauty', párus'knot (of plants)', dhánus-'bow'. An alternative n-extension appears in dhánvan-'bow' and párvan-'joint', and the simple u-stem dyu nt. appears as well as dyu-s- (cf. also aru- in arun-tuda-). In the same way s is added to the i-suffix to produce the compound suffix -is. Of these neuter nouns jyótis-'light', âmis-'raw flesh' and vyáthis-'perturbation' are accented on the root according to the general rule. In the root the original imis-' raw flesh' and vyáthis-' perturbation' are accented on the root according to the general rule. In the rest the original accent system has been disturbed, and they appear with accent on the suffix: arcis-' flame' (also transferred to feminine), chardis-' protection, cover', barhis-' bedding, straw' (Av. barzzis-), rocis-' light', vartis-' track', śocis-' flame', sarpis-' butter' (Gk. ĕλπος with simple s-stem), havis-' oblation', kravis-' raw flesh' (simple i-stem in ákravihasta-' whose hands are not bloody', cf. also kravyá-, Lith. kraŭjas, etc.).

The neuters in -as can be turned into adjectives and agent nouns by the usual process of suffixal accentuation associated with vṛddhi of the nom. sg. The neuter and adjectival types appear side by side in the case of ápas-' work': apás-' active'; táras-' energy, force': tarás-' forceful'; yásas-' beauty, glory': yaśās-' beautiful'; tyájas-' leaving, something let go of': tyajās-' offspring'; māhas-' greatness': mahās-' great'; rākṣās-' injury, damage': rakṣās-' demon';

'great'; rákṣas- 'injury, damage': rakṣás- 'demon'; dúvas- 'worship': duvás- 'worshipping'. Other examples of the adjectival formation are tavás- 'strong', tośás- 'bestowing', dhvarás- 'deceiving', yajás- 'worshipping' and veśás- 'neighbour'. The same antithetic types appear in Greek: ψεῦδος ' falsehood ': ψευδής ' false ', etc.

'falsehood': ψενδής 'false', etc.

From the compound suffix -vas there are some adjectival formations. The usual antithesis of the two types is seen by comparing várivas- nt. 'expanse' on the one hand, and okivás-masc. 'accustomed to, familiar' on the other. Formations of the same type are seen in mīḍhvás- 'liberal', dāsvás- 'worshipping' and sāhvás- 'overcoming', which inflect like perfect participles. On the other hand in fbhvas- 'skilful' and śikvas- 'id' are influenced in form and accentuation by the coexisting van-stems. There is a special connection between the adjectival suffixes -van and -vas in Sanskrit, because the latter is used to make the vocative singular of stems in the former (etāvas make the vocative singular of stems in the former (rtāvas, vibhāvas, etc.; likewise of vant-stems: rayivas, bhagavas,

śacīvas, etc.). In Avestan we find this suffix used to form the nom. sg. of vant-stems, amavâ nom. sg. of amavani- 'strong'.

Apart from the above examples the adjectival -vás has been specialised in the formation of perfect participles: cakṛvás- having done ', jigīvás- having conquered ', tasthivás- having stood ', babhūvás- having been ', śuśruvás- having heard ', etc. These stems show an ancient apophony in declension, even though the original terminational accent in the oblique cases which caused it has been given up (gen. sg. tasthúṣas for *tasthuṣás).

The adjectival vas-suffix was capable of taking the enlargement -u (cf. vagvanú- above, a parallel extension of -van). Examples are vibhåvasu- 'brilliant' and śacīvasu- 'powerful' based on the vas- stems which occur in the vocatives noted above. Compare also Pa. viddasu- 'wise' for *vidvasu-.

The specialisation of the adjectival -vas in the formation of these participles has resulted in its being separated completely from the corresponding compound neuter suffix which, as we have seen, usually takes the form -us. Consequently when adjectives are needed from these, it is done simply by adapting the neuters without change of form. As noted above (p. 138) this practice has parallels elsewhere and is old, although rare through the prevalence of the normal system. Examples are caksus- 'eye; seeing', vapus- 'marvel; wondrous', tapus- 'heat; glowing'; without corresponding neuters, nahus- 'neighbour', manus- 'man', and with suffixal accent but not the corresponding gradation, vanus- 'eager', jayus- 'victor-ious' and daksus- 'flaming'.

There is an adjectival suffix -yás which likewise underwent early specialisation and became totally divorced from the neuter suffix -is. This is used in Sanskrit, and in other languages for making comparative adjectives. Examples are: návyas'newer', pányas-'more wonderful', bhúyas-'more', rábhyas'more violent', vásyas-'better', sáhyas-'more powerful', sányas-'older', távyas-'stronger'. Similarly Avestan has spanyah-'more holy', tạšyah-'stronger', āsyah-'swifter', etc. This formation is the regular one in Avestan, but in Sanskrit it is much less common than a formation in which the -yas- is added not directly to the root, but to the root plus suffix -ī: kánīyas-'younger' (cf. gen. pl. kanī-n-ām), návīyas-'newer', mrādīyas-'softer', prāthīyas-'broader', várīyas-'wider' (cf. vár-ī-man

'width'), tárīyas- 'very swift' (cf. tarī-ṣáni inf.), rághīyas-'swifter', máhīyas- 'greater', sthávīyas- 'stouter' (cf. Av. ranfyah-, mázyah-, staoyah-). In both accent and apophony all these forms (excepting bháyas- with weak grade of root) are reminiscent more of the neuter types (cf. várīman-, várīvas-: várīyas). The reason for this is not clear, though it must have some significance in the question of their origin.

Corresponding forms of the comparative exist in Italic and Celtic: Lat. seniōr, O. Ir. siniu (IE *sényōs) 'older'. The e-grade of the root and the o-grade of the suffix indicate an accent identical with that of Sanskrit (sányas-). In Greek the same suffix appears in some cases: ἐλάσσω (*ελαχ-yos-α) acc. sg., ἐλάσσους (*ἐλαχ-yoσ-ες) nom. pl., but in other cases a -yon-suffix with similar function appears: ἐλάσσων, ἐλάσσονος, etc. In Greek, as in Sanskrit, a suffixal ī may be inserted before the comparative suffix: ἡδίων 'sweeter'. In Germanic a compound suffix -is-on- is utilised: Goth. batiza 'better', etc.

The weak form of the suffix (-is-) could make adverbs with comparative sense, e.g. Lat. magis 'more'. It also forms the basis of superlatives which are made by the addition of the further suffix -tha (elsewhere -to): kániṣṭha-'smallest, young-est', jáviṣṭha-'most speedy', nédiṣṭha-'nearest' (Av. naz-diṣṭha-'swiftest' (Av. āsiṣṭha-'strongest' (Av. aojiṣṭa-), gáriṣṭha-'heaviest', etc. As with the comparative the accent of the superlatives is invariably on the root and the apophony is according. Superlatives of the same formation are found also in Greek (ŋōloros = svādiṣṭha-'sweetest') and in Germanic (Goth. frumists' first', etc.).

As with the other neuter suffixes, adjectives and nouns of adjectival type can be made by the addition of the accented thematic vowel to the neuter s-suffix. These are of the usual two types, an older type in -sá with reduction of the suffix on account of the following accent, and a more recent type in -asá formed from the neuter as-stems with guṇa of suffix. Unlike some other suffixes of similar structure, -sá never became very productive or developed independently to any extent.

sá: rukṣá- 'shining' (rócas- 'light'), dyukṣá- 'bright' (for *dyutsá- after rukṣá-; cf. also ávākṣam for ávātsam, etc.), pṛkṣá- 'nourishment' (pṛkṣ- 'nourishment', a reduced s-stem which has been adapted as a fem. root noun), ghṛaṃsá- 'heat of the sun', vatsá- 'calf' (Gk. Féros 'year'), vṛkṣá- 'tree'

(válsa-'foliage'), yakṣá-'supernatural being' (yásas-'beauty'), sūṣá-'strong' (śávas-'strength'), pakṣá-'side' (pājas-'side, surface'), rūkṣá-'rough, dry' (√rūṣ-), drapsá-'banner' (Av. drafša-; cf. drāpí-'mantle'), drapsá-'drop' (for *drabžha-, cf. Gk. τρέφω, etc.), grapsa- and glapsa-'bunch' (*grabžha-, √grabh-), sakṣa-'overpowering' (sáhas-'strength'), hamsá-'goose' (Gk. χήν, etc.). In a smaller number of words, chiefly substantives, the accent appears on the root, áṃsa-'shoulder' (Lat. umerus with guṇa of suffix), útsa-'spring' (Gk. υδος nt.), 'rṣṣá-'star' (arc-'to shine'), dákṣa-'clever' (cf. daśas-yáti), gftsa-'dexterous, able'.

-asá: vacasá- 'eloquent', añjasá- 'straight', arṇasá- 'flowing', tamasá- 'dark-coloured', nabhasá- 'cloudy', rajasá- 'dusty', rabhasá- 'wild', manasá- 'wise', upānasá- 'being near a waggon', vetasá- 'reed', camasá- 'cup', pīvasá- 'fat', divasá- 'day', prapyasá- 'swelling (with milk)'.

Thematic extensions of neuter as-stems, such as are common in the case of the suffixes r and n, are rare if they exist at all. It is possible that $y\dot{a}vasa$ - (masc. and nt.) might be of this origin, but otherwise such neuter nouns in -asa as occur are oxytone, a fact which indicates their adjectival origin: ankasa-' flank', avasa-' refreshment', atasa-' bush', parinasa-' abundance'.

Adjectival derivations with vrddhi are ayasá- 'made of metal', vāyasá- 'bird', mānasá- 'belonging to the mind' and so forth.

Similar adjectival formations from the is- and us- stems occur: taviṣá-'strong', bhariṣá-'rapacious', mahiṣá-'great; buffalo'; paruṣá-'knotty (as reed); rough', paruṣá-'grey, speckled white' (Av. pouruša-; cf. Engl. fallow, etc.), aruṣá-'red'; with vṛddhi, vāpuṣá-'wonderful'. The radical accent of náhuṣa-'neighbour', mánuṣa-'man' and vápuṣa-'wondrous' is connected with the fact that the corresponding usstems are themselves used adjectivally without change of accent. Similar accent is found in táruṣa-'overcoming' and pūruṣa-, pūruṣa-'man' (related to pūrū-'man; n. of a tribe' as mánuṣa- is related to mánu-).

There are a few closely related formations in $-\bar{\imath}_s a$ and $-\bar{\imath}_s a$ ($\bar{\imath}/\bar{\imath}_s + s + a$), $rj\bar{\imath}_s a$ - epithet of Indra, $\bar{a}ng\bar{\imath}_s a$ - 'hymn' and the neuters $p \dot{\imath}_s r\bar{\imath}_s a$ - 'rubbish', $k \dot{a}r\bar{\imath}_s a$ - 'dry cow-dung' and $p\bar{\imath}_s u \dot{\imath}_s a$ - 'beest milk' (cf. $pipyus\bar{\imath}_s$ and Gk. $\pi\bar{\imath}_s o s < py\bar{\imath}_s o s$).

There are a number of miscellaneous stems made by the addition of various suffixes to s-stems. Such are: bhīṣā' fear'. manīsā 'understanding', savasī 'strength', tavisī 'id', sarasī-'lake'; pluși 'flea' (J plu-), dhāsi- 'abode', sānasi- 'victorious', dharnasi- 'strong', atasi- 'beggar'; dákṣu-, dhákṣu-'burning'; bhujiṣyd- 'free'; the rare infinitival forms avyathişyai 'not to tremble 'and rohişyai; mastişka- 'brain'; nariştā 'joking'; upástha- 'lap' (cf. upási), vanişthú- 'entrails' (cf. Germ. wanst; different suffix in Lat. venter). Enlargement with the suffix -ti appears in a fair number of examples: gábhasti- 'hand', palasti- 'grey-haired' (cf. palitá-'id'), pulasti- 'having straight hair' (cf. pulaka- 'bristling of the hairs of the body'), Agásti n. of a rsi. This suffixal combination is well developed in Slavonic, where, however, it makes abstract nouns (O. Sl. dlugosti 'length', etc.). It appears also in Hittite with the same function: dalugašti 'length'. On the other hand it appears occasionally in Latin in adjectival use, as in Sanskrit: agrestis 'rural', caelestis 'celestial'. From is- and us- stems there appear formations of the same kind: návisti-'hymn of praise', pánisti- 'admiration', tatanústi- 'spreading out'.

§14. THE SUFFIX t

The use of the simple suffix t, in the common gender, to make action nouns and abstracts, is fairly well developed in Hittite, e.g. kartimmiatt-' anger', duškaratt-' joy'. They are rare else-

where, but where they occur such nouns have normally acquired the feminine gender: Skt. nákt-' night', Lat. nox, Gk. níξ; Lat. quiễs, salūs, etc. Gk. θέμις, χάρις.

The reason that such formations are so rare is that as a general rule they have been supplanted by extensions of the simple t-suffix, namely by ti in the case of action nouns, and by tā in the case of abstracts. In Skt. daśát 'decade' beside daśati-'id.' we have an example of the unextended and extended form side by side. An isolated avirat-'absence of heroes' (avirate dat. sg. RV. 7.1.19) represents a type that has otherwise been universally supplanted by the compound suffix tā.

A very small number of feminine nouns in -t appear in Sanskrit: sravát-, vahát-, both meaning 'stream', saścát 'obstacle', vehát-'cow which miscarries'. Of these the last is presumably adjectival and the others could be. A masc. adjectival form appears in vāghát-'worshipper'. This adjectival suffix appears elsewhere, cf. Lat. pedes 'footman', eques' horseman'.

In napat- 'grandson' there is a formation with vrddhied suffix which may be compared with the similar formations analysed above. This stem is also interesting because it retains an ancient type of declensional apophony. A reduced grade appears in Skt. nádbhvas dat. abl. pl., Av. naptō abl. sg., nafšu loc. pl. (with reduction of the three consonant groups). There are other examples of the vrddhied suffix in adjectival formations in other languages: Av. ravas-čarāt- 'moving in the open country', Gk. ἀργής 'shining' (ἀργῆτ- or ἀργέτ- in the oblique cases, cf. the two types δοτήρα and ποιμένα), πένης 'poor', γυμνής 'lightly armed soldier', Lat. ariës 'ram' (gen. -ĕtis). AS. hale 'hero' (yaléb). They differ from the yrddhied formations involving the other suffixes only in that they take the nominative -s, which is the normal practice with stems in occlusives. Skt. padāti- 'foot soldier' and patti- 'id.' are both i- extensions of a t-stem: the two different forms derive from the declensional apophony of the primitive stem.

In one special case the suffix t remains a living formative in Sanskrit. It has been noted above (§ 2) that roots ending in the vowels i, u and r cannot, like other roots, function without any addition as nominal stems. Where other roots do so they invariably add the suffix t: stút-' praise', samit-' battle', niyút-' team', vft-' army, host', rit-' stream', mit-' post', hrit-' treachery; foe'. These stems, like the root stems, may be

used either as action nouns (in which case they are feminine) or agent nouns; in the latter use they also appear most frequently as the latter members of compounds: devastút- 'praising the gods', viśvajít- 'all-conquering', jyotiṣkft- 'making light', etc., etc. Like the root stems they have generalised the weak grade in declension.

In this way the t- formations have come to form one system with the root nouns, since they are used in identical circumstances and with exactly the same function as the root stems in the case of other roots. For this reason the Indian grammarians do not class the t which appears here as an ordinary suffix (pratyaya-), but consider it to be a special addition or augment (āgama-). The suffix t has acquired this character of augment in a number of other formations, notably in the gerunds in -ya ("jitya" having conquered", etc., as opposed to "dṛṣya" having seen"), and in the adjectival formations in van (kṛṭvan-" active" as opposed to ydṛyan-" worshipping"). In these cases too it appears to strengthen the roots ending in i, u and ṛ. We shall see below that the same kind of development has taken place, and to a greater degree, with the suffix i.

The compound suffix -it appears in a few examples, yosst'woman', divit- 'brilliance' (whence divitmant- 'brilliant')
sarit- 'river', harit- 'green, yellow', rohit- 'red'. These are
accented on the suffix, but this does not agree with the apophony; cf. the type śocis-. The adjectives harit- and rohit-,
which must originally have been accented on the root, were at
one time stems which could be used indifferently as nouns or
adjectives. Of these the adjectives hárita- and róhita- are
thematic extensions, and they preserve the original accent of
the simpler forms, because they belong to that small class of
adjectives which do not take the normal adjectival accent
owing to the adjectival character of the stems on which they are
based (cf. vápus-, vápusa- above).

A suffix -ut appears in Marút- n. of the storm gods (*mar-' to shine': cf. márīci-' ray' and Gk. μαρμαίρω, ἀμαρύσσω), also in garmút- fem. 'a kind of grass', and garut'- which is found only in the derivative garútmant' winged'. The guna grade of this suffix -vat is employed in the middle cases of the perfect participles (vidvádbhyas, etc.) and the nom. acc. sg. nt. (vidvát). In Greek it is used throughout the masculine (είδώς, είδότος, etc.).

A few adverbs are made with this suffix: sanat 'of old',

pradakṣinit 'moving to the right', cikitvit 'carefully'. Similarly Av. paityaogai 'backwards', etc. An adverbial suffix -tas has been abstracted from the ablative singular of t-stems. Originally the type dakṣinatás 'from the right' was to be analysed dakṣinat-ás (cf. pradakṣinit for the t-suffix in connection with this word). With the growing obsolescence of the t-suffix, formations of this type came to be analysed dakṣina-tás, etc., and the -tas thus abstracted became very productive in the formation of adverbs with ablatival meaning: mukhatás 'from the mouth', agratás 'in front', sarvátas 'on all sides', tátas 'from there', parítas 'around', etc.

Adjectives in -tá arose in the usual way from the addition of the accented thematic vowel to t-stems; so, prsatá- 'speckled' from pisat- 'spot, drop'. Since t-stems have mostly disappeared, such adjectives appear normally as independent formations. Some have the suffix in the form -atá, e.g. darśatá-'visible' (Gk. °δέρκετος), yajatá- 'to be adored', bharatá, '(to be maintained), epith. of Agni, n. of a tribe', rajatásilver' (cf. Av. 272zata-), others in the form -tá, tṛṣṭá-' rough'śyctá- 'white', ánapta- 'not wet' (Av. napta- wet), dūtámessenger', sūtá-'charioteer', nāpitá-'barber' (for *snāpitá-, cf. Pa. nahāpita-); in other cases it is preceded by some other suffix (i, u, etc.): tigitá- 'sharp', palitá- 'grey-haired', ámanyuta- 'free from anger', ádbhuta- 'wonderful', kapóta-'bluish-grey; pigeon'. Mention has already been made of its addition to the suffixes n and r (vasantá-, muhūrtá-). The colour words hárita- and róhita- have radical accent due to the original use of the simple t-stems as adjectives. Radical accent appears in some other examples, ásita- 'black', éta- 'speckled', márta-' mortal '.

The colour adjectives either substitute an n-suffix in the feminine: $\acute{e}n\bar{i}$, $\acute{s}y\acute{e}n\bar{i}$, $\acute{h}\acute{a}rin\bar{i}$, or add n with change of t to k: $\acute{a}sikn\bar{i}$, $\acute{p}\acute{a}likn\bar{i}$. Here there are apparently traces of an old alternation corresponding to that of r- and n- stems.

Apart from these adjectives the suffix $-t\acute{a}$ is specialised in the formation of past passive participles, a function which appears also in the other IE languages. There is a reduction both of root and suffix before the final accented \acute{a} (bhṛt\acute{a}-, as opposed to bharat\acute{a}-) which is characteristic of the most ancient formations. These participles are very numerous and are formed from all roots except a small number which take $-n\acute{a}$: $frut\acute{a}-'$ heard'

(Gk. κλυτός, Lat. in-clutus, Ir. cloth), srutá- 'having flowed' (Gk. ρυτός), tatá- 'stretched' (Gk. τατός, Lat. tentus), hatá- 'slain' (Av. O. Pers. fata-, Gk. φατός), gatá- 'gone' (Gk. βατός 'that can be traversed'), niktá- 'washed' (Gk. ἄνιπτος 'unwashed'), uṣṭa- 'burnt' (Lat. ustus), vṛttá- 'turned' (Lat. vorsus, versus), dṛṣṭá- 'seen' (AS. torht 'clear'), miṣṭa-savoury (of food) < mikṣ-, cf. Lat. mixtus.

As elsewhere the specific function of this suffix is not inherent in it from the beginning but acquired by adaptation. The fundamental meaning of klutó- for instance, like that of any other adjectival form of the same type, is no more than 'one connected with hearing'. In Greek the specialisation of meaning has not gone so far, since beside a passive sense, an active sense is frequently found: $\delta vva\tau \delta s$ 'possible' and 'able', $\sigma vve\tau \delta s$ 'intelligible' and 'intelligent', etc. In Sanskrit an active sense is seen in $s\bar{u}td$ -' charioteer' ('driver', i.e. 'one connected with $s\bar{u}$ -t-' driving', from $s\bar{u}$ -, suv dti) and in $n\bar{u}$ -pitá-' barber'.

The reason for the rarity of the simple suffix -t is that it has normally been replaced by compound formations in which a further suffixal element is added to the t. The commonest of these, which makes verbal abstracts is -ti. This is the commonest of all the suffixes making verbal abstracts or action nouns, and words formed with this suffix show less tendency to develop a concrete sense than is the case with other suffixes. These words are feminine, in contradistinction to the action nouns formed with the suffixes previously discussed, which are neuter. In this respect they follow the simple t-stems which in most IE languages are feminine, and in Hittite common gender. The only traces of neuter ti-stems that can be found are the pronominal forms káti 'how many', táti 'so many', yáti 'as many 'which are such in form though they function differently. It is clear that those stems were among the earliest to break away from the neuter system proper to action nouns as the gender system developed.

The process of the enlargement of t-stems by the addition of the suffix -i is seen in such pairs as samit-, samiti- 'assembly'; nakt-, nakti- 'night'; dasat-, dasati- 'decade'.

The accentuation of these action nouns is subject to no rule. It may appear on the root as in fddhi-' prosperity', gáti-' going', jústi-' satisfaction', dhfti-' firmness', ráti-' enjoyment',

vfddhi- 'growth ', śdki- 'power', śdnti- 'peace', or somewhat more frequently on the suffix: utt- 'helping', ksitt- 'abode', just- 'speed', dhitt- 'thought', pustt- 'prosperity', bhaktt- 'sharing', bhrtt- 'maintenance', matt- 'thought', stutt-' praise '. This lack of rule in the accentuation is characteristic also of the simple -i stems, as will be noted below. In Greek the accent is normally on the root, but since the radical vowel in these formations, in Greek as in Sanskrit, appears always in the weak grade, it cannot have been there unchanged from the beginning. Compared with the general system—accent and guna of root for action nouns and vice versa for agent nouns—these formations present a striking anomaly, and it is not now possible to say what particular developments in early Indo-European were responsible for this state of affairs.

Examples of this formation are abundant also in Greek and a number of parallel forms can be quoted: apaciti- 'retribution ', Gk. anoriois 'id'; kṣiti- 'dwelling', Av. šiti-, Gk. κτίσις 'settlement'; ksiti- 'destruction', Gk. φθίσις; ā-huti- 'oblation', Gk. χύσις 'pouring out'; sruti- 'flowing', Gk. ρύσις; pluti- 'floating', Gk. πλύσις; gáti- 'going', Gk. βάσις, cf. Goth. ga-qumps; tati- 'stretching, row ', Gk. τάσις. In Latin they have been replaced by a still further developed suffix -tion-, made by adding the vrddhied n-suffix to the -ti. These are feminines because the ti- abstracts on which they are based were feminine: mentiō (Skt. mati-) iunctiō (Skt. yukti-, Gk. ζεῦξις),

The gradation -att appears in a number of examples: amhati- 'distress', drsati- 'appearance', mithati- 'conflict', vasati- 'abode', paksati- 'root of the wing'.

A few datives of ti-stems are classed as infinitives: istaye 'to refresh', pītáye' to drink', vītáye' to enjoy', sātáye' to win', ūtáye ' to help '.

There are also a number of ti-stems functioning as agent nouns, mainly in the early language: jñāti- 'relation', (: Lith. gentis 'id'), patti-, padāti- 'footsoldier', addhāti 'sage', rāti- 'liberal', dháti- 'shaker', sapti- 'steed', dhísti- 'bold', páti- 'putrid', vásti- 'eager'; ámati- 'poor', sthapáti 'governor; architect', vrkáti- 'robber'. rámati- 'liking to stay', p-áti- 'master'.1

From $p\bar{a}$ -' to protect, govern'. That the t in this word is suffixal is evident from its absence in Gk. Sionowa. Therefore pdti- is to opd- (nppa-, etc.) as vfháti- to vfka-.

The position of the accent is subject to no rule, as is the case with the action nouns, and the two classes are not distinguished in the usual way.

The adjectival formations are occasionally extended by the addition of suffixal n, abhimātin-' insidious' (abhimāti-' id'), rātin' liberal' (rāti' id'). Compare the similar extension in Latin in the action nouns.

A suffix -tu is produced in the same way by the addition of u to the simple t-suffix. These are less numerous than the tistems, and morphologically less altered from the ancient system. There are for instance still a number of neuters preserved: dátu 'division', vástu 'abode' (Gk. (F)άστυ 'city'), vástu 'thing', mástu 'sour cream' (cf. Gallo-Lat. mesga, Ir. medg with different suffix). A neuter formation in -tu used adverbially appears in jatu 'at all, ever'. These neuters have the regular radical accent, associated with guna, which is the characteristic of neuter action nouns. The same accent and guna appears also in the following masculines (this is the gender which the non-neuter action nouns in -tu normally adopt : contrast the feminine ti-stems): ótu- 'west', tántu- 'thread'. dhátu- 'element', sáktu- 'groats', sétu- 'bund, dam', sótu-'libation'. Occasionally suffixal accent appears: gātú-'way', hetú- (but with guṇa of root), pitú- ' nourishment '. Guṇa of the first element of the suffix appears in edhatú- 'welfare', vahatú-'wedding' and kr-átu- 'intelligence' (kr- 'to think, commemorate'). There are very few feminines: vástu-'morning', sátu- 'giving birth ', jīvátu- 'life '.

Agent nouns and adjectives are rare: mántu- 'councillor' and dhátu- 'suitable for sucking' do not have the proper adjectival accent, which contrasts with the formations in simple -u. Regular suffixal accent appears in tapyatú- 'glowing' and siṣāsatú- 'desirous of obtaining'.

The suffix -tu is a rich source of infinitives. These are regularly accented on the root which normally takes guna. They appear in the accusative, dative and genitive.

(1) The accusative infinitive in -tum is the only one used in the classical language: kártum 'to do', gántum 'to go', dắtum' to give', śrótum' to hear', nếtum' to lead', móktum' to release', etc., etc. In the Vedic language which is rich in other kinds of infinitives, this formation is exceedingly rare, appearing in some five examples in the Rgveda, and in five others in the

Atharvaveda. It is equivalent in form to the Latin supine,

factum, itum, etc.

(2) Over thirty dative infinitives formed with this suffix are found in the Vedic language. They are invariably accented on the root which takes guna (except satave 'to bring forth' beside savitave): étave 'to go', kartave 'to do', datave 'to give', mantave 'to think', yaṣṭave 'to sacrifice', etc.

(3) The ablatives occur less frequently: hántos 'from being struck', étos 'from going', etc. The formation appears occasionally also in a genitive sense, kártos 'doing' (with madhyá),

datos 'giving' (with ise).

In addition to these three types there also occurs occasionally in the Veda a type in -tavai: étavai 'to go', hántavai 'to slay'. It has the anomaly of a double accent which has not been explained, and it is always followed by the particle -u. It appears to be based on a thematic extension of the gunated tu-suffix (*ttava- nt.) with the old form of the dative singular (Av. -āi).

The neuter suffix -tvá which must in origin be a thematic formation based on the tu-suffix, is frequent, and is used exclusively in the formation of secondary abstract nouns: amṛtatvá-'immortality', devatvá-'divinity', sucitvá-'purity', satrutvá-'enmity', etc. The accent of these secondary formations has nothing to do with the old system, but comes from the tendency to evolve a special taddhita accent for secondary neuters which has been noticed above. Avestan has such formations, though not abundantly (ratutwa-'office of ratu-', etc.), also a number of primary formations with this suffix, vatwa-'herd', staotwa-'prayer'. The latter type is practically unrepresented in Sanskrit, but petva-'fat sheep' (masc. or neut.) apparently belongs here. On the other hand prapitvá-'time of the morning meal (pitú-), morning' and abhipitvá-'time of the evening meal' are no doubt adjectival in origin.

The further extended suffix -tvaná appears in the Veda in the same sense: mahitvaná-' greatness', sakhitvaná-' friendship', etc. Though ignored by classical Sanskrit it turns up again in Middle Indo-Aryan (-ttana-), and has been preserved even to the modern period (Hi. -pan).

There are some thematic adjectives based on the suffix -tu which are used as gerundives in the Vedic language: kártva-' to be done', jétva-' to be won', váktva-' to be said', sánitva-

'to be gained', hántva-'to be slain'. Likewise in Avestan, jątwa-'to be slain'. These are all accented on the root contrary to the general rule for adjectives. The classical type in -tavya (kartávya-, alternatively kartavyà-'to be done', etc.) is made from the gunated tu-suffix with addition of the adjectival suffix -ya. In Greek yet another variant (-tewos) occurs, nountéos, etc.

By yet another enlargement of the -tu suffix the gerunds in -två are provided which are used with uncompounded verbs. These appear with the root normally in its weakened form, and with the accent on the suffix: iṣṭvå 'having sacrificed', kṛtvå 'having made', gatvå 'having gone', tīrtvå 'having crossed', dṛṣṭvå 'having seen', pītvå 'having drunk', snātvå 'having bathed', etc., etc.

These formations have at first sight the appearance of being instrumentals of action nouns in -tu. As such their form would be in order, assuming they are ancient forms, since originally the accent was on the termination in the weak cases and this caused reduction of the root. The chief difficulty against such an explanation is the co-existence in the Veda of a gerund in -tvī. This formation is actually more frequent in the Rgveda than the gerund in -två-: kṛtvi 'having done', gatvi 'having gone', bhūtvi 'having become', etc. The latter formation cannot be explained as an instrumental or any other case ending of a verbal noun in -tu. Since the explanation of both forms must run on parallel lines, it follows that the forms in -två are also not case endings. The only explanation possible is that these are the suffixes \bar{a} and \bar{i} , and the fact that they function here in the same manner is in accordance with the close relation between them elsewhere. So we must have here two compound suffixes used adverbially with the final accent that usually appears in the adverbial use of nominal stems (prātár, etc.).

The suffix -tā, an extension with the ā-suffix of the simple t-suffix, is specialised in the making of abstract nouns from adjectives. As a primary suffix it is very rare, e.g. citā 'layer', more common in Greek, γενετή, etc. The usual type is represented in the Veda by such examples as devátā 'divinity', puruṣátā 'humanity', bandhútā 'relationship', vasútā 'wealthiness', etc. In classical Sanskrit they are made freely from all adjectival stems: kṛṣṇatā 'blackness', pūrṇatā 'fullness', dīrghatā 'longness', etc. The suffix appears with the same func-

tion in other IE languages: Russ. polnota 'fullness' O. Sl. črinota 'blackness', dlugota 'length', OHG. fullida 'fullness', Goth. diupipa 'depth', Lat. iuventa 'youth'.

This suffix could be strengthened by the further addition of suffixal t, producing the suffix -tat. Examples (found only in the Rgveda) are uparátāt- 'proximity', devátāt- 'godliness', vrkátāt- 'wolfishness, murderousness', sarvátāt- 'completeness'. The same suffix appears in Avestan (haurvatāt- 'whole-

ness,' etc.), Greek (βαρύτης 'heaviness', etc.), where it completely replaces -tā as a secondary suffix, and Latin (civitās,

Just as the simple suffix -t could be extended by the addition of suffixal -i (dasat-, dasati-), so the compound could be extended to -tati. Examples are jyesthátati- 'superiority', devátāti- 'divinity', sarvátāti- 'completeness', vasútāti- 'wealth', satyátāti- 'truth', śántāti- 'good fortune'. The last two may also be used as adjectives (like certain formations in -ti).

§ 15. THE SUFFIX m

The suffix m plays an important part in nominal derivation in Sanskrit and the other IE languages. It has already appeared in the groups -mar, -man, -mant, -min. It is also productive of thematic adjectives in -má, and occasionally appears in other formations. The problem with this suffix is that, in contradistinction to all the others, it is hardly ever found as a simple, uncompounded suffix, although the various compound suffixes mentioned must have been formed, like other compound suffixes, by additions to just such a simple suffix. The only surviving examples appear to be the numeral stems saptá and dáša, Lat. septem, decem, IE *septém (earlier séptém on account of apophony), dekm. Here the suffix appears in its weak form like the n-suffix in nama (Lat. nomen), etc., and these numerals are of exactly the type as the primary neuter nouns.

The frequency of m in various derivative suffixes shows that it must have originally been common as a primary neuter suffix. The reason that it does not appear as such, is that it has been replaced by other formations, and this has come about in two ways. The first process is illustrated by the juxtaposition of yugám nom. acc. sg. nt. 'yoke' and yugmá-' paired'. Like other thematic adjectival suffixes yugmá- is to be analysed vugm-d and the consonantal m-stem on which it is based is none other than the neuter yugám. The m in the neuter yugám was originally the m-suffix, but owing to similarity with the accusative singular of thematic stems (originally all adjectival and of common gender), it came, by an easy process of adaptation, to be treated as a termination, with the consequence that formations of this kind were turned into neuter thematic stems and declined accordingly. The neuter thematic type then became productive, particularly in forming extensions of neuter consonantal stems (-ana, -atra, etc., above).

The relation that exists between yugám and yugmá appears also between bhayám 'fear': bhīmá-'fearful' and mádhyam nt. middle: madhyam-á-adj. 'being in the middle'. The adv. sádam can be explained as a neuter m-stem in view of the derivative sádman-'seat'; likewise áram, álam 'fittingly, suitably' from the IE root ar-'to fit' by comparison with derivatives like Gk. ápµós.

Ancient thematic neuters in IE are very rare. Skt. yugám is shown to be ancient by the correspondence of Gk. ζυγόν, Lat. iugum, etc. Another ancient word is Skt. padám 'step', Gk. πέδον, Hitt. pedan, which may be presumed to have originated in the same way, though direct evidence is lacking in this case. Gk. Epyov 'work' with the same rare and no doubt ancient apophony as πέδον is to be classed with it. It should be noted that such primitive thematic neuters, which according to this theory are transformed m-stems, are not only exceedingly rare. but they are the only class which provide certain word equations between different IE languages. Thus the thematic neuters of secondary origin, namely (1) extensions of neuter consonantal stems and (2) the still later though numerous taddhita formations, are of later origin. It would be difficult otherwise to explain the absence of detailed agreement among these formations between the various languages. In this connection also we must note the complete absence of the latter two formations in Hittite.

The rarity of the thematic neuter formations of the type padám, yugám shows that, although this was one way by which the primitive m-stems were removed, and although it provides the only plausible explanation for the existence of neuter thematic stems at all, this was not the only, or indeed the main way by which this was done. The other process which operated and which accounted for many was the extension of m-stems

by the addition of the r- and n-suffixes. Just as in Hittite the primary s-stems were almost entirely replaced by formations of the type hannesar/-esnas, so over the whole of Indo-European with the exception of a few stems that were turned into a new type, the thematic neuters, the m-stems were replaced by the mar/man stems. Later in most languages the mar-stems were ousted by the man-stems. In Hittite the gen. sg. of neuter stems in -mar is in -mas (arnummar 'bringing', gen. sg. arnummas). It is usually said that there has been assimilation of mn in such genitives, but this cannot be proved, and the alternative theory is possible that such genitives are formed from the unextended m-stem. Here we may compare certain Vedic instrumentals, drāghmā, raśmā, (drāghmān- 'length', raśmān- 'rein') which in the same way may well be formed from the simple m-stem and not from the extended stem in -man.

Thematic derivatives from man-stems frequently appear without the final element of this suffix: priyádhāma-' fond of home', viŝvákarma-' accomplishing all' containing the stems dhāman- and kārman-; cf. also dhārma- masc. 'law': dhārman- nt. 'id'; ēma-' way, course': ēman-'id'; tōkma-' shoot, offspring': tōkman-'id'; yākṣma-' disease': yākṣman-'id'; darmā-' destroyer': darmān-'id'. In these cases also there is no evidence to support the theory of a change mn to m, and the thematic type illustrated by these examples must have been based on the simple m-stem.

The suffix -má makes a fair number of adjectives and nouns of adjectival origin: ajmá-'career, march' (Gk. ὄγμος; manstem in ájman-, Lat. agmen), idhmá-'fuel', grīṣmá-'summer' (gr-ṣ-má-, cf. gurú-'heavy'), gharmá-'heat' (originally adjectival, cf. Gk. θερμός, Lat. formus 'hot'), jihmá-'athwart, oblique', tigmá-'sharp' (Gk. στιγμός 'puncture', n-stem in στίγμα, nt.), dasmá-'wonderful' (dasmánt-'id'), dhūmá-'smoke' (cf. mar-stem in dhūmrá-), narmá-'sport, pastime' (also nárman-nt.'id'), yudhmá-'fighter', rukmá-'ornament', vāmá-'charming' (√van-), śagma-'beneficial', śyāmá-'black' (śyāvá-, with alternative suffix), himá-'cold, frost'. The adjective áma-'helper' has anomalously the accent on the root, but the weak grade shows that this is not original. There are a number of thematic action nouns with the normal radical accent and masculine gender, presumably to avoid the repetition of m: dhárma-'law', bháma-'illumination', kṣéma-'re-

sidence; security', sárma-'flowing', sóma-'the pressed out juice of the soma plant'(Av. haoma-), stóma-'hymn of praise', hóma-'offering'. The customary alternation of accent between substantive and adjective appears in sráma-'lameness' and srāmá-'lame'.

The gradation -amá appears in daśamá- 'tenth' (i.e. daśam-á-, Lat. decimus; dáśa, decem), saptamá 'seventh' (after which aṣṭamá- 'eighth'), madhyamá- 'middlemost', adhamá- 'lowest' (Lat. infimus), paramá- 'furthest, highest'. From the ordinal saptamá- there is extracted tama which is applied to other numerals (viṃśatitama-, etc.). This is identical with the superlative suffix -tama which presumably arose in the same way: antama- 'nearest, most intimate' (Av. antoma-, Lat. intimus), uttamá- 'highest', ugrátama- 'strongest', māḍhúṣṭama- 'most gracious', mātṛṭama- 'most motherly', ratnadhātama- 'most wealth-giving', etc., etc.

A compound suffix -ima appears rarely: agrimá-'foremost', and in combination with other suffixes, kṛtrima-'artificial', bhidelima-'fragile', etc. Other combinations of suffixal m are -mi: (masc.) ūrmi-'wave' (Av. varəmi-, AS. wielm), raśmi-'rein, ray'; (fem.) bhūmi-'earth'; -mī, (fem.) lakṣmī' mark, sign', sūrmī'tube'; -mā: himā'cold season', kṣumā'flax'.

§ 16. THE SUFFIXES i AND u

These two suffixes, like the other suffixes, had in Indo-European a gunated form, ei, eu, and a weak form, i, u, depending on the position of the accent. They could also be vrddhied under the same circumstances as the other suffixes, and in general they develop on the same lines. The neuters were the most primitive type, and on the basis of these, adjectives could be formed by accenting the suffix, old neuters may be transferred to the masc.-fem. class, a process which is very common in the case of these suffixes; there are neuter thematic extensions, and adjectives are formed by adding the accented thematic vowel. They combine with other suffixes in the usual way; of these combinations the suffixes -ira, -in, -ina, -ri, -ni, -var, -vara, -ura, -van, -vana, -una, -vant, -ru, -nu, -is, -us, -yas, -vas, -iṣa, -uṣa, -it, -ut, -vat, -ti, -tu, -ima, -mi have already been mentioned.

Neuter nouns in -i are rare; it is a type that early tended to become extinct, largely by the transference of such stems to the

masc.-fem. class. The few that remain are mainly defective. Of these śámi 'work' is indeclinable; ásthi 'bone', ákṣi 'eye', sákthi 'thigh' and dádhi 'curd' substitute an n-stem in declension (gen. sg. asthnás, akṣṇás, sakthnás, dadhnás); vāri, 'water' adds n to the stem in the same circumstances (gen. sg. vāriṇas, cf. the same thing with neuter u-stems, and occasionally elsewhere, e.g. śtras, śīrṣṇás); hārdi 'heart' substitutes the root stem (gen. sg. hṛdás, etc.). There is one rare neuter with the compound suffix -vi, sṛkvi which inflects like vāri.

The neuters have not preserved an inflection in which the isuffix is preserved throughout, in contradistinction to the neuter u-stems where such exists (mádhu, mádhuas). It can be traced however in the declension of ávi- 'sheep', gen. sg. ávyas. This type of inflection contrasts strongly with the adjectival inflection (agnés, etc.; in the end this becomes the only inflection), and when the similar opposition in the u-stems is considered (mádhvas; sūnós) it becomes clear that this first type of declension is that proper to the neuter nouns, as opposed to the adjectives. The difference is due to the different accentuation of the two types of stem, corresponding to that which we have found elsewhere. The declension of avi- indicates that it was originally a neuter; it has been transferred, on account of natural gender, but has retained some of its old features in declension. Greek has the same inflection in this word, and retains the more ancient alternating accent: õis, olós.

There are occasional neuter *i*-stems which have come to be used as adverbs: sáci 'with', práti 'against' (pr-ati, ti-stem).

Often original neuter i-stems have been replaced by various extensions: nábhya-'nave' retains the old neuter gender of the i-stem which in nábhi-'navel' has been replaced by the feminine gender. An old neuter *mádhi' middle' (in Av. maiðyāirya-'belonging to the middle of the year' for *madhi-yārya-) is replaced by mádhya-nt. (originally madhyam-, m-stem, cf. above); likewise krávi' raw flesh' (ákravihasta-) is enlarged to kravya- (kravyád-, cf. Lith. kraūjas), and alternatively by addition of the s-suffix to kravíş-. Similarly the neuters arcíṣ- 'flame', rocíṣ- 'light' and śocíṣ- 'flame' are s-extensions of old neuters in -i, but the i-stems arcí- masc., śocí- fem., rúci-, rocí- fem. have been transferred from the neuter to masculine or feminine gender.

This and similar evidence makes it quite clear that neuter

action nouns in -i were originally common. Further evidence comes from another quarter. At a time when these formations were readily made, the whole category of i-neuters was incorporated in the verbal conjugation, and served to form passive aorists of the third person singular. As such they are well represented in Indo-Aryan and Iranian: târi, jâni, darŝi, pâdi, sâdi; with augment âkāri, etc. Similarly Av. srāvi 'is heard', etc. The accent is on the root as regularly in the case of neuter stems.

Neuter u-stems remain more common than neuter i-stems. They are regularly accented on the root and not uncommonly have vṛddhi: mádhu 'honey' (Gk. μέθυ, AS. medu), vásu 'property', ἀyu-'life' (RV. 1,89,9 and 3,49,2, restored from evidence of metre), jānu-'knee' (Gk. γόνυ, Lat. genu, Hitt. genu), dāru 'wood' (Gk. δόρυ, Hitt. taru) sānu 'summit, top' (also masc.), dānu 'moisture' (also fem.), páśu 'domestic animal' (RV. 3. 53, 23, Goth. fathu, Lat. pecu; elsewhere transferred to the masculine and accented on the suffix), śmáśru 'beard', áśru 'tear' (Toch. A. ākru-nt pl.), játu 'gum' (AS. cwidu), játru 'collar-bone' (also jatrú- masc.), tālu 'palate', trāpu 'tin', yáśu 'sexual embrace', snāyu 'sinew', tītaū 'sieve'.

The u-stem could serve throughout as the basis of inflection. This type of neuter inflection is seen in mádhu 'honey', gen. sg. mádhvas and vásu 'wealth' gen. sq. vásvas. The same type appears in Gk. γουνός, δουρός, with the more ancient terminational accent in the gen. sg. which Sanskrit has abandoned for the fixed radical accent as in other kinds of neuters (gen. sg. námnas, vácasas, etc.). The old terminational accent is seen in gen. sg. paśvás but it has come to be associated with an altered type of stem. The old IE neuter peku (Lat. pecu, etc.) is preserved in one instance in the Rgveda (see above) as pásu, showing that the change is of recent origin. The change of gender in the usual pasú- is due to natural gender. The change of accent which has also occurred is due to the old terminational accent of paśvás gen. sg., etc. Since the normal neuters have adopted the fixed radical accent, the only stems in which there is commonly alternation of accent from stem to suffix in declension are suffixally accented masculines with reduced grade of suffix in the weak cases: nom. sg. pitā, dat. sg. pitrē, nom. sg. Pūṣā, gen. sg. Pūṣāas, etc. On this analogy it is easy to see how a suffixally accented basuds (after the style of the old neuters) still preserved at the time of the change of gender should bring about the suffixal accentuation of the new nom. sg. paśús. In the same way we may judge pitú- 'food', gen. sg. pitvás, which from being an action noun and from having the neuter type inflection, may also be regarded as a transferred neuter. The stem krátu- 'intelligence', old neuter for the same reasons, has on the other hand established radical accentuation throughout.

Alternatively neuter u-stems operate in the weakest cases with an extended suffix -un-(cf. vāriṇas gen. sg.): gen. sg. mādhunas, vāsunas, drūṇas; Loc. sg. āyuni (cf. Gk. aīfev, loc. without ending), sānuni; gen. loc. du. jānunos (cf. Toch. A. kanweṃ 'knees' with strong form of same suffix appearing in dual). Greek, when extending the suffix in these cases, has the extra t-suffix as with other neuter n-stems: gen. sg. γούνατος, δούρατος.

In addition the Veda has some forms from these stems in which the adjectival type of inflection is employed: drós, snós, mádhos. This is not surprising since the adjectival type of inflection has become universal in i- and u-stems by the classical period, and the process was already far advanced in the earliest period, only a few of the old type being left.

Beside the neuter i- and u-stems there is a fair number of action nouns in -i and -u which appear as masculines or feminines. The action nouns in -i are normally feminine: jálpi- 'muttering', rámhi- 'speed', ráji- 'direction' (Lat. regiō with additional n-suffix; cf. the same feature in connection with the ti-stems, above), rópi- 'pain', dhráji- 'impulse, force', nábhi-navel'; tvíṣi- 'splendour', rúci- 'light'; with suffixal accent, saní- 'winning', āji- 'contest', kṛṣi- 'ploughing', citi- 'understanding', nṛti- 'dancing', bhuji- 'benefiting'. The few datives of i-stems classified as infinitives have likewise suffixal accent, dṛṣáye' to see', yudháye' to light', etc. Masculines are rare: arci- 'ray', dhvani- 'noise', rayi- 'wealth'.

With the *i*-stems as with the *ti*-stems the old rules about accent and apophony have long been superseded. All possible types are represented (*jálpi-*, sani-, tviṣi-, kṛṣi-) and the variations are of no grammatical significance. Obviously ráji- direction, which has a connection in Lat. regio represents the oldest type. In rayi-, gen. sg. rāyás (for rahi-, rahyás, earlier ráhi-, rahyás) we may recognise the same accent development as has taken place in paśú, paśvás. The differences in apophony

between dhvani- 'noise' and dhúni- 'roaring' are those that exist between action and agent nouns, but the accent position is reversed. Obviously very complicated changes, which cannot now be followed, have affected the i-stems to produce this complete lack of system. This is associated with the fact that in this type of stem the earliest mass transfers from the neuter of action nouns took place.

On the other hand the non-adjectival masculine and feminine u-stems have as a rule accent and guṇa of root: masc. $\acute{a}su$ -'life' (as-'to be': Av. $a\eta hu$ -), $sv\acute{a}ru$ -'stake, post', $m\acute{a}nu$ -'man', $b\acute{a}ndhu$ -'relation'; fem. $j\acute{a}su$ -'exhaustion', $dh\acute{a}nu$ -'sandbank', $p\acute{a}r\acute{s}u$ -'rib', $\acute{s}\acute{a}ru$ -'dart', $h\acute{a}nu$ 'jaw' (Gk. $\gamma\acute{e}vvs$, Toch. A. $\acute{s}anwem$ du.). The words $am\acute{s}\acute{u}$ -'filament, ray' (Av. asu-'shoot'), $ren\acute{u}$ -'dust' and $\acute{s}an\acute{k}\acute{u}$ -'peg, stake' have final accent, but at the same time guṇa of root. The accent of $b\bar{a}h\acute{u}$ -'arm' disagrees with that of Gk. $\pi \hat{\eta}\chi vs$; the apophony shows the Greek to be original. The weak grade of the root in $\acute{s}su$ -masc. and fem. 'arrow' and $s\acute{s}ndhu$ - masc. and fem. 'river' is exceptional; they are probably of adjectival origin with the shift of accent seen frequently in nominalised adjectives.

There is a small number of neuters in -ya to be classified as thematic extensions of i-stems: mádhya-' middle' (see above), kravya° 'raw flesh', nábhya-' nave', ájya-' clarified butter', drávya-' substance', rájya-' kingdom' (Ir. rīge). The formation is not uncommon in tatpuruṣa compounds of the type havirádya-' eating the oblation', hotrvárya-' choosing a sacrificial priest', brahmabhūya-' becoming Brahma'. In sakhyá-' friendship' as opposed to sákhi- the normal accentual relation is reversed because sakhyá- is a secondary neuter, just as in the case of hotrá- nt.: hótar- masc. (see above, p. 136). In hfdaya-' heart' the thematic extension is added to the gunated suffix.

The neuter suffix -ya originating as a simple extension of -i has developed independently and on a very wide scale in the formation of secondary neuters, either with vrddhi, as usually in the later language, saúbhāgya- 'welfare', etc., or in some cases without, dūtyà- 'embassy', etc.

As with the other neuter suffixes adjectives and nouns of adjectival type could be made from neuter *i* and *u* stems by shifting the accent to the suffix. For instance we have, with the oldest type of apophony, the IE neuter *pdu* (Goth. *filu* 'much') and with accentuation of suffix and reduction of root

an adjectival stem *pleú*- which appears in Gk. $\pi\lambda i\epsilon s$ (for $\pi \pi \lambda i F - \epsilon s$). The system has undergone various modifications, and several different types of declension have emerged as a result.

- (1) The first, and oldest type, is represented by sákhi-, nom. sg. sákhā ' friend '. This is characterised by vrddhi of the nom. sg., and in declension it follows the general lines of the declension of the agent nouns in r and n (nom. acc. dat. sg. sákhā, sákhāyam, sákhye; svásā, svásāram, svásre). This rare type is found also in apratā RV. 8, 32, 16 (ná sómo apratā pape 'soma is not drunk which gives not a return '), and in Av. kavā nom. sg. (kavi- title of kings, Skt. kavi ' wise man '). In Sanskrit this type has usually been replaced by that in which the nom. and acc. sg. terminate in -is and -im, but considerable traces remain to show that the formation was originally more widely used. The feminine derivatives Agnayi and Manavi are based on the old vrddhied nominative singulars *Agnā(y) and *Manāv. An old vrddhied nom. sg. forms the first member of the compounds Agnāvisnū (cf. mātāpitarau) and kavāsakhá- 'he who enjoys the friendship of the wise'. Above all the usual form of the loc. sg. of i- and u- stems (agnå, later agnaú, sūnaú, etc.) can only be explained as a locative without ending equivalent to the old vrddhied nom. sg. of adjectival stems.
- (2) The few u-stems which have retained vrddhi in the nom. sg. add the nominative s to this. The type is represented in Sanskrit nom. sg. dyaús 'sky, sky-god' (Gk. Zεύs; the meaning 'sky-god' is the original one, and this accounts for the adjectival form of the word) and in gaús 'cow'. It is somewhat more frequent in Iranian, examples being O. Pers. dahyaus' land' and Av. hiθāus' companion' and uzbāzaus' with uplifted arms'. In Avestan a tendency to differentiate adjectival and nominal declension is seen in the contrast between uzbāzaus' and bāzus' arm' in the nom. sg. and between darəgō-arstaēm and frādat-fšaom as opposed to arstim' spear' and pasum' domestic animal' in the acc. sg. In Greek this type has become productive, and a distinction has been developed between agent nouns of the type βασιλεύs' king' γονεύs' parent' (: Skt. janu-ş- nt.) and the adjectives of the type πολύs.

The Vedic nom. sg. vés 'bird' is also of this type, but the guna instead of vrddhi is unusual and unexplained. The corresponding Latin word avis conforms to the usual type of i-stems.

- Gk. ϕov 'egg' *($<\delta wyom$) is a thematic extension of an old neuter * δwi 'egg' (with vrddhi of root as frequently in i- and w- stems). Skt. $v \not es$ is therefore a formation of adjectival type ('one connected with eggs, egg-bearer') and the suffixal accent which is proper to adjectives has brought about the reduction and disappearance of the radical vowel.
- (3) The usual adjectival type forms the nom. and acc. singular in -is, -im, -us, -um. Adjectives in accented -u are very common and frequently have corresponding forms in other IE languages: tṛṣú- 'thirsty' (Goth. paúrsus 'dry'), ripú-'treacherous, enemy' (Lith. lipùs 'sticky, slimy'), pṛthú-'broad' (Av. pərəθu- 'id', Gk. πλατύs 'flat', Lith. platùs 'broad'), raghú-, laghú- 'swift, light' (Gk. ἐλαχύs), gurú- 'heavy' (Gk. βαρύs Goth. kaúrus), bahú- 'much' (Gk. παχύs 'thick'), purú-, pulú- 'many' (Gk. πολύs; the corresponding neuter in Goth. filu), urú- 'broad' (Av. vouru-, Gk. εὐρύs), tanú- 'thin' (Gk. τανν°), āśú- 'swift' (Av. āsu-, Gk. ἀκύs), svādú- 'sweet' (Gk. ἡδύs), amhú- 'narrow' (Goth. aggwus, O. Sl. azŭ-kŭ), rjú- 'straight' (Av. ərəzu-), kṛdhú- 'shortened, mutilated' jayú- 'victorious', dārú- 'destroying', nṛtú- 'dancer', pīyú- 'spiteful', valgú- 'handsome', vidhú- 'solitary', śayú- 'lying', sādhú- 'good'.

The accent in gurús nom. sg., etc., is what is expected for adjectives, but it is in complete contradiction to the weak grade of the suffix which appears in the nom. acc. sg. It follows clearly that such a form of adjective cannot have remained unaltered from the beginning. We have seen that an older type is represented in a few archaic examples and that evidence exists that this was once more widely spread. The forms in -us, -um may therefore be regarded as substitutes for this older type, but they are very ancient substitutes because they occur not only in the languages represented in the examples quoted above, but also in Hittite: nom. sg. aššuš 'good', parkuš 'high', etc.

The strong form of suffix which should go with the accent, but which has been replaced in the nom. acc. sg. appears in the case of these stems in the dat. and gen. sg. Here the adjectival declension (agnés, gurós) is differentiated from what remains of the old neuter declension (mádhvas) in a way that accords with the position of the accent in the two types. The reduction of the termination of the gen. sg. to -s in accordance with the old

rules of apophony shows that this form is very ancient. The same guṇa is found in Goth. sunaus, Lith. sūnaūs and, without reduction of the termination, in Gk. ήδέος and Hitt. aššawaš. The distinction between neuter declension and adjectival declension appears elsewhere: Hitt. genuwaš as opposed to aššawaš, etc.

The extension of the gunated suffix to the dat. and gen. sg. distinguishes these stems from the adjectival n- and r-stems. The latter are not normally distinguished in form in these cases from the neuter stems (yaknás, ukṣṇás), because the adjectival stems retain the terminational accent. But outside Sanskrit there is some evidence that even these stems shared to some extent the tendency, notably in the case of Av. pitars gen. sg. which is parallel in every way to agnés and sūnós. On the other hand we have seen that sákhā, dat. sákhye follows the type of the adjectival r- and n-stems, showing that two alternative types of inflection existed for adjectival stems. One became predominant in r- and n-stems, the other in i- and u-stems, but to a certain extent both are represented in each of the two classes of stem.

The root in these adjectives has usually the weak form in accordance with the accentuation. In the case of su-'good' as opposed to Hitt. assus, Gk. èvs the suffixal accent has resulted in the complete reduction of the radical vowel. Since the word has ceased to be used except in composition, there is no evidence as to its earlier inflection, but in Av. hao-sravah- the gunated suffix which is in accordance with the adjectival accent is represented. The prefix ku-'bad' appears to be of the same nature. The usual association of this with the interrogative pronoun can hardly be justified, so we may take it to be a u-adjective, similar in form and function to su.

There are a few instances where corresponding neuters exist beside adjectives in -u: $\dot{a}yu$ - 'length of life' (formed with simple u-suffix and vṛddhi from the IE root ai- 'to apportion, give', i.e. 'one's allotted span'): $\bar{a}y\dot{u}$ - 'alive, mortal'; Gk. $\pi\hat{\omega}v$ 'herd, flock': Skt. $p\bar{a}y\dot{u}$ - 'protector'. The plural $jatr\dot{a}vas$ 'cartilages of the collar bones' differs in meaning from the radically accented neuter jatru- 'collar-bone' and is therefore likely to be an adjectival form. Earlier it may be presumed that such doublets were more regularly met with, and the interaction of the two types will account for radical guṇa or vṛddhi appear-

ing in the suffixally accented adjectives. It is clear that the strong form of the radical element in avú- derives from the neuter dyu where it is in accordance with the rules of apophony. and it may be assumed that similar mutual influence of the two contrasting types accounts for the form of such adjectives as āśú- 'swift', etc. The Hitt. nt. aššu is used as a noun in the sense of 'goods, property' (like Skt. vásu), and as such it must be regarded as continuing the primary neuter on which the adjective was built (ésu: (e)seú-). From this source comes the guna in Hitt. aššuš, Gk. èús as opposed to the reduction of the root in Skt. su-. No doubt also the early prevalence of the form of the nom. acc. sg. in -us, -um, as opposed to the forms with strengthened suffix, was assisted by the coexistence of such neuters. Obviously an easy alternative for distinguishing the two types existed in the simple addition of the terminations -s. -m to the neuter stem in these cases. As a general rule this was done in conjunction with the retention of the adjectival accent. On the other hand radical accent appears in ánu- 'fine, small', mádhu- 'sweet' and vásu- 'good'. The two latter stems occur more abundantly as neuter nouns, and their adaptation as adjectives with the minimum change of form appears to be comparatively late.

Adjectives and nouns of adjectival origin terminating in the i-suffix, and inflecting after the same pattern as the u-adjectives above, are fairly numerous: agni-'fire' (Lat. ignis, etc.), āpi' friend' (Gk. ŋ̄nuos' friendly, kind', thematic), kapi-'monkey' (originally adj. of colour, cf. kapilá-), kavi-'wise man' (older inflection in Avestan, cf. above), kīri-'singer', krīdi-'playing', jāmi-'consanguineous, closely related' (cf. Lat. gemi-nus'twin'), nadi-'roarer', svari-'noisy', etc.; with radical accent fṣi-'seer'. kāri-'singing hymns', gfbhi-'containing', mūni-'sage', śūci-'shining, pure', hāri-'green', tūrvi-'overcoming', plūṣi-'flea' (cf. Alb. pl'ešt, Arm. lu, etc.; Jplu'hop, jump').

As with the action nouns in -i there is complete absence of rule in the matter of accent and apophony. For this reason it is not always possible to decide to which class a noun originally belongs, e.g. asi-'sword' (Lat. ensis), giri-'mountain' (Av. gairi-), dhi-'snake' (Gk. εχις, ὄφις), mani-'jewel', etc. The original system, however, has left its mark in declension (agnés as opposed to dvyas; cf. Hitt. šallaiaš: halkiaš), in the same

way as in the *u*-declension. As with the *u*-stems the adjectival declension has spread at the expense of the nominal, and to an even greater extent.

As with the suffixes previously dealt with, thematic adjectives could be made on the basis of *i*- and *u*-stems, and this served as an alternative to the type with accented suffix. Thus Lat. socius 'ally' bears the same relation to Skt. sákhi-, nom. sg. sákhā 'friend' (cf. the old neuter stem preserved as adverb, sáci), as Hitt. veštaraš to Av. vāstar- or Gk. lārpós to laráp.

The suffix -ya is very frequent and at an early period in Indo-European it developed widely as an independent suffix, so that the connection with i-stems has for the most part ceased to exist. The way the suffix originated is made clear by such examples in Sanskrit as ûrmya-' undulating', sfnya-' furnished with a sickle', kavyá-' wise', ûvya-' coming from a sheep', bhūmyá-' terrestrial', yonyá-' forming a receptacle', aryá-'kind, devoted, pious', which have corresponding i-stems (ūrmi-, sfni-, kavi-, dvi-, bhūmi-, yóni-, ari-). The suffix, originating in this way, became widespread at an early period producing adjectives meaning 'belonging to . . ., connected with'. In the case of thematic stems the suffix is substituted for the thematic suffix. In the case of a word like ajryà-' belonging to the plain' (Gk. ăppios' wild') the derivative could have been formed on the old neuter r-stem (ager) from which djra- and Gk. àppos are themselves derived. It is in some such way that this type of substitution must have been evolved.

In the case of the derivative -ya the accent in Sanskrit may appear either on the suffix or on the root: (a) agryá-, agriyá'foremost', divyá- 'heavenly' (cf. Gk. δῖοs), satyá- 'true', grāmyá- 'of the village', somyá- 'relating to Soma', rājyá'regal' (Lat. rēgius),; (b) ángya- 'of the limbs', gávya'bovine', nárya- 'manly', jámbhya- 'an incisor tooth' (Gk. γόμφιοs), kṣámya- 'terrestrial' (Gk. χθόνιοs), ptirya- 'paternal' (Gk. πάτριος, Lat. patrius), sắrya- 'sun' (Gk. ἠέλιος, ἢλιος), ványa- 'belonging to the forest', etc.

This suffix, associated with accented root, is commonly used to produce adjectives from verbal roots which function as gerundives: gúhya 'to be hidden', tdya-'to be worshipped', yódhya-'to be fought', hávya-'to be invoked', jáyya-, jéya-'to be conquered', vácya-'to be spoken', etc.

Vrddhied derivatives appear abundantly from the earliest

period: ādityá-' descendant of Aditi', graivya-' relating to the neck', prājāpatyá-' relating to Prajāpati', pāñcajanya-' relating to the five peoples', etc.

In the Sanskrit suffix -ya two suffixes have been confounded. These are distinguishable in the Veda by means of the metre which shows that there is a monosyllabic -ya appearing in such words as kavyá- 'wise', ávya- 'belonging to a sheep', ványa- 'of the forest', etc., and a disyllabic suffix -iya appearing in dámiya- 'belonging to the house', ráthiya- 'relating to a chariot', jániya- 'relating to the people', visíya- 'belonging to the community', udantya- 'watery', etc. Of these -ya is the suffix discussed above, and it was formed on the basis of the suffix -iya represents earlier -iHa and it was formed on the basis of the suffix -iya represents earlier -iHa and it was formed on the basis of the suffix -iH>ī. These formations are therefore dealt with in the next section in connection with that suffix.

A small number of thematic formations have the *i*-suffix in the guna grade. Such are: śaśayá- 'abundant, frequent' (cf. śdśīyas- 'more numerous' and śáśvant-), śuṣmáya- 'strengthening', gavayá- 'Bos gavaeus', sánaya-, sanáya- 'old', tánaya- 'offspring, son', kúpaya- 'seething', dáśataya- 'tenfold' (: daśati- 'decade'); also a couple of double formations hiranyáya- 'golden', gavyáya- 'bovine'. The same type of suffix is found in other IE languages: Gk. χρύσεος 'golden', Lat. aureus, igneus, etc.

Though the adjectival suffix -va is not uncommon, it never received anything like the extension of -ya, and its connection with the u-suffix, or other derivatives from it, remains in most cases evident. Examples with final accentuation are rsvá'lofty' (Av. ərəšva- 'upright, exalted'), ūrvá- 'enclosure'
(vī- 'to surround, cover', originally adj. 'enclosed'), hrasvá'short', ūrdhvá- 'erect' (Av. ərəðwa-, Lat. arduus), takvá'speedy' (beside táku-), yahvá- 'young, youngest' (Av. yezivī
fem.; beside yahú- 'id'), rkvd- 'singing hymns' (beside fkvan-,
fkvant-, 'id'), dhruvá- 'firm' (Av. drva-, O. Pers. duruva'sound, healthy'), malvá- 'foolish', śyāvá- 'dark' (Av. syāva-;
beside śyāmá- with m-suffix), ranvá- 'joyful, enjoyable' (beside ránvan-), jīvá- 'alive, living being' (O. Sl. živŭ, Lith. gývas,
Lat. vīvus, Osc. bivus nom. pl.), pakvá- 'cooked, ripe' (adapted
as past participle), śarvá- n. of a deity (Av. saourva-, lit. 'one
armed with a śáru-, 'dart'), sruvá- 'ladle', śikvá 'skilful' (be-

side śśkvan- 'id'), kharvá- 'mutilated' (Av. kaurva- 'id'). In these, the most numerous examples, the original adjectival accent is preserved. A small number have radical accent: άśva- 'horse' (Lat. equus, Goth. aśwa-, etc.; cf. āśú- 'swift'), sárva- 'all' (Av. haurva-, Gk. δλος, οῦλος, etc.), pứrva- 'former' (O. Sl. průvů), pɨva- 'fat' (beside pɨvan- 'id'). The stem kulva- 'bald' (Lat. calvus) appears only in the cpd. atikulva-.

The u-suffix appears with guna in arṇavá- 'waving; flood, ocean', keśavá- 'long-haired' (cf. Av. gaēsav- 'hair'), pávīrava- 'having a metallic share' (pávīru-), vidhávā 'widow' (Lat. vidua, etc.; cf. vidhú- 'solitary'.

In some cases -va has acquired the character of a secondary suffix: añjivá- 'slippery', śantivá- 'beneficial', śraddhivá- 'credible', rājīva- 'striped, streaked; blue lotus', saciva- 'companion, minister'.

Stems in -u are occasionally enlarged by the addition of the suffix -i: ghfṣvi-' lively, joyful' beside ghfṣu-' id'; other vistems are dhrūvi-' firm', dārvi- fem. 'ladle', jāgrvi-' wakeful', dādhrvi-' sustaining', dādivi-' shining', sūśiśvi-' swelling or growing well (in the womb)', cf. śiśu-' child'. This addition appears also in other IE languages, notably in Latin, where all the old adjectival u-stems are supplanted by vi-stems: levis' light' (Skt. laghū-), mollis' soft' (Skt. mrdū), brevis, gravis, etc.

Conversely -u is added to the suffix -i producing the compound suffix yu: ydjyu 'pious', śundhyú- 'pure', sáhyu- 'strong', manyú- masc. 'anger', mrtyú masc. 'death' (Av. mərəθyu-), dásyu- 'barbarian', bhujyú- 'wealthy'. In Sanskrit this has developed chiefly as a secondary suffix: duvasyú- 'worshipping', udanyú- 'irrigating', adhvaryú- 'a kind of priest'. It has come to be specially connected with the denominative verbal base, devayú- 'pious': devayáti 'he is pious', etc., and it tends to acquire a desiderative meaning, vasūyú- 'desiring wealth', etc. The formation is very productive in the Veda, but disappears almost completely in the later language.

§17. THE SUFFIX i AS UNION-VOWEL

It was noticed above that the suffix t acquired under certain circumstances the character of an augment or special insertion between root and suffix (kr-t-ya-t) to be done ', etc.). The suffix i also functions in this way in Sanskrit on an extensive scale.

The use of i as a connecting link between root and suffix or between root and termination is particularly common in the verbal formation. The Indian grammarians call the i so used ii, and according to their terminology the forms which take this i are called sei (with ii) and those that do not are called anii (without ii). The two types of conjugation may be illustrated by the following examples:

- I. Present, root-class, tsise, īsidhve, īsire; future, patisyáti, bhavisyáti, vardhisyáti; aorist, -is, árocisam, ájīvisam; desiderative, jíjīvisāmi; perfect, I pl. oct. bubudhimá, tenimá, 3 pl. mid. bubudhiré, teniré; pass. part. sankitá-, lajjitá-; gerund. patitvå, yācitvå; infin. várdhitum, yācitum.
- II. Present, root-class, dtsi, vitsé, seșe; future, vakşyáti, chetsyati; aorist, -s, ácchaitsam, ádrākṣam; desiderative, dídṛkṣati, vivitsati; perfect I pl. act. cakṛmá, jagṛbhmá, 3 pl. mid. yuyujre, vividre; pass. part. kṛtá-, dṛṣṭá-; gerund, chittvá, kṛtvā; infin. kártum, dráṣṭum.

This i is also found in nominal derivatives other than the participial and infinitival forms illustrated above. In the agent nouns in -tar it appears mostly in agreement with the forms of the infinitive and gerund: yācitár, vardhitár, etc., as opposed to kartár, draṣṭár-, etc. Examples in the case of other suffixes are: prathimán- 'breadth', khanitra- 'shovel', rociṣnú- 'shining', várivas- 'wide space', átithi- 'guest' (as opposed to Av. asti-), dravitnú- 'running', etc.

It is not possible to formulate any simple general rule governing the presence or absence of this i in the verbal conjugation and elsewhere, but a general tendency is observed to use the set-forms where inconvenient consonant groups would result (paptimá, etc.). The use of -i- is more predominant in the later language than in the Veda. For instance the Vedic language has both -re and -ire in the 3 pl. mid. of the perfect, but the classical language knows only -ire; in later Sanskrit the stems in -isya account for three-quarters of the futures, while in the earlier language the larger proportion (five-ninths) are still formed with simple -sya-. This continues a process which had been going on in pre-Vedic times. Old Iranian, close as it is to Sanskrit, shows very few formations of this nature, which makes it clear that in the main the great extension of the use of -i- in the verbal conjugation is a special development of Indo-Aryan. Its adoption on such a large scale is clearly connected with

characteristic tendency of Indo-Aryan, observable from the very beginning, to get rid of consonant groups.

To begin with the i in verbal and nominal derivation must, in such cases where it existed, have had etymological justification, that is to say it must have been the suffix i compounded with other suffixes in the usual way. A number of such suffixes containing i have already been enumerated, -is, -isa, -ira, etc., formed on the basis of the i-suffix in the same way as -us, -usa, -ura, etc., are formed on the basis of the u-suffix. The suffixes forming the verbal stem are the same as those of the corresponding nominal stems. For instance the stem of the -is aorist árocisam appears also in the noun rocis- 'light'. Since rocis-'light' is an extension of the simpler i-stem in roci-, ruci-, the verbal stem also is originally built up from the i-stem. Similarly in the future the suffix syá is formed from the s-stem with the addition of the denominative vá, (the stems, vaksyá-ti, etc., differ only in apophony from denominatives of the type namasyáti). In the same way the future in -isya was to begin with based on the is-stem. As regards the form of the stem bhavisyá-, etc., are exactly parallel to the denominatives in -usyá (urusyáti, vanusyáti), and in the Rgveda a number of such formations are clearly to be classed as denominatives. Such are avisváti, sanisváti, which have beside them nominal forms (avisvá, avisvú-, sanisvú-) which are commonly associated with the denominative but never with the future.

Those participles in -ita where the i may be considered to be original have incorporated an i-suffix which appears elsewhere in the inflection of the root. This is the case for instance in sitá 'bound' (sā- 'to bind') where the suffix i is so frequently associated with the root that the simple root (aor. ásāt) has become comparatively rare; e.g. s-yáti 'binds', s-é-tave' to bind', sisaya' bound', etc. The -ita of the participles from other roots in -ā (śitá- 'sharp', ditá- 'bound', sthitá 'stood', etc.) originates in the same way. The participle of causative and tenth class verbs (gamitá-: gamáyati, etc.) includes the suffix which is used in the formation of the present, and comparative evidence shows that this practice is old (cf. Goth. gatarhi bs: gatarhian; wasibs: wasjan, etc.). The same connection is seen between participles in -ita and presents of the fourth class (kupitá: kúpyati, cf. Lat. cupio, cupītus) or presents in athematic i (stanitá-: stanihí, cf. further stanayitnú-, tanyatú-, O. Sl. stenjo,

etc., for the prevalence of the *i*-suffix in connection with this root). A similar incorporation of suffixal *u* is seen in *ddbhuta*-and *dnatidbhuta*- from the root *dabh*- (pres. *dabh-n-o-ti*).

In the same way we may account for -itar beside -tar in the agent nouns: marditár- 'forgiver', cf. mrdáyati, mrdayāku-, mrdīká- (Av. maraždika-), panitár- 'praiser', cf. panáyati, panāyāti, paniṣṭa, aor., panayāyya, pániṣṭi-); likewise vardhitár-: vardháya-, coditár-: codáya-, etc. The process is illustrated by a similar development occasionally in connection with the usuffix. The agent nouns tarutár- 'conqueror', dhanutár- 'running swiftly' and sánutar- 'winning' incorporate the u-suffix which appears in the present tense (tarute, tūrvati; dhánvati; sanóti) and elsewhere (táruṣa- etc.).

From these instances it is clear that the i in a fair number of verbal forms and nominal derivatives was etymologically justified, and the analysis in such cases does not differ from that of any other forms containing compound suffixes. What Indo-Aryan has done is, on the basis of a modest number of such forms to extend the use of i in the verbal derivation on a vast scale. The analogical i which then comes to be so abundantly used, ceases to be subject to the usual analysis and acquires the character of a union vowel or euphonic augment.

The i which appears in the alternative form of certain terminations (papt-imá beside cakr-má, etc.) was also in origin suffixal. There are some non-thematic presents in -i (svápiti, śvásiti, ániti, jaksiti, etc.) with parallels elsewhere (Lat. capiō, capis, capit, etc.). They are defective, and since they no longer form a complete present system, they have been attached to the root class, the i being treated as union vowel. There are also some scattered preterite forms (ajayit, bādhithās, atārima, avādiran, asth-ithas, asthiran, etc.) which have become attached to the is-aorist. This type of conjugation is based on the i-stem, just as Vedic tarute is based on a u-stem, so that in origin the i here is not different from suffixal i elsewhere. But it came to have the character of an addition to the termination and this enabled this type of termination to be transferred to the perfect. The perfect stem is based only on the root, so the i in the perfect terminations can only be accounted for as a borrowing from the present and preterite forms of the above type where its presence is etymologically justified (bubudhimá, dadimá; bubudhiré, dadhire, etc., after atārima, tsire, etc.).

§ 18. THE SUFFIXES a, i, u

The suffix $-\bar{a}$ has two functions. On the one hand it forms the feminine of adjectives in -a ($b\bar{a}la$ - masc. 'boy', $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}$ fem. 'girl'), and on the other hand it appears as an independent suffix of derivation, producing action nouns, abstract nouns, and the like. In having the two functions, adjectival and non-adjectival, it resembles the suffixes previously discussed, but it differs from them in that its adjectival use is confined to the formation of feminines. This was the result of specialisation since the feminine gender was not present in the earliest Indo-European, and as a result of this development of adjectival $-\bar{a}$, the action nouns too, which to begin with did not differ from the ordinary neuters $(\bar{a}, i.e. -aH, like -as, -ar, etc.)$, have acquired the feminine gender.

The following are examples of action nouns and the like terminating in the suffix -ā in Sanskrit: krīḍā' play', dayā' pity', nindā' blame', śaṅkā' doubt', himsā' injury', kṣamā' patience', bhāṣā' speech', sevā' service', spṛhā' desire', śākhā' branch', śiphā' whip' (cf. Av. sif-'to strike with a whip'), áṣā' direction', ukhā' pot', ulkā' meteor', venā' longing', kṣaṇā' night', chāyā' shadow' (cf. Gk. σκιά), jarā' old age', doṣā' evening', rasā' moisture' (O. Sl. rosa, Lith. rasā' dew'). There is no consistent rule about the accentuation of such words, but final accentuation is the commoner; in the corresponding forms in Greek (γονή, etc.) final accentuation is the rule. The old general rule about the distribution of accent has obviously long ceased to have any relevance to this class.

The suffix $-\bar{a}$ is added to the other primary suffixes in the usual manner, producing a series of compound suffixes:

-nā: tṛṣṇā 'thirst', tṛṇā 'wool' (Av. varənā, Lith. vilna, etc.); -anā: jaraṇā 'old age', arhāṇā 'worth', kapaṇā 'caterpillar' (cf. Gk. κάμπη 'id'); -rā, súrā 'strong liquor', tāmisrā 'darkness'; -sā, manīṣā 'thought, wisdom'; -vā, jihvā 'tongue' (Av. hizvā), grīvā 'neck' (O. Sl. griva 'mane'), āmīvā 'disease', apvā 'a kind of disease', dārvā 'a kind of grass'; -yā, jāyā 'wife', māyā 'magical, supernatural power', ityā 'course', vidyā 'knowledge', kriyā 'action', samajyā 'assembly', etc.; -tā, kṛṣṇatā 'blackness', etc., etc.

The suffix -7 likewise is predominantly used for making feminines of adjectives, preferably from consonantal stems. In

addition there are a small number of primary action nouns and the like. Such are:

- (a) Devi-declension: śácī 'power', śámī 'holy work', táviṣī 'strength', āsandī 'stool'.
- (b) Vṛki-declension: nadt 'river', deht- 'wall, embankment', start- 'barren cow' (Gk. στεῖρα), sūrmt 'tube', sṛṇi- 'sickle', palālt- 'straw', sphigt- 'hip', nāndt- 'joy', athart- 'flame', oṇt- 'breast', kṣoṇt- 'flood', khārt- 'a measure', cakrt- 'wheel', tandrt- 'sloth, lassitude', tapant- 'heat', lakṣmt- 'mark, auspicious mark, good fortune', tart- 'raft', tantrī- 'string'.

The suffix $-\bar{u}$ is used for making feminines to stems in -u (alternatively $-v\bar{\imath}$ is used, or the unaltered stem functions as feminine), e.g. $tan\dot{u}$ - 'thin', $phalg\dot{u}$ - 'reddish', $babhr\dot{u}$ - 'brown', corresponding to masculines $tan\dot{u}$ -, $phalg\dot{u}$ -, $babhr\dot{u}$ -. It also forms a small number of independent nouns, $cam\dot{u}$ - 'dish', $tan\dot{u}$ - 'body', $vadh\dot{u}$ - 'bride', $kadr\dot{u}$ - 'soma-vessel', $jat\dot{u}$ - 'bat', $dhan\dot{u}$ - 'sandbank', $nabhan\dot{u}$ - 'well', $\dot{s}va\dot{s}r\dot{u}$ - 'motherin-law' (O. Sl. svekry, Lat. socrus).

Sanskrit -ā in this suffix resolves itself ultimately in Indo-European into the thematic vowel + H. Similarly \vec{i} and \vec{u} represent -i-H and -u-H. In this form the parallelism of these three suffixes to the three s-suffixes -as, -is, -us, becomes clear. The simple suffix is -aH, which like neuter -as appears with guna, and in the compound suffixes -iH and -uH, old neuter i- and ustems are extended by suffixal -H, in the same way as they are extended by s in the compound suffixes -is and -us. Thus samī fem. is an extension of sámi nt. (indecl.) (cf. the relation of arciand arcis-, etc.) and dhanú fem. 'sandbank' along with dhánvan- nt. and dhanus- nt. are alternative extensions of dhanu- 'id' (fem., originally nt.) The two compound suffixes sometimes appear with guṇa (vātyā 'whirlwind', jihvā 'tongue'), as is alternatively the case with other compound neuter suffixes, várivas, etc. The close similarity in function between the Hand s- suffixes in making primary action nouns is seen from the frequent instances in which the two are found side by side in words of the same meaning: tánā, tánas 'offspring', jarā, jarás- 'old age', tanú, tánus- 'body', dhanú-, dhánus, etc. Like the other primary neuter suffixes this -ā has no specific meaning and stems in $-\bar{a}$ frequently occur side by side with root nouns, the extension adding nothing to the meaning: ksap-,

kṣapā 'night', tán-, tánā 'offspring', diś-, diśā 'direction', etc.

The action nouns in $-\bar{a}$, \bar{a} , \bar{u} , are formed in precisely the same way as the neuter action nouns made with other suffixes, but differ from them in being feminine in gender. Since the feminine gender is a comparatively late development in Indo-European, it is to be expected that these stems were originally neuter. Direct evidence of this is provided by the neuter plurals of the type $yug\dot{a}$. This $-\bar{a}$ is the same as the \bar{a} -suffix, which was used (like some of the other neuter suffixes) as a collective, and eventually as a plural. In this use the suffix still retains the indifference to the distinction between nominative and accusative which characterises neuter stems. The reason for the feminine gender of these action nouns is that these suffixes in their adjectival use became specialised as feminines, and the action nouns on account of similarity of form eventually followed suit.

We have seen that the normal accent of neuter action nouns was on the root. Little trace of the general system remains in the formation of these stems. The accent of the \bar{a} -stems is variable, showing the same complete absence of rule which was observed in the i-stems. The nouns in $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} have a regular accent, on the final. This accent is in complete contradiction to the general rule, but the apophony, with weak suffix, and usually guṇa of root $(tan \hat{u}_-, deh \hat{t})$ shows that this is not originally; only radical accentuation will account for such forms, IE ténuh, etc. A parallel shift of accent was observed in the neuter stems in $-i\bar{s}$, $havi\bar{s}$ -, etc.

There is a tendency with the other neuter suffixes for the suffix n either to replace or to be added to the other suffixes. There are some traces of that system here. Corresponding to kanyà 'girl', Av. kainyā, there is in Avestan a genitive singular kainīnō which is related to it in the same way as Skt. šīrṣṇás to šīras. In Sanskrit this form of the stem appears in the Vedic genitive plural kantīnām. The -n- is normal in the genitive plural and the agreement between Sanskrit and Germanic (OHG. gebōno, ON. runōno), shows that its presence in ā-stems goes back to Indo-European. It appears that this -n- is the heteroclitic n-suffix, which has been generalised in the genitive plural, but abandoned elsewhere, though Av. kainīno shows that it could originally appear in other cases.

Stems in -ā are used to form a number of adverbs, in the same way as is done with the neuter suffixes above. Such are: sádā 'always', purā 'formerly', dvitā 'doubly so', mṛṣā 'falsely', sácā 'with', devátā 'among the gods', sasvártā 'secretly'. In this class are the absolutives in -tvā and -tvī (see above, p. 171).

In their adjectival function these suffixes are principally used to make the feminine stem of adjectives, etc.: $ndv\bar{a}$ 'new', $dev\hat{i}$ 'goddess', $madh\hat{u}$ 'sweet', etc. This is the result of specialisation in the later Indo-European period. Originally, it must be assumed, adjectival $-\bar{a}$, $-\bar{i}$, $-\bar{u}$ were on a par with other suffixes used adjectivally, indifferent to gender, and having the usual relation to the corresponding action nouns. Traces of the more general use of \bar{a} -stems as agent nouns survive in a number of languages which still have some masculine agent nouns in $-\bar{a}$: Lat. scriba, agricola, nauta, Gk. vaúr ηs , $modir\eta s$, O. Sl. sluga' servant', etc. Stems of this kind have totally disappeared from Indo-Iranian, but there remain in the Vedic language a number of masculine stems in $-\bar{i}$, of which the commonest is rath \bar{i} -' charioteer' as well as two rare and obscure masculines in $-\bar{u}$ (prāś \bar{u} -, kṛkadāś \bar{u} -). These are the remnants of an older system in which adjectives and agent nouns of a general type could be made with these suffixes in the same way as with other suffixes.

husband', illustrate the various types) inflect in what according to this theory is the adjectival declension. The weak stem in the nom. acc. sg. is parallel to that of the *i*- and *u*-stems. The origin of the variation is not clear, but as with the *i*- and *u*-stems, it appears that a more original form of the adjectival stem is preserved in the gen. sg., etc.

Stems of the *vyki* type comprise both action nouns and agent nouns together with some miscellaneous feminines. The de-

clension of the latter (rathi-, gen. sg. rathiyas) and their accentuation is closely analagous to that of the adjectives in -in (bali, balinas). In the case of the latter type it was observed that the generalisation of the weak stem (from gen. sg., etc., which were originally suffixally accented) was secondary, and that comparative evidence indicated original viddhied nominatives of the usual type. The same type of generalisation of the weak stem is likely to have happened in the case of ratht, vrkt, etc., and in Avestan some traces of an older type of declension are preserved. The Avestan word for tongue is declined as follows: nom. sg. hizva, acc. sg. hizvam, instr. sg. hizvā, gen. sg. hizvō, loc. sg. hizvō, instr. pl. hizubiš. This is clearly the same type of declension as is found in dātā, dat. sg. dātrē, sákhā, dat. sg. sákhye, ukṣán-, gen. sg. ukṣṇás, etc., with weak form of suffix in the oblique cases. As remarked above there are two forms of adjectival declension, one with the weak cases having the same form as the neuters (datré, etc.), and another with strong form of as the neuters (aatre, etc.), and another with strong form of suffix and weak form of the gen. sg. termination in these cases (Av. pitarš, Skt. agnės, etc.). Both types are found among i-stems (sákhye: agnáye) and among the ī-stems they are represented by vṛkt- (gen. sg. vṛkiyas) and devt (gen. sg. devyås) respectively. The oldest type of inflection is that which appears in the vā/ū stem hizva/u in Avestan. Leaving aside the heteroclitic -n- the same type of inflection appears in Av. kainya, gen. sg. kainīnō and in Skt. kanyà, gen. pl. kanīnām. Elsewhere the weak stem is generalised as in balī, balīnas. Alternatively the strong form was generalised in which case there was a transfer to the ā- declension: jihvā, jihvāyās.

The adjective $mah\dot{a}$ 'great' remains in Sanskrit the only non-feminine adjectival \bar{a} -stem, and it is defective. Apart from compounds where it remains in use in classical Sanskrit, it appears only in the Veda in the acc. sg. masc. $mah\dot{a}m$. The gen. sg., etc., appear as $mah\dot{a}s$, etc. The other IE languages show g

in this root (Gk. $\mu\acute{e}\gamma as$) and the h ($<\hat{g}h$) of Sanskrit is due to a combination and the H which originally belonged to the suffix. The original genitive was therefore $me\acute{g}$ -H-és with terminational accent and weakening of the suffix of the adjective (cf. $uksn\acute{a}s$, etc.). This is the only place outside the thematic and other derivatives to be mentioned below, where the \ddot{a} , i.e. aH of the suffix appears in its weak form. Elsewhere the strong form is generalised in both action nouns and adjectives, between which no formal differences exist. In the neuter sg. of this adjective a stem $m\acute{a}hi$ with an extra suffix -i is used ($me\acute{g}$ -H-i, cf. Hitt. mekki-).

The thematic vowel and other vocalic suffixes could be added to the suffix -aH (a) and the latter, being unaccented was weakened to H. This H remains in Sanskrit in the form of the aspiration of a preceding occlusive. Thus caturthá- 'fourth' may be explained as *caturtā (<°aH) 'fourness, group of four' +adjectival -á, i.e. 'one connected with four, fourness', Similarly rátha- 'chariot' is formed by the addition of the thematic suffix to *rotaH> Lat. rota 'wheel'. Originally an adjective 'wheeled' it has had the accent thrown back on to the root, in common with many other nominalised adjectives (vfka- 'wolf', etc.). The compound suffix -tha out of -t-H-a is not uncommon: ártha- nt. 'object, aim', várūtha- nt. 'protection'; yajátha- 'worshipping', vaksátha- m. 'growth', sapátha- m. 'curse', sacátha- m. 'companionship', sravátha- m. 'flowing', ucátha- nt. 'utterance', vidátha- nt. 'worship'; with final accent, gāthá- m. 'song', bhṛthá- 'offering'; (neut.)
ukthá- 'utterance', tīrthá- 'ford', yūthá- 'herd', rikthá- 'inheritance'. Most of these forms seem to belong to the class of thematically extended action nouns formed in exactly the same way as náksatra-, pátatra-, vádhatra-, etc., above. Those finally accented are presumably adjectival in origin, e.g. rikthá- ' that which is left '. The th in the suffixes -thi (átithi- 'guest', etc.) and -thu (vepáthu- 'quivering', etc.) is in the same way a combination of the suffixes t and H; cf. -tri, -tru.

Other examples of aspirates concerning this suffix are: $s\acute{a}khi$ - 'friend' from sac- 'to associate (simple $aH > \bar{a}$ suffix in $s\acute{a}c\bar{a}$ 'with'); $makh\acute{a}$ - 'happy, exulting' from a *makaH to be compared with Gk. $\mu\acute{a}\kappa a\rho$ (old neuter adapted as adjective) with variant r-suffix; $nakh\acute{a}$ - 'nail', where ultimately both k and H are suffixal since other languages have a variant g (Lat. unguis, etc.), $s\acute{a}nkh\acute{a}$ - 'shell', Gk. $\kappa\acute{o}\gamma\chi os$ (adjectival accent,

original meaning 'curved, coiled', saphá-'hoof' ('striker', cf. Gk. κόπτω and Sl. kopyto), síndhu-'river' for sind-H-u-, cf. syand-'to flow'; sádhis-'seat, abode' for sad-H-is-: sad-'to sit'; aghá-'wicked' perhaps from an *ágaH side by side with ágas, Gk. ἄγος' sin'.

§ 19. OTHER SUFFIXES

Of the remaining suffixes the most important is the suffix -ka. In other IE languages the element k may appear as a nonthematic suffix: Lat. senex 'old man', Gk. µeîpaf' lad, lass'. This state of affairs has disappeared in Sanskrit, which has corresponding to these forms the thematic stems sanaká- 'old' and maryaká- 'young man'. The suffix rarely appears in primary formations in Sanskrit; such cases are śúska- 'dry' (Av. huška-), ślóka- 'call; fame; verse' (: śru- 'to hear') and dtka- 'garment' (Av. a8ka-). Normally it is added after vocalic suffixes, in particular after the thematic vowel. The latter type is rare in other IE languages but in Sanskrit it is exceedingly frequent. Examples are dūraká- 'distant', vamraká- 'ant', arbhaká- 'small', kumāraká- 'little boy', putraká- 'little son'. It is often simply an extension which adds nothing to the meaning, but also it has in some cases a diminutive sense seen in the last two examples. More rarely it is used to form adjectives from nouns: ántaka- 'making an end', rūpaká- 'having an assumed form'. Other vocalic suffixes are likewise extended: avikā 'sheep' (O. Sl. ovica), mṛdīká- nt. 'grace', dhénukā 'cow', ghātuka-'killing', jatūkā' bat'. It is more rarely added to consonantal suffixes: anīyaská- 'thinner', mastiska-'brain', vikṣiṇatka- 'destroying'. The feminine of the combination -ika is extended beyond its original field (avikā, etc.) and it comes to function as the feminine to masculines in -aka: kumāraká- 'little boy', kumārikā 'little girl', etc.

Suffixal k is followed by i and therefore palatalised in marīci'ray of light' and śvitīci- 'bright'. It is followed by -u in a
few formations like pfdāku- 'water-snake' and yuvāku- 'belonging to you two'.

The corresponding suffix in the *centum*-languages is k to which in Sanskrit s is the most frequent corresponding sound. But in the case of this suffix such s appears only rarely, e.g. yuvasá-'young' (Lat. iuvencus), romasá-'hairy', babhrusá-'brown', kapisa-'tawny'.

The voiced guttural, palatalised to j appears in the following athematic formations: dhṛṣdj-'bold', sandj-'old', bhiṣdj-'physician' (cf. Av. °biš, bišaz-) sráj-'garland' (cf. pratisara-and Lat. sero), tṛṣṇdj-'thirsty', ásvapnaj-'not sleeping'; (with weak form of suffix) uśtj-'a kind of priest' (Av. usig-), vaṇtj-'merchant', bhurtj-'shears', sphij-'hip' (:cf. sphyá-flat ladle'). In the nominal forms tṛṣṇdj- and ásvapnaj- we have the same suffixal combination as is used in the formation of the seventh class of verbs.

A thematic -ga appears rarely, e.g. in śńnga- nt. 'horn' (cf. Lat. cornu, etc.), vámsaga- 'bull' (of uncertain etymology), pataga, patangá- 'bird'.

Suffixal d appears in a small number of athematic formations: dṛṣád-'stone' (cf. Gk. δειράs), darad-'cliff, ravine' (themat. darada-'Dard'), śarád-'autumn' (cf. Av. sarad-'year'), bhasád-'posterior, rump', vanád-'desire', kakúd-'summit' (cf. kaku-bh- with different suffix). It appears compounded with n in sadandi-'permanent' (cf. the -nd- of the Lat. gerundive).

The suffix gh/h is likewise extremely rare. It occurs in $d\bar{\imath}rgh\acute{a}$ 'long' (cf. Gk. $\delta o\lambda \iota \chi \acute{o}s$, Hitt. $daluga \acute{s}$; note that it is preceded by three different suffixes in the three languages, Hitt. u, Gk. i, Skt. H, i.e. dl-H- $gh\acute{o}$ -). The root appears without this suffix in O. Sl. dlina 'length'. Other cases are $var\bar{a}h\acute{a}$ - 'boar' (: Av. $var\bar{a}za$ -; the root in Lat. $verr\bar{e}s$, etc.), $sar\acute{a}gh$ - 'bee', and compounded with r it appears in $s\bar{\imath}ghr\acute{a}$ - 'swift' (: $s\bar{\imath}bham$ adv. 'quickly' with different suffix).

Suffixal dh appears in a number of combinations. The suffix -dhra appears in várdhra-'thong' (: varatrā'id'); it is common in certain other IE languages. The combination -dhya- appears in infinitives like gámadhyai'to go', bháradhyai'to bear', sáhadhyai'to overcome' and the like (some thirty-five instances).

A suffix -pa appears in a few rare instances like $y\bar{u}pa$ - 'sacrificial post' (yu- 'to attach, join', cf. $y\bar{u}th\dot{a}$ - 'herd' for \bar{u}) and $st\dot{u}pa$ - 'top-knot' (cf. 'stu and $st\dot{u}k\bar{a}$ 'id').

Non-thematic -bh appears in kakubh- 'summit' (cf. kaku-d-above), and thematic -bha in a small number of nouns, mostly animal names: vṛṣabhá- and ṛṣabhá- 'bull', gardabhá- 'don-key', rāṣabhá- 'id', śalabhá- 'locust', sthūlabhá- 'big'. The specialisation of this suffix in animal names is known also

in other IE languages: Gk. ελαφος 'stag', O. Sl. golobl' dove', etc.

This completes the list of Sanskrit and IE suffixes. As will be seen all IE consonants were capable of being used as elements in the suffixal system.

§ 20. VRDDHI IN DERIVATION

In its use of vṛddhi Sanskrit has developed a system of derivation which was totally unknown in the parent IE language. It is used in connection with a number of suffixes, which may also function without being associated with vṛddhi, and as such have already been treated. Its use is a speciality of the secondary as opposed to the primary derivation. In the earlier language alternative forms without vṛddhi occur. Thus the suffix -a (normally accented) which makes adjectives on the basis of the old neuter suffixes, may be associated with vṛddhi, e.g. mānuṣa-'connected with men; man' beside mānuṣa-'man', and vāpuṣā- beside vāpuṣa-'beautiful' from vapuṣ-. This type of formation may be illustrated by a few examples classified according to the various suffixes.

Suffix -a: āngirasá-' descended from Angiras', māruta-' relating to the Maruts', mānavá-' human; man', jattra-' victorious', auśijá-' connected with the priests called uśtj-, mārdīká-nt.' mercy'.

Suffix -ya: daivya-'divine', saumyá-'relating to Soma', vāyavyá-'belonging to the wind'; neuter abstracts, pālitya-'greyness', ārtvijya-'priestly office'.

Suffix -i: Agnivesi- 'a descendant of Agnivesa-', Pauru-kutsi- 'a descendant of Purukutsa'. This type is almost entirely confined to patronymics.

Suffix -āyana: patronymics, Kāṇvāyana-, Dākṣāyana-, etc. Suffix -īya: pārvatīya- 'of the mountains'. This type is practically confined to the later language.

Suffix -ka: māmaká-' mine', āvašyaka-' necessary', vāsan-tika-' of the spring time', dhārmika-' religious', etc.; this type is mainly confined to the later language and few Vedic examples are quoted.

Suffix -eya: ārṣeyá- 'descendant of a sage', Jānaśruteyá- 'son of Janaśruti', våsteya- 'of the bladder' (vasti-), paúru-ṣeya- 'relating to man', etc.

Derivational vrddhi with other suffixes is exceedingly rare;

such cases are agnīdhra-' belonging to the fire-kindler' (agnīdh-) and āśvīna-' a day's journey on horseback'.

The exact details of the development of this use of vṛddhi

are somewhat obscure, but the material enables a number of observations about it to be made. The process began in the late Indo-Iranian period, developed rapidly in the pre-Vedic period of Indo-Aryan and continued to extend during the historical development of Sanskrit. The complete absence of any such formations in IE languages outside Indo-Iranian, makes it clear that it was a development confined to those languages, and the fact that in Early Iranian examples of this vrddhi are exceedingly rare, shows that this type of formation was only in its beginning at the time of the separation of Indo-Aryan and Iranian. Iranian examples are O. Pers. mārgava- 'inhabitant of Margiana', from margu-' Margiana', Av. āhūiri-' belonging to Ahura-', āhūirya-' son of a prince', from ahura-, māzdayasni-'belonging to the Mazdayasnian religion', xštāvaenya-' descendant of Xštavi. The three suffixes -a, -i and -ya which occur in connection with vrddhi in these Iranian examples are the ones that most frequently occur in this connection in Sanskrit, and the suffix of the patronymic xštāvaēnya is related to the -āyana which makes patronymics in Sanskrit.

The point of departure of this derivational vyddhi must be sought in the old IE phonetic vyddhi, which, as instanced from time to time above, is found sporadically in the radical syllable of nouns of primary derivation. Instances quoted are rajan-'king', bharman-'burden', vasas-'garment', daru'wood', sanu-'top', grāhi-'seizure', and the like. Examining some of the older cases of derivational vyddhi it can be seen that mānava-'man', kāvya-'one having the qualities of a kavi-, wise man', arya-'Aryan', and nādya-'born from a river' and similar forms which from the point of view of Sanskrit show the vyddhi of secondary derivation, are not essentially different in form from words like dānava-'demon', bhāvya-'which is to be', varya-'to be chosen' and ādya-'to be eaten' which are either classified as primary formations, or (in the case of dānava-, etc.) are derived from primary formations with phonetic vyddhi. Likewise Skt. vāsara-'matutinal; day', would appear to have derivational vyddhi (cf. vasar), but elsewhere in Indo-European a long vowel is seen in the primary neuter (Gk. elap <*wēsr, etc.). It may be assumed that primary formations

with vrddhi of the type dâru-, nâbhi-, were originally more general, and that forms of the type *mânu- existed in paradigmatic alternation with mânu-, etc.; and that when the guṇa grade, mânu-, etc., was eventually generalised in the majority of the simple formations, there remained a class of thematic derivatives formed on the basis of obsolete vrddhied stems, i.e. mānavā- beside mānu-. This nucleus showing the alternation guṇa in the primary and vrddhi in the secondary derivative, would then be the starting point of the system in which vrddhi came to be widely used in the formation of secondary derivatives.

This means that derivational vrddhi must have appeared first in the case of the vowel \bar{a} , and that the use of ai and au in these formations must be due to analogy, for the reason that ai and au do not occur in primary nominal derivation. There is evidence that this was the case, since in Iranian, which reflects this process in its early beginnings, \bar{a} is the only vrddhied vowel which appears in this type of derivative. Forms such as $\theta ra\bar{e}taona$ -n. pr. (cf. Skt. $trait\bar{a}nd$ -) and traitand-) and traitand-' kindness' (cf. Skt. traitand-) which have been quoted as parallels to the Sanskrit trait and trait vrddhi, contain not vrddhi but guṇa. Since the words from which they are derived had by nature a guṇa as well as a weak grade (trai-/tri-'3'; (trait) (trait) and trait or eason why the above formations should not be straight derivatives from this (like treit 'triad'). It is in accordance with secondary origin of the trait and trait vrddhi that cases of derivational vrddhi with these vowels are much rarer in the trait than those containing trait.

On the whole the system has been fully built up by the time of the Rgveda. The main types are in existence, but examples do not occur with the same frequency as in the later language. There exist also a greater number of formations of the older type, in which the secondary suffixes are added without vrddhi, e.g. vápuṣa- beside vāpuṣā- and viśya- beside vaiśya-. In the later period the popularity of the vrddhied forms rapidly increases, and it develops into one of the most characteristic features of the Sanskrit language.

§ 21. GRAMMATICAL GENDER

In common with the other IE languages all Sanskrit nouns are classified according to the three genders, Masculine, Fem-

inine, and Neuter. This classification corresponds only partly to the natural order of things, that is to say in so far as the nouns or adjectives apply to human beings and to certain of the larger animals. For the rest of the language the choice of gender is arbitrary and without any logical foundation. In spite of this the system has proved remarkably tenacious in the majority of IE languages; in the modern Indo-Aryan languages, for instance, where traces of the old IE grammatical system have been reduced to a minimum, the system of grammatical gender remains in operation. Languages such as English or Persian which have abolished the distinction remain a minority even now among the descendants of Indo-European.

A study of the evidence provided by the comparison of the IE languages particularly of those which are recorded at an early period, enables some insight to be gained into the origin of this system. This is because grammatical gender was, at the period of Indo-European which can be reached by comparison, a comparatively recent innovation, and evidence enough can be gathered from the main existing languages, to understand the nature of its development.

Two stages can be traced in this development. At the earliest stage there were two classes of nouns, on the one hand a 'common gender' later differentiated into masculines and feminines, and on the other hand the 'neuters'. This state of affairs is faithfully reflected in Hittite, which is distinguished from all other IE languages by the absence of a special feminine gender. The next stage sees the development of the feminine, and it is only at this period that it is proper to speak of gender in the true sense.

The existence of an earlier dual system is attested not only by Hittite, but also by abundant evidence gathered from the remaining languages. Meillet and others had adopted it on the basis of this latter evidence before anything much was known about Hittite, and the discovery of Hittite has gone further to confirm the theory. Attempts have been made to explain the dual system of Hittite as due to the loss of the feminine gender in that language, but no satisfactory evidence has been adduced for this. The fact is that the evidence of the other languages points unambiguously to the pre-existence of a dual system, and since such a system is to be found in Hittite, which in other respects preserves archaic features not known to the remaining

languages, there is no reason why the Hittite evidence should not be taken at its face value. The evidence from Sanskrit and the other languages is briefly that (x) the bulk of the masculine suffixes is also to be found in feminine nouns, and (x) that the specifically feminine suffixes \bar{a} , \bar{a} are used also in masculine derivatives.

(1) The suffix -tár forms mainly agent nouns which are masculine. For the feminine the suffix $\bar{\imath}$ is added (dātr $\hat{\imath}$) and a similar differentiation appears in other languages (Gk. δοτεῖρα, Lat. dātr $\bar{\imath}$ x). On the other hand in the ancient group of nouns in -tar expressing family relationships the undifferentiated suffix is used for masculine (pitár-) and feminine (mātár-, yātar-) nouns. This conservative type preserves the older system which has been abandoned in the ordinary agent nouns in favour of a system in which masculine and feminine are distinguished.

The suffix -sar which appears in svásar-' sister', also in tisrás, cátasras, Lat. uxor and thematised in Hitt. išhaššaraš' lady' functions adjectivally in the same way as -tar (both being opposed to the neuter -sar, -tar), but it tended at an early period to be specialised in feminine formations. The eventual adoption of H-suffix as the normal means of expressing the feminine checked this development, and only these few survivals remain.

The adjectival suffix -man is normally masculine (brahmán-, etc.), but in bahuvrīhi compounds it remains indifferent to gender according to the earlier system. Vedic examples of this are purūšarmā (Aditis), dyutādyāmānam (uṣāsam), sutārmānam (nāvam), acc. pl. śūcijanmanas (uṣāsas), instr. pl. vājabharmabhis (ūtībhis). The Atharva-veda first begins to show special feminine forms in compounds containing the word nāman-'name': paācanāmnī' having five names', etc.

The feminine suffix is added to the present participle in Sanskrit and in Greek (bhárantī, φέρουσα), but in Latin the older undistinguished type is used for both masculine and feminine (ferens, ferentem).

The non-neuter (i.e. accented) suffix -as functions in both masculine and feminine nouns, e.g. apás- 'active' masc. and fem. as opposed to ápas- neut. 'work'; likewise in bahuvrīhi compounds, sumánās nom. sg. masc. and fem. 'well-disposed'. The same state of affairs appears in Greek, ἀληθής, εὐμενής, etc. The compound suffix -yas, functioning in a comparative

sense, adds the feminine - in Sanskrit (bhūyasi, etc.) but Latin preserves the undifferentiated usage (maiōr, masc. and fem.).

Non-neuter nouns in -i and -u are both masculine and feminine. The adjectives in i do not distinguish a masculine and feminine stem (sucis nom. sg. masc. and fem.) and those in -u optionally follow the same system (carus masc. and fem.). The latter may optionally form feminines in two ways (bahvi 'much', tani 'thin'), but the fact that this still remains optional shows that it is a comparatively recent innovation.

The thematic suffix -a, accented and forming adjectives was originally in the same way indifferent to the distinction between masculine and feminine. This state of affairs has become altogether extinct in Sanskrit, but in addition to its being preserved in full force in Hittite, it has left considerable traces in Greek and Latin. It is preserved in Greek in compounds (ροδοδάκτυλος ήώς, etc.) and in both Greek and Latin in a number of individual formations. A good illustration is provided by the word for daughter-in-law which appears with the thematic suffix in Greek and Latin (vvós, nurus) as opposed to the specifically feminine a-suffix which appears in Sanskrit (snusa) and Slavonic (Russ. snoxá). There is no doubt that the form preserved in Greek and Latin is the more original, and that the form as it appears in Sanskrit and Slavonic is an innovation due to the growth of the system of grammatical gender; IE snus-6- was formed at a time when the accented thematic vowel was used simply to make adjectives on the basis of neuter stems in the way amply illustrated above (udrá-: υδωρ, etc.) and was, as still in Hittite, indifferent to gender. The word is based on an obsolete neuter in -us, and etymologically this sn-u-s- is to be connected with sn-eu-bh- in Lat. nūbo, etc.

(2) Instances in the reverse direction are quotable from a variety of IE languages. In dealing with the suffix $-\bar{a}$ (-aH) it was pointed out that it could appear with two functions, one originally neuter forming verbal abstracts, etc., and the other adjectival; also that, since the usual variations in accent and apophony between the two types were mainly eliminated in these stems, there is no formal difference between the two. The feminine gender developed with the specialisation of this suffix, in its adjectival function, as a feminine suffix, but there are still preserved a number of masculine adjectival formations with this suffix. Examples of such masculines are seen in Lat. scriba,

nauta, agricola, etc., O. Sl. sluga 'servant', vojevoda 'army commander' which are in no way formally differentiated from feminines. Greek also has such masculine \bar{a} -stems, but has differentiated them on its own by adding -s in the nom. sg. ($\pi o\iota\eta\tau\eta_S$, etc.). In Sanskrit this type has become obsolete like that of the feminine o-stems. On the other hand there remain a number of masculines formed with the compound suffix $-\bar{i}$ (-i-H) which functions side by side with \bar{a} in the formation of feminines. Skt. rathi-' charioteer' is a survival from the time when adjectival $-\bar{i}$ was indifferent to gender, before it became specialised as a feminine suffix. In Italic and Celtic this adjectival $-\bar{i}$, by an easy change of syntactical function, was adapted to form the genitive singular of o-stems (equi stands to equus as rathi- to rátha-).

The existence of these common masc.-fem. formations so abundantly in Sanskrit and other IE languages, together with the twofold system of Hittite which shows no trace of a feminine gender, is capable of only one explanation. An older dual system has been replaced by a threefold classification into genders. The old system is preserved in its entirety in Hittite; in Sanskrit and other languages it is still partly preserved, as the above examples show, but in the main it has been replaced by the threefold system.

The process of this development cannot be followed in detail since it lies in the prehistory of the languages concerned. All that can be said is that at some period of later Indo-European the suffix \bar{a} (-aH) together with the compound suffixes \bar{i} (-i-H) and \bar{u} (-u-H) came to be specialised as feminine suffixes. This must have applied first to these suffixes in their adjectival use beginning possibly with a small nucleus of words which happened to possess this suffix and were feminine by meaning (e.g. Skt. $gn\bar{a}$, Gk. $\gamma un\bar{\gamma}$). The suffixes so used are either an addition to the primary adjectival suffix $(r\bar{a}j\bar{n}\bar{i})$ or in the case of thematic stems a substitution for it $(newos/new\bar{a})$.

The nature of the earlier dual system has been made sufficiently clear in dealing with the individual suffixes above. The words of 'common gender' from which masculine and feminine nouns eventually derive are in origin adjectives or, what from the point of view of early Indo-European is the same thing, agent nouns. The fundamental division is the one represented on the one hand by Gk. $\delta\delta\omega\rho$ 'water', Hitt. arkuwar

'prayer', Skt. yásas- 'fame', bráhma 'prayer', *sthátar 'stability', and on the other hand by Skt. udrá- 'wateranimal, otter', fkvan- 'worshipping, worshipper', yasás- 'famous', brahmán- 'priest', and sthātár- 'stander', and in other examples copiously quoted above. It is therefore mis-leading to speak of an 'animate' and 'inanimate' gender as if the twofold classification were in origin the expression of such a distinction. It is clear enough from the evidence that the origin of the system was primarily grammatical and not due to any psychological classification of objects in the external world. The so-called nouns of 'common gender' or 'animate gender' are in origin agent nouns, and they are predominantly 'animate ' (and in the main designative of human beings), because it is natural that the agent type of noun is most frequently applied to persons. It is not however exclusively so, and this may be illustrated by a number of Greek formations in -τηρ, e.g. ἀορτήρ 'sword-belt', λαμπτήρ 'lamp-stand', κρατήρ 'mixing-bowl', τριπτήρ 'pestle', ζευκτήρ 'yoke-strap', etc. These represent an ancient type, better preserved in Greek than elsewhere, and show how in origin the adjective/agent-noun class of stem had nothing to do with the distinction between animate and inanimate. We have seen that these suffixally accented formations are originally based on a class of neuters which are well represented by the Hittite formations in -tar. The latter are in the main verbal abstracts or nouns of a similar type. The adjectival type with suffixal accent means somebody or something connected with the meaning of the primary neuter, and could originally apply to things as well as to persons. Because in practice such formations were most frequently applied to persons, the tendency was to eliminate their use as inanimates, so that in the case of nouns in -ter for instance such usage is rare outside this Greek type.

Another type of archaism is preserved in the Vedic language. This is the occasional use of the masculine form of adjectives, in the case of consonantal stems, in agreement with neuter nouns. As examples of this we may quote vácah...dvibárhāh RV. 7. 8. 6, śárdho...anarvánam 1. 37. 1, visarmánam krnuhi vittám 5, 54, 9, śárdho márutam...satyásravasam fibhvasam 5. 52. 8, tád rāṣṭrám ojasví bhavati MS 4, p. 47.4. These reflect an early state of affairs when the formations with accented suffix and vṛddhied nominative were purely adjectival, unconnected

with gender, and could therefore be used in agreement with any noun. With the growth of the gender system a new type of adjectival neuter was created, e.g. purú beside purús 'much', and traces like the above were eventually eliminated. The process is still to be seen in the course of development in Sanskrit in the case of the agent nouns in -tr; the neuter formation kartf-'doer' (gen. sg. kartfnas) is unknown in the earliest texts and is a later analogical development. The older neuter forms of adjectives, though of early origin, were to begin with innovations of the same type.

The foundation of the non-neuter class lies in the adjectival formations, but it was early augmented by transferences which introduced a growing number of action nouns. These have been classified separately in the above pages and are illustrated by such examples as bhārá- masc. 'burden', jigīṣā' desire of conquest', våc- fem. 'speech', ojmán- masc. 'strength', bhiyás-fem. 'fear', mati- fem. 'intelligence', and tántu- masc. 'thread'. The nature of this transference seems to have been mainly mechanical. Personification plays a certain part but this is strictly subsidiary. It is understandable that a stem like usás- should appear as feminine for this reason in view of the place of usas- in the Vedic pantheon; or that oman- 'assistance' and dāmán-' liberality' which are invoked as divine attributes of the gods should be masculine rather than neuter. But no such consideration can apply to the majority of such nouns. For instance, while it is quite clear that vac- fem. 'speech' is in Sanskrit usage quite definitely personified as opposed to the neuter vácas-, it cannot be said that it owes its feminine gender to this. On the other hand it is capable of being personified because for other reasons it has acquired the feminine (derived from the originally common) gender. Stems terminating in occlusives in all IE languages take the nominative s and distinguish between nominative and accusative. In this they are distinguished from the mass of neuter action nouns and agree with the adjectival and agent noun type. It is clear also from the absence of cases to the contrary that this must have been the case from a very early period. There is no logical basis for this; all that can be said is that there is a general rule that all stems of this type inflect in this way, that våk(s), våcam is so inflected because it is a radical stem ending in an occlusive. The fact that it is inflected in this wav. and

thereby acquires a non neuter, eventually feminine gender, enables it to be personified. In the same way we may judge the relationship between dpas, nom. pl. fem. and udakam, udan-(Gk. $v\delta\omega\rho$, etc.) 'water'. There is nothing about radical action nouns as such, from the point of view of their meaning which should cause them to become masculines or feminines; only the mechanical development which caused them to inflect in the same way as the adjectival type of noun which formed the basis of the 'animate' gender is responsible for their becoming such.

In the same way other action noun stems ending in occlusives early inflected in this way (Hitt. kartimmiyat- 'anger', etc.). The neuter *i*-stems were eliminated except for small remnants, and a similar tendency, though on a smaller scale is observable among the action nouns in -u. The thematic action nouns are extensions of root stems which were originally common gender, and this characteristic they retained; when the common gender split into masculine and feminine they naturally became masculines because this is the masculine adjectival suffix. In the same way the action nouns in $-\bar{a}$ are feminine because this is the feminine adjectival suffix.

An essential part is played in the development of the IE system of gender by the system prevailing in these languages by which an adjective must be inflected in the case, number and gender of the noun with which it is in agreement. This is one of the most characteristic features of Indo-European, as grammatical congruence on this scale is hardly to be found elsewhere. Traces of an earlier system, in which the simple adjectival stem could function in attributive use, survive in nominal composition, indicating that the full system was only gradually built up, but it is none the less of ancient origin. It is fully developed in Hittite and applies there to gender in so far as the 'common gender 'and the 'neuter' are distinguished, that is to say in the nominative and the accusative. With the growth of the feminine gender, which is the final stage in the development of the system, the system of congruence was correspondingly extended.

§ 22. NOMINAL COMPOSITION

The capacity to combine independent words into compound words is inherited by Sanskrit from Indo-European, and similar formations are found in other IE languages. Sanskrit differs

from the other IE languages in the enormous development which the system has undergone, which is unparalleled elsewhere. This development, however, is characteristic only of the classical language, and in the Vedic language the use made of nominal composition is much more restricted. It is estimated that in the *Rgveda* the role it plays is not more important than in Homeric Greek. From the point of view of comparative philology it is mainly the Vedic language that has to be considered. The unlimited development of nominal composition in the later classical literature is artificial and not based on spoken usage.

The main features of a compound, though not invariably present, are (1) the appearance of the first member in its stem form, without the inflectional endings with which, except in the vocative, it is associated in independent use, and (2) the uniting of the two elements under one accent. The first feature is of great interest from the point of view of early Indo-European morphology, since it points to a time when the simple stem of a noun or adjective could appear in syntactical relation to other words of the sentence, without the case terminations which later became obligatory for the expression of such relationships. A compound comes into existence when two words appear so regularly and frequently together that they become to all intents and purposes a single expression, a process which is normally associated with the development of a specialised meaning. In the case of inflected groups this leads to compounds like Bihaspáti- proper name of a divinity ('lord of prayer'). On the other hand a compound like vispáti- 'chief of a clan' can only derive, as a type, from a state of affairs in which the relationship which is later expressed by the genitive case, could be expressed by the simple juxtaposition of two nouns in a certain order (vik póti-s). The compounds as a system are the fossilised remains of an earlier state of Indo-European which has long been supplanted by the consistently inflected type which appears in Sanskrit and the classical languages.

Four main classes of compound were recognised by the Indian grammarians, *Tatpuruşa* (with a special subdivision *Karmadhāraya*) *Bahuvrīhi*, *Dvandva* and *Avyayībhāva*, terms which will be defined below. Of these the last two are in the main specifically Indian developments; the types inherited from Indo-European are those classified as tatpuruṣa and bahuvrīhi.

For the purpose of this brief exposition the inherited types may be divided into two major classes, namely I. those which function as nouns and II. those which function as adjectives. These are followed by III. Dvandva and IV. Avyayībhāva.

The first class falls into two main divisions according to

The first class falls into two main divisions according to whether the first member is (a) an adjective or noun in apposition with the second member or (b) a noun standing in such relationship to the second member as would normally be expressed by a case termination. Conversely the adjectival type can conveniently be divided into two classes according to whether the final member is adjective or noun. Of the two major classes, nominal and adjectival, the former are rare in the early language, and this is the case elsewhere in Indo-European. On the other hand the various types of adjectival compound are abundantly represented, as elsewhere, particularly in Greek. We shall see that there is very good reason for this disparity and that it is of significance for understanding how the system evolved.

I. A. Compounds in which the two members stand in apposition to each other are named Karmadhāraya by the Indian grammarians. The main class consists of an adjective followed by a noun. The type is rare in the Samhitās, but becomes more frequent in the later Vedic prose texts. Examples are candrámās- '(bright) moon', pūrņámāsa- 'full-moon', ekavīrā- 'unique hero', kṛṣṇaśakuni- 'raven', mahāgrāmā- 'great host', mahāvīrā- 'great hero', mahādhanā- 'great wealth', nīlotpalā- 'blue lotus', rajatapātrā- 'silver vessel', dakṣiṇāgni- 'southern fire', adharahanū- 'lower jaw', tṛtīyasavanā- '3rd pressing', navadāvā- 'land newly burnt for cultivation', kṛṣṇasarpa- 'cobra'. Such compounds possess frequently specialised meanings, which would not automatically be expressed by the simple combination of the meanings of the adjective and the noun. The word kṛṣṇaśakunī literally 'black bird', means more specifically 'raven'; 'black bird' would be expressed by the uncompounded noun and adjective. Similarly nīlotpalā- means not merely 'a blue lotus', but a particular botanical species (Nymphaea cyanea). It is only in the later language that such compounds show a tendency to be used as simple equivalents of the combination adjective+ noun.

In a smaller class the first member is a noun in a relation of apposition to the second member. Such are purusamṛgá-

'male antelope', úlūkayātu- 'owl demon', vṛṣākapi 'manape', rājarṣi- 'royal sage', dhenuṣṭarī 'barren cow', ukṣavehāt- 'an impotent bull'.

The karmadhāraya is represented in other IE languages by such examples as Gk. ἀκρόπολις, μεσόγαια, ἀγριάμπελος, Lat. angiportus, etc., and the second type can be compared with formations like Gk. ἰατρόμαντις 'physician-seer'. But just as in the earliest Sanskrit these formations are rare. This is natural in view of the origin of these compounds and their place in the grammatical structure of Indo-European in its various stages. They are the remains of a time when the adjective, when used attributively, took no inflections for gender, number and case. Such a state of affairs came early to be replaced in Indo-European by one in which the attributive adjective was inflected in agreement with its noun in all cases, genders and numbers, but there remained a few expressions which were so grown together in usage that they continued as relics of the old system. These could then serve as models for the creation of new examples of the same type.

system. These could then serve as models for the creation of new examples of the same type.

B. Tatpuruṣas with an ordinary substantive as their first member are in the earliest language somewhat more numerous than compounds of the karmadhāraya type, but they are still distinctly rare in comparison with Bahuvrīhis and other adjectival types. They are rarest in the earliest part of the Rgveda and become gradually more important in the succesive stages of Vedic literature. Examples are rājaputrā- 'king's son', mrtyubāndhu- 'companion of death', višpāti- 'lord of the tribe', drupadā- 'post of wood', hiranyarathā- 'car of gold', devakilbiṣā- 'offence against the gods', indrasenā 'Indra's army', camasādhvaryu- 'the priest connected with the cups', drughaṇā- 'mace of wood', ācāryajāyā 'teacher's wife', puruṣarājā- 'king of men', ajalomā- 'goat's hair', aśvavālā- 'hair (from the tail) of a horse', udapātrā- 'bowl of water'. The relationship between the two members is most frequently that expressed by the genitive case, but being very general it can in various examples be transcribed by all cases, and the Indian grammarians have classified them on these lines: Dative, yūpadāru 'wood for a sacrificial post' (yūpāya dāru), ablative, caurabhaya- 'fear of thieves' (caurebhyo bhayam), locative grāmavāsa- 'dwelling in a village', accusative videšagamana' going abroad'.

Compounds of which the last member is a verbal action noun in -ti form a special class. Such are dhánasāti- 'winning of wealth', deváhūti- 'invocation of the gods', sómasuti- 'pressing of soma' and deváhīti- 'ordinance of the gods'. These have accent of the first member as opposed to the tatpuruṣas above and in this respect go with the adjective compounds whose final member is a participle in -ta (vīrájāta-, etc.). They are also abundantly formed in the earliest language, a characteristic which is usually reserved for adjective compounds. A few instances where the final member in -ti has acquired a concrete sense are to be classed with the examples above, e.g. devaheti 'weapon of the gods'.

The corresponding type appears in other IE languages in such examples as Gk. μητροπάτωρ 'mother's father', πατράδελφος 'father's brother', οἰκοδεσπότης 'master of a house', Lat. muscerda 'mouse dung', Goth. piudangardi- 'king's house', O. Sl. vodotokŭ 'watercourse'.

Besides these there exists in the Vedic language a new type of compound in which the first member retains its genitive ending, and, most frequently, its accent. These are commonest with páti- as the last member: vánaspáti- 'lord of the wood, tree', gnåspáti- 'husband of a divine woman', býhaspáti- 'lord of devotion', etc.; with one accent amhasaspatt-'lord of distress', name of an intercalary month. Other examples appear rarely: divodāsa-' servant of heaven', rāyaspóṣa-' increase of wealth'; later, góspada- 'cow's footprint, small puddle', dāsyāhputra-'slave-girl's son (term of abuse) '. Compare Gk. Διόσκουροι, etc. This is the type of compound an inflected language might be expected to form. Its emergence in the Vedic language is to be viewed in connection with the comparative rarity of the ordinary type. As in Greek, etc., these had come to play only a small part in the language, and were in comparison with other kinds of compound, unproductive. Later the reverse process sets in; the frequency of the true tatpurusa increases and the development of the new inflected type is checked.

- II. Compounds functioning as adjectives may be divided into two classes according as to whether the latter member is an adjective or noun.
- A. (1) (a) Compounds with verbal adjective as second member. In these the first member most frequently stands in the accusative relationship to the verbal adjective which forms the

second member. They may be classified according to the various

second member. They may be classified according to the various types of stem that appear in the second member.

Root-stems: havirád-' eating the oblation', aśvavid-' knowing horses', vṛṭrahán-' slaying enemies'. Roots in i, u, ṛ may not appear as root nouns and add the augment -t: dhanajit-'conquering wealth', somasūt-' pressing soma', jyotiskṭṭ-'making light'. Such compounds with root stems have sometimes a passive meaning: manoyūj-' yoked by the will', hṛḍayāvidh-' pierced to the heart'. The type is familiar in other IE languages, cf. Gk. βουπλήξ, Lat. fidicen, artifex, etc.

Thematic suffix: annādá-' food-eating', goghná-' killing cows' devarandá-' god-praising', karmakara-' workman'. A

Thematic suffix: annādā- 'food-eating', goghnā- 'killing cows', devavandā- 'god-praising', karmakara- 'workman'. A newer type in which the first member takes the accusative (or occasionally some other) termination is common in connection with this suffix: dhanāħjayā- 'conquering wealth', purandarā- 'destroying cities', talpešayā- 'lying on a bed'. The thematic type is familiar in other IE languages: Av. hašidava- 'betraying a friend', Gk. θυμοφθόροs, δρυτόμοs, Lat. causidicus, magnificus, Russ. vodonós 'water-carrier', etc.

Suffix -ana: kešavārdhana- 'cutting hair', amitradāmbhana- 'deceiving enemies', devayājana- 'worshipping the gods'.

Suffix -in: ukthašaṃsīn- 'singing hymns', vratacārīn- 'performing a vow', satyavādīn- 'speaking the truth'.

Suffix -i: pathirākṣi- 'protecting the road', sahobhāri- 'bearing strength'.

'bearing strength'.

Suffixes -van and -man: somapāvan- 'drinking soma', baladāvan- 'giving strength', svādukṣádman- 'sharing out sweet things'. Cf. Gk. πολυδέγμων.

Other suffixes - rāṣṭradipsú- 'injuring the kingdom', lokakṛṭnú- 'world making', nṛpātṭ- 'men-protecting'.

Among formations of this kind there is a considerable class in which the form of the last member is modelled on the present stem taken by the root in question. Such are stems ending in:

-ya (4th class): punarmanyá-'again thinking of', akṛṣṭapacyá-'ripening without ploughing', asūryampasyá-'not seeing the sun'.

-aya (10th class and causatives): anilayá- 'not resting', janamejayá- 'rousing the people', dharmadhāraya- 'maintaining the law'. Cf. Av. narō vaēpaya-.
-nva: viśvaminvá' stimulating all', dhiyamjinvá-, dānupinvá-.

-na: duradabhná-, kulampuná-, sadāpṛṇá-. Cf. Gk. μίσθαρνος, πολυδάμνης.

Infixed nasal: agnimindhá-, śalyakṛntá-, govinda-. Cf. Av. yimō kərənta-.

Reduplicated formations: śardhafijaha-, manojighra-, iḍād-adha-. Cf. Av. azrō daba-.

(b) Compounds with a past participle passive as second member differ from these in the syntactical relation of their members, and also in having their accent normally on the first member. For this reason they may be treated as a separate sub-class. They are a very productive type: hastákṛta-' made by hand', vīrájāta-' born of a hero', devátta-' given by the gods', prajāpatisṛṣṭa-' created by P.', ulkābhihata-' struck by a thunder bolt'; indrotá-' helped by Indra'. This is an old Indo-European type which is also represented in related languages: Av. ahura-δāta-, Gk. θεόδμητος, ἱππήλατος, etc.

The type of compound instanced under (a) is characterised by the fact that the second member is very frequently, in the case of some classes almost invariably, a stem that cannot appear in independent use. Compounds like goghná- may be formed at will but a simple ghná-does not exist. The same feature is shared by the related languages and goes back to the Indo-European period. The origin of this type of compound goes back to an earlier phase of Indo-European with a different and simpler structure to that prevailing in the historical period and the period immediately preceding it. What in the historical period are compounds were to begin with constructions of a type which are familiar in languages with a less developed inflection than Indo-European. The relative participles known in Dravidian and certain other linguistic groups are instances of this type of construction. In Indo-European the growth of inflection led to the disuse of such simple constructions but this type of compound, based on them, continued to flourish.

(2) Compounds having as their last member an ordinary adjective are comparatively few. Such are: tanúsubhra'shining in body', yajñádhīra- 'versed in the sacrifice', sāmavipra- 'skilled in Sāma chants', tilámiśra- 'mixed with sesamum'; with case termination of the first member, máderaghu- 'quick in exhilaration', vidmanāpas- 'working with wisdom'.

B. (1) Bahuvriki-. The bahuvriki or possessive compounds B. (I) Bahaville. The Daniville of possessive compounds contain the same elements and in the same order as the karmadhāraya and tatpuruṣa compounds but differ in meaning in that the compound functions as an adjective qualifying some other concept. They also differ in accentuation from the karmadhāraya and tatpuruṣa types, being characterised normally by the retention of the accent of the first member of the compound. The distinction between the two opposing types is illustrated by such cases as chicheten, having kings as some? is illustrated by such cases as rajaputra- 'having kings as sons' as opposed to rajaputra- 'son of a king' and saryatejas- 'having the brightness of the sun' as opposed to sūryatejas- 'the sun's the brightness of the sun' as opposed to sūryatejās-' the sun's brightness'. The following will serve as typical examples of the bahuvrīhi type: bahūvrīhi-' having much rice' (after which the class is named), mayūraroman-' having the plumes of a peacock', indrašatru-' whose foe is Indra', ugrābāhu-' having powerful arms', dīrghāśmaśru-' long-bearded', jīvāputra-' having living sons', iddhāgni-' whose fire is kindled', pra-yatadakṣina-' who has presented sacrificial gifts', chinnāpakṣa-' whose wing is severed', śucādratha-' having a shining chariot', pañcāṅguri-' five-fingered', mādhujihva-' honey-tongued', manirība-' having a peaklace on the peak', hātīnaharia' having a manigrīva-'having a necklace on the neck', pātrahasta-'having a vessel in the hand', vājrabāhu-'armed with the vājra', kharamukha-'donkey-faced'. In the Vedic language there are occasional examples with inflected first member: krātvāmagha-'constituting a reward gained by intelligence', āsānniṣu-'having arrows in the mouth', diviyoni-'whose origin is in heaven'.

The type is widely distributed in the IE languages. Gk. λευκώλενος 'white-armed', ροδοδάκτυλος' rose-fingered', Lat. magnanimus 'great-souled', capricornus' having the horns of a goat', Goth. hrainya-hairts 'pure-hearted', O. Sl. črinovlasu' black-haired'.

The bahuvrīhi likewise originated in the earlier, less inflected period of Indo-European, and it remained after the system of declining adjective and noun in apposition was developed. That development was, as we have seen, unfavourable to the growth of a large class of karmadhāraya compounds, since in the simple collocations of adjective and noun the inflected forms were used. On the other hand the bahuvrīhi construction could not be so simply transformed, since a substitute could only be found by clumsy periphrases. Consequently it

survived in the more developed inflectional stage in the form of these compounds.

these compounds.

Though the latter member of these compounds is always a noun, it does, in the case of consonantal stems always have an adjectival form, e.g. súyaśas- 'of good fame'. From *(a)su yáśas- 'good fame' (cf. Hitt. aśśu- 'good') an adjective, nom. sg. súyaśās is formed in the same way as yaśās from simple yásas, since the apophony indicates that the accent was originally on the last syllable of the compound too. The same applies to the n-stems: nom. sg. purúnāmā 'having many names', etc. Adjectival -á is frequently used in the same way as with simple nouns: anudrá- 'without water', urūnasá- 'having a broad nose', trivatsá- 'three years old', sarvavedasá- '(sacrifice) in which all property is given away'. Other adjectival suffixes are frequently appended, e.g. -ka: jūvapitīka- 'whose father is alive', pūnyalakṣmīka- 'having auspicious marks'; -ya: htranyakeṣya- 'golden haired', mádhuhastya- 'having sweetness in the hand'; -in: mahāhastin- 'having a large hand', satagvin- 'having a hundred cows'.

(2) Adjectival compounds are formed on the basis of the combination preposition + noun. Corresponding to dty dmhas beyond distress' there exists the compound dtyamhas-' one who is beyond the reach of distress'. Similarly dnuvrata- obedient', abhidyu-' directed to heaven', upakakṣá-' reaching to the shoulder', ūrdhvánabhas-' being above the clouds', parihastá' something put round the hand, amulet'. These compounds frequently take the adjectival suffixes which have been noted above in the case of bahuvrīhis: ājarasá-' reaching to old age', āpathi-' being in the way', paripanthin-' way-layer', upatrnya-' lurking in the grass'.

(3) An archaic class, confined entirely to the Vedic language, is composed of a participial first member governing the second member. Examples: vidádvasu- 'winning wealth', bharád-vāja- 'carrying off prizes', taráddveṣa- 'overcoming hostility', mandayátsakha- 'rejoicing friends'. The same type is established in Old Iranian: Av. vanat 'pɔšana- 'winning battles', etc. Sporadically other verbal noun stems are used in the same way: Trasádasyu- 'making enemies tremble', radāvasu- 'opening up wealth', dátivāra- 'giving choice things'. Similar governing compounds are familiar in Greek: φερέοικος 'carrying his house', ἐλκεσίπεπλος 'dragging robes'.

Adjective compounds used as adverbs

Compound adjectives may be used adverbially in the same way as simple adjectives, and as such normally appear in the accusative singular neuter. This is common with bahuvrihis and in the classical literature long conglomerations of this nature are frequently so used. It is also common with the compounds having a preposition or other indeclinable as first member, thus atimatram adv. 'excessively' from atimatra- adj. 'excessive'. Such adverbial compounds are considered by the Hindu grammarians to be a separate class of compound and they are termed avyayībhāva-. The reason for this lies in the fact that in the later language there is a considerable class of such adverbs without actual adjectives corresponding to them. This class is represented by such examples as uparājam 'near the king', upanadam' near the river', pratyagni' facing the fire' and pratinisam 'nightly'. A productive class of indeclinables is formed by those compounds, which have a relative adverb as prior member: yathākāmam 'according to wish', yāvajjīvam' as long as one lives ', etc.

III. Dvandva Compounds.

This type has nothing exactly corresponding to it in the related languages and has developed mainly within the historical period of Sanskrit itself. The earliest type which is common to Sanskrit and Avestan consists of two duals, each retaining its own accents, which are juxtaposed in such a way that a+b is expressed by 2a+2b: Mitrā-Vāruṇau 'M. and V.', dyāvā-pṛthivī 'heaven and earth ', uṣāsā-nāktā 'dawn and night '; cf. Av. pasu vira 'beast and man ', gen. pasua vīraya. Sometimes elliptic duals may be used in place of this construction: Mitrā 'M. and V.', pitārā 'parents', dyāvā 'heaven and earth'.

This represents the oldest state of affairs. Out of such constructions, which are not in the proper sense of the term compounds, the Sanskrit system of dvandva compounds developed and some of the intermediate stages may be observed in the early literature. Thus (I) the form of the nom. acc. dual is retained in the first member in cases other than nom. acc.: mitrà-várunayoh instead of mitráyor várunayoh; (2) in a small number of instances in the RV., and in a somewhat larger number in the later Samhitās, the first member of such a

combination loses its accent: indrāpūṣṇóḥ, somārudrāyoḥ. The final stage appears when the first member appears in its simple stem form: indravāyū (only example in RV.) vāyusavitfbhyām, dakṣakratū, etc.

Because the ending of the agent nouns, etc., in -tar in the nom. sg. coincides with that of the first member of the dual dvandva, this form is chosen in dvandva compounds having such a stem as first member: pitāputraú father and son hotādhvaryū, etc.

Plural dvandvas are exceedingly rare in the earliest language. Of the few examples indrāmarutaḥ (voc.) and pitāputrāḥ ' father and sons' are modelled, as far as their first member is concerned, on the dual dvandvas. An example of the normal type, with simple stem of first member, appears in a late hymn of the Rgveda: ajāváyaḥ ' sheep and goats'. In the later Vedic literature such examples become more common: devamanuṣyāḥ ' gods and men', bhadrapāpāḥ ' good and bad'. In this period also dvandva compounds with more than two members begin to appear: prāṇāpānodāneṣu.

Feminine nouns are not found employed in the oldest type of dvandva in the Veda, though such are known from Avestan: āpa urvaire 'water and crops'. They appear in the later Vedic period in the fully developed type of compound: jāyāpati' wife and husband'.

A few neuter dvandvas of the fully developed type appear even in the Rgveda: satyānṛté' truth and falsehood', ahorātrāṇi' days and nights'. There are also a few older types: idhmābarhiṣ- with two accents and iṣṭāpūrtá- with the first member modelled on the old type of masculine dvandva. In the plural dvandvas ángāpārūmṣi' limbs and joints' (two accents) and ukthāśastrāṇi' hymns and praises' the form of the first member may be interpreted as the old form of the nom. acc. pl. neut.

At an early period there was created a type of neuter dvandva which functions as a singular collective stem: kṛtākṛtá-' what has been done and what has not been done', tṛṇodaká-' grass and water', kaśipūpabarhaṇá-' pillow and bolster'. In the examples both members are neuters. The same type also appears early in cases where one member is neuter, whether it be the last member as in keśaśmasrú-' hair and beard' and in klomahṛdayá-' lungs and heart', or the first member as in ahorātrá-' a day and a night', śirogrīvá-' head and neck' and in yugaśamyá-' yoke and the attaching pin'. Finally the stage

is reached (in the Brāhmaņas) when two non-neuters are combined to form a singular collective dvandva: oṣadhivanaspati'plants and trees', candratāraká-' moon and stars', uṣtrakhara'camels and asses'.

Adjectival dvandvas are formed by the combination of two adjectives applying to the same noun, and such occur from the Rgveda onwards: nīlalohitā-' dark blue and red', tāmradhūmrā-' dusky copper-coloured', arunābabhru-' red brown', kṛṣṇa-śabala-' speckled black', šītoṣṇa-' lukewarm', etc. There are parallels to these in other IE languages (e.g. Gk. λευκόπυρρος, λευκόφαιος, γλυκύπικρος) and it is likely that in contradistinction to the nominal dvandvas the type is inherited from Indo-European.

CHAPTER V

THE DECLENSION OF NOUNS

§ 1. ACCENT AND APOPHONY

The IE declensional system was characterised by a shift of accent from the stem in the strong cases (nom. acc. sg. and du., nom. pl.) to the termination in the weak cases, that is to say in the majority of the oblique cases. This shift of accent entailed apophonic changes affecting stem and suffix. The system was already in decay in the late IE period, and tending to be replaced by a system of fixed accent. In Vedic the shift of accent is best maintained in monosyllabic stems, but considerable traces of it are found in the case of other types of stem, both radically accented neuters (yákṛt, yaknás) and suffixally accented masc.-fem. types.

The three grades of apophony associated with this accent shift are clearly seen in the declension of vrtrahán: nom. sg. vrtrahá, acc. sg. vrtrahánam, gen. sg. vrtraghnás. It is seen also in the suffixally accented r- and n-stems of the type pitá, pitáram, pitré, ukṣá 'bull', ukṣánam, ukṣnás. Elsewhere it has been modified and simplified in various ways. The vrddhi of the nom. sg. tends to be extended to the acc. sg. and nom. pl., e.g. pát 'foot', pádam, pádas, as opposed to Gk. πόδα, πόδες. The accent shift may remain while the vowel gradation is abandoned, e.g. dík 'direction', gen. sg. dísás for what must originally have been *deiks: dikés. Conversely the accent may be stabilised but the vowel gradation retained, e.g. paśumán 'possessing cows', paśumántam, paśumátas.

The system of accent shift is best preserved in radical consonantal stems. In these the accent regularly appears on the termination outside the strong cases. On the other hand the accompanying vowel gradation is only partially preserved. The three grades appear in the declension of kṣám-'earth': nom. du. kṣámā with vṛddhi, loc. sg. kṣámi with guṇa, gen. sg. kṣmás, jmás, gmás with zero grade of root. Elsewhere the zero grade is rare in alternating stems: cf. vṛtraghnás already mentioned,

havyaúhā instr. sg. of havyavāh- 'carrying the oblation', dúras (once durás RV. 2. 2. 7.) acc. pl. of dvāras 'doors'. In other stems there is only alternation between vṛddhi and guṇa, e.g. nom. sg. pāt 'foot', gen. sg. padās, āpas nom. pl. 'water', acc. pl. apās, nāsā nom. acc. du. 'nostrils', gen. loc nasós. The vṛddhi grade is generalised in vāc- 'speech' (nom. sg. vāk, gen. sg. vācas) as also in Lat. vōx, vōcis, in contradistinction to the guṇa grade in Gk. ōψ, ōna. The guṇa grade is generalised in such nouns as kṣāp- 'night', instr. sg. kṣāpā, spāś- 'spy', etc.

Root nouns having i, u, or r as the radical vowel have generalised the weak grade in all cases: dik nom. sg. 'direction', gen. sg. diśás, instr. pl. digbhis, similarly from fc-'hymn', fk, rcás, rgbhis, and so on without exception. With these belong root nouns originally ending in H, namely radical stems in -ī and -ū, e.g. dhi-'thought' (dhis, dhiyam, dhiyás) and bhi-'earth' (bhis, bhivam, bhuvás).

Accent alternation has been abandoned as a general rule in the case of those root stems which appear at the end of compounds, e.g. trivft- 'threefold', gen. sg. trivftas. The older alternating system is only preserved in vrtraghnás because the vowel of the root had been elided. In the alternating stem anadváh- gen. sg. anadúhas the apophony indicates that there was originally a shifting accent which has been replaced by a fixed accent. An exception to the general tendency is found in the various formations in -anc (pratyánc-, etc.) which are originally compounds of prepositions with the root of ákṣi' eye'. Here the accent appears on the termination in the weakest cases (gen. sg. pratīcás) but it is shifted back in the middle cases with a corresponding difference of grades (pratyágbhis).

The movable accent was originally characteristic of the neuter

The movable accent was originally characteristic of the neuter nouns formed with the various suffixes classified above. The tendency was from the late IE period for this to be given up and replaced by a fixed radical accent. Nevertheless there remain in Sanskrit, as also in Greek, various survivals from this system. The accent shift is usually preserved in the archaic neuters with alternating r/n stem: dsyk, asnds 'blood', ydkrt, yaknds 'liver', dkrt, d

suras 'head'). The terminational accent in the oblique cases entails in some instances a reduction of the root, e.g. udnds as compared with Engl. water, etc. It is seen also in gen. sg. usrds 'of the dawn' compared with the strong stem vasar° which appears in compounds. In two cases fixed accent has been applied to nouns of the old r/n declension: dhar, dhnas 'day', ddhar, ddhnas 'udder'.

In the masc. and fem. r- and n-stems the accent shift to the termination is preserved in the weakest cases where the vowel of the suffix is lost: pitā, pitrē, cf. Gk. πατήρ, πατρός, mūrdhā 'head', gen. mūrdhnás, ukṣā 'bull', ukṣṇás, cf. Gk. ἀρήν, Faρήν 'lamb', gen. ἀρνός. In the middle cases where the n and r of the suffix were vocalised on the loss of the guṇa vowel the accent is retracted to the suffix: pitfbhis, mūrdhábhis. In certain cases the apophony indicates that the accent was originally of the alternating variety although it has become fixed: śvā 'dog', gen. śúnas (original accent in Gk. κυνός), yuvā 'young man', gen. yūnas, maghávā, maghónas.

With as-stems traces of this accent shift are exceedingly rare. The instr. sg. bhīṣā (bhiyás- 'fear') and the gen. sg. uṣás (for uṣ-s-as) show both the terminational accent and the corresponding weak form of suffix and root. Otherwise these stems have been normalised.

This accent was originally characteristic of the *i*- and *u*-stems, and traces remain notably in Greek: ois 'sheep', gen. olos, you 'knee', youvos, dópu' spear', doupos. Sanskrit in general has stabilised the accent (duyas, mádhuas) though occasionally the apophony indicates original accent of the termination, e.g. in drúnas (<drunás) gen. of dáru 'wood'. The nom. sg. pásu nt. and the gen. sg. pásuás (masc. but originally neuter) represent the original IE inflection, but they no longer belong together, since the forms classed with pásu have acquired a normal radical accent, and a masc. pásús has come into being, to which the gen. sg. pásuás is attached. Elsewhere terminational accent appears in a small number of suffixally accented nouns which take the gen. sg. termination -as: arís: aryás; rayís: rāyás; pitús: pitús.

The accent shift remains in the case of those participles in -ant which are accented on the suffix: nom. sg. addn 'eating': instr. sg. adata; yuñján 'joining': yuñjata; sunván 'pressing': sunvata, etc.; but this does not apply to the middle

cases where the accent is on the suffix and not the termination.

These are the main cases where shift of accent appears in declension in Sanskrit. Elsewhere, and these form the majority of stems, the accent has been stabilised either on the root or on the suffix. Fixed accent on the root becomes the normal accentuation of neuter nouns in Sanskrit: dhánva 'bow', dhánvanas; nāma 'name', nāmnas; brāhma 'prayer', brāhmaṇas; āṃhas 'distress', āṃhasas; mādhu 'honey', mādhvas, mādhunas; vāri 'water', vāriṇas. Fixed radical accent is likewise the rule in those masculine and feminine nouns which are accented on the root: bhrātā 'brother', instr. bhrātrā; rājā 'king', gen. rājāas; bhāvan 'being', bhāvatas; gómān 'possessing cows', gómatas; āvis 'sheep', āvyas; pātis 'lord', pātes, pātyus (husband); krātus 'wisdom', krātvas; šātrus 'enemy', šātros.

Thematic stems, both those accented on the root and those accented on the suffix, have invariably fixed accent both in Sanskrit and Greek. The same applies to verbal thematic stems. The evidence is that in Indo-European such stems were characterised by fixed accent from the beginning.

Fixed suffixal accent in the case of non-thematic stems appears in a number of types. It is rare in Sanskrit in the rand n-stems, though not uncommon in Greek (ποιμήν ποιμένος, and with væddhi carried through, δοτήρ, δοτήρος). Such accent only appears in Sanskrit in those n-stems in which the suffixal vowel is not elided in the weak cases: brahmå, brahmánas.

On the other hand this type of accentuation has assumed great importance in the case of the i- and u-stems, where it produced a special inflection of the suffixally accented type (adjective and agent noun), which was eventually applied to all masculine and feminine nouns however accented. Inflection of the type pita: pitre is found only in the stem sakhi-' friend'. nom. sg. sákhā, dat. sg. sákhye, but there has been a secondary shift of accent to the root which must have originally been the same in the two cases. Elsewhere in the normal type (agnis, agnés) there is fixed radical accent, and this type must be very ancient because accent and apophony are in agreement in the gen. sg., etc. The accent causes the retention of the guna grade of the suffix in the genitive, dative (agnaye) and nom. pl. (agnáyas), and the reduction of the gen. sg. termination to -s (agné-s). Likewise in the case of u-stems the inflection of the type vāyús' wind', gen. sg. vāyós arises from the fixation of the accent on the suffix of suffixally accented agent nouns and adjectives. This type of declension eventually ousts the alternative type, which was originally exclusively used with neuter nouns (mddhvas, paśvás originally neuter) and optionally in the agent noun-adjective type (sákhye with secondary radical accent). The decline of the neuter as opposed to the masc.-fem. types is largely responsible for this development.

Fixed accent on the suffix is to be found also in masc. and fem. stems in -as: nom. rakṣās, gen. rakṣāsas and in the stems in -mant and -vant in so far as they are accented on the suffix: paśumān, paśumātas. In the latter case the apophony indicates an original shifting accent. It does so also in the perfect participles whose fixed accent must be of secondary origin: cakṛvān, cakṛūsas.

The accent of certain infinitival forms calls for mention since it differs from any of the types listed so far. This appears in certain dative infinitives which are accented on the suffix. This is most commonly found in infinitives formed from s-stems, e.g. rcáse 'to praise', caráse 'to move', spurdháse 'to strive', bhojáse 'to enjoy'. Some examples are also found from manand van-stems: vidmáne 'to know' dāváne 'to give', turváne 'to overcome'. This accent cannot be original since suffixal accent is proper to the adjective and agent-noun type, whereas the neuter action nouns, to which these infinitives belong. are accented on the root. It is also hardly possible that this type of accentuation should have supplanted a radical accentuation, since that has become the normal type, and the reverse would be expected. The accent normal to neuter nouns does sometimes occur in these infinitives, rarely in those with suffix -as (áyase 'to go', dhāyase 'to cherish'), more preponderantly elsewhere (damane 'to give', dharvane 'to injure'. Since it is unlikely that this normal type would be supplanted, the infinitival accent on the suffix must be explained as a substitution for older terminational accent (*ycasé, etc.). The cause of this change is not altogether clear but it may be associated with the tendency observed elsewhere to avoid final accentuation in forms of more than two syllables: cf. trivitas as opposed to rcás, and aksábhis as opposed to aksná. It may also be due partly to influence of locative infinitives where the regular accent was on the suffix.

A few action nouns in -as have also acquired suffixal accent,

notably bhiyds-'fear', instr. bhiydsā beside older bhīṣā (p. 158). When the neuter nouns had substituted fixed radical accent for the shift of the accent to the suffix in the oblique cases, the only type where it normally took place were masculine stems in which the vowel of the suffix was elided in these cases: ukṣā, ukṣṇās. On this analogy the few remaining neuter stems which retained oblique cases with terminational accent received suffixal accent in nom. acc. (acc. bhiyāsam corresponding to bhīṣā like ukṣānam to ukṣṇā; later bhiyāsā is created by stabilisation of the new accent). In this noun the feminine gender results from its changed accent.

Suffixal accent has become normal in the majority of the neuter nouns in -is: arcis- 'flame', gen. sg. arcisas, etc., as opposed to the rarer type jyótis- 'light'. The anomalous nature of this accent is clear from the weak grade of the syllable on which it is placed, and also from a comparison with the related -as and -us stems. The same type of accent appears in the i-stems of the urki-type and in u-stems (originally -iH and -wH stems). The system here is more complicated inasmuch as these classes contain both action-noun types (deht 'rampart', tant 'body') and agent-noun/adjective types (vrki- 'shewolf', agri-'maid'). The accent of the former type is exactly parallel to that of arcis-, etc. An exact parallel to the latter type is found in the adjectives in -in: bali, balinas. In both these adjectival types the suffixal accent is regular, but its weak grade is to be explained out of forms in which the accent was originally on the suffix (*vṛkiyás, *balinás). The weak grade associated with the latter forms has been generalised, but also the suffixal accent of the nom. acc. where originally the strong grade of the suffix must have prevailed. The action nouns of the $(vrk\bar{i})$ \bar{i} - and \bar{u} -stems have fallen together with the adjective/agent-noun type in accent as in other respects.

The same kind of development seems to have taken place in a number of originally neuter *i*- and *u*-stems. This is clearest in the case of the stem paśú-' domestic animal'. A neuter páśu is preserved in one instance and comparative evidence shows that this form with its radical accent is original (cf. Lat. pecu, Goth. faihu, O. Pruss. pecku: IE péku). The old form of the gen. sg. to this, with its terminational accent is preserved in Sanskrit (paśvás), but by the analogy of the masc. stems mentioned above this form is the cause of the creation of a new, masculine,

nom. sg. paśús. The same seems to have occurred with pitús 'food', gen. sg. pitvás, since this by its meaning is an action noun, and among i-stems with rayis: rāyás 'wealth' (for *ráhis: rahyás). Possibly also some masc. n-stems which are not of the adjective/agent-noun type arose in this way, e.g. mūrdhá, gen. sg. mūrdhnás 'head'.

§2. HETEROCLITIC DECLENSION

The mutual relation of the r- and n-stems has been dealt with at some length in the section dealing with the formation of nouns, and may be briefly summarised here. The neuter rstems that remain in Sanskrit are normally not declined outside the nom. acc. sg., n-stems being used in the remaining cases: ahar/ahnas 'day', yakrt/yaknas 'liver', etc. This type of inflection is found elsewhere in Indo-European, but always, outside Hittite, as an archaic survival, and not as a productive formation. In Hittite, on the other hand, this type of alternation is exceedingly common, and appears regularly in the inflection of neuters in r/n, and in the compound suffixes -mar, war, sar, tar/n. It was therefore at an earlier period of Indo-European much commoner than later, and its decline is due partly to the decline of the old neuter types in general, and partly to the extension of the *n*-stem to the nom. acc. sg. This system arose too early for it to be possible now to say how precisely it came into being. It does not however appear that the neuter r-stems were from the beginning incapable of inflection, since such examples occur in all languages (Skt. svår/såras; vasar°/usrås; Gk. ĕap/ĕapos; Hitt. kurur/kururaš, etc.), and there is no reason to believe that this type is not ancient. Nor can it be said that the *n*-suffix is in origin either a case termination or a formative making an oblique case. It is a suffix in its own right, on a par with the others, and it appears like them in the nom. acc. sg. in many ancient examples (e.g. Skt. nāma 'name', Lat. nomen, Hitt. laman, etc.). It is therefore difficult to say how exactly these two stems so often combined to form a single paradigm, but this took place in the early period of Indo-European, and though the system was beginning to become obsolete in the final stages of the parent language, it persists as an archaic survival in several of the existing languages.

In the same way the few neuters in -i substitute an n-stem outside the nom. acc. sg.: ásthi: asthnás 'bone', etc. Besides these the instr. pl. naktábhis may correspond to an old neuter nákti ' night ' which has been replaced by a feminine (nom. sg. náktis). In the case of vári 'water' the n-suffix is added to instead of being substituted for the i-suffix (gen. sg. varinas). This process appears commonly in neuter u-stems: daru drunas, mádhu 'honey', mádhunas, etc., and its antiquity is guaranteed by similar formations elsewhere: Gk. δόρυ, δόρατος (*dorwntos, with the additional t-suffix characteristic of Greek). In the Vedic language this is only one means of inflecting the neuters (the alternatives are mádhvas and mádhos, the latter an innovation borrowed from the masc.), but it becomes the general rule in the classical language. This n-extension in the oblique cases is found occasionally with other suffixes: e.g. the as-stem śiras 'head', gen. sg. śīrṣṇás, and the yā-stem kanyà, gen. kaninām, Av. also gen. sg. kainīnō. The use of this n is much extended in certain cases, e.g. instr. sg. of masc. i- and u-stems (agninā, vāyunā); in the gen. pl. it has been introduced in the case of all vocalic stems: devanam, agninam, bitřnám, etc.

Certain defective neuter n- and m-stems appear in the Veda mainly as instrumentals, and have become attached to the corresponding man-stems: bhūnā, mahnā, prenā, prathinā, mahinā, varinā (bhūmán- 'abundance', etc., prathimán- 'width', etc.). It has been noted above that it is unnecessary in these cases to assume a change of -mn- to -n-.

An alternative uṣ/van similar to the r/n alternation appears in the Vedic declension of dhánuṣ-' bow'. The uṣ-stem appears in the nom. sg., while elsewhere the stem dhánvan- is used. It is probable that the two stems páruṣ- and párvan-' joint' were originally distributed in the same way. This combination of n- and s-stems is found also in the corresponding masculines. The voc. sg. of certain van-stems in the Veda is in -vas: rtāvas, eva-yāvas, vibhāvas, mātariśvas from rtāvan-' righteous', etc. There are also doublets like fbhvan-, fbhvas-; śikvan-, śikvas-(both meaning 'skilled') in which the two suffixes alternate without any apparent rule. This voc. -vas appears also, and more regularly, in the stems in -vant, which is a t-extension of the van-suffix, and in the parallel stems in -mant: rṣīvas, gnāvas, patnīvas, tuviṣmas, bhānumas, śuciṣmas. In the case of

these stems the s-forms are more extensively used in Iranian, since Avestan has nominatives of this kind attached to stems in -vant: amavå (amavant-).

On analysis the Sanskrit forms of the nom. sg. are derived from this (námasvān, pasumān, etc.). The nominative formed from the vant- and mant-stems would have appeared as "van and "man (like "an in the ant-stems). The nominatives in -van, -mān are derived from *-vāns, -māns (-vāms, māms) which have replaced *-vās, *-mās by analogical extension of nasalisation to the nom. sg. This phenomenon is found elsewhere in Sanskrit in s-stems, and since it does not appear in Iranian, it is to be taken as a special Indian development. The alternation of nasalised forms in the strong cases with forms without nasal in the weak cases in such classes as the present participles (adán, adántam, adata, etc.), which is due to the change of the sonant nasal to a, led to the extension of n to the strong cases of other classes where the nasal does not originally belong. This is found notably in the comparatives in vas (śrévān 'better', śrévāmsam, śreyasas) and in the perfect participles in -vas (vidván, vidvamsam, vidúsas). It is found also, in the declension of pums-'man': puman, pumamsam, pumsás. This is a masc. -ás-stem, but one which in contradistinction to the normalised type (raksås, raksásam, raksásas) has preserved some archaic features. These are (1) the weakening of the radical vowel as a result of the accentuation of the suffix, (2) the old terminational accent of the oblique cases as in pitré, uksnás, etc., and (3) the consequent weakening of the suffix in these cases. In addition the inflection is complicated by the introduction of the nasal into the nom. acc. sg. (replacing *pumås, *pumåsam). There is one other example of this nasalisation among the masc. as-stems, namely svávān, nom. sg. of svávas- 'helpful'.

The introduction of -n- into the heteroclitic nom. sg. of the vant- and mant-stems follows this general principle, and it was further facilitated by the existence of -n- regularly in the acc. sg. which was formed with the vant-stem. The distribution of the two stems corresponds to that of the neuter us and van in dhánus-|dhánvan above except that in the masculine the acc. sg.

¹ The masc. pumás- would correspond to a neuter *peŭmos- ' pubes '. The Lat. words $p\bar{u}b\bar{v}s$, $p\bar{u}ber$, have different suffixes. Since the final root here is likely to be that which appears also in Lat. pu-d-ôr, b and m may also be varying suffixal elements, alternatively b in Latin may be for m before \bar{v} in puber, as in hibernus, tuber (: tumôr).

has a form different from the nom, sg. and this form follows the analogy of the majority of cases.

The vant-suffix is built on the van-suffix and though no nom. sg. in -vās is recorded for the latter type of stem, the vocatives in -vas are an indication that the nom. sg. may once have been so formed.

In some stems the suffixes van- and vant- are combined heteroclitically. The stem maghávan- (nom. sg. maghávā, gen. sg. maghávas uses the vant-stem before terminations beginning with a consonant (instr. pl. maghávadbhis). An instr. sg. fkvatā appears beside the usual stem fkvan- 'praising'. The stems árvan- and árvant- 'steed' are interchangeable. The stem yúvan-, yún- makes its neut. sg. yúvat, and this extended stem is the basis of the fem. yuvatí-.

The perfect participle is formed mainly with the stem in $-v\bar{a}ms$ -/ $u\bar{s}$, but before the terminations beginning with a consonant, there appears a stem in vat (vidvádbhis instr. pl.). This suffix reappears in Greek, where it forms the normal basis of the declension ($\epsilon i\delta \omega s$, $\epsilon i\delta \delta \tau os$) and it is attested also in Gothic ($weitw\bar{o}d$ -' witness'). The comparative evidence shows it to be different from the vat (wnt) which is the weak form of the vant-suffix, since it has no nasal.

The word for 'path, way' declines with a variety of stems. The strong form in the Rgveda appears as nom. sg. pánthās, acc. sg. pánthām, nom. pl. pánthās, to which correspond Av. panta, pantam. In the weak cases the stem appears as path- (instr. sg. batha, etc.). The relation of these two stems is one of apophony: strong form of suffix $aH (> \bar{a})$, weak form H. The weak form of the suffix, H, aspirates the preceding t, and this aspiration is then extended to the nom. sg., etc. The same development occurs in the case of the strong and weak stems maha-, mah-'great' (megeHz-/megHz). In the middle cases of path- an istem is used, which occurs elsewhere (O. Sl. pott, O. Pruss. pintis): instr. pl. pathibhis, etc. (on the other hand Av. has padabis without -i-). In the same way mahā/mah- has a supplementary i-stem, in this case in the neut. sg. (máhi: in Av. also in the instr. pl. mazibis). After the Rgveda there appears another strong stem of path-, an n-stem (acc. sg. panthanam, nom. pl. pánthānas). This is also ancient since the same formation appears in Avestan: pantānom, pantāno. The same kind of inflection is laid down by the grammarians for rbhuks- 'n, of a divine being 'and math- 'churning-stick'.

§ 3. THE CASE-TERMINATIONS

Nominative Singular, Masc. and Fem. The nominative singular of masculine and feminine nouns is formed in three ways, (1) by vrddhi of the suffix, (2) by the termination s, (3) by the simple stem uncharacterised in any way. The basis of the first method has been dealt with at length in the section dealing with the formation of nouns. There it was seen that adjectives and agent nouns were formed on the basis of the various types of neuter stem by the transference of the accent to the suffix, and that for phonetic reasons which are not now clear, this led to the vrddhi of the suffix in the nom, sg. Associated with this vrddhi there is a tendency for the final semivowel of a suffix to be elided: pita, brahma. The vrddhied type of nom. sg. appears regularly in the case of masc. r-, n- and sstems (dātā, cf. Gk. δοτήρ; brahmā, cf. Gk. ποιμήν; rakṣās, sumánās, cf. Gk. ψευδής, εὐμενής), rarely in the case of i-stems (sákhā). A similar vrddhied nom. sg. originally existed in the case of u-stems of this type, but in all cases where such vrddhi is preserved it has secondarily acquired the addition of -s: Skt. dyaús, Av. uzbāzauš, Gk. βασιλεύς (for -ηύς), etc.

The termination -s appears in Sanskrit, which in this respect is in close agreement with the related languages, in the masculine a-stems (devás, Lat. deus) in masc. and fem. i- and u-stems, both of the action-noun (matis, krátus, Gk. β áois, $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi vs$, etc.) and adjectival type (śúcis, purús; Gk. δ 6ρις, π 0λύς, etc.), in $\bar{\imath}$ -stems of the $vrk\bar{t}$ -type and \bar{u} -stems, in consonantal stems (action-noun or agent), including the monosyllabic stems originally ending in -H (dhis, bhis).

It is clear that there is no common principle uniting these various formations, and distinguishing them from those classes in which the nom. sg. masc. and fem. is formed differently. It is also clear that the range of s-nominatives has extended at the expense of other types. This has already been observed in the case of the vrddhied nominatives in -aus. It is also clear that the feminines in $-\bar{\imath}$ of the v_1kt class, and those in $-\bar{\imath}$ (tanůs) have acquired their -s from the radical stems in $-\bar{\imath}$ and $-\bar{\imath}$ which elsewhere are declined like them. The feminines in $-\bar{a}$ and those in $-\bar{\imath}$ of the devi class preserve the uncharacterised nominative which was original to the stems in -H. Furthermore it is doubtful whether the s-nominative was originally attached to the i- and

u-stems, though it must have extended to them at a very early period. The reason for this is that the action nouns of these classes would be expected, according to the general analogy, to have been originally neuters, and this is borne out by the existence of survivals of the type; on the other hand in the case of the agent-noun/adjective types there is evidence of a vrddhied treatment parallel to that of the r-, n- and s-stems, and though this is rare in the historical period, it can be shown to have been much commoner earlier. The probability is that the nominative s was originally proper to the adjectival thematic stems, since they are definitely a class apart. Its extension to the i- and ustems is not difficult to understand, since like the thematic stems they are vocalic stems. In this process the morphological distinction and agent-noun/adjective appears to have counted very little, and the s of the nominative (and with it the distinction between nom, and acc.) became early attached even to the action nouns of these classes. In this way the majority of such nouns acquired the masc. or fem. (earlier, common) gender. Historically they do not take s in the nom. sg. because they have gender, but rather they have acquired gender as a result of taking -s. In the same way stems in occlusives were from an early period characterised by s in the nom. sg., and this regardless of whether they were action or agent nouns. In monosyllabic stems the s was also added after consonants other than occlusives (nasals, Gk. εξς, κτείς; H, Skt. dhis, bhūs).

The feminines in $-\bar{a}$ and those in $-\bar{i}$ of the $dev^{\bar{i}}$ class have no special sign for the nom. sg. To this extent they agree with the neuters. The action nouns ending in these suffixes originally were neuters, and in the case of this suffix the adjectival type, which was specialised as a fem. formation was always less clearly distinguished from the action noun type than was the case with other suffixes.

Accusative Singular, Masc. and Fem. The accusative singular masc. and fem. shows no such variation. The termination appears as -m after vocalic stems (ásvam, Lat. equum; agním, Lat. ignem, etc.) and -am after consonantal stems (pådam, råjānam, pitáram, etc.). In the latter case Greek has a out of η (πόδα, πατέρα) and, this is the form which would be expected phonetically, but Indo-Iranian substitutes the fuller form which has the advantage of greater clarity. In some languages (Gk., Celt., Germ.) this final -m changes to -n, as it also does in

the nom. acc. sg. neut. (Gk. $\lambda \dot{\nu} \kappa o \nu$, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta o \nu$), and it is certainly wrong to assume, as is sometimes done, that the latter is the more original form.

Nom.-Acc. Sg. Neuter. Apart from a-stems neuter nouns have no endings in these cases: ádhar, Gk. oðbap; mádhu, Gk. µébu; nāma, Lat. nōmen, Hitt. lāman, etc.). In thematic neuters the termination in both cases is -m. It has been suggested above that the -m of the old neuters of this class was originally the suffix -m (yugám: yugmá-, etc.) and as a result of these forms coinciding with the acc. sg. of thematic adjectival stems, a neuter thematic type was developed.

Instrumental Singular. The instrumental singular shows no united formation in Indo-European. Forms corresponding to the $-\bar{a}$ which is the regular ending in Indo-Iranian, appear only in certain languages, and there only in certain classes of stem. In addition there appear the endings -bhi (Gk. $\theta\epsilon\delta\phi$, Arm. mardov) and -mi (O. Sl. vlūkomi, Lith. sūnumi). The former element is that which appears in the instr. pl. in Sanskrit (-bhi-s). In Greek it is used indifferently either as singular or plural, and further in a wide sense, covering instr. loc. and abl. Hittite has a different formation of its own (-it) which is not to be reconciled with any of the other forms in Indo-European. It appears that the instrumental with its various forms is a comparatively new case, and consequently has no common form covering the whole of Indo-European.

The Sanskrit form is normally $-\bar{a}$, i.e. $-aH: pad\bar{a}$, $pitr\bar{a}$, $r\bar{a}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$, etc. But it may also appear in the zero grade, -H, notably in the case of feminine *i*-stems: $citt\bar{i}$ (Av. $cist\bar{i}$), $\bar{u}t\bar{t}$, $j\dot{u}st\bar{i}$, etc. In Avestan this form is also attested for u-stems: mainyu, $xrat\bar{u}$, etc. It must further be assumed for thematic stems $(vfk\bar{a}, Av. vshrk\bar{a}, etc.)$, since the acute accent which appears elsewhere (Lith. $vilk\hat{u}$, $ger\hat{u}$ -ju, and cf. the Gk. adv. $e^{ino}\chi e p \omega$ which is interpreted as an old instrumental) speaks against contraction (IE therefore wlk^wo -H, not wlk^wo -oH/eH). The quality of the long vowel that developed from this varied between $-\bar{o}$ (Lith. $vilk\hat{u}$, OHG. wolfu with $u < \bar{o}$) and $-\bar{e}$ (Goth. $vilk\hat{u}$) Alg. Skt. (adverbs) vilku0 are with palatalisation indicating $-\bar{e}$. This implies an original IE metaphony eH_1/oH_1 .

Dative Singular. The termination is -e, Av. -e, ōi, Indo-Ir. -ai: padé, pitré, súne, mánase; Av. bərəzaite, vīse, pitre, paibyaē-ča, ərəžəjyōi, etc. The IE ending -ei is preserved in

Oscan (paterel, regaturel, leginei) and Phrygian (Favaktel). Elsewhere phonetic developments have obscured it (Lat. mātrī, O. Sl. materi, etc.). There has been some dispute about the original form of the dative ending, since alternatively the Greek infinitives in -ai have been compared (δόμεναι, δοΓεναι, δοῦναι, etc.). But the existence in Greek of certain traces of the dative in -ei (ΔιΓειφίλος), shows that, whatever the explanation of these infinitives, they should be discounted in settling the form of the IE dative.

Genitive-Ablative Singular. The termination, which outside the thematic class combines the functions of the ablative and the genitive, is -as representing IE -es and -os. The difference between the two depended on accentuation, -es occurring in connection with the original terminational accent, -os in those cases (Gk. $\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma s$, etc.) where the accent had become fixed on the root. This distinction is nowhere preserved, since in the various languages one or other form is generalised, e.g. -os in Gk. ($\sigma\dot{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma s$, $\pi\sigma\delta\dot{\sigma} s$) and -es (>is) in Latin (corporis, pedis, but O. Lat. regus, etc.). In addition there exists a reduced termination -s which appears in conjunction with adjective and agent noun types with accented suffix. This appears in Sanskrit in connection with i- and u-stems (agnés, sūnós), in Avestan also in some r-stems (pitarš). In the i- and u-stems the form has spread from the adjectival type to which it properly belongs, to the majority of action nouns (matés, étos). Only a few

examples remain in the Veda of the alternative type (dvyas, mádhvas).

Locative Singular. Three types of locative singular are found in Sanskrit, illustrated by the alternative forms of locative of the word for 'eye': akṣán, akṣáni, akṣni. Their chronology appears to be in this order. The type akṣni is the latest. According to the grammarians the locative of n-stems may be in -ani or -ni (rājani, rājāi; saktháni, sakthni), but in the language of the Rgveda the latter type does not appear, and is therefore clearly an innovation. It is due to an analogical tendency to put the loc. sg. on the same footing as the other oblique cases by accenting the termination and weakening the suffix. In many of the consonantal stems this tendency had already become general in the pre-Vedic period (adati, bhágavati, vidúṣi, etc.), but the older type with accent and guṇa of suffix is preserved in the an-stems, in r-stems (svásari, pitári), to which certain monosyllabic stems can be added: kṣámi, dyávi (beside divi).

The oldest form, the locative without ending, appears in n-stems (áhan, mūrdhán, šīrṣán; cf. Gk. alév 'always', and infinitives like δόμεν, etc.), and in the vṛddhied forms of the i-and u-stems. It also appears sporadically elsewhere, e.g. in parút 'last year 'as opposed to Gk. πέρυσι, πέρυτι, a compound whose last member (-út) is the weak form of the wet that appears in Hitt. wett-, Gk. Féros 'year'. In Avestan there appears a locative without ending from a root noun man-'mind' in the phrase mōn ča daidyāi 'and to put in the mind, remember'.

The locative in -i is based on the older locative without ending, to which a suffix or particle -i has been added. This produces a clearer form which tends to oust the earlier form without ending, but the process is not yet complete by the Vedic period. To a large extent this form of locative preserves the accent and guṇa of the suffix which characterised the form without ending, and it is thus sharply differentiated from the genitive and dative singular with their accented termination. At the same time analogy has tended to adapt the loc. sg. to their type, in some cases in the prehistoric period (adati, etc.) and in other cases during the history of Sanskrit itself (rājīti, etc.). The suffixal accent of the old locatives without ending is parallel to that which has been observed to occur in adverbs based on neuter stems (prātár, etc.).

Vocative Singular. The vocative singular consists of the simple uninflected stem, and it is therefore a survival from the time when the inflection of the noun had not been built up to the degree which appears later. In this respect it points to the same conclusion as the system of nominal composition, indicating an early period of IE in which the bare stem could function as a word. In thematic stems the vocative is formed simply by dropping the -s which characterises the nominative: wka. Gk. λύκε, Lat. lupe. The various languages agree in having the egrade of the suffix in this case instead of the usual ο (λύκος, etc.). Stems which take vrddhi in the nom. sg. substitute guna in the vocative (śvan, pitar as opposed to śvá, pitá, etc.), and this characteristic is found also in related languages (Gk. κύον. $\pi \acute{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$). This guna appears also in *i*- and *u*-stems (ágne, súno) since the formation of adjectival i- and u-stems was originally parallel to that of the adjectival r- and n-stems. The feminines in -ī and -u substitute the short vowels i and u and this is probably to be regarded as the regular development of -iH and -uH when followed by a pause. Compare the similar development of -ah to a in Greek vocatives like νύμφα, συβώτα. The vocative of the ā-stem is anomalous (bāle, voc. of bālā 'girl') and is perhaps due to the addition of an enclitic particle i (-e for -aH-i). The vocative is unaccented in Sanskrit, except when it appears at the beginning of a sentence or pada, and in these conditions it has a special accent of its own, namely on the first syllable. regardless of the normal position of the accent in the word. There are traces of this latter type of accentuation elsewhere (Gk. πάτερ, ἄδελφε, as opposed to πατήρ, ἀδελφός in the nom. sg.), but nowhere to the extent that is found in Sanskrit. The system cannot be very ancient, otherwise there would not be regular guna of the suffix, which is due to the suffixal accentuation which characterises these types normally.

Nominative Plural, Masc. and Fem. The Sanskrit -as (ptdas feet ') corresponds to IE -es which is preserved elsewhere (Gk. $\pi\delta\delta\epsilon$ s). The termination always appears in the full grade though it is never accented. It is associated with the strong stem in stems of varying grades, and this may be either guṇa (ptdaras, ukṣáṇas, agnáyas) or vṛddhi (dātāras, rājānas). As in the accusative singular the latter type is due to extension from the nominative singular.

An s appears in most of the plural cases, e.g. acc. -ns, instr.

-bhis, dat. abl. -bhyas, loc. -su. It is possible, but not certain that this s is identical with that of the nom. pl. The IE plural system is complicated by two unusual features. On the one hand if this s is the sign of the plural it is distinguished from the type found in most linguistic families by being added after instead of appearing before the case terminations. second place the terminations of the plural are for the most part different from those that appear in the singular and this appears to be quite an unusual phenomenon. A further problem is presented by Hittite. In this language the nominative plural has a form of its own, and likewise the accusative (humantes, humandus 'all') but for the gen. dat. there appears normally a form identical with the gen. sg., and otherwise the inflection is undeveloped. It is uncertain to what extent this is due to Hittite innovation. but it may be an indication that the plural inflection in IE is a later development than the singular.

Accusative Plural, Masc. and Fem. The ending in IE was -ns after vocalic stems, -ns after consonantal stems. This is preserved in Gothic and certain Greek dialects, notably Cretan (Gk. ἐλευθέρονς, υἰύνς, Goth. wulfans, gastins, brō pruns). In Sanskrit the accusative plural of masc. vocalic stems (-ān, -īn, $-\bar{u}n$, $-\bar{r}n$) preserves this -s in sandhi (- $\bar{a}ms$, etc., before t-). In the Veda its effect is seen also before a vowel (-ām, īmr). The long vowel in Sanskrit is not original but arises in thematic stems from the analogy of the nom. pl. (-ās with long vowel whence acc. -ans for -ans). From this declension the long vowel has spread to the stems in -i, -u and -r. The ending -ns after consonantal stems becomes regularly -as in Sanskrit as in Greek (padás, πόδas). The acc. pl. is a weak case in Sanskrit, that is to say the termination is accented and the stem appears in its weak form. This is in contradiction with the fact that the termination itself appears in the weak grade and it is therefore in all probability an innovation. If IE -ns in this case is derived from -ms the form can have arisen by the addition of the plural sign -s to the acc. sg.

The feminine vocalic stems show no trace of n in Sanskrit $(-\bar{a}s, -\bar{i}s, -\bar{u}s, \bar{f}s)$. This absence of n is shown to be IE in the case of stems in $-\bar{a}$ by the agreement of Indo-Iranian (Skt. kanyàs, Av. urvarà) and Germanic (Goth. $gib\bar{o}s$). Non-distinction of nominative and accusative, which characterises neuters was originally characteristic of $-\bar{a}$ (-ah) stems when these had not

become differentiated from the other neuters. It is preserved in the ā-formation which serves to provide the plural of neuter thematic stems (nom. acc. pl. yugā). The normal feminines have acquired the plural -s of the non-neuter classes but they still retain in the plural the absence of distinction between nom. and acc. From the ā-stems the type spreads to the fem. i-, u- and r-stems which did not originally in IE have a form distinct from that of the corresponding masculines (Gk. (dial.) öFurs 'sheep'.).

Nominative-Accusative Plural Neuter. (1) The neuter plural appears still in the Vedic language in some cases undifferentiated from the singular: e.g. in adhar divyani 'divine udders', vísvāni vásu 'all goods', yójanā purú 'many leagues', sám aranta párva 'the joints came together'. This is a survival from an early stage when the inflectional system was less developed. (2) There exists in Iranian, beside this type, a series of neuter plurals characterised by vrddhi of the suffix: Av. ayārā 'days', vaca 'words', naman 'names', etc. This type is ancient since examples are also quotable from Hittite, e.g. widar, pl. of watar 'water'. In Greek on the other hand such vrddhied neuter forms appear merely as singulars: ΰδωρ, τέκμωρ, etc. These may be old plural forms utilised as singulars after the type had died out as a plural formation. Sanskrit has in the main replaced this type by that which is extended by the suffix -i (namani 'names') but the Vedic language still retains it (beside the alternative form) in the case of neuter nstems (bhámā 'beings', dhā 'days', śīrṣā 'heads') in which the n of the suffix is elided as elsewhere in connection with vrddhi (rājā, etc.). (3) The neuter plurals which are made by suffixing i to these vrddhied forms appear also in Avestan (nāmēni 'names', sāxvēnī 'teachings', varočāhī 'energies') as an alternative to the plurals with simple vrddhi. A neuter plural suffix -i is found in Hittite (kururi pl. of kurur nt. hostility'), which testifies to its antiquity in Indo-European as a method of forming the neuter plural. The i is apparently identical with the suffix - which appears in the formation of neuter nouns. Other IE languages have mainly the suffix a or ā which originates from the thematic stems (Gk. δνόματα like ζυγά, etc.). In Vedic the i-form of the plural has been much extended in comparison with the Indo-Iranian state of affairs which can be deduced from the comparison of Avestan. The

formations with simple vrddhi have disappeared in the case of most types of stem. In addition the nasal of the n- and -nistems (nāmāni, ghrtavānti) has been analogically introduced into other types of stem, e.g. mánāmsi 'minds' for mánās-i, similarly havimsi 'ablations', caksūmsi 'eyes', etc. A nonnasalised form remains only in the case of catvari ' four'. The process is continued further in the post-Rgvedic period by the creation of nasalised i-plurals for consonantal root-stems, e.g. °śanki from °śak- 'able', °bundhi from °budh- 'understanding'. In addition, on the analogy of the neuter n-stems like nămāni there is created a new type of neuter plural for a-, iand u-stems: bhúvanāni 'worlds', śúcīni 'bright', vásūni 'riches'. In the Vedic language these forms occur in competition with the older forms (bhúvanā, śúct, vást), but in the later language they are exclusively used. Furthermore, on this analogy the later language creates a neut. pl. -třni for stems in -tr. (4) The inherited neuter plural of thematic stems is in $-\bar{a}$ (yuga, Gk. Luya, Lat. iuga, Goth. juka, O. Sl. iga). This -ā is identical with the suffix -ā which in the historical period forms feminines. As already pointed out this \bar{a} ($\langle aH \rangle$) was not originally distinct from the usual type of neuter suffix. These plurals were originally singular neuter collectives, and in Greek they retain this character to the extent that they are still construed with a singular verb (τὰ ζῶα τρέγει). In this function the suffix -ā retains its primitive characteristic of being indifferent to the distinction between nominative and accusative. The variation in the IE languages between \bar{a} and \check{a} appears to be due to different sandhi developments of IE -aH (-a before vowels or a pause, otherwise $-\bar{a}$) and it is paralleled by a similar fluctuation in the case of feminines in $-\bar{a}$. The neuter stems in -i and -u also make plurals by lengthening the vowel of the stem, and if these forms are not simply made on the analogy of the thematic neuters, they can be analysed -i-H and -u-H with the weak form of the suffix added to the stem. In the Vedic language they exist side by side with forms undifferentiated from the singular and with the innovating type -ini, -uni which later becomes the

Instrumental Plural. The ending of the instrumental plural -bhis (Av. -bis) contains an element -bhi- which according to the evidence of Greek ($\theta \epsilon \delta \phi \iota$, $d\gamma \epsilon \lambda \eta \phi \iota$, $l\phi \iota$, $va v\phi \iota$, $e\rho \epsilon \beta \epsilon \sigma \phi \iota$, etc.) was at an earlier period of IE of much vaguer and wider appli-

cation, being used both in the singular and the plural, and covering the meanings of instrumental, locative and ablative. In Indo-Iranian, as opposed to Greek and Armenian (gailov, pl. gailovk': gail 'wolf') this formative appears only in the plural, the instrumental singular being formed in quite a different manner. The final -s may be interpreted as the -s of the plural added to this element, or possibly in view of such adverbial forms like Gk. λικριφίς 'crosswise' and Av. mazibis' greatly' may be merely some adverbial suffix (cf. ἀμφί: ἀμφίς, etc.), which in view of the regular occurrence of -s in the plural led to its being understood as such. As elsewhere Balto-Slavonic and Germanic have -m- in this case (Lith. sūnumis, etc.) which it is not possible phonetically to relate to the -bh- of the other languages.

Dative-Ablative Plural. Anomalously the ablative which in the singular has mainly the same form as the genitive, has in the plural a form identical with that of the dative. The ending is -bhyas, Av. byō. The western IE languages have a form similar to this going back to original -bhos (Lat. -bus, Osc. fs, ss, Venet. -bos, Gallic - β o). It is possible but not certain that this -bhos has developed out of -bhyos through the sporadic loss of post-consonantal -y-, easily understood in a weakly stressed termination. The analysis of the form is indicated by the comparison of the datives of the personal pronouns. Beside the usual forms túbhyam, asmábhyam the Vedic language preserves also a form without -m, whose antiquity is attested by Iranian (Av. maibyā). The -bhyas of the dat.-abl. plural can be interpreted as this -bhya followed by the -s which characterises the plural. In this way the case would originally be a dative, and its use also as ablative can naturally be explained by the fact that the -as which comes at the end of the termination is similar in form to the -as of the gen.-abl. sg.

Genitive Plural. The termination of the genitive plural is distinguished from the majority of the plural cases by the absence of s (with the exception of the pronominal forms $t\dot{e}_{\bar{s}}\bar{a}m$, $t\dot{d}s\bar{a}m$). The termination is $-\bar{a}m$ which is frequently scanned as disyllabic in the Veda, and this in conjunction with the circumflex accent in Gk. $-\bar{\omega}\nu$, points to an original contraction of -o-om. This can only have come about in thematic stems, and it must be assumed that the original termination -om has elsewhere been replaced by the long contracted $-\delta m$

which arose in this class. The shorter termination -om has been generalized in Slavonic (>ŭ, māterŭ, imenŭ), and also probably in Latin (hominum), where it appears unnecessary to assume that -um has developed out of an earlier form with a long vowel. A variant e-grade of this formative appears in Gothic only (wulfē, suniwē). The Sanskrit vocalic stems are characterised by an -n- inserted before the termination, and the Avestan declension agrees with this system with the exception of the stems in -r. The only agreement elsewhere is in Germanic, in the ā-stems (OHG. gebōno ' of the gifts ') and it is likely that the inserted -n- began in this class and from there spread to the other vocalic classes.

Locative Plural. The Sanskrit termination -su (patsú) appears also in Iranian, Slavonic (-chǔ < su) and dialectically in Lithuanian. In Greek on the other hand the termination is $-\sigma\iota$ ($\pi\sigma\sigma\sigma\iota$, etc.). This variation indicates that the termination is analysable into two elements, on the one hand s+u and on the other hand s+i. The s can be identified as the plural s which appears in other cases, to which the further elements i and u are added in the two types. The -i of Greek is apparently to be identified with the -i of the locative singular, and the -u of the other languages in an alternative suffix performing the same function. The case would thus originally be formed by the addition of plural -s to the endingless form of the loc. sg. (in thematic stems to the loc. sg. in $-\sigma i$), and the addition of i and u is secondary, just as is the addition of -i in the loc. sg.

Nom. Voc. Acc. Dual. This case was made by various formatives in IE, according to the type of stem. The ending -au, $-\bar{a}$ of Sanskrit was originally, from the evidence of the related languages, confined to the thematic stems (Gk. $\lambda \acute{\nu} \kappa \omega$, Lith. $vilk\grave{u}$, O. Sl. $vl\check{u}ka$) from which in Sanskrit it has been extended to other types of stem ($p\acute{a}dau$, $pit\acute{a}rau$, etc.). In these latter classes Greek and Lithuanian have an ending -e ($\mu\eta\tau\acute{e}pe$, $\acute{a}uguse$). It has been suggested that this termination, elsewhere replaced by -au in Sanskrit, is preserved in the dual dvandva $m\ddot{a}tara-pitarau$ 'parents' which the grammarians quote as a northern form. The termination is regularly -au in classical Sanskrit, but in the Vedic language it varies between -au and \bar{a} . As a general rule -au is used before vowels, becoming $-\bar{a}v$, elsewhere \bar{a} . Some such variation must go back to the IE period, and it is the latter form which has been generalised in the related languages.

Instr. Dat. Abl. Dual. The termination that serves for all the three cases is -bhyām, and it contains the same element -bhi-that occurs in the dat.-abl. and instr. pl. A corresponding -byām appears only once in Avestan (brvatbyām from brvat-'brow'). Elsewhere it has -byā and O. Pers. has -biyā, which makes it clear that -m is an element secondarily added, as elsewhere (cf. tubhya, tubhyām, etc.). The Balto-Slavonic languages have, as in the plural, -m- instead of -bh- here (O. Sl. očima, etc.). The termination is ordinarily added to the normal stem, but in the earlier language sometimes to the form that serves as nom. acc. du., e.g. akṣābhyām, cf. akṣā' the two eyes' (cf. O. Sl. očima: oči 'the two eyes'). This has become the normal form in the case of thematic stems: vfkābhyām, cf. vfkā(u), etc.

Genitive-Locative Dual. The termination common to these two cases is -os: padós, pitrós, etc., which is added to the weak form of the stem. Avestan on the other hand has two separate terminations, $-\bar{o}$ (zastay \bar{o}) for the locative and $-\hat{a}$ (nāirikay \hat{d}) for the genitive. The ending $-\bar{o}$ is derived from -au and is equivalent to the Sanskrit ending minus the final -s. The genitive $-\hat{d}$ ($<-\bar{a}s$) is peculiar to Avestan. Slavonic has a termination -u which could represent either -ou (Av. $-\bar{o}$) or -ous (Skt. -os). Lithuanian which keeps this inflection only in some adverbial forms has both -au and -aus: $dv\bar{e}jau$, $dv\bar{e}jaus$ in twos, as a pair ', cf. Skt. $dv\bar{d}yos$. The -ay- which in Sanskrit appears before the termination in a and \bar{a} -stems has spread from the declension of the pronouns and the numeral 'two' ($t\bar{d}yos$, $dv\bar{d}yos$). It re-

mains confined to these cases in Slavonic: toju, dvoju, but vluku, igu, roku.

§ 4. THE DECLENSION CLASSES

The complication of the Sanskrit declension consists not so much in the system of terminations thus briefly described, as in the combination of these with the various types of stem, and the alternation of the stem itself in respect of accent and apophony. The classification of the stem types and the declensions based on them falls naturally into five main divisions: (1) consonantal stems, (2) stems in -r, (3) stems in i, u, (4) stems in \bar{a} , \bar{i} , \bar{u} , (5) stems in -a (thematic stems). After the practice of the grammar of the classical languages, and also for reasons of convenience, the descriptive grammars normally deal with these classes in the reverse order to that given here. Since however the normal scheme of terminations as described above appears most clearly in the consonantal declension, and since the thematic declension is the most aberrant from this, having adopted a variety of special declensional forms from the pronouns, it is more convenient from the point of view of comparative grammar to proceed in this order.

§ 5. CONSONANTAL STEMS

The consonantal stems consist of the root stems (pad-, etc.) and derivative stems in -n, -nt, -s, etc. The latter fall into two classes, neuters and masculine-feminines. The particulars of their formation, and the mutual relation of the two classes have already been dealt with. The inflection of the neuters and nonneuters is distinguished only in the nom. and acc. In this respect the consonantal stems differ from the stems in i and u (mádhvas: sūnós), and also from the practice of certain other languages with consonantal stems (Gk. οὐθατος, ὀνόματος, neut.: φέροντος, ποιμένος, masc.). The declension of these stems calls for little extra comment. The normal endings are added with little modification throughout this declension. The special development of Sanskrit phonetics cause some complication (e.g. viś- 'settlement': nom. viṭ, acc. viśam, instr. pl. viḍbhis, loc. pl. (vedic) vikṣu) but this aspect of the problem belongs more properly to phonetics than to morphology. For the rest the complications that occur in this class have already been described under the headings of (I) Accent and Apophony

(pāt: padás, etc.) and (2) Heteroclitic Declension (ádhar, ádhnas, etc.). The strong tendency of Sanskrit to nasalise the stem in the strong cases has also been noted (vidván, vidvám-sam, vidúṣas). It spreads by analogy from those cases where it is historically justified (bhávan, bhávantam, bhávatas, etc.) and it is paralleled by a similar development in the neuter plural.

§6. STEMS IN 7

Sing. Nom. pitå, acc. pitáram, dātåram, instr. pitrå, dat. pitré, gen.-abl. pitúr, loc. pitári, voc. pítar. Du. N.A.V. pitárau, dātårau, I.D. Ab. pitíphyām, G.L. pitrós; Pl. N. pitáras, dātåras, Acc. pitŕn, mātŕs, I. pitíphis, D. Ab. pitíphyas, G. pitŕnåm, L. pitíşu.

The fact that the stems in r are classed in Sanskrit as vocalic stems rather than consonant stems is due to certain developments of Sanskrit which have tended to enhance their vocalic character. This appears particularly in the acc. and gen. plur., forms which are Sanskrit innovations. On the analogy of the consonantal stems the acc. plur. would have been pitrás, but this is replaced by a new form in $-\bar{r}n$, based on the analogy of $-\bar{a}n$, $-\bar{i}n$, $-\bar{u}n$. By this process Sanskrit creates a new long vowel \bar{r} which has no phonetic basis among the inherited IE sounds. The old type of gen. pl. appears in Av. dugodram, etc. In Sanskrit it is preserved occasionally in the Veda, e.g. nárām (: Osc. nerum), gen. pl. of nár-'man', and once svásrām. Elsewhere it has been replaced by the innovation $-\bar{r}n\bar{a}m$, created by the same type of analogy on the pattern of $-\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$, $-\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$, $-\bar{u}n\bar{a}m$.

-ūnām.

In the vṛddhied nom. sing. the r is elided in the same way as the -n of n-stems (pitā: rājā). This elision appears also in Iranian (Av. māta, etc.), Baltic (Lith. motē, sesuō) and Slavonic (O. Sl. mati). In other languages the -r of the stem is preserved (Gk. μήτηρ, etc.). The acc. sg. has guṇa of the suffix in most of the names of family relationship (mātáram, duhitáram, etc.), but in sváṣṛ- 'sister', and in the agent nouns in -tṛ vṛddhi appears which has been introduced from the analogy of the nom. sg. The same distinction appears in the nom. acc. du. and nom. pl. In the weakest cases the old type of inflection, with transference of the accent to the termination is normally preserved. Elsewhere in IE this type is found in the conservative names of relationship (Gk. πατρός, πατρί, Lat. patris, etc.), be-

side which there is found an alternative type with guṇa of suffix (Gk. μητέρος beside μητρός, cf. ποιμένος, Osc. paterei, O. Sl. materi, cf. agnáye). Indo-Iranian alone preserves the primitive type in the case of the agent nouns in -tr. Elsewhere this has been replaced by innovating forms with guṇa or vṛddhi of suffix in these cases (Gk. δοτῆρος, δώτορος, Lat. datōris, etc.). In Sanskrit guṇa of the stem appears in the declension of nar- 'man' (D. nāre, G. nāras) as opposed to the older type of inflection seen in Greek (ἀνδρός, ἀνδρί).

In this respect the Sanskrit r-stems differ markedly from the adjectival i- and u-stems which keep the guna and accent of the suffix in the dat. and gen. sg. (agnáye, agnés). The difference between the two classes becomes less when the nature of the gen. sg. of r-stems is examined. To agree with the form of the dative this would normally have been in -ás with accented termination, and such forms are in fact found in Iranian (Av. brāθrō, dāθrō) as well as in other IE languages (Gk. πατρός, etc.). The form which actually occurs (-ur, -us, -uh) goes back on the evidence of Iranian (Av. noroš) to -rš (*pitfs). Such a form with weak grade of both suffix and termination cannot be original and it must therefore be regarded as an innovation which has replaced something else. There is no way by which it could have developed from *bitrás if that had been the only form, and its origin is therefore to be sought in yet another type of gen. sg. which Iranian preserves: nars, zaotars, sāstars. This type, with which we may compare Lith. moters is of exactly the same formation as the gen. sg. of adjectival i- and u-stems (agné-s). It has arisen by the same process, i.e. by the extension of the accent and guna of suffix proper to adjectival stems to the gen. sg. and since it involves a reduction of the termination it must be ancient. In Sanskrit and partly in Avestan the -ars has been replaced by -rs (>Skt. -ur). The reason for this is that elsewhere in the weak and middle cases the suffix appears in its weak form (pitra, pitfbhis, pitfsu), and this grade has been analogically extended to the genitive singular.

No forms of the loc. sg. without ending are preserved, though such presumably existed at one time. This case always retains the guna of the suffix which is proper to it, in contradiction to other stems $(r\dot{a}j\dot{n}i,$ etc.) and the practice of other IE languages in nouns of this class (Gk. $\pi\alpha\tau\rho i$, etc.).

Of the old neuter nouns in -r such few as remain inflect

heteroclitically, and they have lost connection with the masc-fem. r-(r-) stems. On the other hand Sanskrit creates a new adjectival type of neuter in -r which has no prototype in IE. This differs from the masc.-fem. in the nom.-acc. as usual (dhatf, dhatfni, dhatfni), and also in the weak cases by inserting -n-after the style of the neuters in i and u (dhatfna, etc.). It is not of frequent use.

§7. STEMS IN i AND u

Sing. N. agnís, sūnús; våri, mádhu, Acc. agním, sūnúm, I. agnínā, sūnúnā; gátyā, dhenvā, D. agnáye, sūnáve; pátye, pasvé; våriņe, mádhune; gátyai, dhenvaí; Ab. G. agnés, sūnós; dvyas, mádhvas; våriņas, mádhunas; gátyās, dhenvās, L. agnaú, sūnaú; sắno, sắnavi, sắnuni; gátyām, dhenvām; V. ágne, sūno. Du. N.A. agní, sūnū, I. D. Ab. agníbhyām, sūnúbhyām, G. L. agnyós, sūnvós; våriņos, mádhunos. Pl. N. agnáyas, sūnávas; aryás; śúcī(ni), purū(ni). Ac. agnín, sūnūn; gátīs, dhenūs; aryás, pasvás, I. agníbhis, sūnūbhis, D. Ab. agníbhyas, sūnúbhyas, G. agnīnām, sūnūnām, L. agnísu, sūnúsu.

The most ancient and fundamental division of these stems is between the neuters on the one hand and the masculine-feminines on the other. The latter two classes were originally identical in declension and the distinction between them which is observed in Sanskrit is a secondary development. On the other hand the distinction between the neuter and masc.-fem. types (mádhvas: agnés) which is caused by variation of accent goes back to an ancient period of Indo-European.

The inflection of the neuters was effected by the addition of the normal endings, which in the weak cases originally bore the accent (Gk. δουρός, γουνός), and in this respect it did not in principle differ from that of the consonantal stems. This type of declension was not originally confined to the neuters (see below, sakhye, etc.), but the special type developed by the mascfem. class as a result of their suffixal accentuation (agnáye, agnés) was foreign to them. In Sanskrit the accent has become fixed on the root throughout the declension, mádhvas gen. replacing *madhvás, as in other neuter stems. A few traces remain. The IE declension of the neuter u-stem meaning 'domestic animal' was of the type péku, pekwés. Corresponding to these forms Sanskrit has a neuter páśu (once in RV.) and a gen. sg.

paśvás which directly continue the old type, but paśvás has become the gen. sg. of an analogically created nom. paśús, while corresponding to páśu there has been created a dat. sg. páśve by the usual levelling process.

As a result of the stabilising of the accent on the root in the normal type of neuters, the only type remaining in which the accent normally changed from the stem to the termination in declension consisted of those suffixally accented masculines and feminines in which the vowel of the suffix was elided in the weak cases (mūrdhā: mūrdhnās, etc.). The result was that in certain cases, where an old neuter noun had preserved the terminational accent in the gen. sg., a new suffixally accented stem was created on this analogy, and with this change of accent was associated a change of gender: paśūs masc. for pāśū neut. Of the same type is pitūs: pitūs' food'.

The number of stems inflecting in this way in the Vedic

The number of stems inflecting in this way in the Vedic language is very small, and in addition to neuters it includes some masc. and fem. nouns: dvis, dvyas 'sheep', krátus, krátvas 'intelligence', cf. Av. xratuš, xrabwō. These may be regarded as transferred neuters. Such a development is easily understandable in the case of ávi- on account of its animate nature. The action nouns in -i and -u were originally, in accordance with their meaning, of the neuter type, but in general they have been transferred to the masculine and feminine classes. In doing this they have normally adopted the adjectival type of declension (matis: matés, etc.) but the neuter type has remained in a few cases as an indication of their originally neuter class. The masculines of this type use sometimes special forms of the nom. acc. plur. (nom. Av. pasvas 'cattle', xrazvō 'fingers', acc. Skt. paśvás) but also those of the normal type (paśávas, paśán).

The Vedic declension of the stem rayi-/rāy- is of this type (n. rayis: g. rāyás, etc.). It represents earlier *rahi-s/rahyás. It is a transferred neuter of the ávi- type, and the terminational accent of the gen. sg. has effected a change of accent from root to suffix in the nom. sg., just as has happened in the case of paśú-. Besides this there exists a root stem rā- corresponding to Latin rēs. In the classical declension this is combined with the rāy- form of the i-stem. Similar is the declension of naús, nāvás 'ship' (Gk. vaūs, vŋós) for *nahu-s, *nahvás. In the only place where the nom. sg. occurs in the Rgveda it is pro-

nounced as a dissyllable: naüs (navús) and in this respect it can be compared directly with rayís. The long diphthong is a later contraction of these vowels in hiatus. The acc. sg. is an innovation like the råyam which supplants rayím.

The stem ari- in which two different words have been confused (ari- 'pious', *ali- 'alien, hostile') inflects according to this type, although adjectival in sense. Since there are found elsewhere in IE examples of old neuters being adapted to this use without the usual modification of the stem, its characteristic inflection (gen. sg. aryás, etc.) might be explained by its being an old neuter of this type transferred to the masculine. If it were originally an adjective it would have to be assumed that it inflected originally like sákhi (I. sákhyā, D. sákhye) and that from these weak forms the type of inflection characteristic of this class has spread to the nom. pl. (aryás like paśvás). On the whole the absence of any trace of the strong form of the suffix makes the first explanation more probable.

The same doubt exists in the case of páti-. In the meaning 'lord' this word follows the normal inflection (type agni-), but in the meaning 'husband' it forms cases after this style (dat. pátye). The accent and the -n- of the derivative pátnī 'wife' might be held to indicate an old alternating neuter; on the other hand the nom. pl. is always normal and weak cases of this type are shown also by the adjectival sákhi-. The stem jáni-'woman' has a gen. sg. jányus with a termination -us which appears also in sákhyus, pátyus and which is clearly borrowed from the nouns of relationship (pitus, etc.). In all three cases the normal gen. ending -as has been replaced. Avestan has fanyōis, a compromise form replacing *fanyas. This type of genitive inflection indicates that the stem is an old neuter transferred to the feminine.

There existed an alternative way of inflecting the neuters of this class in IE, by the employment of the heteroclitic *n*-suffix. In the few neuter *i*-stems that remain this *n* replaces the *i*-suffix (ákṣi, akṣṇás), so that these stems are in the main removed from this declension. On the other hand the neuter stems in -u add this -n- to the stem before the vocalic weak terminations: dat. sg. mádhune, gen.-abl. mádhunas, sånunas, drúṇas, loc. mádhuni, vástuni, nom. acc. du. jānunī 'knees', gen. loc. du. jānunos. Similar forms in other languages show that this practice is ancient (Gk. gen. sg. yóvaros for *gónwntos,

Toch. du. kanwem 'knees'). It is probable that originally a corresponding extension -r could be added in the nom. acc. sg. whence the two types of suffix -ura/una- in derivative adjectival stems. In the early language these endings are, with very rare exceptions, used only with neuter nouns. In adjectives the neuter is not normally distinguished in form outside the nom.-acc. In the classical language the n-forms are the rule for neuter substantives, but optional in the case of adjectives. The -n-has already in the earliest language spread to the instrumental singular of the masculines (sūnúnā).

Examples of this kind of inflection in neuter *i*-stems are exceedingly rare (akṣiṇī ' eyes ') and the only common neuter that inflects in this way, vāri ' water ', gen. sg. vāriṇas, does not appear in the earliest language. This is to be expected in view of the rarity of such stems, the existence of an alternative type of heteroclitic declension (ásthi/asthnás), and the fact that the adjectival *i*-stems do not, any more than the corresponding ustems, distinguish the neuter in these cases in the early language (gen. sg. nt. bhāres). Nevertheless it may be assumed to be old from the existence of the alternating suffixes -ira-/ina-and the fact that this -n- has already in the earliest language spread to the instr. sg. of the masculines.

In the Veda the neuter nouns in -u may as a third alternative inflect according to the normal masculine type: gen. sg. mádhos, drós, snós, etc. This is an innovation which is eliminated in the classical grammar.

The neuter type of declension shows three types of loc. sg. in the u-stems which differ from the normal type of the masculine stems (sūnaú). (I) A locative without ending appears in sắno, vásto. This type appears also in Iranian (Av. prrtō, O. Pers. Bābirauv, gāθav-ā), and it corresponds to similar formations from n-stems (akṣán), except that the characteristic accentuation of the loc. sg. has been eliminated. In sắnavi this formation is extended by the addition of locatival -i as has happened in akṣáni, etc. In the Vedic language this type has been extended to a small number of masculines (ánavi, dásyavi, etc.). (3) The locative may be made on the basis of the stem extended by -n-: sắnuni, vástuni. This becomes the regular inflection in the classical language.

The common masc.-fem. type, consisting originally of adjectives and agent nouns, but at an early period enriched by

wholesale transfers of action-nouns from the neuter, was differentiated in IE from the corresponding neuters by its suffixal accent: pelu nt. subst. 'much' (Goth. filu), pleu- adj. 'much, many ' (Gk. πλέες). On the basis of this adjectival stem there could be formed a vrddhied nom. sg., uncharacterised by the termination -s, on the same pattern as in the n-, r- and s-stems: sákhā 'friend' (stem. sákhi-) like pitā, rājā. In Sanskrit this word, in which the accent may be presumed to have been secondarily transferred to the root, remains the only example of this type of formation from an i-stem. As a general rule the forms -is, -im and -us, -um are substituted in the nominative and accusative, forms which go back to an early period in Indo-European. The viddhi which appears in the acc. sg. and nom. pl. (sákhāyam, sákhāyas) is as elsewhere (dātāram, etc.) an extension of the form of the nom. sg. Guna was originally proper to these cases. Some forms of the acc. sg. with guna are preserved in Avestan (kavaem, frādat-fšaom). Sanskrit has no such forms but it preserves the regular guna in the nom. pl. of the ordinary declension (agnáyas, sūnávas). The accented and gunated suffix could also appear in the dat, and gen. sg. (agnáye, agnés) and this type has become the normal one in Sanskrit for masculines and feminines. Alternatively, on the analogy of pitre, etc., the accent could appear on the termination in stems of adjectival type, so that in these cases their declension is not distinguished from that of the neuters. Apart from the shift of accent this type is preserved in the dat. sákhye, and also in pátye if this word is of adjectival origin. The Avestan declension of hazā ' friend ' corresponds in general to that of sákhā (nom. s. haxā, acc. haxāim, dat. haše, etc.) thus establishing it as Indo-Iranian. In the gen. sg. this stem has been influenced by the names of relationship of the r-declension (sákhyus after pitús). The old endingless locative has been replaced by one in which the -y- of the dat. sg., etc., has been introduced (sákhyau). A similar form appears in the case of páti- 'husband '(pátyau).

Although the vriddhied nom. sg. which appears in sákhā is isolated in Sanskrit, signs are not wanting that it was originally more common in the *i*- and *u*-stems of the adjectival and agent-noun type. In Avestan the stem kavi- which has been normalised in Sanskrit still inflects in this way: nom. sg. kavā. In the acc. sg. this word has the original guṇa (kavaēm, i.e.,

kavayam, cf. pitáram), as opposed to the vṛddhi in Skt. sákhā-yam, Av. haxāim. In the gen. sg. in Avestan the guṇated suffix normally appears, but once apparently it is inflected on the analogy of the heteroclitic neuters (kəvīnō, cf. vāriṇas).

A vṛddhied nom. sg. in -āuš appears in Iranian in the case of some u-stems: Av. hiθāuš associate, uzbāzāuš with arms

aloft '(and uyra'), O. Pers. dahyāus. These have developed from the asigmatic viddhied nom. sg. by the secondary addition of the nom. sg. -s. The adjectival nature of this form of termination emerges clearly from the juxtaposition of uzbāzāus and bāzus 'arm'. In the acc. sg. we may have the old guna grade preserved 'arm'. In the acc. sg. we may have the old guna grade preserved (Av. daińhaom) or vrddhi extended from the nom. sg. (O. Pers. dahyāum, Av. nasāum 'spirit of the corpse'). The contrast between the acc. sg. pasum 'domestic animal' and frādaffšaom 'increasing cattle' illustrates the adjectival nature of this kind of inflection. A similar variation appears between arštim 'spear' and darəyō arštaēm 'having a long spear'. In the gen. sg. these stems have either the old type of inflection undifferentiated from the neuter (uzbāzvō), the normal type with guna (daińhōuš), or by later innovation forms with vrddhi (nasāvō).

stem (βασιλη̂(F)ος, cf. δοτηρος).

stem (βασιλη̂(F)os, cf. δοτῆροs).

To return to Sanskrit there is possibly one example of a formation parallel to sákhā: apratā in RV. viii, 32, 16, nā sómo apratā pape 'Soma is not drunk without recompense' (prati-, cf. Lat. pretium). This is usually interpreted as loc. sg., but as non-adjectival compounds with a- are against the normal usage of the Vedic language, it is probably better taken as a nom. sg. interpreting the compound as a bahuvrīhi.

The inflectional type of which Avestan preserves traces in examples like uzbāzāus is preserved in Skt. dyaus 'sky'. This is conventionally classed as a diphthongal stem, but, as else-

where this classification is unsound. On the one hand the normal i- and u-stems are themselves partly diphthongal (agnés, sūnós), and on the other hand this word is in part of its inflection not diphthongal (gen. sg. di-v-as, cf. madh-v-as). It is an adjectival u/eu stem dy-eu- with accent and guna or vrddhi of suffix according to the general rule. The addition of -s in the nom. sg. is secondary but of IE date (dyaús: Ζεύς). In the oblique cases of the singular there appears most commonly the undifferentiated type with accented termination (divás: Gk. Διός) but also the special adjectival type with accent and guṇa of suffix (dyós: Av. dyaos). With this must be classed gaús 'cow' (dat. gáve, gen. gós) whose accent and declension show it to have this adjectival suffix (g-6-, i.e. g^wH₃-eú, cf. Gk. βόσκω). It inflects only according to the adjectival type and goes further than other u/o-stems in introducing the guna into the cases of the plural (instr. góbhis, etc.). In the acc. sg. the forms dyam, gåm appear to be from *dyaum, *gaum, with vrddhi from the analogy of the nom. sg. and elision of the final element of the diphthong before -m.

The stem vi- 'bird' is an adjectival formation based on an old IE neuter *ōwi 'egg' (whence with thematic extension Gk. Đơv) and the accentuation of the suffix has resulted in the total elision of the radical vowel (as opposed to Lat. avis). In the RV. it has a nom. sg. vés with guṇa and nom. s. There is no parallel to this formation.

An old nominative $agn\dot{a}(i)$ is traceable from the derivative $Agn\dot{a}y\bar{\imath}$ 'wife of Agni', and it appears also in the compound $Agn\dot{a}vis\dot{\imath}n\bar{\imath}$ 'Agni and Viṣnu' (cf. $m\bar{a}t\bar{a}pitarau$). Similarly $Man\dot{a}v\bar{\imath}$ 'Manu's wife' is based on an old nominative * $Mana\dot{\imath}$. More important than this the vṛddhied nominative of the adjectival type is preserved intact in the form that appears in the locative singular: $agn\dot{a}(u)$, $s\bar{u}na\dot{\imath}$. These forms are a special adjectival type of the locative without ending, being identical in every respect with the forms that originally served as the nom. sg. In that function they have normally been replaced by the termination -is, -us, but in their locatival function they have been retained.

The terminations -is, -im, -us, -um are therefore innovations in IE, and this accounts for the association of the accent with the weak grade of the suffix (purús). Nevertheless they are of considerable antiquity, and they have become the normal type

in the various languages (Hitt. šalliš 'great', aššuš 'good', Gk. πολύs' much', Lith. lipūs' sticky', Goth kaŭrus' heavy', etc.). Their origin is to be sought in the fact that in the masculine and feminine i- and u-stems two classes have coalesced. To the adjectival class consisting of adjectives proper (purú-, etc.) and nouns of adjectival type (sūnú-, etc.) there has been added a large class of action nouns (gáti-, sētu-, etc.) which were transferred at an early period from the neuter class. This involved the adding of the case terminations -s, -m in the nom. acc. sg. on the analogy of the thematic stems, thus producing a type ending in -is, -im, -us, -um. In the amalgamation of the two classes in a common masc.-fem. declension, this type of formation in the nom.-acc. is generalised, but in the dat. and gen. sg. the formation proper to the adjectival type is generalised (gátes after agnés, etc.).

The type of inflection prevalent in *i*- and *u*-stems in Sanskrit appears also in other IE languages: cf. gen. sg. Goth. anstais, sunaus, Lith. naktës, sūnaūs, dat. sg. O. Sl. synovi. In Hittite and Greek the forms of the gen. sg. with non-reduced termination (Hitt. šallaiaš, aššawaš, Gk. $\delta\phi\epsilon$ os, $\eta\delta\epsilon$ os) may be regarded as innovations replacing this type.

In the locative singular the -au of the u-stems (sūnaú) has been introduced into the i-stems (agnaú), but the Vedic language also has agná (i.e. agná(i)). The locative without ending appears also in other IE languages (Goth. anstai, sunau, O. Sl. synu, etc.), but it is not possible to determine whether a long or short diphthong is represented in these cases.

The special feminine terminations in the dat., gen.-abl. and loc. sg. (gátyāi, -ās, -ām; dhenvái, -ās, -ām) are adapted from the i-declension. They are still rare in the RV. but become very common in the later pre-classical literature. The grammarians allow optionally in the case of feminine nouns either these terminations (gátyai, etc.) or the common masc.-fem. terminations (gátaye, etc.).

§8. STEMS IN ā, ī, ū

- -ā: Sg. N. sénā, A. sénām, I. sénayā, D. sénāyai, G. Ab. sénāyās, L. sénāyām, V. séne; Du. N.A.V. séne, I.D. Abl. sénābhyām, G.L. sénayos, Pl. N.A.V. sénās, I. sénābhis, D. Ab. sénābhyas, G. sénānām, L. sénāsu.
 - -ī: (A) Sg. N. vṛkis, A. vṛkyàm, I. vṛkyà, D. vṛkyè, Ab. G.

vykyds, Loc. gaurt; Du. N.A.V. vykyd(u), I.D. Ab. vykłohyam, G.L. vykyds; Pl. N.A.V. vykyds, I. vykłohis, D. Ab. vykłohyas, G. vykłnam, L. vykłsu.

(B) Sg. N. devt, A. devim, I. devyå, D. devyai, Ab. G. devyås, L. devyåm, V. dévi; Du. N.A. devi [devyàu], I.D. Ab. devibhyām, G.L. devyòs; Pl. N. devis [devyàs], A. devis, I. devibhis, D. Ab. devibhyas, G. devinām, L. devişu.

-ū: Sg. N. tanús, A. tanvàm, I. tanvà, D. tanvè, Ab. G. tanvàs, L. tanvè, V. tanu; D. N.A.V. tanvàu, I.D. Ab. tanúbhyām, G.L. tanvòs; Pl. N.A. tanvàs, I. tanúbhis, D. Ab. tanúbhyas, G. tanúnām, L. tanúsu.

The nouns of these classes have in common an IE suffix -H $(-aH, -i-H, -u-H > \bar{a}, \bar{i}, \bar{u})$. With this suffix action nouns could be formed which originally did not differ from the usual neuter types (cf. the neuters in -as, -is, -us), and also adjectives which came eventually to be specialised as feminines. The dual type of inflection which appears in the \bar{i} -stems is traceable to the distinction of these two types, since whereas the $v_1k_1^{\bar{i}}$ type inflects according to a system which may appear in both neuter and adjectival types, the $dev_1^{\bar{i}}$ declension contains inflections $(dev_1^{\bar{i}})$ -s, etc.) of a specifically adjectival nature, in which the strong form of the suffix is due to the original adjectival accent.

The stems of the *vṛkt* type consist of both action nouns (*deht* 'rampart') and nouns of adjectival type, masculine and feminine (*ratht*-'charioteer', *vṛkt* 'she-wolf'). In the former the accent has been shifted to the suffix, where it remains throughout the declension, in the same way as has happened in the stems in -is (*havis*, *havisas*, similarly -iH, -iHos). In the adjectival class the strong form of the stem (**vṛkyā*), whose original existence is to be assumed on the basis of the accentuation and of the general system (*pitā*, etc.), has been replaced by the weak form, a process for which a parallel is to be found in the *in*-stems (*balt*, *balinas*). As a result of these processes, and because of the acquisition of feminine gender by action nouns with suffix -H, the two classes become completely fused together in declension.

The same two types are found among the \bar{u} -stems ((1) tand'body', (2) śvaśrń-'mother-in-law') and their fusion has proceeded in the same way.

Since the -s of the nom. sg. was originally not characteristic of the H-stems. from which it remains absent elsewhere, its

existence in these two classes must be regarded as an importation from the root stems in $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} which are declined in the same way (dhis, dhiyas, bhūs, bhūvas). For the rest the declension is of the normal consonantal type calling for little comment. The stem and ending are pronounced as separate syllables in the Veda (tanūvam, etc.) although written according to the later system (tanvam, etc.). The normal type of loc. sg. appears in camvi, tanvi, etc., the endingless variety in camū, etc. The few locatives in $-\bar{\imath}$ of the $\bar{\imath}$ -stems could either be the result of contraction (<*iyi<*ihi) or be locatives without ending. In the gen. pl. -n- has been introduced on the general analogy of the vocalic stems.

The devi type is the one that normally appears in the feminine of non-thematic and some thematic stems (rājāt, dātrī, prthvī, kalyāṇī). It is thus predominantly an adjectival suffix, and although the accent of stems of this type has become variable in Sanskrit, the suffixal accent which frequently appears may be considered to be the more original type. The strong forms of the suffix, which are to be explained by this adjectival accent, appear in the dat., abl.-gen. and loc. sg. In the nom.-acc. the weak form of the suffix appears, so that there exists an alternation here parallel to that which appears in the i- and u-stems. Theoretical considerations indicate that the weak form of the nom.-acc. sg. (and of the nom. pl. following suit) are innovations, just as the similar formations in the adjectival i- and ustems, and the related languages provide some evidence that this is so. This is clearest in the case of the acc. sg. which for phonological reasons cannot be original, since these stems were originally consonantal and *-iHam could only produce -iyam (-yam). In Balto-Slavonic and Germanic the strong form appears in the acc. sg. (Lith. nešušia, O. Sl. nesuša, Goth. bandia, etc.) and there is no reason to believe that these forms are innovations. On the other hand these languages have the weak stem in the nom. sg. (Goth. frijondi, Lith. vežanti, O. Sl. vezašti) a fact which indicates that the weak form was earliest established in the nom, sg. Greek on the other hand has *-ya in the nom, sg. (πότνια, φέρουσα, μία), and this cannot be phonetically equated with the i of the other languages since IE -iH- develops into in Gk. as elsewhere. The final -d here as elsewhere (νυμφά, etc.) represents IE -aH and the short vowel, as opposed to the long ā elsewhere, arises from the pre-vocalic sandhi of this combination. It is clear that the accent of μla is not original since it rests on a weakened syllable and it follows that the accent of the oblique cases (μlas) must have originally prevailed in the nom. sg. also (*smiáh).

The distinction between the two types of declension of the $\bar{\imath}$ -stems, which is strictly observed in the Vedic language, is not retained in later Sanskrit. The *devi* inflection is preserved at the expense of the $v_7k\bar{\imath}$ inflection, but it adopts the inflections of the latter class in the nom.-acc. du. and nom. pl.: *devyaù*, *devyàs*. Among the $\bar{\imath}$ -stems inflection of a type parallel to that of the *devi* stems is exceedingly rare in the RV. In the later language it becomes the normal type: *vadhvái*, *vadhvás*, *vadhvám*, the development running parallel to that of the $\bar{\imath}$ -stems.

The fusion of the termination and suffix in certain cases (devyai, devyās) produces the special feminine terminations which are later applied to the feminine i- and u-stems. The loc. sg. has a special termination -m. This -m is absent in Iranian (O. Pers. harauvatiyā), which shows that the loc. was originally without termination. The secondary addition of -m is paralleled elsewhere: túbhya: túbhyam; instr.-dat.-abl. du. -bhyām: Av.-byā.

The stems in $-\bar{a}$ have been influenced in declension by the stems in $-\bar{i}$. The cases of the singular from the dative onward are formed by the addition of -yai, $-y\bar{a}s$, $-y\bar{a}m$ which have been abstracted from the *devi* declension. This peculiarity is shared by Iranian: Av. dat. sg. $da\bar{e}nay\bar{a}i$, etc. The older IE endings are preserved elsewhere: gen. sg. Gk. $\chi \omega \rho \bar{a}s$, O. Lat. $vi\bar{a}s$, Goth. $gib\bar{o}s$, etc.

§ 9. STEMS IN -a

Sg. N. devás, A. devám, I. devéna, D. deváya, Ab. devát, G. devásya, L. devé, V. déva; Du. N.A.V. devaú, I.D. Abl. devábhyām, G.L. deváyos; Pl. N. devás, A. deván, I. devaís, D. Ab. devébhyas, G. devánām, L. devéşu.

Neuter N.A. yugám, Du. yugé, Pl. yugáni.

The stems in -a are the most numerous type in the language (45 per cent of all nominal stems in the *Rgveda*). They are characterised by the absence of any shift of accent in declension, and this seems always to have been the case. Thematic stems are either masculine or neuter, and these differ in declension only

in the nominative and accusative. This declension contains some special features which may be briefly summarised. It has been considerably influenced by the pronominal declension. In the instr. sg. the termination -ena has been taken from that source. The older termination $-\bar{a}$ still exists in the Vedic language, though it is a good deal less common than -ena. In Avestan only the ending $-\bar{a}$ appears. The Indo-Iranian termination of the dat. sg. was $-\bar{a}i$, a contraction of the stem vowel and the termination -ai, and this was inherited from Indo-European (-o+ei): Av. haomāi, Gk. $i\pi\pi\varphi$, etc. To this a postposition d might optionally be added as in Av. ahurāi \bar{a} and in Skt. this element has become permanently attached, producing the extended termination $-\bar{a}ya$. The ablative sg. which is distinguished from the gen. sg. in this declension alone, is inherited directly from IE (O. Lat. $-\bar{o}d$, etc.).

There exists no common IE form of the gen. sg. In Balto-Slavonic the old abl. sg. functions also as gen. sg. and in view of the identity of the two cases elsewhere this could be ancient. Italic and Celtic have an ending $-\bar{\imath}$, which is the adjectival suffix $-\bar{\imath}$ substituted for the thematic suffix. This $\bar{\imath}$ appears in Sanskrit in constructions of the type $sam\bar{\imath}-kr$ 'to make even'. In Hitt. the gen. sg. of the thematic class is equivalent to the nom. sg. There exists in the Veda a small number of compounds like rathaspáti which possibly contain such a form of gen. sg. A form corresponding to the Sanskrit termination appears in Greek and Armenian (Gk. 010, Arm. -0y). A similar formation, but without the -y- appears elsewhere: Goth. wulfis (<°eso), O. Sl. česo 'whose'. These terminations may be presumed to have originated in the pronominal declension, as has happened elsewhere. The elements -so and -syo which are thus added to the stem appear to be demonstrative pronouns of that form.

The loc. sg. is analysable into the stem vowel and the normal termination -i: cf. Gk. oikol, etc. The nom. pl. $-\bar{a}s$ (a+as) appears in a similar form elsewhere (Goth. wulfōs, Osc. núvlanús), but in its place an ending -oi, derived from the pronouns is also frequent (Gk. $\lambda \acute{\nu} kol$, Lat. $lup\bar{i}$, Lith. $vilka\bar{i}$, Toch. B. yakwi). The ending $-\bar{a}s$ is in the Vedic language sometimes pleonastically extended to $-\bar{a}sas$, a feature which is also observed in Iranian (Av. $-\bar{a}yh\bar{o}$). This innovation is again abolished in classical Sanskrit, but it lives on in some early Pali forms (panditase, etc.). The acc. pl. has acquired its long vowel

from the nom. pl. (original form in Gk. (dial.) $\lambda\nu\kappa\sigma\nu_5$, etc.). The gen. pl. has acquired its -n- from other classes, the innovation being common to Indo-Aryan and Iranian (Av. mašyanam, O. Pers. bagānām). The original termination is preserved only in the phrase devān jānma 'the race of gods'; cf. Av. staoram, Gk. $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$, Lat. deum, etc.

Two forms of the instr. pl. appear in the Vedic language, in -ais and in -ebhis. In Iranian Avestan has -āiš, O. Persian -aibiš. Elsewhere there exist only forms corresponding to -ais: Gk. λύκοις, Lat. lupīs, Osc. núvlanúis, Lith. vilkaīs. This form of the case has the appearance of being a pluralisation of the form that appears in the singular as dative. If so it must go back to a time when the cases were less differentiated than they became later. The ending -ebhis is an Indo-Iranian innovation after the pronominal declension. The innovation is later eliminated in classical Sanskrit, but it is the basis of the Middle Indo-Aryan forms of this case (: Pali -ehi, Pkt. -ehi(m)). The -e-which appears here is from the form of the pronominal stem which appears in most of the cases of the plural (nom. pl. te, etc.). It also appears in the dat.-abl. and loc. pl. of this declension (-ebhyas, eşu).

CHAPTER VI

NUMERALS, PRONOUNS, INDECLINABLES

§ 1. Numerals

The Sanskrit numerals from I-1000 are inherited from Indo-European. They are constructed on the decimal system, the numerals I-10 being the foundation of the whole series. The numerals from I-10 are adjectives, as also II-19 which are compounded with dása 'ten'. The higher numbers are properly collective nouns, though a tendency to treat them as adjectives appears as the language develops. The numbers from I-4 are fully inflected in the three genders; those from 6-10 are defective and appear originally to have been uninflected.

- I. Two roots appear as the basis of the numeral I in Indo-European, of which the one (oi-) appears to have had the meaning of 'alone', the other (sem-) that of 'together'. In Sanskrit eka-' one' is formed from the first root with the suffix -ka, and it is declined according to the pronominal declension. The only form exactly corresponding is the Aryan aika- which is found in the Hittite documents. Elsewhere different suffixes appear: Av. aēva-, Gk. olos 'alone'; Lat. ūnus, O. Ir. óin, Goth. ains. The root sem- provides this numeral in Greek (els, µía: *séms, *smía) and Tocharian (A. sas, B. seme). This root appears in Sanskrit in its reduced form (sm-) in sakýt 'once' (: Av. hakərəṭ), and in compounds of the type sámanas- 'of one mind, the same mind'. The adjectives samá-, samāná- 'same' are derived from it.
- 2. The numeral dvaú (: Gk. δύω, Lat. duo, O. Sl. dva, etc.) is like the normal dual of an a-stem: N.A. nt. dvé (: O. Sl. dvě), I.D. Ab. dvábhyām, G.L. dváyos (: O. Sl. dvoju). The uninflected thematic stem appears in the Gk. variant δύο. There is an alternative stem formed by means of the suffix -i, which appears in compounds (dvipád- 'two-footed', Gk. δίπους, Lat. bipes) and in various derivatives (dvittya- 'second', etc.). Beside the numeral proper there is a stem ubhá- 'both' which inflects in the same way. The exact nature of its relation to Gk. ἄμφω,

Lat. ambō, O. Sl. oba, Lith. abù, Goth. bai, Engl. both, etc., is not altogether clear.

- 3. The stem tri- contains a suffix -i which is absent in the ordinal tritya-. It is inflected like a normal adjective in -i (nom. pl. trayas: Gk. $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$, etc.), except in the gen. pl. where trayānām has replaced an earlier trayām (: Av. θ rayam, with guṇa from the nom. pl. as opposed to Gk. $\tau \rho \hat{\omega} v$, etc.). It preserves the alternation of accent in declension: instr. pl. tribhis as opposed to vibhis' with birds'.
- 4. This numeral is formed on the basis of a root k*et- which seems originally to have meant something like 'angle' (cf. Lat. triquetrus' triangular'), whence 'square' and from that 'four'. In the masc. and neut. (catvåras, catvåri, Lat. quattuor, etc.) the stem is formed by means of the suffix -var, with adjectival accent and vrddhi in the nominative. In the other cases (acc. catúras, etc.) the suffix has the weak form according to the general rule. A neuter noun *cátvar, or its IE prototype, is presupposed by the thematic extension catvara- 'square, crossroads'. Elsewhere the simple r-suffix may appear (Gk. Dor. τέτορες, Lat. quater), or the elements of the suffix may be reversed (Av. čaθru-).

The feminine of these two numerals (nom. acc. tisras, câtasras: Av. tiŝrō, čataŋrō) is made by means of the suffix -sar, which elsewhere (see p. 140) shows a tendency to become a specifically feminine suffix. In tisras the -r- of tri- has disappeared through dissimilation. The common form of the nom. acc. is in origin accusative. The original forms of the nom. to be assumed for IE, *tisores, *k*etesores, are continued in Celtic: O. Ir. teoir. cetheoir.

The numerals from 5-10 have a less developed system of inflection than the preceding ones. With the exception of the dual ending of aṣṭaú 'eight', they do not inflect in the nom. acc. In the Vedic language they may appear uninflected also in the other cases: páñca kṣitiṣu 'in the five tribes', saptá hótṛbhiḥ 'with seven priests', etc. In Greek and Latin the corresponding words are uninflected, also in Germanic when used attributively. This is the oldest state of affairs. The beginnings of inflection may be put in the late IE period, the uninflected type surviving by the side of the new inflected type down to Vedic times.

5. In pañca (: Gk. πέντε, Lat. quinque, etc.), representing IE

péŋkwe, we find an uninflected thematic stem. As such are to be assumed, from the evidence of compounds, etc., to have existed freely at an early stage of IE, this is an interesting archaism. The root peŋkw- is in all probability that which appears in Engl. finger (*peŋkwró-) and fist (<*pŋkwsti-, cf. O. Sl. pesti 'id'). The derivative paṅkti- (: O. Sl. peti ' five ') means from the Rgueda on, not only 'group of five', but more generally 'group, series'.

- 6. Skt. sat (<*sats <sats with assimilation of initial) represents IE *seks: cf. Lat. sex, Goth. saths, etc. The forms of this word in Indo-European are rather complicated, since there also exist forms beginning with sw- (Welsh chwech), ks- (Gk. ξέστριξ 'in rows of six', O. Sl. šesti'six'), ksw- (Av. xšvaš) and simple w- (Arm. vec, O. Pruss. uschts 'sixth'). The original initial consonant group has been simplified variously in the different languages. Middle Indo-Aryan cha goes back to an original differing from the Sanskrit form, and beginning with ks-.
- 7. The final -a of saptá (: Gk. ¿mrá) represents IE -m, as is clear not only from Lat. septem but also from the ordinal saptamá. The agreement between Greek and Sanskrit shows that the final accentuation existed already in IE (septin), but it cannot be original since it appears on a reduced syllable.
- 8. In aṣṭaū (: Gk. ἀκτώ, Lat. octō, Goth. ahtau, etc.) there appears the termination of the dual. The meaning of the stem októ- of which this is the dual may be inferred from a related i-stem, ašti-, which is found in Avestan. This is a measure of length meaning 'the width of four fingers', from which it may be inferred that the dual *októ(u) meant originally 'two groups of four fingers'. In classical Sanskrit there exists beside this a form aṣṭa with short a from the surrounding numerals.
- 9. The -a of náva 'nine' (: Gk. ev-véa) may go back to -m (cf. navamá-, Lat. novem) or possibly -n (cf. Lat. nōnus 'ninth'). The word has been considered to be related to IE néwos 'new', which in view of the formation of the preceding numeral is not without plausibility.
- 10. The primary series closes with dása 'ten' (: Gk. δέκα, Lat. decem, Goth. taihun, etc.) representing IE dékm, about the etymology of which no likely suggestions exist.
- 11-19. These are dvandva compounds of 1-9 with 10: dvādaša '12', trāyodaša '13', cāturdaša '14', sōḍaša '16', etc.; cf. Gk. δώδεκα, Lat. duodecim, etc. The long vowel of

ékādaśa '11' is from dvādaśa. The numeral 19 may alternatively be expressed ekonaviṃśati '20 less 1'.

The tens from 20-90 are feminine substantives and as such decline properly in the singular, with the gen. pl. of the things enumerated: navatim nāvyànām '90 navigable streams', etc. But they may also be construed either (1) agreeing in case, but not in number, with the noun enumerated, viṃśatyå hdribhih 'with 20 bay horses', or even (2) adjectivally, agreeing also in number with it: pañcāśadbhir bāṇaih 'with 50 arrows'. The intervening numerals are constructed like those from 11-19: trdyastriṃśat-'33', cátuhṣaṣṭi'64', etc.

20-50: vimśati-: cf. Av. vīsaiti, Gk. εἴκοσι, Lat. vīgintī, etc. trimśát: cf. A. θrisąs, acc. sg. θrisatəm, Lat. trīgintā, etc. catvārimśát: cf. Av. caθwarəsatəm (acc. sg.), Gk. τετταρά-

κοντα, Lat. quadrāginta, etc.
pañcāśát-: cf. Av. pančāsat-, Gk. πεντήκοντα, Lat. quinquāginta, etc.

The element -sat- which appears in these four numerals is out of -kmt-, which further stands for dkmt-, a reduced form of the numeral 10, with the t-suffix that appears in Skt. daśát- 'decade'. The reduced form belongs properly to the weak cases, since Av. Orisas '30', and visas '20', show that the strong stem (-sant-) was originally used in the nom. sg. This stem was originally neuter and could be inflected as such along with the preceding numeral (Gk. τετταρά-κοντα '4 tens', etc.). In Sanskrit the neuter pl. inflection appears in the first member in catvāri-m-sat and pañcā-sat-. Its absence from the second member is explained by the fact that this inflection could be optionally omitted (cf. viśvāni vásu, etc., p. 236). The i-stem vimsati- was originally a dual *vi sati '2 tens'. The vi which appears here in the sense of '2' is the normal word in Tocharian in place of the usual dvaú, etc. (A. wu, we (fem.), B. wi). It may be identified with the prefix vi- 'apart, separate'. The presence of the nasal at the end of the first member is unexplained, and outside Indo-Aryan it appears only in Oss. insäi ' 20 '.

60-90. sasti, saptati-, astil-, navati-. These are formed in a manner quite different from the preceding. They are abstract or collective nouns formed by means of the suffix -ti, meaning primarily 'hexad', etc. The primary meaning is retained in the similarly formed pankti- 'group of 5', and O. Sl. šešti, which corresponds in form to Skt. sasti-, means simply '6'. The

specialisation of these forms as names of the tens is common to Indo-Aryan and Iranian, cf. Av. xšvašti-, haptāiti-, aštāiti-, navaiti-. The form of the numeral 80 in Sanskrit is an independent formation from the root which is not paralleled elsewhere but which is obviously ancient. It has been replaced in Iranian by a normalised form based on aštā.

100. The original form of śatám (Av. satəm, Gk. ἐ-κατόν, Lat. centum, etc.) was *kmtóm, as is clear from Lith śim̃tas. In view of this, and in view of the fact that the -śat- in trimśát, etc., means 'ten', the IE original is derived from dkmtóm, a neuter collective noun meaning 'a decad (of tens)'.

1000. sahásra- (: Av. hazaŋra-) is connected with Gk. χίλιοι, χείλιοι, χέλλιοι (*χέσλιοι), and the initial element sa- is identified with IE sη- 'one, together'.

These two numerals are neuter substantives, but the same variations of construction are found as with the tens. The numerals above a thousand are purely Indian: ayúta-'10,000', lakṣá-'100,000', prayúta-'1,000,000', koṭi-'10,000,000', etc. The series is carried to great lengths, particularly among the Jains and Buddhists (asamkhyeya-=10140), but among the higher numbers there is little agreement in the names between the various texts.

Ordinals: I prathamá- (Av. fratəma-), 2 dvittya- (: Av. daibitya-, bitya-, O. Pers. duvitiya-), 3 tṛttya- (Av. θritya-, Lat. tertius, etc.), 4 (a) caturthá- (Gk. τέταρτος, Lith. ketviřtas, etc.), (b) turtya-, turya- (Av. tūirya-), 5 (a) pakthá- RV. 10, 61, I (Av. puxδa-, OHG. funfto from pŋkwt(h)ó-: Gk. πέμπτος, Lith. peñktas, etc., from peŋkwto-), (b) pañcathá-, Kāṭh. (: Gall. pimpetos, O. Welsh pimphet), (c) normally pañcamá- (Pahl. panjum, Oss. pänjäm), 6 ṣaṣṭhá- (Gk. ἔκτος, Lat. sextus), 7 (a) saptathá-, RV. (Av. haptaθa-), (b) normally saptamá- (Pers. haftum, Gk. ἔβδομος, Lat. septimus, etc.), 8 aṣṭamá- (Av. aštəma-), 9 navamá-(Av. naoma-, O. Pers. navama-), 10 daśamá (Av. dasəma, Lat. decimus, etc.).

The simplest type of ordinal is made by adding the accented thematic vowel to the numeral in its usual adjectival function: saptam-á-, daśam-á. From these ordinals the formation is further extended to cases where it did not originally belong: aṣṭamá-, as opposed to the more original Gk. ὅγδοος, Lat. octāvus; navamá- as opposed to Lat. nōnus. The suffix -thá, i.e. -t-H-á- must have originally arisen through the addition of

the accented thematic vowel to a collective or abstract derivative in $-t\bar{a}$, i.e. -ta (*caturt \bar{a} + a> caturtha-). There is no evidence of an H outside Indo-Iranian: Gk. $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \sigma s$, etc., are formed on the basis of the simple t-stem.

The first ordinal differs in the various languages (Gk. πρῶτος, Lat. prīmus, Lith. pirmas, etc.), but they agree in deriving it from the same root meaning 'in front', and not from the corresponding cardinal. The ordinals from 11-19 are thematic formations with accentuation of suffix: dvādaśa, etc., cf. Av. dvādasa-, etc. The suffix -tama which serves also as a superlative suffix is used to form ordinals from the tens, 100 and 1000: trimśattama (Av. θrisastoma-, Lat. trice(n)simus) '30th'), saṣṭitama- '60th', śatatama- '100th', saḥasratama- '1000th' (Av. hazaŋrō toma-). Alternatively there exists for 20-50 the type vimśá-, trimśá-, catvārimśa-, pañcāśa-, to which nothing corresponds outside Indo-Aryan. They are formed analogically on the pattern of ekādaśá-, etc.

Of adjectival derivatives other than ordinals dvayá- (Gk. δοιός, O. Sl. dvoji) and trayá- (O. Sl. troji), meaning 'of two (three) sorts, parts are inherited. Sanskrit has created by means of the suffix -taya a series ékataya-, cátustaya-, etc., used in the same sense. Old adverbial derivatives are dvis (Av. bis, Gk. δίς, Lat. bis) and tris (Av. θriš, Gk. τρίς) 'twice, three times'. It is not certain whether catúh 'four times' is the simple stem catur used adverbially, or whether it is from *catur-s, with the addition of this adverbial -s. Av. cabrus ' four times' has such an -s (though the elements of the suffix are arranged in different order), but it is not necessary to assume its original presence in Lat. quater. Elsewhere this meaning is expressed by the use of kitvas 'times': páñca kftvah '5 times, etc.'. The root of this word appears in the compound sakft 'once', and in Lith. kaftas, O. Sl. kratu. Other adverbial formations are made by means of the suffixes -dhā (tridhā 'in 3 parts', etc.) and -śas (śataśás 'in hundreds'), etc.

§2. PRONOUNS

Personal Pronouns

1. Sg. N. ahám, A. mám, mā, I. máyā, D. máhyam, me, Ab. mát, G. máma, me, Loc. máyi.

Du. N.A.V. āvām, I.D. Ab. āvābhyām, G.L. āváyos, and A.D.G. nau.

- Pl. N. vayám, A. asmán, nas, I. asmábhis, D. asmábhyam, nas, Ab. asmát, G. asmákam, nas, L. asmásu.
- 2. Sg. N. tvám, A. tvám, tvā, I. tváyā, D. túbhyam, te, Ab. tvát, G. táva, te, L. tváyi.

Du. N.A.V. yuvám, I.D. Ab. yuvábhyām, G.L. yuváyos, and A.D.G. vām.

Pl. N. yūyám, A. yuşmán, vas, I. yuşmábhis, D. yuşmábhyam, vas, Ab. yuşmát, G. yuşmákam, vas, L. yuşmásu.

The inflection of the pronouns differs in many respects from that of the nouns, and this difference is most marked in the personal pronouns. (1) These pronouns show no difference of gender, which is in accordance with the earliest IE practice, and contrasts with the practice of other language families (e.g. Semitic) in which gender is distinguished. Only an isolated yuşmās acc. pl. fem. is quotable from the Vedic texts. (2) The distinctions of number are expressed by the use of different stems, which contain different radical elements. (3) The same distinction appears in the first person between the nom. sg. and the other cases. (4) The terminations of the plural are partly identical with those of the singular, and this was much more marked in the prehistoric period. (5) The individual terminations differ widely from those of the noun.

The nom. sg. ahám (Av. azəm) contains a suffix -am which is elsewhere prevalent in the declension of the personal pronouns. The form is found also in Slavonic (O. Sl. azŭ with $\ddot{u} < -om$). Elsewhere there appear forms without ending (Lith. es, as) and forms terminating in -ō (Gk. ϵγώ, Lat. ego). The latter form was originally egón, and the aspiration in Sanskrit shows that it was to this form that the - $\acute{a}m$ has been added ($egoH + \acute{o}m > egH - \acute{o}m$). The -am of tvám (Av. twom, tum) is not found outside Indo-Iranian and is therefore of more recent origin. The other languages have tu which also survives in Iranian, and possibly also in the Vedic particle tu (cf. RV. 8, 13, 14 å tå gahi, prá tú drava). In the other cases of the first person there appears a stem beginning with m-, before which on the evidence of Greek (ἐμέ acc. sg.) and Hittite (ammuk acc. dat. sg.) a vowel has been lost in most of the IE languages. On the other hand the pronoun of the second person does not differ radically in these cases. The base the which appears elsewhere (O. Sl. te=Skt. tvåm, etc.) may have arisen out of *twe by sporadic loss of -wafter initial t-. The final -m in the acc. sg. forms mam. tvam

(: Av. mam, θwam) is found outside Indo-Iranian only in Slavonic (O. Sl. me, te). It is an innovation replacing the older forms which are preserved only as enclitics: $m\bar{a}$, $tv\bar{a}$ (: Av. $m\bar{a}$, $\theta w\bar{a}$). The relation of these forms with long vowel to the forms with short vowel like Gk. $\epsilon\mu\epsilon$, $\mu\epsilon$, $\sigma\epsilon$ is not clear.

The enclitic forms me, te (: O. Pers. maiy, taiy, Av. mõi, me, tõi, te, Gk. µoi, ooi, Lith. mi, ti) are forms of stem and contain no case ending. As such they are more ancient than the accented forms which have evolved a full case system. It is also an ancient feature that their use is much wider than that of a normal case form. They are used regularly in the sense of both genitive and dative, and occasionally even more widely. Originally there must have been corresponding accented forms with similar wide use. The growth of a full system of inflection for the accented personal pronouns has abolished these, but the older undeveloped system is preserved in the enclitics.

The lateness of the fully inflected case forms is shown by the fact that a number of them have no exact correspondences in other IE languages. Such is the case with the instr. sg. $m\dot{a}y\bar{a}$. This is formed on the basis of the stem form preserved in the enclitic me. On the other hand the original form of the instr. sg. of the second person was $tv\dot{a}$, as is shown by the agreement of this rare Vedic form with Av. $\theta w\bar{a}$. It is replaced by $tv\dot{a}y\bar{a}$ formed on the pattern of $m\dot{a}y\bar{a}$. The same form of stem is the basis of the loc. sg. $m\dot{a}yi$. The original loc. sg. of the second person is $tv\dot{e}$ which appears in the RV. It is replaced from the AV. on by the analogical $tv\dot{a}yi$. In the absence of Iranian evidence it is not possible to say anything about the earlier history of this case.

The forms of the abl. sg. mát, tvát (: Av. mat, ôwat, cf. O. Lat. mēd, tēd) are formed with the same element that appears in the declension of thematic stems. A form mámat, influenced by the gen. sg. appears in the RV., and later the extended forms mattás, tvattás, formed with the ablatival suffix -tas, come to be frequently used. The forms of the dat. sg. are máhyam, túbhyam, but túbhya is attested in the RV., and both máhya and túbhya are frequently required by the metre. These are the earliest forms and the -m is a secondary addition of Sanskrit, as elsewhere. The -m is absent in Iranian: Av. maibyā, maibyō, taibyā, taibyō. The final element d found in Indo-Iranian is

absent elsewhere (Lat. mihi, tibi, Umbr. mehe, tefe, O. Sl. tebe. O. Pruss. tebbei), and it is therefore to be regarded as a postposition which has become attached to the original case form. The $h(\langle \hat{g}h)$ in Skt. $m\hat{a}hyam$) is shown to be original as opposed to the -b- in the Avestan form, because it appears also in Italic. The -u- of túbhyam is peculiar to Sanskrit: all the other languages have forms derived from original te-. The gen. sg. táva (: Av. táva) representing IE *téwo is an uninflected thematic adjectival stem, and therefore an isolated survival of archaic IE usage. Elsewhere this stem is found inflected as a full adjective: Gk. 7665, Lat. tuus. On this analogy máma may be explained as a substitute for *áma, the initial m- being introduced from the rest of the paradigm. This *áma would correspond to the stem of Gk. ¿µós 'my 'in the same way as táva to τεός. Such an IE form of gen. sg. is represented in Armenian im. The gen. sg. in Iranian (Av. mana, O. Pers. manā) which corresponds exactly to that of Slavonic (O. Sl. mene) is a different formation, containing an n-suffix which in Germanic is attached to the diphthongal base (Goth, meina).

The nom. pl. vayúm (: Av. vaēm) contains the same additional element -am that appears in the nom. sg. It points to an original IE wei which appears in Gothic with the secondary addition of the plural -s (weis, cf. also Hitt. weš). This -s appears also in the nom. pl. of the second person in Av. yūš, Goth. jus, Lith. jūs. A second form yūžam exists in Avestan with the addition of the common pronominal termination -am. In Sanskrit yūyám the -am is added to the stem without -s, with the intervention of a -y- taken from vayám.

The cases of the plural from the accusative on are made from the bases $asm\acute{a}$ - and $yusm\acute{a}$ - to which corespond exactly Gk. (Aeol.) $\ddot{a}\mu\mu\epsilon$ and $\ddot{v}\mu\epsilon$. The as- of the first person is for ns-(=Goth. uns) which is explained as the weak grade of the form of the pronoun which is used as an enclitic, nas. It is possible that in $yusm\acute{a}$ - the initial y has been secondarily introduced from the nom. pl., and that an original us- was in the same way the weak form of us. The stem extension resembles that in the masc. sg. of the demonstrative pronouns ($usm\acute{a}$), etc.). These bases originally took the inflections of the singular, and the introduction of the plural termination is of comparatively recent origin. The old state of affairs is still preserved in the dative and ablative: $usm\acute{a}$

bhyam (: Av. yūšmaibyā); asmát (: Av. ahmaṭ), yuşmát (: Av. yūšmaṭ, xšmaṭ).

For the accusative the simple uninflected base was originally used as in the Greek forms quoted above and in Avestan 5hmā, ahma. The introduction of the termination -ān is an innovation of Sanskrit. The original (singular) ending of the instr. pl. is preserved in Av. xšmā, and also in Vedic in a few compounds, like yuṣmā-datta- 'given by you'. The long -ā- of asmābhis, yuṣmābhis is derived from this form of instrumental, and from here it has been introduced into the loc. pl.: asmāsu, yuṣmāsu. The forms of the gen. pl., asmākam, yuṣmākam (: Av. ahmākəm, O. Pers. amāxam; Av. yuṣmākəm) are based on the adjectival stems asmāka- and yuṣmāka- which still function as such in the RV. The original form, which still occurs occasionally in the Vedic language, was the uninflected stem as in tāva, and the -m as elsewhere is a later addition.

An earlier form of the locative, asmé, yuṣmé appears in the Vedic texts, with the peculiarity that it can be used also as dative and genitive. This archaic characteristic of combining the meanings of several cases indicates that the forms are ancient, though nothing exactly parallel is quotable elsewhere.

Only one form of enclitic appears in the plural, nas, vas, which serves as acc. dat. gen. In Avestan the corresponding $n\bar{o}$, $n\bar{o}$, $v\bar{o}$, $v\bar{o}$, serve only as dat.-gen. while for the acc. there appear forms with a long vowel, $n\bar{d}$, $v\bar{d}$. These correspond in form to Lat. $n\bar{o}s$, $v\bar{o}s$ and O. Sl. ny, vy.

In classical Sanskrit three case-forms are distinguished in the dual of the personal pronouns, as elsewhere. In the Vedic language more numerous distinctions are found. The nominative āvām, yuvām are distinguished from the accusative āvām, yuvām. The ablative forms āvāt and yuvāt appear. A separate instrumental is attested by compounds of the type yuvā-datta-'given by you two'. The uninflected adjectival stem yuvāku is found functioning as gen. du. In Avestan there exists a gen. du. yavākəm more closely parallel to the forms of the plural. The forms of the dative and locative, yuvābhyām, yuvās are replaced by more regularised forms in the classical language: yuvābhyām, yuvāyos.

The nom. du. yuvám is formed from the same radical element as the nom. pl. The unextended form is seen in Lith. jù-du 'you two'. For the first person a nom. du. vám is attested once

in the RV. (6. 55. 1). This corresponds to Av. $v\bar{a}$, the -m being obviously a secondary addition of Sanskrit. Av. $v\bar{a}$ corresponds exactly to O. Sl. $v\bar{e}$, and from these forms Goth. wi-t and Lith. $v\bar{e}$ -du differ in having a short vowel. All these forms contain the same radical element as the nom. pl. A form corresponding to $\bar{a}v\bar{a}m$ is found only in Av. $\bar{a}s\bar{a}v\bar{a}$ (acc.), and there is nothing similar in the rest of IE. The most plausible explanation of this formation peculiar to Indo-Iranian is that a dual \bar{a} of the pronominal stem a- has been prefixed to the original $v\bar{a}$, va (IE $w\bar{e}$, we).

The enclitic forms of the dual, whose usage corresponds to that of the plural enclitics, are nau, $v\bar{a}m$. Forms corresponding to nau appear in Av. $n\bar{a}$ (gen.), O. Sl. na (acc.) and Gk. $v\dot{\omega}$ (nom. acc.). It is clear, particularly from Greek, that this formation was not originally confined to enclitic use. A form of the second person without -m appears once in the RV. (4. 41. 2), and a comparison with Av. $v\bar{a}$ (acc.) shows this form to be original. In O. Sl. the corresponding form va is an accented form used as both nom. and acc.

There existed in IE a reflexive pronoun which inflected after the fashion of the personal pronouns (Lat. se, sibi, etc.). The initial varied between sv- and s- in the same way as that of the second personal pronoun. There are some remnants of this inflection in Avestan (dat. sg. hvāvōya, i.e. *hvawya), but it does not remain in Sanskrit. The stem sva- 'self' is used in compounds (sva-yúj- 'yoking oneself', etc.), in the adverbial svatas from oneself', and in certain derivatives (svatvá-, etc.). Apart from these cases the stem svá- is a possessive adjective corresponding to Lat. suus, etc. There is also an indeclinable svayam 'self', which is formed by adding the usual pronominal increment -am to a base *svai- (sve-, cf. me, te). As an enclitic this base appears with initial s- in Av. hōi, hē, šē, O. Pers. šaiy, functioning in the same way as me, te. This enclitic form of the pronoun is absent from Sanskrit, but it turns up in Prakrit se. The Vedic enclitic sim (acc.) appears to be radically related to this group, though differing widely in formation. In Iranian there are corresponding forms of the dual (Av. hi) and plural (Av. hiš, O. Pers. šiš).

The old possessive adjectives based on the first and second personal pronouns (cf. Lat. meus, tuus, etc.) have been lost in Sanskrit, although they are preserved in Iranian (Av. ma-' my',

fuse-'thy'). It is pointed out above how the stem of such adjectives is adapted as a gen. sg. In their place there are some fresh creations of Sanskrit, e.g. māmakā-, tāvakā- with vṛddhi, based on the gen. sg.; māmakīna-, etc.; madīya, tvadīya-, asmadīya-, yuṣmadīya-, based on the compositional stem; matka-'mine', etc.

Substitution of some honorific term for the singular of the second personal pronoun is a characteristic shared by Sanskrit with many languages. To some extent the plural serves this purpose, as in English, etc. (yūyam me guravaḥ 'you are my teacher'), but the normal substitute is bhavān (stem bhavant-) 'your honour' with the third person singular of the verb. The word is an irregular contraction of bhāgavān 'the fortunate, blessed one', which is itself used in this way. The vocative bhos (
bhagavas) which is still further contracted, preserves the old Vedic form of the vocative.

Substitutes for the old, reflexive pronoun are provided by the nouns tant-'body' and ātmán-'soul'. The former is so used in the Vedic language (stra upāke tanvām dádhānaḥ'placing himself near the sun') and the usage is paralleled in Avestan. It disappears in this usage in the classical language, but derivatives of it appear in later dialects of the North-West (N.W. Prakrit tanuvaka-, tanúvága-'one's own', Torwali tanu'id', etc.). The classical alternative ātmán- appears also in the RV., and supersedes the other word from the early prose onwards.

Demonstrative, Interrogative and Relative Pronouns

Sg. N. m. sá-s, f. sā, n. tád, A. m. tám, f. tắm, n. tád, I. m. n. téna, f. táyā; D. m. n. tásmai, f. tásyai, Ab. m. n. tásmāt, f. tásyās, G. m. n. tásya, f. tásyās, L. m. n. tásmin, f. tásyām.

Du. N.A. m. taú, f. n. tl, I.D. Ab. m. f. n. tábhyām, G.L. m. f. n. táyos.

Pl. N. m. té, f. tās, n. tá, táni, A. m. tán, f. tás, n. tá, táni, I. m. n. taís, tébhis, f. tábhis, D. Ab. m. n. tébhyas, f. tábhyas, G. m. n. téşām, f. tásām, L. m. n. téşu, f. tásu.

Those demonstrative, interrogative and relative pronouns whose stem ends in the thematic vowel inflect according to the above pattern. The masculine and neuter pronouns inflect partly in agreement with the nominal a-stems and the feminines partly in agreement with the \bar{a} -stems. In addition they have

forms of inflection which are not shared by the nominal stems.

These are as follows:

The nom. sg. in the pronouns sa, eşa and sya appear without final -s when followed by a word beginning with a consonant: sa dadarśa 'he saw', but so 'bravīt' he said', puruşa eşaḥ' this man'. Forms without the nominative -s appear also in the corresponding Gk. ô, Goth. sa.

The nom. acc. sg. nt. ends in d/t: tdt (: Av. tat, Gk. $\tau \delta < *tod$, Lat. is-tud), etdt (: Av. aetat), tydt, ydt (: Av. yat, Gk. $\delta \tau - \tau \iota$), kdt RV. (: Av. kat, Lat. quod), tvat, enat. The Sanskrit sandhi does not allow any decision as to whether the original consonant is -d or -t, but it is clear that the final consonant was originally -d both from the evidence of other languages (Lat. quod, Goth. pat-a) and from forms in Sanskrit where a further suffix is added to this stem : tadt, iddm, etc.

The instr. sg. masc. nt. is in the classical language identical in noun and pronoun. In the Vedic language the noun has also the termination $-\bar{a}$, which is not used in the pronoun with the exception of enā (classical enena) and the adverbial anā ' thus '. It is clear that -ena is the termination proper to the pronoun and that this has been transferred to the noun. The form is based on the diphthongal stem (ke-, etc.) which elsewhere is confined to plural use. The -n- appears to be of the same nature as the -n- which appears in the instr. sg. of masc. and neut. iand u-stems. As opposed to classical -ena, the Vedic language has both -ena and -enā, due to different developments in sandhi of final -aH (>-a before vowel). No forms corresponding exactly to these are found outside Indo-Aryan. In Iranian there are some forms with the intrusive -n-, but they are formed on the ordinary thematic, not on the diphthongal stem: Av. kana. O. Pers. tvanā, avanā. The instr. sg. fem. (távā. etc.) is likewise based on the diphthongal stem, without the -n-. It has likewise been adopted by the nominal declension (sénayā).

In the dat. abl. loc. sg. the stem of the pronoun is enlarged by an element -sm(a)-. This element is fairly widespread in IE: Umbr. esmei pusme, Goth. imma, pamma (-mm-<-sm-), O. Pruss. stesmu, etc. It is not altogether clear whether the simple -m-which appears in O. Sl. tomu, etc., is a development out of this or stands for an originally variant form. If -sm- only is original it could perhaps be connected with the root of samá-, so that tásmai, for instance, would mean originally 'to that same'.

The dat. sg. preserves the old termination of the thematic stems, which in the noun has been replaced by the extended -āya. The -in which forms the termination of the loc. sg. appears nowhere else. In Iranian there are forms with simple -i: Av. ahmī, kahmi, čahmi, yahmī. These forms are clearly more original, and the -n of Sanskrit must be regarded as a secondary addition, whatever its origin. There is also absence of nasalisation in some of the middle IA forms: Pa. tamhi, Pkt. tamsi, as opposed to Pkt. tassim. These may be connected directly with the Iranian forms, and they point to a dialect variant *tásmi, etc., in Old Indo-Aryan, existing beside tásmin, etc., adopted by the literary language.

In the dat. gen. abl. loc. sg. fem. an element -sy-appears before the termination. This is found also in Iranian (Av. ahyāi, aiŋhāi, aiŋhā, etc.) and in Old Prussian (dat. sg. stessiei, gen. sg. stessias). In Germanic there appears in these cases simple -s-(Goth. dat. sg. pizai, gen. sg. pizōs). The most plausible explanation of these forms is that they are based on the gen. sg. tásya, etc., which were originally common to both genders, the feminine being eventually discriminated by the addition of the termination -ās. From this starting the rest of the cases could easily be formed on the analogy of the noun.

The terminations of the dual are the same as those of the noun. In the gen.-loc. this is due to the transference of the pronominal forms to the noun, as can be seen from the opposition of two types of formation in Slavonic (vlŭku, toju). A few forms with simple -os appear in the Vedic language (avos, enos).

The nom. pl. masc. is formed by the diphthongal stem: te, ke, etc. Similarly in other IE languages: Gk. τoi, Goth. pai, Lith. tiē, O. Sl. ti, etc. Since in O. Lat. quoi (gen. quoius, dat. quoiei) we find such a form of stem used in the singular, and since in Sanskrit it appears in certain cases outside the plural (instr. sg. te-n-a, fem. táy-ā, gen. loc. du. táy-os), it must be assumed that this form of stem was not originally exclusively plural, and that it gradually became specialised as such. The form of stem that appears in the nom. pl. forms the basis of the other cases (tebhis, etc.) with the exception of the acc. pl. which is in all probability borrowed from the nominal declension. In the RV. the only forms of the instr. pl. that occur are of the type tebhis, and the nominal declension shows a tendency to borrow

this type. Later the pronominal form of the case is not only excluded from the noun, but also in the pronoun it is replaced by the nominal form (tais). The only exception is the stem a-which preserves the old form of instrumental in the later language (ebhis: Av. ažibis).

In the gen. pl. both in the masc.-neut. and in the feminine an -s- appears between the stem and the termination. The same -s- appears elsewhere: masc. Av. $a\bar{e}s\bar{q}m$, O. Pers. $avais\bar{a}m$, O. Sl. $t\bar{e}ch\bar{u}$, O. Pruss. steison; fem. Av. $a\bar{q}hqm$, Gk. $\tau\bar{a}\omega\nu$, Lat. $ist\bar{a}rum$, Osc. eizazun-c. Allowing for the fact that in Germanic (AS. $b\bar{a}ra$) and Slavonic ($t\bar{e}ch\bar{u}$) the masculine forms have replaced the feminine in this case, the -s- forms are clearly more widespread in the feminine than in the masculine (e.g. Gk. $\tau\bar{a}\omega\nu$ but $\tau\bar{\omega}\nu$). This may well indicate that the formation is more original in the feminine, and if so the -s- would be the -s of the nom. pl. $t\bar{d}s$, to which the gen. pl. termination is added. Apart from the gen. pl. the inflection of the feminine does not differ from that of the nouns in the plural.

The thematic pronominal stems that appear in Sanskrit are $s\dot{a}/t\dot{a}$ - 'that; he, she, it', $es\dot{a}/et\dot{a}$ - 'this', $sy\dot{a}/ty\dot{a}$ - 'that', ena-'him, her, it', áma-'this', avá-'that', tva-'one, one... the other', ká-'who, which?', yá-'who (relative)', sama-'any, every', simá-'self', néma-'a certain', a-, ana- and imá-'this'.

The stems sá- and tá- combine together to make one paradigm, and they are divided so that sa-appears in the nom. sg. masc. and fem., and tá- elsewhere. In this respect Sanskrit is in agreement with Iranian (Av. hā, hō, hā, tat, etc.), Greek (δ, ή, τό, etc.) and Germanic (Goth. sa, sō, pata, etc.). This continues the IE state of affairs, and where t- appears in these two cases (Lith, tàs, tà, O, Sl. tŭ, ta) it is an innovation. The absence of the s-termination in the nom. sg. masc. was also an original characteristic of this pronoun. In Sanskrit the pronoun has this termination (sah) when it appears at the end of a sentence, and in sandhi before vowels it is treated as if it were sás (sa āha, so 'dya). Greek also has a form os 'he', which appears predominantly at the end of a clause, which suggests that this variant form of the nom. sg. goes back to the IE period. For the rest of the paradigm tá-follows the regular form of the declension of these pronouns, with the possible exception of RV. sásmin, loc. sg. Since however the meaning of this in some contexts appears to be 'the same, one', it should perhaps be connected with the IE root sem-' one', rather than with this pronoun.

The stems eşá-|etá- and syá|tyá- alternate in the same way as sa|ta-. The former of these is compounded e-, which is the ayof the ayám-pronoun, and the above stem sa|ta-. The combination appears also in Avestan (nom. sg. masc. aðša, aðšō, fem.
aðša, nt. aðtat) but not elsewhere in IE. The pronoun syá|tyáappears mainly in the RV. There are a small number of
occurrences in the later preclassical literature, and even in early
Pali texts (Jāt. tyamhi, tyāsu), but it is not used in the classical
language, although it is recognised by the grammarians. Outside Indo-Aryan the only corresponding forms are O. Pers.
hya|tya-, used as a relative pronoun in place of Skt. Av. yá-.

The interrogative pronoun ká- 'who?' is declined regularly according to the pronominal declension with the exception of the nom. acc. sg. nt. kim, beside which the RV. has also kát (: Av. kat. Lat. quod. Goth. ha) formed regularly from the astem. In Indo-European there existed both an a-stem (Skt. ká-s. Av. kō, Lith. kàs, Goth. was, etc.) and an i-stem (Gk. vis, Lat. quis. Hitt. kwis). Indo-Iranian had likewise both forms of stem, and this state of affairs is continued in Iranian: Av. nom. sg. čiš, acc. sg. čim nom. pl. čayo, etc. The tendency in Sanskrit has been to eliminate this form of the pronoun. An isolated interrogative kis is quoted once from the RV., elsewhere this form only occurs in the combinations ná-kis and må-kis 'no one' (: Av. naē-čiš, mā-čiš). A particle kīm of the same formation as im, sim, which occurs in combinations like ná-kim. må-kim (cf. Av. nai-čim, mā-čim) also belongs here. The only ki- form which maintains itself in the regular paradigm is nom. acc. sg. nt. kim. This form does not correspond to that found in other IE languages, which has the normal pronominal -d of the neuter (Hitt. kwit, Lat. quid, Av. čit, etc.). This form is preserved in Sanskrit in the enclitic particle cit (káścit 'anybody '), from which the existence of an interrogative *cid may be inferred at an earlier stage of the language. How the final -m should be explained is not quite clear, but the existence of the Avestan particle cim would seem to indicate that it is at least of Indo-Iranian date, and further connections with the Latin adverbial termination in inter-im, ölim, and of Skt. kimcit with Arm, in-č' something' have been suggested. The restoration, by analogy, of the k-before the palatalising vowel is a common feature of Sanskrit in contradistinction to early Iranian. It has also taken place in certain of the thematic stems which originally contained the vowel e, e.g. gen. sg. kásya, Av. čahyā, Gk. τέο, O. Sl. česo.

There is a variety of adjectival and adverbial derivatives from this pronoun based on the three stems ka-, ki- and ku-. ka-: katará- 'which of two' (: Av. katāra-, Gk. πότερος,

ka-: katará- 'which of two' (: Av. katāra-, Gk. πότερος, Goth. ha par), katamá- 'which of many' (: Av. katāma-), káti 'how many' (: Av. čaiti, Lat. quot), kathá, kathám 'how' (: Av. kaθā) kadā 'when' (: Av. kadā, kaδa), kárhi 'when'.

ki-: kivant- 'how much' (: Av. čvant-) kiyant- 'id'.

ku-: kū in kúcit 'everywhere', kuv-it particle of interrogation (: Av. kū 'where'), kvà 'where', kúha 'id' (: Av. kudā, O. Sl. kūde), kútra 'id', kútas 'whence'.

The relative pronoun yá- is paralleled in Iranian (Av. ya-) Greek (ős) and Phrygian (ios), and in various derivatives elsewhere. Its declension is of the normal pronominal type (yás, yá, yád, etc.) and calls for no further comment. Among the various derivatives from it mention may be made of yatará-which of two' (: Av. yatāra-), yáti' how many', yávant-' how big' (: Av. yavant-), yáthā' how' (: Av. yaðā), yátra' where' (: Av. yaðrā), yadā 'when' (: Av. yaðā), yádi' if' (Av. yeði, yeiði, O. Pers. yadiy).

The enclitic pronoun ena-'him, her, it' is used only in the acc. of all numbers, in the instrumental singular, and in the gen. loc. du. In other cases unaccented forms of the ayâm pronoun are used with the same syntactical function (asya, asmai, etc.). No pronoun which can be compared with this is found in Old Iranian, nor in the rest of IE, but possibly M. Pers. ēn, Mod. Pers. ēn go back to the same source.

The pronominal stem avá- is nearly extinct already in the Vedic language, being confined to three occurrences in the gen. loc. du. (avós). In Iranian on the other hand it remained in common use (Av. O. Pers. ava-) and a corresponding pronoun is found also in Slavonic (O. Sl. ová).

The unaccented pronoun tva-'a certain one, many a one' (when repeated 'one... another') occurs in the Veda but is absent from the later language. Outside Indo-Aryan it is possible to compare Av. θwat , nt. sg. used adverbially (as also is Skt. tvat). Avestan has also a pronoun $hv\bar{o}$ 'he', which suggests that

there was originally an alternating stem *sva/tva- after the fashion of sya/tya-.

The pronoun *ama*- 'this one' appears only in one ritual phrase. Elsewhere the stem appears only in the O. Pers. adverb *amata* 'from there', and possibly in the Vedic adverb *amā* 'at home'.

The Vedic pronoun *néma*- 'a certain one, many a one ' is used in much the same sense as the pronoun *tva*-, and may be combined with it in phrases like *néma u tva āha* ' one or the other said'. It is the stem of Skt. *néma*- 'half', Av. *naēma*- 'half, side' used adjectivally, 'he, they on the one side'.

The unaccented sama- 'any, every' is likewise confined to the earliest language. It corresponds to Av. hama- 'every', Goth. sums 'a certain one', and it is ultimately derived from the IE root sem- 'one'. An adverb samaha 'somewhere, somehow' is derived from it.

The pronoun simá- 'oneself', which is also confined to the Vedic language, has nothing exactly corresponding to it outside Sanskrit. In structure it can be compared to the pronominal stem imá-, simá- having the same relation to sīm, for instance, as imá- to īm.

The stems á-, aná- and imá form part of the ayám declension, and are treated below.

This form of declension is followed by a variety of adjectival stems, consisting partly of pronominal derivatives, and partly of certain other adjectives. The full pronominal declension with nom. acc. sg. nt. in -at is taken by anyá- 'other' (anyát, cf. Gk. allo, Lat. aliud) and such pronominal derivatives as katará-, katamá-, yatará-, yatamá-, and ítara 'other'. Pronominal inflection, but with nom, acc, sg. nt. in -am is taken by such stems as vísva- 'all' (nom. pl. masc. vísve, gen. pl. masc. neut, visvesām, etc.: Av. vīspe, vīspaēšam), sarva- 'all' (Indo-Arvan development on the analogy of visva-), éka- 'one' (cf. Av. aevahmāt, etc., from aeva- 'one '). Other adjectives which show declension of this type are certain comparatives and superlatives such as ádhara-, adhamá-, ántara-, ántama-, ápara-, apamá- and the like, and a few other adjectives such as párva-'prior, east', dáksina 'right, south' and ubháya- 'of both kinds'. In this latter class there is considerable fluctuation of usage: in some cases pronominal inflection is optional, and in others pronominal inflection only occurs in specific senses.

The Pronouns ayam and asau

Paradigms:

(I) Sg. N. m. ayám, f. iyám, n. idám, A. m. imám, f. imám, nt. idám, I. m. n. anéna, f. anáyā, D. m. n. asmaí, f. asyaí, Ab. m. n. asmát, f. asyás, G. m. n. asyá, f. asyás, L. m. n. asmín, f. asyám.

Du. N.A. m. imaú, f. n. imé, I.D. Ab. ābhyām, GL. anáyos.

Pl. N. m. imé, f. imás, n. imáni, A. m. imán, f. imás, n. imáni, I. m. n. ebhís, f. ābhís, D. Ab. m. n. ebhyás, f. ābhyás, G. m. n. esám, f. āsám, L. m. n. esú, f. āsú.

(2) Sg. N. m. f. asaú, n. adás, A. m. amúm, f. amúm, n. adás, I. m. n. amúnā, f. amúyā, D. m. n. amúşmai, f. amúşyai, Ab. m. n. amúşmāt, f. amúşyās, G. m. n. amúşya, f. amúşyās, L. m. n. amúşmin, f. amúşyām.

Du. N.A. amú, I.D. Ab. amúbhyām, GL. amúyos.

Pl. N. m. amt, f. amús, n. amúni, A. m. amún, f. amús, n. amúni, I. m. n. amtbhis, f. amúbhis, D. Ab. m. n. amtbhyas, f. amúbhyas, G. m. n. amtṣām, f. amúṣām, L. m. n. amtṣu, f. amúsu.

These two pronouns may be classed together as being in many ways aberrant from the normal pronominal declension. The ayám pronoun is distinguished by the number of different stems that combine to form the paradigm. The fundamental stems are ay-(e-)/i and a-. The nom. sg. masc. ayám (: Av. aem) is made by the addition of the common pronominal -ám (cf. ahám, etc.) to the gunated form of the first stem. The nom. sg. fem. iyám (Av. īm for *iyəm, O. Pers. iyam both masc. and fem.) is a similar extension of \bar{i} -<i-H. In the same way the nom, acc. sg. nt. is an extension of td (: Lat. id) which remains in use as a particle. Latin has the same extension in idem 'the same', with a specialised sense that is absent in Sanskrit. The same extension applied to an acc. sg. masc. *im (Gk. ιν · αὐτόν, Lat. im 'eum') has produced imám (: Av. imom, O. Pers. imam), from which a new stem imá- is abstracted and extended to the acc. sg. fem. imam (: Av. imam, O. Pers. imam), nom. acc. du. masc. imaú (: Av. ima), fem. nt. imé, nom. pl. masc. imé (: Av. ime, O. Pers. imaiy), acc. pl. masc. iman (: Av. ima), nom. acc. pl. fem. imás (Av. imá(s), O. Pers. imā) nt. imá(ni) (Av. imā). The stem is occasionally extended to other cases in the Vedic (but never in the classical) language: imásya, imásmai; similarly in Iranian (Av. nom. acc. sg. nt. imat, O. Pers. gen. pl. imaišām), Middle Indo-Aryan (Pa. imassa, etc.), and in Buddhist and other incorrect forms of Sanskrit (imeṣu, etc.).

The stem a- appears in the D.G. Ab. L. sg., in accordance with Avestan (ahmāi, ahmai, ahyā/ahe, ahmi, fem. ahyāi, etc.) with the normal corresponding forms in the instr. pl. etc. (ebhis: Av. aēibis; fem. ābhis, : Av. ābis, etc.). In the Vedic language the instr. sg. appears as end, fem. avd, and the gen. loc. du. as avós. These are (allowing for the alternation -a/ā in kéna, etc., as opposed to end) the normal thematic endings, and the stem therefore is a-. On the other hand in the classical language these are replaced by anéna, anáyā, anáyos. In Avestan there is an instr. sg. ana, formed like kana, etc., from the stem a-, and corresponding to it there is a Vedic adverb and 'therefore'. It seems that the stem ana-, on which the above Sanskrit forms and the Av. instr. pl. anais are made, originated in this form and. On the other hand there is in Slavonic a pronoun onu which can be compared. It is possible that the Slavonic pronoun has arisen by the generalisation of a stem which arose in the same way as Indo-Iranian ana-.

There is a difference of accentuation between this pronoun and the corresponding forms of the normal pronominal declension (asyá as opposed to tásya, etc.), which is apparently due to a generalisation of the final accentuation of ayám. As an anaphoric pronoun (asmai 'to him', etc.) the cases of the astem are unaccented.

A variety of adverbial forms are made, on the basis of the pronominal stems a- and i-: dtra 'there' (: Av. aθrā), dtas 'from there', idā (: Av. iδa), idānīm 'now', ihá 'here' (Av. ida, iδa, O. Pers. ida), itthám 'thus', etc.

The only part of the $asa\acute{u}$ pronoun for which anything corresponding can be found in another language is the nom. sg. $asa\acute{u}$. Corresponding to this Iranian has Av. $h\bar{a}u$, O. Pers. hauv, but in the other cases it uses the stem ava-, which has become almost extinct in Sanskrit. The most likely explanation of *sāu is that it consists of the pronouns, sa, $s\bar{a}$ and a particle -au indicating distance. In the same way the acc. $am\acute{u}m$ may be explained as replacing am- \acute{u} , with a variant grade of the same particle. The am- would originally be the acc. sg. of the pronominal stem a-, the specific sense of the pronoun ('that over there') being provided by the added element -u. Once this is

interpreted as a stem, and the acc. sg. termination added it naturally forms the basis of a fully inflected pronoun declined on the analogy of the other pronominal stems. If it had been an older stem with suffix -u, inflection after the style of the ustems would be expected. The fact that in contradistinction to all other u-stems it inflects according to the thematic type (in its pronominal variety) indicates that it is a late creation peculiar to Sanskrit which has arisen in some such way as described above.

The Sanskrit nom, sg. masc, fem, has an initial a- which is absent from Iranian hau. It is clear that this has arisen from the analogy of the amú-stem, and that Iranian hāu, representing Indo-Ir. *sāu is the more original form. The nom. acc. sg. nt. adás is a form for which no analogy appears elsewhere. The most likely interpretation of this form is that it was really adó misinterpreted as being for adás in those sandhi contexts where -as becomes -o. In support of this explanation one instance of adó before initial p- can be cited from the RV. The nom. pl. amt and the remaining cases based on this stem are not easy to explain. It is suggested, but without any degree of certainty that an analogical diphthongal form amui was created corresponding to the diphthongal plural stems of the thematic pronouns (te < tai < toi, etc.) and that since this combination was new and unfamiliar (inherited u+i becoming vi-), it was unstable and became changed to -ī.

§ 3. Indeclinables

Some of the oldest types of adverb have been mentioned already in connection with the formation of nominal stems. It was observed that adverbs of the type prātār ' early ' are formed by means of suffixes that were originally used in the formation of neuter stems. Adverbs of this type have most commonly accent on the suffix which also appears in the endingless locatives, with which they are identical in formation. There is also a type with radical accent (ánti, etc.) having the form of an unaltered neuter stem. The following is a list of adverbs of these types arranged according to suffix:

Neuter stems without suffix: yugapad 'simultaneously', anusák' in order'.

-ar: avár 'down', púnar 'again'. -tar: prātár 'early', sanutár 'away, apart', antár 'inside'; -tur: sanitúr 'away,

apart'; -var: sasvár 'secretly'; -ur: múhur 'suddenly'; -i: sāmi 'half' (usually in compounds, cf. Gk. ήμι-, Lat. sēmi-), pári 'around'; -ti: ánti 'near', práti 'opposite, towards'; -u: mithu 'falsely', maksú 'immediately' (cf. Lat. mox), múhu 'suddenly ' (cf. Av. mərəzu'- 'short', Gk. βραχύς), anusthú 'at once': -as: mithás 'falsely', hyás 'yesterday' (: Gk. x0és, Lat. heri with additional suffix), śvás 'tomorrow' (as opposed to r-stem in Av. sūr- 'morning'), avás 'down', adhás 'id', prāyas 'generally', sadyás, sadívas 'today, immediately', tirás' across', parás' beyond', purás' before': -is: āvis 'openly', bahis 'outside'; -us: anyedyús 'on the following day', prādús 'forth to view'; -at: dravát 'quickly', drahyát 'stoutly' (RV. once), isát 'a little'; -it: pradaksinit 'so as to keep something to the right', cikitvit; -ad; smad, sumad 'with' (: Av. mat); -k; jyók 'for a long time ' (cf. Lat. diū).

A number of adverbs functioning as verbal prefixes have the form of uninflected thematic stems, namely $\dot{a}va$ 'down', $\dot{a}pa$ 'away from' (: Gk. $\ddot{a}\pi o$), $\dot{a}pa$ 'up to, near' (: Gk. $\ddot{v}\pi o$ 'under'), and $\dot{p}r\dot{a}$ 'forth' (Gk. $\pi p\dot{o}$, etc.). Since the thematic suffix was originally used for the formation of adjectives, words of this type may be regarded as fossilized adjectival stems without inflection which have acquired the function of prepositions and verbal prefixes.

The above adverbs are formed on the same lines as the corresponding nominal stems. Other adverbs contain specifically adverbial suffixes. The more important of these are as follows:

-tas: This suffix makes adverbs which have in general an ablatival sense: itás 'from here', tátas 'from there', anyátas 'from another place', dakṣiṇatás' from the right, on the right', hṛttás' from the heart', etc. In some cases such forms function like forms of the ablative case: sarvato bhayāt' from all fear', kutaś cid deśād āgatya' coming from some district or other'. It has already been pointed out that this formation may be explained as deriving from the gen.-abl. of old t-stems. When simple t-formations became rare forms of the type dakṣiṇat-ás were reinterpreted as dakṣiṇa-tás, etc., and a new adverbial suffix created. Corresponding formations appear in other IE languages: Av. x°atō 'of oneself', aiwitō 'around', O. Pers. hača paruviyata' from of old', amata 'from there', Gk. ἐκτόs' outside', Lat. funditus, caelitus, etc.

-tāt: This formation which arises from a contamination of the above with the ablative termination -āt appears in such adverbs as údaktāt 'from above', prāktāt 'from in front', adhástāt '(from) below', purástāt 'from or in the front' and the like. There are no parallels in other languages.

-trå: With this suffix are formed adverbs with a locatival sense from noun stems (in the Veda only) and from pronominal stems: devatrå 'among the gods', puruṣatrå 'among men', śayutrå 'on a couch', dakṣinatrå 'on the right side', atrå 'here', tátra 'there', kútra 'where', etc. The forms (compare those in -tas) are occasionally used as substitutes for the locative case: hásta à dakṣinatrå 'in the right hand'. Similar formations in Iranian are Av. vaŋhaθra 'at the place of dwelling', iθra 'here', aθrå 'there', kuθrå 'where', etc. As already indicated these adverbs are based on the extinct class of neuters in -tar (*śayutar 'couch', *vasatar 'dwelling-place'), of which they are instrumentals, with the locatival sense which instrumental forms always have when used adverbially. The fluctuation between ā and ā is due to variant treatment in sandhi of final -ā < ah, of which examples are noted elsewhere.

-thā, -tham: The suffix -thā forms adverbs of manner: rtuthā 'regularly', pratnáthā 'as of old', viśváthā 'in every way', anyáthā 'otherwise', táthā 'so', kathā 'how', itthā 'thus', etc. More rarely -tham appears in the same sense: kathām'how', itthām'thus'. A similar formative appears only in Iranian: Av. kaθa, kuθa 'how', avaθa 'thus', hamaθa 'in the same way', etc.

-dā, -dānīm, -di: The suffix -dā in kadā 'when', tadā 'then', idā 'now', sarvadā 'always' contains clearly as its first element the -d of the neuter pronouns (tad, etc.). Similar formations appear in Iranian (Av. kaδa, taδa, etc.), and Lithuanian (kadā, tadā; visadā 'always'). These forms may be extended by the addition of an element -nīm of obscure origin: idānīm, tadānīm; viśvadānīm 'always'. The same pronominal -d appears in the -di of yādi 'if' (: O. Pers. yadiy, Av. yeiδi).

-dhā: This suffix meaning 'in so many parts' appears in

-dhā: This suffix meaning 'in so many parts' appears in such words as trídhā' triply', caturdhā' four fold', katidhā' in how many parts', bahudhā' in many ways', viśvádhā' in every way', bahirdhā' outside', mitradhā' in a friendly manner'. The -dhā is not in origin suffixal, but the root dhā forming a compound with the previous member (cf. tridhātu' consisting

of 3 parts' beside tridha), but it has come to function exactly like a suffix.

-dha, -ha: A suffix -dha appears occasionally, e.g. Vedic sadha-' with' (in certain compounds); usually it is weakened to -ha: sahá' with'. The same suffix with the same weakening appears in ihá' here' (Pa. idha, Av. iôa), kúha' where' (Av. kudā, O. Sl. kūde), viśváha' always' (O. Sl. višide' everywhere') and samaha' in some way or other'.

-śas: This suffix makes distributive adverbs from numerals and other words: dviśás 'in twos, two by two', śataśás 'by hundreds', sahasraśás 'in thousands', śreniśás 'in rows', devaśás' to each of the gods', etc.; cf. Av. navasō' in nines'. The corresponding suffix in Greek is -κας, in ἐκάς 'by oneself' and ἀνδρακάς 'man by man'. The ultimate analysis of this element is not certain (<kns, cf. śams-, Lat. censeo?).

-rhi: kárhi 'when?', tárhi 'then', etárhi 'now', yarhi 'where (rel.)', amúrhi 'there'. The first element of this double suffix appears independently in various IE languages to make adverbial derivatives from pronominal stems: Lat. cur' why', Goth. par' there', Lith. kur' where', visur' everywhere', etc. The second element is best explained as a weakening of -dhi (cf. -ha above) and this -dhi may be compared with the -θι which appears in Greek in such words as πόθι 'where', τόθι 'there'.

Adverbially Used Case Forms. It has been pointed out that a large number of the adverbs dealt with above are, in their ultimate analysis, case forms of nominal stems, e.g. the simple neuter stem which elsewhere functions as nom. acc. sg. nt. (jātu), endingless locative (prātār), instrumental (śayutrā). In principle such adverbs are formed in the same way as those below, but the stems on which they are based no longer exist apart from the adverbs concerned. The following list consists of adverbs formed from stems which are used also as substantives or adjectives.

The most common case form used in the making of adjectives is the nom.-acc. sg. nt. By this means adverbs are formed, occasionally from nouns, copiously from adjectives, including all compounds which are adjectives. Typical examples are purú 'abundantly', urú 'widely', mdhi 'greatly', bhūyas 'more, again', ráhas 'secretly', nyāk' downwards', nāma 'by name', súkham' happily', bálavat' strongly', dhṛṣṇú' boldly',

satyám 'truly', nítyam 'continually', cirám 'for a long time', sādaram 'respectfully', nānārathám 'on different chariots', pradāna-pūrvam 'accompanied by a gift'. Those compounds which have a preposition as their first member are classed by the grammarians as avyayībhāva. Such are pratyagni 'towards the fire', anuṣvadhám 'by one's own will', pratidoṣám 'towards evening', and the like.

Adverbs meaning 'like —' are formed by means of the suffix -vát in its nom. acc. sg. nt. form, the accent being on the suffix (as opposed to bálavat, etc.): manuṣvát 'like Manu', purāṇavát 'as of old', etc.

The acc. sg. is occasionally so used in the case of masculine and feminine nouns: kāmam 'at will, if you will', náktam 'by night', vasam' freely, as one wills'. The feminine accusative functions adverbially in certain cases where the suffixes -tara and -tama are added to adverbs: uccaistaram 'higher'. sanaistarām 'more gradually', etc. These suffixes are allowed by the grammarians to be added even to finite verbs-sidatetarām, etc., though no trace of such usage appears in the earlier language. From the adverbial accusative there develops a special form of gerund in -am: abhikramam juhoti 'approaching (the fire) he offers', viparyasam avagahati' he buries it upside down'. These formations are common in the Brāhmanas. but rare earlier. In the later language only a repeated variety is used: madhukarānām kvanitāni śrāvam-śrāvam paribabhrāma 'constantly hearing the humming of bees he wandered about'.

The adverbial use of the instrumental may be illustrated by such examples as sáhasā 'suddenly', áñjasā 'suddenly', disṭyā 'fortunately', aśeṣeṇa 'completely', dakṣiṇeṇa 'to the south', śánakais 'slowly', uccais 'on high', nīcais 'below'. A number of adverbial instrumentals in -ā have a locative rather than an instrumental sense: divā 'by day', doṣā 'in the evening', etc. Shift of accent sometimes characterises the form as an adverb: so in divā, madhyā 'in the middle', dakṣinā 'to the right'. In the Vedic language there occur adverbs in -ayā such as nakṭayā 'by night', ṛṭayā 'in the right way', sumnayā 'piously', svapnayā 'in a dream'. Avestan has similar formations, angrayā 'evilly', ašaya 'rightly', etc. These have the appearance of instrumental singulars of feminine ā-stems, but no such ā-stems occur. Possibly they have

developed out of the locative singular with postposition -d of the type O. Pers. dastayā 'in the hand'. On the other hand a similar formation appears (also confined to the Vedic language) in connection with stems in -u: raghuyā 'quickly', dhṛṣṇuyā 'boldly', etc.; cf. Av. āsuya 'quickly'. A satisfactory explanation to account for both types is difficult to find.

Examples of other cases used adverbially are: dat. (rare) aparaya' for the future', cirāya' for long', ahnāya' presently'; abl. paścāt' behind', sākṣāt' evidently', sanāt' from of old' (with changed accent), adharāt' below'; gen. (rare) aktós' by night', vástos' by day'; loc. dūrē' afar', rāhasi' secretly', sthāne' suitably', sapadi' immediately'.

Miscellaneous Adverbs and Particles. In addition to the adverbs classified above, mention may be made of the following. The particle evá 'only' is possibly to be identified with the stem of that form of the word for one which appears in Iranian and Greek (Av. aēva-, Gk. olos), and evám 'so' is probably the neut. sg. of the same word. Of the particles of comparison iva 'like' appears to be based on the pronominal base i- and its formation may be compared with that of the stem ava-. In the Vedic language a particle ná, homophonous with the negative particle is used in this sense: gauró ná tṛṣitáḥ piba' drink like a thirsty bison', etc. A comparable form elsewhere appears only as an enclitic particle in certain combinations: Av. yaθanā, čiθənā, Lat. quidne. This enclitic may be seen also in Skt. cana (káścana 'anybody').

The negative $n\acute{a}$ is a common IE base: cf. Av. na-, O. Sl. ne, Lith. $n\grave{e}$, Lat. ne-, Goth. $n\emph{i}$, etc. As the first member of compounds it appears in a weakened form, a- (<n-), before vowels an-; similarly Av. O. Pers. a-, an-, Gk. a-, $a\nu$ -, Lat. in-, Ir. an-, Goth. un-.

The adverbs nu, $n\dot{u}$, $n\bar{u}n\acute{d}m$ 'now' belong to a family well represented in Indo-European: Av. $n\ddot{u}$, $n\ddot{u}ram$, Mod. Pers. $n\ddot{u}n$, Oss. nur, O. Sl. $nyn\acute{e}$, Lith. $n\grave{u}$, Gk. $v\acute{v}$, $v\acute{v}v$, $v\^{v}v$, Lat. nun-c, Engl. now, etc. The radical element is that which appears in the adjective $n\acute{d}va$ - 'new'. Formed directly on the adverb Sanskrit has $n\acute{u}tana$ -, $n\acute{u}tna$ - 'new, belonging to the present time'. From a comparison of Greek it appears that the form $n\ddot{u}n\acute{d}m$ is to be analysed $n\ddot{u}n$ -dm, and that it contains the same strengthening affix which was frequently met with in the pronominal formations. The n/r alternation between Skt. $n\ddot{u}n\acute{d}m$

and Av. nūrem is of the same origin as that of the nominal formations (cf. Gk. νεαρός: νεανας, etc.).

The adverb nánā 'variously' (the Veda has also an extended form nānānám) is of unknown derivation.

The conjunction ca 'and' (Av. ¿a, Gk. τε, Lat. que, Goth. -h) is enclitic, as in all the languages. The same applies to vā 'or', cf. Lat. ve. A non-enclitic in the former sense is utá 'and, also' (Av. O. Pers. uta 'and').

Of miscellaneous particles of asseveration, etc., mention may be made of angá 'verily' hánta (expressive of incitement), kila 'forsooth', khálu 'indeed', tu 'but', hí 'for' (Av. zī), gha, ha 'indeed' (the latter a weakened form of the former; cf. O. Sl. že), vāi 'verily', vāvá 'id' (with two accents that have not been explained), u, áha, sma, bhala. The particle sma used in conjunction with a present tense gives it an imperfect value. In the later language particles are less frequent than in the earlier, and those that remain tend to lose their significance, and serve in poetry simply as devices for filling out the metre.

A few interjections may be merely listed: \bar{a} , $h\bar{a}$, ahaha, he, ayi, aye, aho, bat, bata, dhik. Some noun and adjective forms have acquired this function; re, are (voc. of ari-'enemy'), bhos (for bhavas, voc. of bhavant-'your honour'), kastam 'woe is me!', svasti 'hail!', susthu, $s\bar{a}dhu$ 'good, excellent!', etc.

Prepositions and Postpositions

In contradistinction to other IE languages Sanskrit has not a developed series of prepositions. Furthermore those adverbial formations which are used to define more closely the case-relationship are normally placed after the noun used in this case, and not before it as in other IE languages. In comparison with the Vedic language later Sanskrit is noticeably poorer in words of this type, so that the distinction between it and the usual type of IE language is partly due to regression. On the other hand the system as it appears in the Vedic language, with freer order and looser connection of such words with the nouns they govern, is clearly more primitive than that found in Greek, Latin, etc., and is closer to the IE beginnings of the development of the prepositional system.

Of the words so used in the Veda the most important class, as elsewhere, consists of those words which are also used as verbal prefixes (see below). The majority of these can be so

used, but ud, ni, parā, pra, ava and vi are exceptions. Their use, mainly postpositional, may be illustrated by a few examples:

áti: yó devó mártyān áti ' the god who is beyond mortals'.

ddhi: pṛthivyắm ddhy oṣadhīh ' the plants upon the earth '.
ápi: yá apām ápi vraté ' who are in the domain of the waters'.

ánu: máma cittám ánu cittébhir éta 'follow after my mind with your minds'.

abhi: yāḥ pradiso abhi sūryo vicāṣṭe 'what quarters the sun looks abroad to '.

à: mártyeşu à 'among mortals'.

upa: amûr ya upa sûrye 'those who are near the sun'.

pári: jātó himávatas pári 'born from the Himalaya'.

práti: abodhy agníh práty āyatím usásam 'Agni has been awakened to meet the approaching dawn'.

sám: te sumatibhih sám pátnībhir ná vṛṣaṇo nasīmahi 'may we be united with thy favours as males with their spouses'.

The use of the last one is rare, and in its place the radically related $sah\acute{a}$ commonly appears as a postposition with the instrumental in the earlier and later language. Of the other words listed above the only ones so used in the later language are $\acute{a}nu$, prati and \ddot{a} . The first two are used as postpositions, the latter as a preposition with the ablative meaning 'up to', \ddot{a} $samudr\~at$ ' 'up to the ocean'.

In addition a variety of adverbs, both the old inherited type and the newer adverbially used case-forms, are used to define more closely the relation expressed by a case affix or in conjunction with it to express a relation which cannot be expressed by a case-termination alone. Such are:

With accusative: tirds 'through', antar, antara 'between', avarena 'below', parena 'beyond', úttarena 'to the north of', dakṣinena 'to the south of', nikaṣā 'near'; e.g. yé 'varenā-dityam, yé parenādityam 'those who are below the sun, those who are beyond the sun', dakṣinena védim' to the south of the altar', nikaṣā Yamunām' close to the Jumna'.

With instrumental: Mainly words meaning 'with', e.g. saha (above), sākám, sārdhám, samám, samáyā, sarátham, but also vinā 'without' which follows the analogy of the words of contrasting meaning.

The dative is the only case which is not used in conjunction with words of prepositional character. Nevertheless, as noted

above, the form of the dative of a-stems (-āya) can only be explained by the incorporation of what was originally an independent postposition.

With ablative: bahis 'outside of 'puras' in front of ', avas, adhas' below', pura' before', paras' beyond'. vina 'without' (also instrumental), arvak 'this side of', pascat 'behind', urdhvam' above', rie' without', etc.

With genitive: mostly case-forms of nouns or adjectives which take this case by virtue of retaining their nominal character. Such are agre 'in front of', abhyāśe, samīpe 'near', arthe, kṛte' for the sake of', madhye' in the midst of'. Words of more purely adverbial character used with the genitive are upari 'above', parastāt' beyond', purastāt' before', etc.

With locative: antar, antará 'inside', sácā 'with'.

Verbal Prefixes. A widespread feature of Indo-European is the compounding of verbs with prepositional prefixes. It is normally the same words which appear in use as the common prepositions which are compounded with the verbs. In Sanskrit, it has been noted, the system of prepositions (or postpositions) used in conjunction with nouns is much less developed than in the related languages. On the other hand the use of the same class of words as verbal prefixes is as fully developed in Sanskrit as in the other IE languages.

The common prefixes so used are as follows: áti 'across, beyond' (Av. aiti, O. Pers. atiy; Gk. eri 'also, still', Lat. et 'and' which are used differently), ádhi 'above, on, on to' (Av. aidī, aidī, O. Pers. adiy) anu 'after, along, towards' (Av. anu. O. Pers. anuv: Gk. avá with variant suffix), antár 'within ' (Av. antara, O. Pers. antar, Lat. inter), apa ' away, from ' (Av. O. Pers. apa, Gk. aπo, Lat. ab; Hitt. appa 'back, behind'), ápi 'unto, upon' (Av. aipi, O. Pers. apiy, Gk. ἐπί; in Sanskrit used rarely in this way but mostly as a conjunction 'also', cf. Gk. etc. Lat. et above), abhi 'to, towards, against' (Av. aiwi, O. Pers. abiy, O. Sl. obu, obl, Lat. ob), ava 'down, off '(Av. O. Pers. ava, O. Pruss. au-, O. Sl. u-, Lat. au-), a 'to. up to, at '(Av. O. Pers. a), úd 'up, forth, out '(Av. us-, uz-, O. Pers. ud-, Ir. ud-, od-, Goth. ūt 'out'), úpa 'to, toward, near ' (Av. úpa, O. Pers. upā, Gk. ὑπό, Goth. uf), ní ' down ' (Av. ni-, O. Pers. niy-), nis 'out, forth' (Av. nis-), para 'forth, away' (O. Pers. parā), pári' around' (Av. pairi, O. Pers. pariy, Gk. nepi), prd 'forward, forth' (Av. O. Pers. fra- O. Sl. pro, Lith. pra, Gk. πρό, Lat. pro-); prdti 'against, back, in return' (Gk. πρότι, προτί, προς, O. Sl. protivă, etc.), vi 'apart, asunder, away' (Av. O. Pers. vi-; cf. Toch. wi 'two', etc., above, p. 260), sdm' together, with '(Av. O. Pers. ham-, O. Sl. sq, su, Lith. sq-, sù).

These are the regular and normal prefixes. In addition there are a few of more restricted application. In the Veda dcchā' to, towards' is fairly common, but it dies out later. Others occur, in the Veda and later, only in connection with a restricted number of roots: āvis' forth to sight, in view' (with bhū, as and kr), prādús' id' (with the same roots), tirds' through, across, out of sight' (with kr, dhā, bhū) purds' in front' (with kr, dhā, i and a few others.

More than one prefix can be combined with a verb (as in Greek, etc.). Combinations of two are common, of three, not unusual, but more than three are very rarely found. There are no particular rules as to the order in which they may appear, but the prefix \bar{a} is practically never separated from the verb.

All these prefixes were to begin with independent adverbs. In the language of the Veda they partly retain this character and it is only in the later language that they become inseparably combined with the verbal stem. A similar difference is to be observed between Homeric and later Greek, which makes it clear that the development of the full system of verbal composition is largely a parallel development in the various languages.

In the Veda, a prefix most frequently stands immediately before the verb (å gamat 'may he come') but it may be separated from it by another word (å tvā višantu 'may they enter thee') and it may even follow the verb (Indro gå avrnod åpa 'Indra disclosed the cows'). Whatever its position, in a principal clause the preposition is regularly accented, and the verb, according to the general rule, is unaccented. When two prefixes are used both are accented normally in the RV. (úpa prá yāhi' come forth here') a fact which emphasises their status as independent words. But besides this there is a system, showing the transition to a closer form of union, according to which the second only of two prefixes is accented when they immediately precede the verb: átháštam vipáretana 'then scatter ye away to your home'. In subordinate clauses the process of composition has preceded further, the preposition generally appearing

compounded, and since the verb in these cases is accented, the prefix is without accent: e.g. ydd...niştdathaḥ 'when ye two sit down'. Even here, however, it may appear separate from the verb and accented (vi yó mame rájasī 'who measured out the two spaces'), while occasionally it is treated as a separate word and accented even when it immediately precedes the verb: yá åhutim pári védā námobhiḥ 'who fully knows the offering with devotion'.

In the preclassical prose texts the prefix is still to some extent separated from the verb, but on a much more limited scale. By the classical period its independence is totally lost, and except for the few that continue to function as postpositions, the verbal prefixes have ceased to exist as independent words.

In combination with the nominal derivatives of verbal root the verbal prefixes appear fully compounded from the beginadhivāsá- 'garment', ápaciti- 'retribution' (Gk. ἀπότισις), abhidrúh- 'treacherous', avapána- 'drinking place'; udáyana- 'rising (of the sun)', úpaśruti 'overhearing', nidhi-'deposit, treasure', niráyana- 'going out'; prabhangín-'crushing', etc. In such cases the general rule is that the prefix loses its accent in favour of the second member of the compound but in some cases it is regularly accented, namely (I) in combination with the past passive participle, páreta- 'gone forth', antárhita- 'concealed', ávapanna- 'fallen down', etc.; (2) with the verbal action nouns in -ti, apaciti, etc. In both these cases there is agreement in the matter of accent between Sanskrit and Greek (ἀπόβλητος, ἀνάβλησις, etc.); (3) with the infinitival forms based on the tu-suffix: samhartum 'to collect', apidhātave 'to cover up': avagantos 'of descending'.

CHAPTER VII

THE VERB

§ 1. THE VERBAL ROOT

The roots of the Sanskrit language as enumerated by the Hindu grammarians comprise a list of some two thousand. Something like half of these are not attested in actual use, and since it is unlikely that many of them will ever turn up they may for all practical purposes be neglected. Of the rest a considerable number may be dismissed as being either reduplications (didhi-), stem forms (ūrņu-), denominatives (arth-, etc.) or in some other respect not primitive. When allowance is made for these there remain somewhat over eight hundred roots, which form the basis not only of the verbal system, but also the larger part of the inherited nominal stems of the language.

Chiefly owing to its antiquity the Sanskrit language is more readily analysable, and its roots more easily separable from accretionary elements than is the case with any other IE language. This is because the suffixes with which the present and aorist stems are formed, are normally kept out of the other forms of the finite verb, and from nominal derivatives: sunoti presses out': perf. susáva, susumá, fut. sósyati, part. pass. sutá-. Nevertheless even Sanskrit is not wanting in cases where suffixes whose primary function is the formation of the present stem, have become permanently attached to the root, and consequently appear throughout the conjugation of the verb. For instance from the present precháti 'asks', formed with the IE suffix -ske- an extended root prch-/prach- is made which appears in the perf. papráccha and elsewhere. Similarly Latin has poscō, poposcī (<prkskō). The simpler form of the root appears in Lat. precem (acc. sg.), procus and in Skt. prasná- 'question'. The root ksnu- 'to sharpen' contains the nu-suffix which elsewhere forms the fifth present class. From a number of presents in which this suffix enlarged by the thematic vowel appears, extended root forms ending in -nv develop: pinv- 'to fatten'. pres. pinvati, perf. 2 du. pipinváthus (beside simple root piin physic, etc.). Similar extended roots are inv-' to send' (: i-) and jinv-' to quicken' (: ji-). From present stems in -va a number of roots ending in -v are created: jiv-' to live', pres. jivati (: simple root in gáya- 'livelihood, belongings'), dhūrv-'to injure '(: dhvr-), turv- 'to overcome '(: tf-) and bharv- 'to chew' for which no simpler form exists.

Accretions of this type are of comparatively recent origin. and it is quite clear how they have arisen from particular stem forms that are current in the verbal conjugation. Besides them there exists another class of extended roots, of much more ancient date, containing accretions whose functions it is for the most part no longer possible to discern. These elements are fairly easily recognisable, either through the coexistence of a simpler form of the root, or by the existence of synonymous roots which differ only in the final element. They are identical with the individual suffixes which have been enumerated in treating of the formation of nouns, and may conveniently be enumerated in the same order:

-ar/r: dhar- (dhr-) 'to hold' (i.e. dh-ar-, cf. dha-), svar- 'to sound' (: cf. svan-' id' with alternating -n).
-an: kṣan-' to wound' (: śas-' to cut': Gk. κτείνω for

*kstenyō has compound suffix -ten- alternating with -ter- in κτέρες ' νεκροί), svan- ' to sound' (see above), khan- ' to dig' (i.e. kH-an-: cf. khā- without n-suffix, likewise ākhú- 'mole' and ākhará- masc. 'hole' with alternating r).

-as/s: tras-'to fear', Gk. τρέω (: Lat. tremō), bhyas-'to fear' (: bhī-'id'), gras-'to devour' (ḡ-'to swallow'), dhvas-'to scatter' (dhū-'to shake'), śruṣ-'to hear', O. Sl. slyšati, Toch. klyos-, etc. (: normally śru-'id', Gk. κλυ-, etc.), akṣ-, nakṣ-'to attain' (: aś-, naś-'id'), ukṣ-'to sprinkle' (: Gk. ὑγρός 'wet', etc.), nikṣ-'to pierce' (: O. Sl. vũ-nizo 'id'), bhakş-' to partake of, eat' (: bhaj-' to divide, share'), mikş-' to mix' (: miśrά-' mixed'), mrkṣ-' to rub' (: mrj-' wipe', Gk. ἀμόργνῦμι, etc.), rakṣ-' to protect', Gk. ἀλέξω' to ward off' (: Gk. ἀλαλκεῖν 'id', AS. ealgian' to protect'); vakṣ-' to increase', Gk. ἀέξω (: Lat. augeō' id', etc.), hās-' to go forth' (: hā-' id'). In contrast with most of the extensions the suffix s plays a considerable part in the conjugation of the verb, and this no doubt accounts for the comparative frequency of such forms.
-am: dram- 'to run', Gk. ἔδραμον (: drā-, Gk. διδράσκω;

dru- 'id'), gam- 'to go', Goth. qiman, etc. (: gā- 'id', Gk. ĕβā;

Gk. βaίνω, Lat. veniō from g*-en-), kṣam-' to endure', by metathesis for *zgham-, cf. Paštō zyaməl' id' (: sagh-' to be equal to, endure'), bhram-' to revolve, wander' (: bhur-' to be in uneasy motion'.).

-i: ksi- 'to dwell' (: Gk. κτίζω' to found' for ks-ti- with ti-suffix; root probably teks- with loss of initial consonant in group, i.e. tks-i, tks-ti-), ksi- 'to rule' (: ks-atra- with no i-suffix, Gk. κτάομαι with $t\bar{a}$ - suffix, i.e. ks- $t\bar{a}$), sri- 'to lean', Gk. κλίνω, etc. (: Lith. atsikalti 'to lean against', Russ. klon 'inclination', etc.). Alternative forms of root appear in the conjugation of svi-, $s\bar{u}$ - 'to swell', si- (<*sHi-), $s\bar{a}$ - 'to bind', and si-, $s\bar{a}$ - 'to sharpen'. It has not in these cases become completely incorporated, but it shows a tendency in the direction.

-u: śru- 'to hear': the unextended form of the root appears in the Skt. present, śṛ-ṇó-ti; elsewhere the u/o, which appears as part of the suffixal complex in this form, is permanently attached to the root. Other examples are dru- 'to run' (:dram-, drā- above) and sru- 'to flow' (sar- 'to move, flow', cf. sarít- 'river').

-ан/н: gā- 'to go' (: gam- above), yā- 'to go' (: i- 'id'), psā- 'to devour', Gk. ψώω 'chew' (: bhas- 'to devour'), drā-'to run' (: dram-, etc.), mnā- 'to note' (: man- 'to think'), trā- 'to rescue' (: tī- 'to cross'), pyā- 'to swell (udder)' (: pi- 'id'), pī- (pīy-) 'to abuse' (i.e. pi-H-: pis- in pisunamalicious, tale-bearing', Gk. πικρός, etc.). A series of roots belonging to the ninth class contain this enlargement. The simple form of the root appears in the present tense, where the aH/H suffix is separated from it by the intervening n-suffix with which it is combined: e.g. prnati 'fills' for pl-n-eh-ti as opposed to pūrná- 'full' for pl-H-nó-. Similar cases are jū- 'to be swift ' (junăti, jūtá-), pū- 'to purify' (punăti, pūtá-), stī-'to strew' (stṛṇāti, stīrṇā-) and so on. The enlargement tends to be introduced into the present tense, so that from mi- 'to damage ' for instance there appear both minăti and minăti. In some cases the root appears only with the enlargement in the present tense, e.g. bhrindti, Av. brinonti 'cut' and krindti buys' though in the latter case the metre of the Rgveda indicates a pronunciation krināti.

-t: krt- 'to cut' (: Gk. κείρω), cit- 'to perceive' (ci- 'id'); in combination with i, mrit- 'to fall in pieces' (mr- 'to crush',

mrd-'id'), śvit-'to be bright' (: śuc-'to gleam', śubh-'to be

myu- id), svu- to be bright (: suc- to gleam', subh- to be bright'), with u, dyut- to shine (di- in dina- 'day', etc.).

-th (i.e. t-H-): prath- 'to extend' (: Hitt. palhis' 'wide',
Lat. plānus, etc.), vyath- 'to be unsteady' (vij- 'to tremble',
vip- 'id'), snath- 'to pierce' (: simple root in sisná- 'organ of
generation', cf. Gk. κεντέω 'pierce' with guṇa of root and
simple t-suffix); similarly srath- 'to loosen', grath- 'to tie',
mith- 'to alternate' (mi- 'to exchange').

-d: kṣad- 'to divide' (: śas- 'to cut', cf. kṣan- above), chid-'to cut', Lat. scindo, etc. (: cf. chā-, chi- 'id'), rud- 'to weep', Lat. rudo, AS. reotan, etc. (: ru- 'to cry', O. Sl. rjuvo, etc.), mrd- 'to rub, crush' (: mr- 'to crush'), prd- 'to press' (<*pizd-: pis 'to crush'); in combination with n, syand-'to flow' (: sic-'to pour', Toch. sik-, etc.), krand-, kland-'to cry out', cf. Gk. κέλαδος 'cry, shout ' (: Gk. καλέω 'call', etc.).

-dh: mydh- 'to neglect, be careless', cf. Gk. μαλθακός 'soft'. etc. (: Gk. ἀμαλός 'soft', etc.), edh-' to prosper', cf. Av. azdya-' thriving, fatness', Gk. ἐσθλός 'good' (: IE es- in Gk. ἐσς 'good', Hitt. aššu-, Skt. su-), sprdh-' to contend', Av. sparad-, cf. Goth. spaūrds 'race-course' (: spr- 'to win', sprh- 'to be eager', Gk. σπέρχομαι, etc.); combined with u-suffix, kşudh-'to be hungry' (: Hitt. kašt-, Toch A. kašt, B. kest, with guna of root and dental suffix whose exact nature cannot be specified).

-p: dip- 'to shine '(: cf. di-, dyut- above), mlup- '(sun) to set' (: mruc-, mluc-'id'), rip-, lip-'to smear' (: lī-'to cleave to', Lat. lino, etc.), rup-, 'to break', Lat. rumpo (: ru-'to break', Lat. ruo; ruj- 'to break'), vip- 'to tremble' (: cf. vyath-, vij- above), svap- 'to sleep', AS. swefan (: Gk. εΰδω 'id' $\langle seu-d \rangle$.

-bh: subh- 'to be bright' (: suc- 'to gleam', etc., above) stubh- 'to praise' (: stu- 'id').

-c: mluc- 'to set' (: mlup-), yāc- 'to ask' (: Av. yās- with different enlargement), ruc- 'to shine' (rusant 'bright'), sic-'to pour' (: syand- above).

-j: tarj- 'to threaten' (cf. tras-, etc., above, Lat. terreo),

yuj- 'to join' (: yu- 'id'), ruj- 'to break', vij- 'to tremble' (rup, vip-).

-h: sprh- 'to be eager' (: sprdh-, etc., above), druh- 'to injure ' (: dhru- ' id ').

The identity of these elements with the suffixes enumerated

in dealing with the formation of nouns is evident, and it is in accordance with the fact that nominal and verbal stems are formed fundamentally on the same principles. The enlargements of the verbal roots are simply incorporated suffixes, and do not require, as is sometimes considered, a separate morphological classification. All the IE consonants and semivowels can appear in this function, just as they can in the formation of nouns. In the latter case those so used with any frequency are, as has been seen, necessarily limited in number. In the case of the enlargements the distribution is more even, and with the exception of s and H, which had a considerable part to play in the IE conjugation, the common nominal suffixes do not appear correspondingly frequently as enlargements. The suffixes n and r, for instance, which are of very great importance in nominal stem formation, appear only rarely as enlargements. This would suggest that, on the whole, the enlargements of the verbal root reflect a comparatively early stage of IE stemformation, that is to say a period when the emphasis on a comparatively few suffixes out of the large number available had not developed to the extent with which we are familiar later. It is also clear that the growing clarity of the distinction between verb and noun, which evolved in the later pre-history of Indo-European, tended to prevent the incorporation of such suffixes (e.g. r and n) which were felt as predominantly nominal.

In their guna grade such roots may appear in two forms, on the one hand that which appears in cet- 'perceive', sec- 'pour', rod- 'weep', etc., and on the other hand that which is seen in tras- 'fear', ksad- 'divide', śro- 'hear', etc. That is to say, either the root or the enlargement may have the guna, but, in accordance with the principles of IE apophony, it is not possible that both should have it. The difference between the two types of guna form is without any significance as far as the meaning of the roots is concerned, or their conjugation. It is only in connection with nominal stems that this kind of distinction is significant. There it provides the distinction between neuter action nouns and adjectives or agent-nouns. Since these roots were originally stems, and in the early period, when noun and verb were less clearly distinguished, as much nominal as verbal, it is reasonable to assume that the distinction in form between the two types of extended root, was originally the same as that which is fundamental in the formation of nouns.

That is to say a root form *tres- (Skt. trasati) would originally be a nominal stem meaning 'fearing, one who fears', and the alternative form *ters- (Lat. terreo) would be a stem meaning 'fear'.

In these cases when the ultimate root has been reached by analysis it is seen never to consist of more than two consonants and the guna vowel (ter-, etc.), or if the root begins with a vowel, of this vowel and a following consonant (ed-, es-). The number of roots which can be so reduced is sufficiently great to justify the extension of this principle to other roots of three consonants, even where shorter or variant forms are not preserved. There is little reason to doubt that the third consonant of all roots which have it is to be regarded as an incorporated suffix.

§ 2. System of the Finite Verb

Voice. The Sanskrit verb contains two voices, Active and Middle, which are distinguished by means of two sets of personal terminations throughout the conjugation. The difference in meaning between the two is expressed by the names given to them by the Sanskrit grammarians, parasmai padam 'a word for another' and ātmane padam 'a word for oneself'. The middle is used when the subject is in some way or other specially implicated in the result of the action; when this is not so the active is used. For instance kaṭam karoti 'he makes a mat' would be used of the workman employed in the trade of matmaking who makes a mat for another, while the middle katam kurute would be used of one who makes a mat for his own use. The same distinction is seen between pacati ' (the cook) cooks ' and pacate 'he cooks (a meal for himself)' and between yajati ' (the priest) sacrifices (on behalf of another) ' and yajate' (the householder) sacrifices (on behalf of himself)'. Again the special sense of the middle is seen in those cases where the direct object of the verb is a member of one's own body: nakhāni nikrntate 'he cuts his nails', dato dhāvate 'he cleans his teeth'. In another class of roots there appears a distinction of a different nature, that between transitive (active) and intransitive (middle): drmhati 'makes firm', drmhate 'becomes firm', vardhati 'increases, makes bigger', vardhate 'increases (intr.), becomes bigger', vahati '(chariot) carries (man)', vahate '(man) rides (in chariot)'. From this the development is not far to the distinction between active and passive, and the use of the middle to express a passive sense becomes common in the perfect and the future, which possess no other means of expressing the passive. One sense that the middle does not normally express is that of a direct reflexive, which is expressed by means of the accusative ātmānam' self'. Not all verbs are capable of appearing in both voices. Some are used only in the active, e.g. ad' to eat', as-' to be', kṣudh-' to be hungry', bhuj-' to bend', sarp-' to creep', etc.; others only in the middle, e.g., ās-' to sit', kṣam-' to endure', labh-' to receive', vas-' to wear (clothes)', sac-' to accompany'. Occasionally a different voice appears in different tenses of the same verb, the most usual alternation being that of active perfect and middle present: variate: vavarta.

The distinction between active and middle is inherited from Indo-European (cf. the equation sácate, Gk. ἔπεται, Lat. sequitur), and outside Indo-Iranian the language which shows the greatest similarity to Sanskrit in form and usage is Greek. In later Indo-Aryan the distinction dies out, and this is reflected in the Epic and other less correct forms of Sanskrit.

Tense. The Sanskrit verb has four tense stems: Present, Future, Aorist and Perfect. The present stem forms the basis of a preterite, the so-called Imperfect, in addition to the present tense. In the same way there is formed a preterite of the future which functions as a conditional. In the Vedic language a form of preterite is formed on the basis of the perfect stem. These pluperfect forms are rare even in the earlier language, and disappear later. The aorist stem forms only a preterite.

The clearest division to be found in this somewhat complicated system is that between the perfect on the one hand and the other three systems on the other. The perfect is distinguished from the other tenses not only in stem-formation, but also in the fact that it possesses a special series of personal endings. Between the perfect and the rest of the conjugation we have clearly the most ancient and fundamental division in the Indo-European system. On the other hand when we examine the future and the aorist in their relation to the present system it is clear that they are in origin only special modifications of the same type of formation. The future for instance is only one subdivision of the class of present stems in ya in which are included the verbs of the fourth class and the various types

of denominative. The close relation between the agrist and present systems is seen by the fact that certain types of aorist stem are identical in form with certain types of present stem. This is so with the root agrist (dkar, etc.) which is formed like the imperfect of the root class (ahan, etc.), and the a-aorist (áruhat, etc.) which resembles the imperfect of the sixth class (átudat, etc.). The aorist or imperfect character of these two types of formation is determined not by the form itself but by the existence or non-existence of a present from the same stem. In other cases there is evidence for the one time existence of presents from those forms of stem which in Sanskrit are used exclusively as aorists. Thus corresponding to the reduplicated aorist ájījanat, Avestan has a present zīzanənti 'they give birth'. Even in the case of the s-aorist, which is most clearly marked off from the present system, the existence of presents like Av. nāismi 'I insult' demonstrates that such formations were not always exclusively aoristic.

The relation of the present-imperfect on the one hand, and the aorist on the other, can be discussed only in view of the meaning of the three tenses. In Sanskrit this is not at all complicated. The present indicates simply present time, and the imperfect past time in contradistinction to this, no more and no less: hánti 'he slays', áhan 'he slew', etc. There exists no trace of an 'imperfect' sense in the Sanskrit tense of that name, and such a sense, if it is needed, is expressed by the present tense with the addition of the particle sma. The aorist in contradistinction to the imperfect expresses a special kind of past time, inasmuch as it is used for describing an action which has just recently been completed: úd asaú súryo agāt' yonder sun has risen', etc.

This clear distinction of meaning between the aorist and present stem is found only in the case of the indicative, in these two kinds of preterite. There exist also various moods—injunctive, subjunctive, imperative and optative—and also participles, active and middle, which may be formed alternatively from the present or aorist stems. But in all these latter types of formation no serious distinction of meaning can be found in the Vedic language between those formed from the present and those formed from the aorist stem, e.g. kárat subj. 'he will do' does not differ in any demonstrable sense from kṛṇávat 'id' from the present stem.

The absence of distinction of meaning in all these types of formation between the present and aorist stem, in contradistinction to the clear distinction between the two types of preterite, points to the conclusion that it was specifically in these preterite forms that the aorist developed as a special grammatical category. It appears that originally Indo-European distinguished in the indicative simply between present and preterite, the forms of which could be made from a wide variety of stems. This state of affairs is continued in Hittite, which shows no sign of ever having had a tense corresponding to the agrist of other languages. The next stage of development is the evolution of a double set of preterite forms, one with a corresponding present (imperfect) and one detached from the present tense (aorist) and having a special sense. This stage is represented in Indo-Iranian. In Greek the distinction between the present and agrist systems is carried further, and applied to moods. participles and infinitives derived from the two stems. The two stems in all formations express different modes of action. namely punctual (aorist) and durative (present). Consequently the preterite of the present acquires an 'imperfect' sense which is absent from it in Hittite and in the corresponding formations in Sanskrit.

The perfect is independent in formation from the present/aorist system, and is also characterised by the possession of a special series of personal endings. It appears to be one of the more ancient IE verbal formations, and to bear some relation to the conjugation of the Hittite verbs in -hi. In that language there are two conjugations of verbs, one making the Ist person singular in -mi (like Skt. ásmi, etc.) and the other in -hi. The relation between the two is not at all that which exists between the present and perfect in other IE languages, but the endings of the -hi conjugation are comparable in some ways to the perfect endings of Sanskrit, Greek, etc., so that while the detailed relation of the two formations remains obscure, there is general agreement that some definite connection exists between them.

The fundamental meaning of the perfect, as it emerges from a comparison of Sanskrit and Greek, and is confirmed by the evidence of the other IE languages, is that of state as opposed to process which is expressed by the present: e.g. bibhāya' he is afraid as opposed to bhayate he becomes afraid; ciketa he is aware of, knows: cetati he becomes aware of, notices;

tasthau 'stands' (permanently): tisthati 'takes his stand', etc. Closely related is the meaning of continuous action seen in such examples as: ná śrāmyanti ná vi muñcanti éte váyo ná paptuh' They do not become weary or stop, they fly (continually) like birds'. The perfect is thus in origin a special kind of present tense, not a preterite form, and in such cases it is normally to be translated by the English present. Its development to a preterite takes place in two stages, both of which are represented in the Vedic language. The first stage is the development of a sense which is rendered in translation by the English perfect. Since a state is normally the result of a preceding process, it was natural that the perfect should be used to express the fact that such an action had already taken place. As examples of this use we may quote: yát sīm ắgaś cakṛmā tát sú mṛļatu 'whatever sin we have committed, let him forgive that', and yáthā jaghántha dhṛṣatā purā cid evā jahi śátrum asmākam indra 'as thou hast boldly slain (enemies) in the past, so slay our foe now, O Indra '. The difference in meaning between this use of the perfect and the agrist remains clear, because the aorist is confined to those actions which have taken place in the immediate past, while the perfect indicates completion of the action regardless of the precise time. The final step takes place when the preterital sense acquired by the perfect in contexts like these becomes the predominant sense, with the result that the perfect becomes a tense of narrative with a meaning that does not differ materially from that of the imperfect. The last usage has already become quite common in the Rgveda: áhan áhim ánv apás tatarda, '(Indra) slew the dragon, he penetrated to the waters'. The same usage has developed widely in other sections of Indo-European, notably in Italic, Celtic and Germanic.

The pluperfect, the augmented preterite of the perfect, is rare even in the *Rgveda*, and it quickly dies out. It appears to have no specific meaning proper to itself, being used as a rule in sense of the imperfect (narrative), occasionally in that of the agrist.

Mood. Five moods are enumerated in Sanskrit grammar, the Injunctive, the Imperative, the Subjunctive, the Optative and the Precative. In the older language modal forms may be made from all three types of stem, present, agrist and perfect, without any apparent difference of meaning. In the classical language

injunctive forms are confined to the aorist stem, imperative and optative forms to the present stem, while the subjunctive, except for such forms of it as are incorporated in the imperative, dies out. The precative is in the later language connected with the root aorist in the active and with the is-aorist in the middle. Earlier, while confined to the aorist it appears associated with a greater number of forms.

Injunctive. The so-called injunctive is not strictly speaking a separate morphological category at all. In form the injunctives are unaugmented aorists and imperfects: dhāt, vṛnak, etc., but forms of this nature may also be used as simple preterites of the indicative, in the same way as the augmented forms. Comparative study of the languages makes it clear that the augment was originally an optional prefix in the formation of these preterites, and that the unaugmented forms are the most ancient. The fact that these forms can also be used in an 'injunctive' sense, that is to say as futures, imperatives and in the expression of a wish, takes us back to an extraordinarily primitive state of the language when owing to the undeveloped nature of the verbal system one form had perforce to serve in many meanings.

The three main meanings of the injunctive may be briefly illustrated by a few examples: (1) as future: kó no mahyā áditave púnar dat 'who will give us back to the great Aditi', indrasya nú vīryāni prá vocam 'I will now proclaim the manly deeds of Indra'; (2) as imperative: gárbham á dhāh 'deposit the embryo', pári tvesásya durmatír mahi gāt 'let the great malevolence of the impetuous one avoid us'. Where special forms of the imperative do not exist, in the 2nd person plural, etc., the injunctive remains the only way of expressing the imperative, and such forms are incorporated into the imperative system. The injunctive is used exclusively with ma to express prohibitions: må gāh 'do not go', etc. This construction remains in the classical language where it is the only type of injunctive (except for those forms incorporated in the imperative) which continues to exist; (3) in the expression of a wish: agnim hinvantu no dhiyas tena jesma dhanam-dhanam 'let our prayers urge Agni: may we continually win wealth through him'.

Imperative. The imperative possesses distinct forms only in a certain number of persons and numbers, namely in the 2. 3.

singular and 3. plural. Of these the forms of the 3. sing. and plur. have originally evolved from injunctive forms by the addition to such forms of a particle -u: vahatu from vahat + u. The forms of the 2. 3. dual and 2. plural are unaltered injunctive forms. The forms of the first person in the later language are subjunctives which have been incorporated in the imperative system; they do not belong to this system in the earlier language. The imperative expresses commands just as the injunctive may do, but it is not used in the other senses which the injunctive has. It is also used more commonly in this sense than the injunctive.

Subjunctive. Morphologically the subjunctive arises by the evolution of a particular kind of injunctive. It is natural therefore that its sphere of meaning should correspond in general to that of the injunctive. Like the injunctive the subjunctive may be used (I) simply in a future sense: prá nú vocā sutėsu vām 'I will now praise you two at the libations', uvasa usa ucchac ca nú 'Dawn has shone forth (in the past) and she will shine forth now'; (2) equivalent to an imperative: a vām vahantu ... ásvāh, pibātho asme mádhūni 'let your horses bring you here and drink ye draughts of mead with us'; (3) in the expression of a wish: pári no hélo várunasya vrjyāh, urûm na indrah kṛṇavad u lokám 'may the wrath of Varuna avoid us, may Indra procure for us a wide space'. While the sphere of the subjunctive coincides with that of the injunctive, there is a difference of emphasis inasmuch as the future meaning is much more prominent in the case of the subjunctive. The subjunctive is, in fact, the normal means of expressing the future in the Vedic language. There are also certain important syntactical differences between the use of the two moods. The injunctive for instance is alone used to express prohibitions in connection with må. Another important difference is that the injunctive is rarely used in subordinate clauses (relative, conditional, etc.). On the other hand the use of the subjunctive is very widely developed in this connection, and even more distinctively so in the related languages, whence the name of the mood.

Optative. The optative differs from the moods so far described in that it is formed on the basis of a special stem formed by the suffix $y\bar{a}/\bar{\imath}$. Its original meaning appears to have been the expression of a wish (from which its name is derived) and this meaning is well preserved in Sanskrit: vayam syāma pātayo

rayīṇām' may we be lords of riches'. From this there arises a potential meaning (the mood is sometimes so called) which from the testimony of the various languages was already well established in the Indo-European period: yád agne syắm ahám tvám, tvám vā ghā syắ ahám, syúṣ ṭe satyā ihāśiṣaḥ, ' if, Agni, I were you or you were me, then your prayers would come true'. A usage widely developed in Sanskrit is that of the prescriptive optative, which appears largely in law books and similar texts: sāmvatsarikam āptaiś ca rāṣṭrād āhārayed balim, ' he should have the annual tax collected from the kingdom by suitable officials'.

Precative. The precative is formed on the basis of the optative stem by the addition of s to the optative suffix, producing the combination $y\bar{a}s/\bar{i}s$. Its use is in all cases confined to the expression of a wish: bhágo me agne sakhyé ná mṛdhyāḥ 'may my good fortune, O Agni, not relax in (thy) friendship'; yó no dvēṣṭi ádharaḥ sás padīṣṭa 'may he who hates us fall down'.

§ 3. THE VERBAL STEM

The foregoing analysis of the root shows how from the earliest period the verb could be built optionally on the root itself, or the root already provided with suffixes. These suffixes are in all cases identifiable with the corresponding suffixes which appear in the formation of nouns. In these formations of the oldest type the suffixes are completely incorporated and new, fuller roots are created. Besides these suffixes there exists a series used only to form the present stem but excluded from the other verbal formations. These are likewise identifiable with corresponding nominal suffixes, e.g. dhrsnó/u of the fifth class, from dhrs- ' to be bold ', with the adjectival stem dhrsnú-'bold'. The general structure of nominal and verbal stems runs closely parallel. Both may be based either on the simple root or on the root provided with suffix. The suffixes may be simple or compound and the compound suffixes arise always by the addition of one suffix to another. The formations are divided into non-thematic and thematic classes, the latter in both cases progressively increasing in importance. Verbal stems may be accented on the root or the suffix, e.g. in the case of non-thematic stems vámiti, jésma as opposed to śrnóti, prnāti, and in the case of thematic stems, bhávati as opposed to tudáti. The fact that such accentual difference corresponds to no difference of meaning in the verbal system, but clearly does

so in the nominal system, may be held to indicate that these stems are primarily nominal in origin.

In the classical language the present stem of a verb is normally made according to one only of the ten different types. In the Vedic language greater latitude is observable. While in general the distribution of the roots among the ten present classes corresponds to that of the later language, a large number of roots is found which form their present tense according to two, three, or even more different types. Such cases are illustrated by kṛṣ- 'to plough', I karṣati, VI kṛṣāti; jf- 'to grow old', I jārati, IV jīryati; dā- 'to divide', II dāti, IV dyāti; dhū- 'to shake', V dhunōti, VI dhuvāti; pf- 'to fill', III pipārti, IX pṛṇāti; bhī- 'to fear', I bhāyate, III bibhēti; ṛāh- 'to prosper', IV ṭāhyati, V ṛāhnōti, VII subj. ṛṇādhat; tē- 'to cross, overcome', I tarati, III ptc. titrat-, VI tirāti, VIII tarute. To a large extent this variation of stem is not associated with any difference of meaning, but sometimes the difference between transitive and intransitive is connected with the use of alternative stems; jāvate 'hastens, is quick', junāti 'speeds, urges on', tāpati 'heats', tāpyati 'becomes hot', pācati 'cooks, ripens (trans.)', pācyate' becomes ripe'. In particular an intransitive sense tends to be associated with stems of the fourth class.

It is clear from the comparative study of the IE languages that this variability of stem formation was even greater in the prehistoric period. Avestan shows in some respects even greater variety than the language of the Veda. Furthermore the discrepancies in stem formation between the various IE languages (Skt. rinákti: Gk. λείπω, etc., etc.) shows that in the earlier IE period the greatest freedom prevailed in the formation of present stems. In all this variety of stem formations no inherent difference of meaning was attached to the various types, just as in Sanskrit the ten present classes are equivalent in function. At the same time there arose early in Indo-European a tendency for certain of these formations to acquire a special meaning and function. The suffix -sco- for instance acquired an inchoative meaning which is represented in a variety of languages. In Hittite formations in -nu- (corresponding to the Skt. fifth class) acquired a special function as causatives, a development which is not shown by the other languages. In Sanskrit those present formations which acquired a special meaning became the foundation of what is called secondary conjugation, namely causatives with stems in -dya, desideratives with reduplicated stems in sa, intensives with strong reduplication and radical stem or stem in ya. These were originally conjugated in the present system only and it is a special development of Sanskrit which allows them to be inflected in other parts of the verbal system. With these must be classified the passive which is a special development of the fourth class and which arises from a tendency of stems of that class to specialise as intransitives.

§ 4. ACCENT AND APOPHONY OF VERBAL STEMS

By a rule peculiar to Sanskrit, the like of which is not traceable in other IE languages, the verb is unaccented in an independent clause, except at the beginning of such a clause and under certain special conditions; it retains its accent in dependent clauses. When accented the verbal stem has an unchangeable accent in the case of thematic formations, which in this respect agree entirely with the nominal thematic formations. In the non-thematic formations the accent varies between stem and personal ending, and this variation corresponds to a variation between the guna (occasionally vrddhi) grade of the stem and the zero grade. The general rule is that in the indicative the stem has the accent and the guna grade in the three persons of the singular active, and that in the dual and plural of the active and in the whole of the middle the accent is on the termination and the stem appears in its weak form: dvésti 'hates'. 3 pl. dvisánti, 3 sg. mid. dvisté, yunákti ' joins', 3 pl. yuñjánti, 3 sg. mid. yunkté. Exceptions to the rule (e.g. in the s-aorist) are comparatively rare. This old IE system appears also in other languages (e.g. Gk. elm "mer), though nowhere so clearly and consistently as in Sanskrit.

§ 5. AUGMENT AND REDUPLICATION

In addition to a large variety of suffixation Indo-European made use of two types of prefixation in the formation of tense stems, Augment and Reduplication.

The augment (IE e-, Skt. a-) is prefixed to the various preterites (imperfect, aorist, pluperfect, conditional) to indicate past time. It is found in Indo-Iranian (Skt. ábharat), Greek (ἔφερε), Armenian (eber), and Phrygian (ἐδαες 'constructed'), but it is absent in the rest of Indo-European. It is thus an important feature in connection with the dialectal divisions of Indo-European, since it is clearly of late origin, and has established itself over only part of the IE linguistic area, among dialects which for other reasons also may be held to have been contiguous. Even where it established itself it existed originally only as an optional formation, augmented and unaugmented forms being optionally used. The unaugmented forms were of course alone used in an injunctive sense, but they could be also used as preterites just like the augmented forms. The coexistence of augmented and unaugmented preterites is a characteristic both of the earliest Greek and the earliest Sanskrit. It is only in the later stage of both languages that the augment ceases to be optional and becomes obligatory. In Iranian the augment is regularly used in Old Persian, but only rarely in Avestan, where the unaugmented type of preterite has mainly prevailed. In the early stage of Middle Indo-Aryan, which still preserves an old preterite made up of imperfect and aorist forms, the old Vedic freedom of usage is maintained, but the unaugmented instead of the augmented forms become the most common.

The augment seems in origin to have been a separate word, namely a particle ℓ meaning 'there, then' which came to be compounded with the verb. It invariably bears the acute accent whenever the verb is accented. When the verb is compounded with a preposition, it always appears between the preposition and the verb: samábharat, etc., and likewise in Greek. An irregular sandhi appears when it is combined with a root beginning with i, u or γ (aicchat' wished', airnot' covered' àrdhnot' thrived' from iccháti, ūrnóti, rdhnóti, with vṛddhi instead of the expected guṇa, and this indicates that up to a late period it was pronounced as a separate syllable with hiatus (aicchat, etc.). On the other hand its coalescence with initial a (IE e, a, o) appears to be ancient, judging by parallels between Greek and Sanskrit (Skt. $\dot{a}s$ 'was', Gk. Dor. $\dot{\eta}s$, Skt. $\dot{a}jat$ 'drove', Gk. Dor. $\dot{a}\gamma e$). Before roots beginning with v, y, n and r the augment may appear as long \bar{a} in the Vedic language ($\dot{a}vrnak$, $\dot{a}vunak$, etc.). The reason for this is not very clear but a parallel phenomenon in the case of initial v- is found in Greek (Hom. $\dot{\eta}$ -[F] $ei\delta\eta$, Att. $\ddot{\eta}\delta\epsilon ei$).

Reduplication consists normally of the repetition of the initial consonant of a root with a vowel which may or may not

be the same as the radical vowel. It appears in one class of present (the third class), in the reduplicated agrist, in the perfect, in the desiderative and in the intensive. The main varieties which will be detailed below under the separate formations are as follows:

- (I) Reduplication with the vowel a (IE e): dadhāti 'places', tatāna 'stretched', cf. Gk. yéyove, Lat. pepigī, etc.
- (2) Reduplication with long ā: jāgarti, jāgāra ' is awake', cf. Gk. δηδέχαται ' they welcome', etc.
- (3) Reduplication with the vowel i when that is not the vowel of the root: tiṣṭhati 'stands', didṛkṣate' desires to see', cf. Gk. Ἰστημι, γίγνομαι, Lat. sisto, etc.
- (4) Similar reduplication with long $\bar{\imath}$: dj $\bar{\imath}$ janat, 'gave birth to', cf. Av. $z\bar{\imath}$ zanənti.
- (5) Reduplication with weak form of vowel of roots in diphthongs: juhóti 'sacrifices', bibhéda 'split', cf. Lat. pupugī, scicidī.
- (6) Intensive reduplication with guna vowel of such roots and similar reduplication with repeated final r, n, etc.: nenikté 'washes', dédiste 'points out', várvṛtati 'they turn (continually)'; cf. Av. naēnižaiti, daēdōišt, etc. This involves the complete repetition of roots containing only two consonants: nónāva 'roars mightily', janghanti 'smites violently'.
- (7) Such intensive reduplication with t suffixed to the reduplicating syllable: bhárībharti 3 sg., bháribhrati 3 pl. This type alternates with one in which the t is suffixed after the root: jóhavīti 'calls loudly', etc.
- (8) Initial a may be reduplicated producing \bar{a} ($\bar{a}sa$ ' was ') or some more complicated process may appear.

In the case of roots beginning with two consonants the first is reduplicated with the exception of the combination s + occlusive: śuśrάνα 'heard', cf. Av. susruma, Gk. κέκλυτε, śiśriyê 'rested on', cf. Gk. κέκλυται, sasmāra 'remembered', sasnau 'bathed', etc. When the root begins with the group s + occlusive, the occlusive is repeated in Sanskrit: tiṣṭhati 'stands', caskānda 'sprung', paspārša 'touched'. On the other hand, s (>h) appears in the reduplicating syllable in such cases both in Iranian and Greek. The same type of reduplication appears also in Lat. sisto and in Celtic (Ir. -sescaind from skinnim' jump out', cf. Skt. skand-). Yet other varieties of reduplication in the case of these groups appear (a) in Lat. perfects of the type

steti, scicidi, spopondi, which preserve the consonant group in the reduplicative syllable but simplify it in the root, (b) in Gothic where the full group appears in both root and reduplication: skaiskaip (skaidan 'cut'). In view of this variety it is unlikely that there was any universally consistent usage in the IE period.

Certain sound changes have affected the reduplicated forms in Sanskrit. By the rule which prevents a succession of two aspirated consonants, a non-aspirate is substituted in reduplication for an aspirate: $d\dot{a}dh\bar{a}ti$, etc. In the case of $h < IE \ gh$ the non-aspirated form appears as $j: jah\dot{a}ra$. Since a in a reduplicating syllable was originally e, the old velar series is palatalised before it: $cak\dot{a}ra$, $jag\dot{a}ma$, $jagh\dot{a}na$. The same treatment appears regularly when the vowel of the reduplicating syllable is i ($cik\bar{i}r\bar{s}ati$) and it is applied analogically in the case of u: $cuk\dot{o}pa$.

§6. Personal Terminations

Sanskrit, like the parent Indo-European, has two sets of personal terminations, one for the active and one for the middle voice. These two sets embrace further subdivisions which appear in different parts of the verbal conjugation. In the present-aorist system the so-called *primary* endings appear in the present and future, while a different series, the *secondary* endings, appear in the imperfect, aorist and optative. The subjunctive has optionally either. The perfect endings, where they differ from the above, do so more fundamentally than the primary and secondary endings differ from each other. The imperative has special endings only in the 2 singular and in the 3 singular and plural. The subjunctive has a separate termination in the 1 singular, which is old, and some special middle endings which are an Indian innovation. The primary, secondary and perfect endings are listed in the following table: 1

			A. P.	rımary			
		Active		•	Middle		
	s.	d.	pl.	s.	d.	pl.	
I	mi	vas	mas	e	vahe	mahe	
2	Si	thas	tha	se	āthe	dhve	
3	ti	tas	anti	te	āte	ante, ate	

¹ For table of imperative and subjunctive endings see under the respective sections.

B. Secondary

1 2	am, m s	va tam	ma ta	i, a thās	vahi āthām	mahi dhvam
£	3	<i>646178</i>		67645	**********	anoum
3	t	tām	an, ur	ta	ātām	anta, ata,
Ŭ			•			ran
			C. Pe	erfect		
I	a	va	ma	e	vahe	mahe
2	tha	athus	a	se	āthe	dhve
3	a	atus	ur	e	āte	re

Active Terminations:

1 Sing. P. Skt. ásmi 'I am', Gk. εἰμί, Lith. esmì, Hitt. ešmi; émi 'I go', Gk. είμι, dádāmi 'I give', Gk. δίδωμι, etc. This ending was originally confined to the non-thematic classes, and a different ending -o (-oH) appeared in the thematic classes: Gk. φέρω, Lat. fero, Goth. baíra. Some such forms are preserved in Iranian (Av. spasyā: Lat. specio), but usually in Iranian, and always in Sanskrit, mi from the non-thematic verbs is added to the older form: Skt. bharāmi. Av. barāmi. S. ábharam, Gk. ἔφερον, ágām, Gk. ἔβην, syām 'sim', O. Lat. siem. Non-thematic verbs have the fuller ending -am, asam 'I was', O. Pers. āham, as opposed to Gk. a < m in Hom. $\Re a$. A similar difference between the two languages was observed in the case of the acc. sg. of non-thematic stems. Pf. Skt. véda. Gk. olδa; dadárša, Gk. δέδορκα. Sbj. The Vedic language has either ā or āni, bravā 'I will speak', bharāni 'I will bear'. In the classical language, where these formations have entered the imperative system, only the fuller ending ani is used. The ending $-\bar{a}$ is identical with the $-\bar{o}$ which in other languages appears in the present indicative of thematic verbs as well as in the subjunctive: Gk. ἄγω, φέρω, etc. The extension -ni appears only in Indo-Iranian and is of uncertain origin.

2 Sing. P. éși 'you go', Lith. eist, bharasi 'you bear', Av. barahi; cf. O. Russ. veliši 'you command', Hitt. iyaši 'vou do'. S. ásthas, Gk. corns, ábharas, Gk. copers, bhares Gk. dépois, Goth. bairais. Pf. véttha, Gk. oloba, Goth. waist, dadātha, Av. dadāθā. Impv. ihi 'go' (<*idhi), Av. idī, Gk. ἴθι, jahí 'slay' (han-), Av. Jaiδi, viddhí 'know', Gk. ἴοθι. In thematic verbs the simple stem serves as the second person singular of the imperative: bhara, Gk. offe, Goth. bair; Lat. lege, etc.

3 Sing. P. ásti, Lith. ēsti, Gk. ĕστι, Hitt. ešzi, (zi<ti); hánti 'slays', Av. jainti, Hitt. kuenzi; bhárati, Av. baraiti, O. Sl. bereti (beside beretŭ). S. ábharat, syāt, cf. Av. barat, Gk. (with loss of -t) ĕφερε, φέροι, Lat. erat, sīt (O. Lat. sied), etc. Pf. dadársa, Gk. δέδορκε. Impv. ástu, Hitt. eštu, étu 'let him go', bharatu, O. Pers. baratu. An alternative ending -tōd appears in Greek and Latin (ἔστω, estō(d)). Forms corresponding to this are found in Sanskrit, e.g. vittắt (=Gk. ἴστω) but they are used for both the second and third persons, and for all numbers.

A different kind of inflection in the primary endings of the 2, 3 sing. appears in Gk. thematic verbs: 2 äyeis, 3 äyei. A comparison with Lith. vedi 'take: you take' shows that the s of the 2 sing. is a later addition, and that the two persons were originally identical. They contain no personal terminations, only an appended i indicating present time. Such an i, unassociated with a personal ending, is found in Hittite verbs of the -hi class: ahi 'dies', dāi 'takes'. Sanskrit has innovated here by applying the endings of the mi-conjugation, just as in the 1 sing., but in this case the innovation is more widely shared by other IE languages, Lat. agis, agit, Goth. bairis, bairip, etc.

I Plur. P. (a) imás 'we go', bhárāmas 'we bear', cf. Gk. Dor. μες, φέρομες, Lat. īmus, ferimus, O. Sl. damŭ, nesemŭ, etc., (b) smási 'we are', O. Pers. amahi, bhárāmasi, Av. barāmahi, cf. O. Ir. ammi 'sumus', bermai, bermi 'we bear'. S. and Pf. ábharāma, syāma, Av. hyāmā, vidmá 'we know', Goth. witum. Forms with long vowel which appear in the Vedic language, particularly in the perfect (vidmá, etc.) appear to be ancient, and not merely metrical lengthening, on account of the occurrence of similar formations in other languages: Lith. sukomē-s (reflexive). Goth. baíraima opt. (out of °mē or °mō).

 Hittite that this is not so. The Hittite terminations are: P. weni, meni (with i appended as in Skt. masi), S. wen, men. The variety with w- is related to the dual endings of other IE languages; the forms with m- appear after u (arnummeni 'we bring', etc.) and the secondary form -men corresponds exactly with the Greek ending. In Indo-Iranian this form of ending is traceable in Khotanese: hämāmane 'we may become' (subj. mid.).

2 Plur. P. (a) bháratha, cf. Av. xšayaθā, (b) vádathana ' you speak '. S. (a) ábharata (impf.), bhárata (impv.), cf. Gk. φέρετε, Lat. ferte (impv.), Goth. bairip, O. Sl. berete, (b) ájahātana 'you abandoned', hantana' slay' (impv.), cf. Hitt. kuenten pret. and impv. (kuen- 'slay'). Pf. cakrá (kṛ- 'to do'), vidá. The primary endings with aspiration (-tha < tHe) do not appear outside Indo-Iranian. The other languages have normally one form which serves as both primary and secondary ending, and this corresponds to the secondary ending of Indo-Iranian. Hittite has evolved a distinction between primary and secondary ending here in quite a different way (P. teni, S. ten). The longer forms were analysed as tha-na and ta-na, the na being regarded as an appended particle, and the whole form as a Sanskrit innovation. In view of Hitt. -ten we should analyse rather -tan-a of which tan corresponds exactly to Hitt. -ten, and the a is simply a thematic enlargement such as is found elsewhere in the formation of words. The perfect form is properly without termination and nothing like it is found outside Indo-Iranian.

3 Plur. P. bháranti, Gk. Dor. φέροντι, sánti, Gk. Dor. ἐντί, Lat. sunt, Goth. sind, O. Sl. sǫtǐ (beside sǫtǔ), Hitt. ašanzi; ghnánti ' they slay ', Hitt. kunanzi; dádati ' they give ', cf. Gk. (Delph.) καθεστάκατt, (Hom.) λελόγχασι. S. (a) ábharan, Av. barən, Gk. ἔφερον; (b) ádadur (impf.), ádhur (aor.), syur (opt.). Cf. Av. ādarə ' they made ', hyārə ' they might be ', famyārə's ' they might come ', Hitt. wekir ' they wished ', ekuer ' they drank '. Pf. āsúr ' they were ', cf. Av. āŋharə, cikitúr ' they are aware of ', cf. Av. čikōitərə's. Impv. bhárantu, cf. Hitt. iyandu.

The full form of the ending varied between -onti and -enti according to accentuation, like the variation between -mes and -mos in the 1 plur. Also due to accent is the weakening to -nti>ati which appears in Sanskrit and Greek. A corresponding weak form of the secondary ending, -at < nt, appears in Iranian: Av. dadat, figurazat. In such cases Sanskrit always has the

alternative ending -ur. The secondary ending was originally -ant which has been reduced to -an by the normal cause of phonetic development in Sanskrit as in most of the related languages (Gk. -ov < ovr, etc.).

The alternative secondary ending -ur appears in the imperfect of the reduplicating class (ádadur), in the imperfect of root stems ending in -ā (áyur: yāti 'goes'), in non-thematic aorist stems and in the perfect. Outside Indo-Iranian r-endings of the 3 plur. appear in Hittite, Latin (dixere) and Tocharian (kātkar 'they arose', mrasar' they forgot'). In Iranian this r may be enlarged by an additional element s (čikōitərəš, etc.). The form of the Sanskrit ending shows that it also originally contained this enlargement, since -ur has developed out of -rš here in the same way as it has done in pitūr <*pitfš. Of the various types of r-formation which Iranian preserves, one has been generalised in Sanskrit at the expense of the rest.

I Dual. P. bhárāvas, cf. Goth. baírōs (<*bherōwes), svás 'we two are '. The corresponding ending in Iranian is an extended -vahi (cf. masi beside mas): Av. usvahi 'we two wish'; a form -vasi after the style of masi does not appear in Skt. S. and Pf.; impf. ábharāva, opt. bháreva, pf. vidvá, cf. Av. jvāva 'we two lived', Lith. pret. sùkova, -vō-s, O. Sl. pres. jesvě, vezevě, Aor. vezově, Goth. opt. bindaiwa. As in the I plur. only Indo-Iranian distinguishes between primary and secondary terminations. The variation between the long and short vowel (Skt. va: Sl. vě) was noticed also in the plural. The first person of the dual is found only in Indo-Iranian, Balto-Slavonic and Germanic. Hittite contains a termination which is related to these forms, P. weni, S. wen, but it is used as a plural side by side with the rarer -meni/men. The most satisfactory explanation of this is that there existed originally in IE parallel formations beginning with w or m which were optionally used as I plur, ending. Hittite has preserved this state of affairs but restricted the use of the men-termination to stems in u. The above-mentioned languages have kept both types of ending but specialised as duals the w-variety. About the remaining IE languages it is not possible to say anything owing to lack of evidence.

2, 3 Dual. P. 2 bhárathas, 3 bháratas, cf. Av. yūidya $\theta\bar{o}$, baratō-Goth. baírats. In Avestan no 2 dual is found; the terminations $t\bar{o}$ and $-\theta\bar{o}$ are used promiscuously as endings, of the 3 dual, the

two forms having been confused. The Gothic form is used only for the 2 dual. S. 2 ábharatam, Gk. ἔφέρετον, 3 ábharatām, Gk. ἐφερέτην. Pf. 2 cakráthur, 3 cakrátur, cf. Av. yaētatarə.

With the primary terminations of the 2, 3 dual it is possible to compare the -tis (>tes) of Lat. legitis, etc., which is used as 2 plur. As in the first person the dual endings seem to have arisen by the specialisation in dual usage of a variant form of the plural ending. It is also noteworthy that the variation between t and th serves quite a different purpose in the two numbers, to distinguish 2 from 3 in the dual, and to distinguish primary from secondary in the plural. Secondary adaptation in both cases would account for this difference. The 3 dual is not of independent origin but merely a variant in form of the 2 dual. The same form may appear for both as in the Gk. primary ending ($\phi \in \rho \in \tau ov$). In Balto-Slavonic there appears an ending in $-t\bar{a}$ which in Lithuanian appears only in the second person (sukata, sukato-s) in Slavonic in both (O. Sl. 2, 3 vezeta). It corresponds to the secondary ending of the third person in Sanskrit ($-t\bar{a}m$: Gk. $-\tau \eta v$, Dor. $-\tau \bar{a}v$) to which -m is a secondary addition. The perfect endings are an Indo-Iranian creation with -ur (Ir. ar) introduced from the 3 plur.

Middle Endings

I Sing. P. bruvé 'I speak', Av. -mruye, yáje 'I worship', Av. yaze. A different formation with -m- as in the active of mi-verbs appears in Greek: φέρομαι, etc. S. non-thematic, áduhi 'I milked', akri 'I have done', Av. aojī (aog- 'to say',) mōnghī = Skt. (á)maṃsi (man- 'to think', s-aor.). Thematic stems have -e as in the primary system, ábhave, etc. Greek has a quite different ending: ἐφερόμην, Dor. °μᾶν. Pf. śuśruvé, Av. susruye with same ending as primary system. Sbj. máṃsai, Av. mōnghāi, yájai, Av. yazāi. Opt. tanvīyá, Av. tanuya.

2 Sing. P. bhárase, cf. Av. pərəsahe 'you ask', Gk. φέρεαι φέρη, Goth. baíraza; datsé 'you give', Gk. δίδοσαι. S. ákṛthās, ádhatthās, etc. In contradistinction to Sanskrit, Iranian and Greek both agree in having forms representing IE -so: Av. -zayaŋha, Gk. ἐφέρεο, ἐφέρου. These bear the same relation to the primary ending -sai as the 3 sing. secondary -to to primary -tai. Pf. same as primary, ririkṣé, dadhiṣé, cf. Gk. λέλευψαι, δέδοσαι. Impv. bhárasva, Av. baranuha.

3 Sing. P. bhárate, Gk. ферета, Goth. bairada, aste 'sits',

Gk. nora. In the Vedic language there occur some forms without the -t-, the termination being identical with that of the first person as in the perfect: duhé, śdye, śrnvé, etc. In view of the Hittite middle formations of the third person of the type esa, kiša (cf. aduha below), this type must be regarded as ancient and not as an importation from the perfect system. S. ábharata, Gk. ἐφέρετο, opt. bháreta, Gk. φέροιτο. The -ta which appears in Hittite as primary ending arta 'stands up', kitta 'lies' beside artari, kittari) is equivalent to the Sanskrit secondary ending. Rare forms without t corresponding to the presents duhé, etc., are aisa and aduha (īś- 'to rule', duh- 'to milk'), cf. Hitt. primary eša, kiša, etc. Pf. dadhė, cakrė, etc. On the other hand Gk. has -t- as in the present, δέδοται, etc. Impv. bháratām, dhattām, cf. Av. vərəzyatam. This form and the corresponding plural antām/atām are without parallel outside Indo-Iranian. A form without -t-, corresponding to the indicative endings P. -e, S. -a, appears occasionally in the Veda: duhām.

- I Plur. P. Pf. yájāmahe, Av. yazamaide, brūmáhe 'we say', Av. mrūmaide, cakṛmáhe, etc. S. ábharāmahi, cf. Av. varəmaidi (var- 'to choose'), etc. Sanskrit -h- is from -dh-, as in the imperative -hi, etc., the original Indo-Iranian terminations being *-madhai and -madhi. Closely related to these forms but differing in the matter of the final vowel is Gk. $-\mu\epsilon\theta a < medha$, which serves as both primary and secondary ending: $\phi\epsilon\rho\dot{o}-\mu\epsilon\theta a$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\theta a$. Greek has also a fuller ending $-\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta a$, with which Hitt. -wašta is to be compared, there being the same alternation of m and w as appears in the active (men/wen).
- 2 Plur. P. Pf. bháradhve, dadidhvé, cf. Av. mərəngəduyê (marək- 'to destroy'). S. ábharadhvam, cf. Av. dārayaðwəm, etc. The Greek ending $-\sigma\theta\epsilon$ (primary and secondary) may be related presuming it is out of -zdhwe, and more closely the dual ending $-\sigma\theta\sigma$ (<zdhwom) the dual use of which is, as elsewhere, a secondary adaptation. The Hittite termination, primary duma (paḥḥašduma 'you protect'), S. dumat is more obviously connected, -dum- being the weak grade corresponding to -dhvam.
- 3 Plur. P. (a) bhárante, Gk. φέρονται, åsate 'they sit', Gk. Hom. η̃αται (<*ēsntai); (b) śére 'they lie', Av. sōire, saēre, duhré, sunviré, with the same endings as the perfect. A combination of a and b appears in śérate, duhrate. S. (a) dbharanta, Gk. ἐφέροντο, åsata 'they sat', Gk. Hom. η̃ατο; (b) Three

varieties of r-ending appear: (I) -ra: dduhra, (2) -ran: dduhran, dseran, opt. dsīran, bhareran, (3) -ram: asasgram, cf. Av. vaozirom (vaz-'to carry'). The types (2) and (3) are clearly enlargements of type (I) which must be regarded as most original. By combination of (a) and (b) arise the terminations -ranta and -rata: dvavytranta (vyt-'to turn'), bharerata. Outside the optatives in -eran, -īran, the r-endings are comparatively rare and archaic formations in the Vedic language beside the normal nt-formations. They are almost completely discarded in the classical language. It will be observed that the r is identical with the r which appears in the third plural of the active, and it is the elements added to it which characterise the terminations as middle (-e in duhré, etc., -a in aduhra). Pf. Exclusively r-endings: duduhré, cakriré, etc., cf. Av. čāxrare (variant -arai which does not occur in Skt., cf. Av. -aro active which is likewise missing in Skt.).

I Dual. P. Pf. bhárāvahe, cakrváhe, S. ábharāvahi. Avestan has only -vaidi: dvaidī (dav-, i.e. du-vaidi). The Hitt. plural ending -wašta has formally the same relationship to Indo-Iranian -vadhi as Gk. -μεσθα to -madhi.

2, 3 Dual. P. Pf. thematic 2 bhárethe, cárethe, cf. Av. 3 dual čar oiθe, 3 bhárete, cf. Av. vīsaēte; non-thematic 2 bruváthe, mamnåthe, 3 bruvåte, mamnåte. S. thematic 2 ábharetham, 3 dbharetām, cf. Av. Jasaetəm (with short vowel of termination as opposed to long vowel in Sanskrit); non-thematic 2 dśruvāthām (śru- 'to hear'), 3 áśruvātām, Av. asrvātəm. The variation between Iranian -tam and Skt. -tam in the third person corresponds to a similar difference in the active (Av. Jasatom, Skt. ágacchatām). No corresponding endings appear outside Indo-Iranian. The Greek terminations, P. 2, 3 -σθον, S. 2 - $\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$, 3 - $\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ are connected with the second plural of the middle. On the other hand the Indo-Iranian forms are connected with the corresponding active terminations of the dual. The same variation between th and t appears between the two persons in the primary endings, and in the middle this is introduced also into the secondary endings. The final -e of the primary endings is the same -e characterising the middle which appears throughout the primary system. The influence of this -e of the middle is responsible for the substitution of e for the a of thematic stems (abhavetām as opposed to active abhavatām, Av. fasactom beside fasatom). Non-thematic verbs are distinguished by an \bar{a} of the middle ending, but examples like Av. dazde 3 du. pf. and Skt. cikéthe indicate that this is an innovation. It can only have come from formations of roots in \bar{a} like dadáthe, dadáte where the \bar{a} is originally part of the root as in 2 sg. dadátha (beside dadithá) and 2 pl. dádhātana (beside dhattana).

§ 7. STRUCTURE AND ORIGIN OF THE TERMINATIONAL SYSTEM

A comparison of the primary and secondary endings shows that from the historical point of view they are incorrectly named. It is the 'secondary' endings that are primary, and vice versa. The relation of the series -m, -s, -t, -an(t) with the primary -mi, -si, -ti, -anti can only be explained by the assumption that in the latter series a particle -i indicating present time has been secondarily added. In the same way in the imperative endings -tu, -antu, a particle -u is added to the same basic terminations. This is made clear, among other things, by the fact that these same elements -i and -u may appear by themselves in formations that have no personal termination, e.g. in Gk. $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ ($\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon + \iota$), Hitt. $\delta akki$ 'knows' and in Hittite imperatives of the -ki class: aku, $d\bar{a}u$ (ak- 'to die', $d\bar{a}$ - 'to take'). This addition of -i to -t, etc., implies an earlier period when secondary terminations alone existed; the 'primary' system, and therefore the present tense is formed on the basis of the 'secondary' system of the preterites. The unaugmented preterite and the 'injunctive' form the primary basis of the IE present-aorist system.

It does not seem that the distinction between primary and secondary terminations was fully worked out in the IE period. For instance in the 1 plur. and in the 2 plur. Greek makes no distinction (P. S. -µev), and this indifference is shared by other languages (O. Sl. nesemü, nesomü, Goth. bindam, witum, -budum. The distinction appears in Hittite and Indo-Iranian, but it is effected by quite different means. In Hittite -weni, -meni beside -wen, -men is clearly a private innovation modelled on the three persons of the present and the 3 plur. In Indo-Iranian the distinction is effected by the choice of two different forms of the suffix (mas/ma, similarly du. vas/va) and there is no evidence to show that this variation was connected with the distinction between secondary and primary in the IE period.

Possibly -ma was originally the perfect ending in view of the frequency of final -a in forms of that tense (véda, véttha, véda, 2 pl. vidá). The innovation Skt. -masi, Av. -mahi, Av. -vahi resembles the Hittite development, but it is quite independent, and expresses no such distinction (P. mas and masi as opposed to Hitt. P. -meni, -weni, S. men/wen). Hittite treats the 2 pl. in the same way (ten/teni) and here again Sanskrit expresses the difference in quite a different way (-ta/tha). In all other languages the distinction does not exist. Apart from lack of support from other languages, the fact that the distinction between t and th is used in the related dual endings for a totally different purpose (2 -thas, 3 -tas) makes it altogether unlikely that the difference between the two forms of suffix was from the beginning connected with the distinction between primary and secondary ending.

In the middle the priority of the secondary endings is no less clear. The primary endings all terminate in -e, and the comparative evidence shows that the extension of this -e to all the primary persons of the middle is a special Indo-Iranian development. In Greek, which is closest to Indo-Iranian in its verbal inflection, a corresponding -au is found only in the three persons of the singular and in the 3 plural. Elsewhere $(-\sigma\theta o\nu)$, $-\mu \in \theta \alpha$, $-\sigma \theta \in$) the endings are not characterised by this element, the same ending functioning as both primary and secondary. It is certain that in this respect Greek represents the more original state of affairs, and that in Sanskrit -mahe and -dhve (and the same applies to the dual endings) are new formations on the basis of *mahi* and *dhvam* which originally functioned indifferently as primary and secondary endings. Comparison between Sanskrit and Hittite confirms this. Hittite differs from Sanskrit more than Greek does, and it shares with Italic, Celtic and Tocharian an element r in the middle endings which Greek and Sanskrit agree in ignoring. Nevertheless there exist forms in the two languages which can be directly compared, and these are invariably secondary endings in Sanskrit: Hitt. 3 sg. pr. arta: cf. Skt. ákrta; 3 pl. aranta: Skt. aranta; 3 sg. eša: cf. Skt. áduha; 2 pl. pahhašduma: cf. Skt. ábharadhvam. The Hittite terminations all belong to the present (primary) system, as opposed to the identical forms in Sanskrit which belong to the secondary system.

The formation of the middle endings, as is clear from a survey

of the Sanskrit forms, is remarkably heterogeneous, and its study is made more complicated by the existence in Indo-European of two distinct types, one (Hittite, Italic, Celtic, Tocharian) which makes extensive use of an element r in its formation, and another (Indo-Iranian, Greek, Germanic) which ignores this element. At the same time there is a nucleus of forms, as instanced above, which bridge the gap between the two types. Among the oldest forms we can observe several types. (1) In the 3rd person a thematic variation of the same suffix serves as the middle ending: $\acute{a}kar(t)$: $\acute{a}krta$; $\acute{a}bharan(t)$: ábharanta; duhúr: áduhra. (2) In the 1st person dual and plural the middle ending is formed by the addition of particles (Skt. -hi <*dhi, Gk. θa <*dha) to a form of the active ending. The Greek alternation $-\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha/-\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$, which contains as its first element two variant forms of the active ending (cf. Skt. -mas and -ma), makes this quite clear. Hitt. -wašta, with the -w- that elsewhere appears in the dual, but in Hitt, in the plural, is naturally to be explained in the same way as Gk. -μεσθα. (3) In the 2nd plur. an ending which is quite different from the active ending is used. The 2nd sg. -thas is likewise quite different from the active -s but it is clearly connected with the perfect active ending -tha. The final s may be explained as a secondary addition, since -s characterises the 2nd person elsewhere. The relation between *-thā and -tha is apparently the same as that between mā and ma (IE mē/me) of the 1st person plural.

The simplest form of the 1st person middle termination in Hittite is -ha (zahhiyahha) which occurs rarely beside the more usual -hari, hahari. This ending is represented in Sanskrit in the 1st person middle of the optative (bháveya). Elsewhere there is a secondary ending -i (áduhi, ákri) for which there are no parallels outside Indo-Iranian (Av. aojī, mānghī).

It is clear from the agreements between Sanskrit and Hittite that the oldest nucleus of middle endings is common IE property. Further developments based on this show remarkable divergence, since Hittite shares with Italic, Celtic and Tocharian an element r which is not known to Sanskrit and Greek. In Hitt. this r, which appears with the addition of the primary -i of the present, is optional, e.g. 3 sg. arta and artari, 3 pl. aranta and arantari. It is clear that it must have been in the same way optional in Indo-European, and that in the further course

of development it became established as a necessary element in Italo-Celtic and Tocharian, and on the other hand went out of use in that dialectal area of Indo-European from which Indo-Iranian and Greek derive.

The primary middle endings of Sanskrit arise in the first place, as in the active, from the addition of -i to the secondary endings: bhárata +i > bhárate. Corresponding to the -e, Greek has $-a\iota$. This vocalism is most simply explained as due to the ending of the 1st person (-Ha+i>ai) from which it spread by analogy to the other persons. In Sanskrit this final -e appears in all the primary forms, but its presence in the dual and in the first and second persons of the plural is due entirely to analogy, and these are to be regarded as the latest parts of the system.

The active endings of the perfect are in the singular identical with the oldest forms of the middle endings: (1) -Ha>a, véda, cf. bháveya; (2) -tha, cf. -thā-s, Hitt. -ta; (3) -a (IE -e), veda, cf. aduha, Hitt. eša. That this is no accident is clear from the frequent cases in which active perfects with intransitive sense appear by the side of middle forms in the other tenses, e.g. Skt. vártate: vavárta, Gk. yíyvoµa: yéyova, etc. Originally, it seems, the perfect had no distinction of the two voices, and both in form and sense it was closer to the middle than to the active. The development of separate middle forms may be regarded as a late Indo-European feature. In Sanskrit these perfect terminations are identical with those of the present, and these, as already observed, are later formations than the corresponding secondary endings.

As to the nature of the personal endings it is quite clear that they have nothing to do with the corresponding personal pronouns. The theory that these endings are of the nature of suffixed pronouns has often enough been put forward in the course of IE studies, but concrete evidence in the form of detailed comparisons is lacking. It is possible to find an -m- in the ending of the I sg., and a t in the 3 sg., which are letters that occur in the corresponding pronouns (acc. sg. $m\bar{a}$: ta-), but beyond this there is practically nothing. Since no theory can be based on the comparison of one or two single letters, the attempt at explaining the personal endings as suffixed pronouns has to be abandoned. When this is done, and the terminations are analysed in such detail as the comparative evidence will permit, it becomes clear that the elements of which the system

is constructed are in the main identical with the suffixes of derivation which are met with in the formation of nouns. This is clearly so in the purely thematic formations which have no ending in the proper sense: 2 sg. impv. dja, 3 sg. impf. mid. áduha. 2 pl. pf. vidá. Such formations are in no way to be distinguished from ordinary thematic nominal stems. The same correspondence is generally seen between the ending of the 3 pl. (Skt. -an(t)/anti, IE -ent/-ont) and the suffix which forms present participles (Skt. bhárant-, Lat. ferens). In addition it has been pointed out that the relation of the two kinds of ending in the 3 pl., the above and that consisting of or containing an element r, is reminiscent of the alternation found in nominal stems between r and n/nt: Gk. $\sqrt[n]{\delta}\omega\rho$, $\sqrt[n]{\delta}a\tau\sigma s$, etc. Elsewhere too there are features about the verbal terminations which recall those of the nominal suffixes. There appears to exist the same relation between the terminations of the active and middle of the 3 sg. ((a)kar(t), (a)krta) as is found in the nominal suffixes in krt-: krtá-. The suffix of the 2 pl. contains also a -ta which may be equated with the corresponding nominal suffix (bhárata. cf. the nominal stem bharatá-). In Indo-Iranian alone there exists a variant ending -tha which functions as primary ending. It is probably no coincidence that Indo-Iranian is also the only branch which shows a suffix -tha beside -ta in the formation of nouns (yajátha- 'worship': yajatá- 'to be worshipped').

The behaviour of the suffix of the I pl. is in several ways reminiscent of the corresponding nominal suffix. In the first place the coexistence of two forms, one beginning with w and one with m, which is seen in Hittite, is matched by a similar duality in the infinitival forms containing the same elements: tiyawar, tiyawanzi; tarnummar, tarnummanzi. In Sanskrit the suffixes -vant and -mant are found in the same way side by side with similar function. Another similarity between the verbal and nominal forms is seen in the variation of the latter part of the suffix: IE wen/wes, men/mes. This is paralleled by the variations in nominal declension, e.g. in the vocatives rtāvas, patnīvas, tuvişmas from the stems rtāvan-, pátnīvant- and tūvişmant-.

Correspondences of this kind make clear the original nature of a considerable section of the verbal terminations. By some process of adaptation, the course of which it is not now possible to follow, certain nominal formations became associated with particular persons and numbers, and at least a fair proportion of the existing personal terminations came into being in this wav.

§8. THE TEN PRESENT CLASSES

The roots of the Sanskrit language are arranged by the Hindu grammarians in ten classes, according to the way in which they form the present system, and named after a verb taken as typical of its class. The order in which these classes are placed corresponds to no discoverable grammatical principle, and for convenience of exposition it needs to be rearranged. The verbs are divided into two major types, (a) non-thematic (classes 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9) and (b) thematic (classes 1, 4, 6, 10).

A. Non-thematic Presents

Root Class (Second or ad-class)

Paradigm: (dviş-' to hate').
Present, Active, S. I dvesmi, 2 dvekşi, 3 dveşti, D. I dvişvas, 2 dvisthás, 3 dvistás, P. 1 dvismás, 2 dvisthá, 3 dvisánti.

Middle, S. I dvisé, 2 dviksé, 3 dvisté, D. I dvisváhe, 2 dvisáthe, 3 dvisáte, P. 1 dvismáhe, 2 dviddhvé, 3 dvisáte.

Imperfect, Active, S. I ádveşam, 2 ádvet, 3 ádvet, D. ádvisva, 2 ádvistam, 3 ádvistām, P. I ádvisma, 2 ádvista, 3 ádvisan.

Middle, S. 1 ádvisi, 2 ádvisthās, 2 ádvista, D. 1 ádvisvahi. 2 ádvisāthām, 3 ádvisātam, P. I ádvismahi, 2 ádviddhvam, 3 ádvisata.

Inflection of this type in Sanskrit is made from nearly 130 roots. In most other IE languages it has largely died out, its place being taken by thematic formations. Consequently direct comparisons with forms of other languages are confined to a few common roots: asti 'is', Gk. ĕorı, Lat. est, etc.; émi 'I go', imás 'we go', Gk. εἶμι, ἴμεν, Lith. eimì, etc.; átti, 'eats', Lat. est, Russ. jest'; áste 'sits', Gk. ἡσται; śéte 'lies', Gk. κεῖται, Hitt. kitta, kittari. Hittite is the only language beside Sanskrit in which this type of formation is well preserved, and here further parallels are available: hánti 'he slays', ghnánti 'they slay', Hitt. kuenzi, kunanzi; vaṣṭi 'he wishes' (vas-) Hitt. wekzi (Gk. only ptc. ἐκών); sásti 'sleeps', Hitt. šešzi.

Beside the regular endings given above there exists a variant

type: active, 3 pl. impf. cakṣur, duhur; middle, 3 sg. pres. tśe, cité, duhe, bruve, śáye, vidé, 3 pl. duhré, śére; duhrate, śérate; impf. 3 sg. aiśa, aduha, 3 pl. aduhra; aduhran, áśeran; áśerata; impv. 3 sg. duhām, vidām, śayām, 3 pl. duhrām; duhratām, śeratām. These forms (for which see above, § 6) are confined to the Vedic language with the exception of the root śī-' to lie' which preserves such inflection in the classical language (3 pl. śérate). This series is important because it shows that there were originally two types of conjugation in the case of root stems, corresponding to the Hittite -mi and -hi conjugations. Sanskrit has generalised the mi- type in the active, but in the middle the Vedic language preserves these traces of the old dual system.

With certain exceptions the normal system of accent and apophony prevails in this class, that is to say the root has accent and guṇa in the three persons of the active, while elsewhere it appears in its weak form and the accent is on the termination: hánti: ghnánti; váśmi: uśmási; ásmi: smás, etc. Roots in -u followed by endings beginning with a consonant, take vṛddhi instead of guṇa in the strong forms: staúti, 'praises', yaúti' joins'; also certain others, e.g. mắrṣṭi' rubs'; 3 pl. mṛjánti. A number of roots retain accent and guṇa throughout for reasons which are not clear: e.g. śtte' lies', váste' wears clothes'. Certain roots with long vowels where this applies, e.g. áste' sits', iṣṭe' rules' have perhaps been adapted from the perfect system (ās- originally perfect stem of as-' to be'). In the Vedic language the strong form of the root is optional in the 2 pl.: pres. nethá, impv. stota, impf. ábravīta. The weak form of the 3 pl. mid. termination (dviṣáte as opposed to dviṣánti) indicates original final accent which is preserved ocassionally in the Veda: duhaté, rihaté. The root śās- has the weak termination also in the active (śāsati 3 pl.) which accords with its radical accent.

The conjugation of this class is complicated by changes due to internal sandhi. As this is a matter of phonology rather than morphology, a few examples will suffice: duh- 'to milk', doh + si > dhoksi, doh + ti > dogdhi; lih- 'to lick', leh + ti > ledhi; sas - dhi > sadhi. Analogy is responsible for the 3 sg. impf. sad = sad =

obscure: 3 sg. impf. ådat with thematic vowel from ad-' to eat', åsīt with -ī- beside Vedic ås from as-' to be'.

Some of the roots of this class contain enlargements, e.g. trā'to save, protect', śā-s-'to command', v-as-'to clothe',
which means that originally they did not belong to the root
class. Such roots tend to be irregular in the matter of accent
and apophony. Some reduplicated formations have come to be
classed here, e.g. jakṣ-(I) 'to laugh' (has-), (2) 'to eat'
(ghas-) which retains some features of reduplicated inflection
(3 pl. act. jākṣati), and niṃs-'to touch closely, kiss' (nas-);
likewise certain intensive formations which are treated as roots
by the grammarians: jāgarti' is awake', daridrāti' runs
about, is poor', dīdeti' shines'.

Here are classified certain roots making a stem by means of the suffix i, namely, in the classical language, rud-'to weep', svap-'to sleep', an-'to breathe', svas-'to breathe' and jaks-'to eat': 3 sg. pres. roditi, svapiti, etc. Further examples are found in the Vedic language: vámiti' vomits', janişva' be born', vásişva' wear', śnathihi' smash', stanihi' roar', and the M.Bh. has śocimi. This formation corresponds to the Latin 3rd conjugation verbs of the type capio (capis, capit...capiunt). Like the other non-thematic classes it shows changes in accent and apophony' (1 pl. rudimás), but it had originally nothing to do with the root class, being an independent formation. But it is a formation which from the earliest period of the language is on the way to obsolescence. Most of the forms quoted are isolated and not parts of complete paradigms. Even in the most stable group which the classical language preserves, the i-suffix is absent before endings beginning with a vowel (3 pl. rudánti) and in the 2 and 3 sg. impf. it is replaced either by long i (ánit), or by a thematic formation (ánat). The type has ceased to form a full separate class, and by interpreting the suffix as the union vowel i (if), and attaching it to the root class, the grammarians were able to account for most of its characteristics.

A suffix $\bar{\imath}$ appears in the conjugation of $br\bar{u}$ - 'to speak', but only in the strong forms before terminations beginning with a consonant ($br\dot{a}v\bar{\imath}ti$, $\dot{a}brav\bar{\imath}t$; $\dot{a}bravam$, $bruv\dot{a}nti$). In the corresponding Avestan verb it does not appear at all: 3 sg. mraoiti, impf. mraoit. The suffix has importance elsewhere in the formation of verbal stems, namely in Latin ($aud\bar{\imath}re$) and Slavonic

(O. Sl. supită 'sleeps' mluvită 'mutters'). Like the short i above it is obsolescent in Sanskrit, and in addition to brū-only a few roots are found to take it in the Vedic language: ámīti (am-'to injure'), tavīti (tū-'to be strong'), śamīşva (śam-'to labour').

The Reduplicating Class (3rd or hu-class)

Paradigm: (hu- 'to sacrifice').

Present, Active, S. I juhómi, 2 juhóşi, 3 juhóti, D. I juhuvás, 2 juhuthás, 3 juhutás, P. I juhumás, 2 juhuthá, 3 júhvati.

Middle, S. 1 júhve, 2 juhusé, 3 juhuté, D. 1 juhuváhe, 2 júhvathe, 3 júhvate, P. 1 juhumáhe, 2 juhudhvé, 3 júhvate.

Imperfect, Active, S. I djuhavam, 2 djuhos, 3 djuhot, D. I djuhuva, 2 djuhutam, 3 djuhutām, P. I djuhuma, 2 djuhuta, 3 djuhavur.

Middle, S. I ájuhvi, 2 ájuhuthās, 3 ájuhuta, D. I ájuhuvahi, 2 ájuhvāthām, 3 ájuhvātām, P. I ájuhumahi, 2 ájuhudhvam, 3 ájuhvata.

Forms according to this class are made from some 50 roots all told, but only from 16 in the classical language. The formation is well represented also in Greek: $\pi i(\mu)\pi\lambda\eta\mu$, $\pi i(\mu)\pi\lambda\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$ 'I, we fill', Skt. piparmi, pipymás; εἰοπιφράναι 'to introduce', cf. Skt. bibharmi, bibhymás; δίδωμι, Skt. dádāmi; τίθημι, Skt. dádhāmi; τοτημι, Skt. tiṣṭhāmi (transferred to thematic class). Elsewhere it has become comparatively rare.

The vowel of reduplication corresponds to the radical vowel in the case of roots containing the vowels i and u: cikéti observes ', jihréti ' is ashamed ', viveṣti ' is active ', bibhéti ' fears ', ninikta 2 pl. impv. ' wash '; yuyoti ' separates'. In other roots it is sometimes i and sometimes a.

- (a) jigharti 'sprinkles', piparti 'fills', bibhárti 'bears', jigāti 'goes', mimāti 'bellows', sisāti 'sharpens', sisakti 'cleaves to' (saj-).
- 'cleaves to' (saj-).
 (b) dádāti 'gives', dádhāti 'places', jahāti 'leaves', bábhasti 'eats', vavartti 'turns', sásasti 'sleeps', saścati 'they accompany'.

This is in contradistinction to Greek which has almost exclusively -i- in the reduplicating syllable.

The accent of verbs of this class is somewhat unstable. It

The accent of verbs of this class is somewhat unstable. It may appear on the root in the strong forms (juhóti, etc.) which is in accordance with the guṇa of the root, or in the case of

certain verbs on the reduplication (dádhāti, etc.). The latter type is most prevalent in the Vedic language, appearing often when the later language has radical accent (bibharti: bibharti). Greek has also accent of the reduplication (δίδωμι, etc.), but the apophony indicates that radical accent must be original in the three persons of the singular active. On the other hand accent of the reduplication appears to be ancient in the 3 pl. active, where both root and ending appear in weakened form: dádati, sáscati. In the weak forms the normal accentuation of the terminations is found, with the exception that the accent is thrown back on to the reduplicating syllable when the termination begins with a vowel (jūhve, bibhre, etc.). This develops in the post-Vedic period (V. juhve) from the analogy of the 3rd person plural.

The weakening of the radical vowel results in samprasāraņa in the case of vyac- (viviktás) and hvar- (juhūrthās), and in loss of syllable in sac- and bhas- (3 pl. sáścati, bapsati). Roots in long ā are treated variously. In the commonest, dā- and dhā-, the root is fully reduced and the vowel elided: dadvás, dadmás; dadhvás, dadhmás, etc. In the case of other roots this type is superseded by one in which the vowel -i-, or more usually -ī- is inserted between the reduced form of the root and the termination. The short appears in some forms from the root hā- ' to leave': jah-i-mas, jahihi, etc. This reduplicated formation may be compared to the type svapiti of the root class. Normally however the vowel is long: śiś-ī-hi (śā- ' to sharpen '), mimīte (mā- ' to measure'), rarīthās (rā- ' to bestow'), etc. The prevalence of the long vowel is due to rhythmical reasons, and the suffixal ī balances the ā of the root in such a way that the two have acquired the appearance of being the strong and weak forms of the root.

The nu- and u- Classes (Fifth and Eighth, su- and tan- classes)
Present, Active, S. I sunómi, 2 sunósi, 3 sunóti, D. I sunuvás,
etc.... P. 3 sunvánti, Middle, S. I sunvé, 2 sunusé....
P. 3 sunváte.

Impersect, Active, S. I ásunavam, 2 ásunos, 3 ásunot, D. I ásunuva.... P. 3 ásunvan, Middle, S. I ásunvi, 2 ásunuthās, 3 ásunuta, D. I ásunuvahi.... P. 3 ásunvata.

About 50 roots make presents according to this class. Typical examples are: rnóti 'rises' (cf. Gk. ὄρνῦμι), strnóti 'strews' (cf. Gk. στόρνῦμι), kṣinóti 'destroys' (cf. Gk. φθίνω,

φθινύθω) minóti 'harms, lessens' (cf. Lat. minuo), dhūnóti 'shakes' (cf. Gk. θύνω 'rage'), tṛpṇóti 'is satisfied', ṛdhnóti 'thrives', āpnóti 'reaches, obtains', aśnóti 'obtains', etc. This suffix, which is compounded of n and u, appears also in the formation of nouns, frequently from the same roots, e.g. dhṛṣnú-'bold' beside dhṛṣnóti 'is bold'. In a series of roots the alternative suffix nā (ninth class) appears side by side with no/nu: vṛṇóti: vṛṇāti; stṛṇóti: stṛṇāti; kṣiṇóti: kṣiṇắti.

A simple suffix u, without the n, often appears in related formations: rnóti, cf. Gk. δρούω; strnóti, cf. Goth. straujan; vṛṇóti 'covers', cf. várutra-; dhṛṣṇóti 'is bold', cf Gk. βρασύς; dabhnóti 'injures, deceives', cf. ádbhuta-'(that cannot be hurt, divine) wonderful'; jinóti 'enlivens', cf. jīvá-'alive'; sādhnóti 'accomplishes', cf. sādhú-'straight, good'. The same relation exists between kṣurā-'razor' and kṣnáuti 'sharpens', which the complete incorporation of the suffix has caused to be transferred to the root class. In śru-'to hear' (partc. śrutá-, Gk. κλυτός, etc.) this u has been incorporated in the root everywhere except in the present tense (śṛṇóti: IE *kļ-n-eu-ti). In Iranian, by later substitution it appears even here (Av. surunaoiti).

Verbal classes corresponding to this appear in Greek (ὅρνν̄μι, etc.) and Hittite, where the formation has developed a special causative sense (arnummi, etc.), but in neither case is the old apophony seen in Sanskrit preserved intact. Elsewhere formations of this type have been replaced by thematic formations (Ir. ro cluinethar 'hears', etc.). Thematic formations based on this suffix are found: pinvati 'fattens' (cf. pinute, Av. pinaoiti), invati 'drives, attacks' (cf. inóti), hinvati 'impels' (cf. hinóti), jinvati 'enlivens' (cf. jinóti).

In accent and apophony this class conforms to the normal type, with the usual Vedic irregularities, e.g. strong form of suffix in 2 pl. (akrnota(na)) and final accentuation of 3 pl. middle (krnvaté, vrnvaté, etc.). Concerning the form of the endings it may be noted that the u of the suffix may optionally be omitted in the I du. and pl. (sunvás, sunmás; this starts of course in the I du.), and that before vocalic terminations -nuv- appears instead of -nv- after roots ending in a consonant (saknuvánti 'they are able'). The terminations -l of the 3 sg. middle (srnvé' is heard', sunvé' is pressed') and -ré of the 3 pl. (srnviré, sunviré

etc.) appear sporadically in the Veda, the latter being always associated with the union vowel -i-. This union vowel is found also in Vedic *synvisé* 2 sg. middle.

Under the eighth class are classified certain roots formed by the simple suffix o/u instead of no/nu. These consist of a number of roots terminating in n: tan-'to stretch', 3 sg. tanóti, similarly sanóti' wins' (cf. Gk. $av\bar{v}\mu$ 'achieve'), vanóti' wins', manuté 'thinks', ksanóti' wounds', and the root kr-'to do': 3 sg. karóti. There is some uncertainty in interpreting the forms from the roots in -n, since it is possible to argue that here the suffix is really no/nu before which a stands for n appearing in the reduced form of the root (tn-neu-ti). On the whole it seems preferable to adopt the simpler theory, and evidence for it may be seen in the existence of Vedic tarute which appears to be exactly parallel to manuté.

The other important root classed here, namely kg- 'to do' (karóti, kuruté) also presents a problem because both the Vedic language and Iranian agree in inflecting it as a nu-verb (V. krnóti, krnuté, Av. koronaoiti, O. Pers. akunavam <akrnavam). This might suggest that the classical forms are 'prakritisms'. but this is rendered implausible by the fact that a phonetic development of rn to ar/ur is wholly anomalous in Middle Indo-Aryan (cf. tina- 'grass'>tána-, tina, etc., and Pkt. kunaï from the Vedic form of the verb). The formation must therefore be regarded as a genuine and ancient dialect variant formed, like tarute, with the simple suffix u, which also appears in the Vedic noun karúna- nt. 'action'. The weak form of the root presents some complication since normally either complete reduction (kr-) or restoration of guna as in tarute might be expected. Since suffixal H which produces the combination -ur- in the weak grade elsewhere (gurú-, etc.) is here out of the question, the only theory that remains to explain the form of the weak grade is the assumption that the root originally began with a labio-velar. The form kur- may then be classed with those survivals where this labial element is found to function as a vowel (Gk. yvrn, Hitt. kunanzi, etc., see p. 74). As to the etymology it seems that the IE root keel- diverged in Indo-Iranian, consequent on the second palatalisation, to produce two roots, on the one hand an intransitive car- 'to move, go', and on the other hand a transitive ky- ' to do, make '.

The u of the suffix of this verb is always omitted in those

cases where such omission is optional in the nu-verbs (kurmás, etc.). It is also omitted in the active of the optative: kuryám as opposed to kurvíyá. These may be radical formations incorporated in this conjugation.

The nā- Class (Ninth or krī-class)

Present, Active, S. I krīnāmi, 2 krīnāsi, 3 krīnāti, D. I krīnīvās, 2 krīnīthās, 3 krīnītas, P. I krīnīmās, 2 krīnīthā, 3 krīnānti. Middle, P. I krīnē, 2 krīnīsē, 3 krīnītē, D. I krīnīvāhe, 2 krīnāthe, 3 krīnāte, P. I krīnīmāhe, 2 krīnādhvē, 3 krīnāte. Imperfect, Active, S. I ākrīnām, 2 ākrīnās, 3 ākrīnāt, D. I

ákrīņīva, 2 ákrīņītam, 3 ákrīņītam, P. I ákrīņīma, 2 ákrīņīta, 3 ákrīnan.

Middle, S. I ákrīņi, 2 ákrīņīthās, 3 ákrīņīta, D. I ákrīņīvahi, 2 ákrīņāthām, 3 ákrīņātām, P. I ákrīņīmahi, 2 ákrīņīdhvam, 3 ákrīnata.

Some fifty roots all told make presents according to this class. Typical examples are: krīnāti 'buys' (cf. Ir. crenaid), lināti 'sticks, adheres to' (cf. Ir. lenaid 'id'), śṛṇāti 'smashes' (cf. Ir. ara chrinat 3 pl. 'collapse'), jināti 'inflicts loss', mṛṇāti 'crushes', pṛṇāti 'fills', etc.

The suffix is compounded of n and \bar{a} (-aH-), and these elements often appear separately in related formations. The n which appears in *iṣnāti* appears in connection with other elements in *iṣaṇat* and *iṣaṇyāti*. The \bar{a} -suffix without n appears in a number of parallel formations in $-\bar{a}ya$ -: $grbh\bar{a}yāti$, $math\bar{a}yāti$, $skabh\bar{a}yāti$ beside grbhnāti, etc. The \bar{a} is often partially incorporated in the roots, e.g. in $jy\bar{a}$ - 'to inflict loss' and $pr\bar{a}$ - 'to fill' beside the presents jināti, prnāti; cf. the same process, though more complete, in sru-sru-sru beside srnoti. With the addition of the weak form of the suffix roots in i, u and r become roots in \bar{i} , \bar{u} and \bar{i} . This is how they are normally given and tion of the weak form of the suffix roots in i, u and r become roots in \bar{i} , \bar{u} , and \bar{r} . This is how they are normally given and how they normally appear outside the present system: $pr\bar{i}t\dot{a}$ 'pleased', $p\bar{u}t\dot{a}$ -' purified' (: $pun\dot{a}ti$), $p\bar{u}rn\dot{a}$ -' full' (: $prn\dot{a}ti$). In the tense there are two kinds of treatment: (a) the unextended form of the root appears before $-n\bar{a}$ -, e.g. $jin\dot{a}ti$, $pun\dot{a}ti$, $mrn\dot{a}ti$; (b) the extended form of the root is introduced even into this formation, e.g. $pr\bar{i}n\dot{a}ti$, $bhr\bar{i}n\dot{a}ti$, a process which is found only in the case of roots in i. The root $vl\bar{i}$ -' to press down' makes both types and Pali has $kin\dot{a}ti$ 'buys' (corresponding to the Celtic form) as opposed to Skt. $kr\bar{i}n\dot{a}ti$. The alternation between the strong form of the suffix -nā-with -nī- in the weak cases is not found outside Sanskrit. In Greek there is alternation between long and short vowel, δάμνημι, δαμνᾶμεν. In Avestan complete loss of ā in the weak grade, which is the regular Indo-Iranian phonetic development, is found: 3 sg. mid. vərəntē, °stərəntē. In Sanskrit this type is replaced by one containing an extra suffix -ī-, in a way similar to that observed in the third class, e.g. vṛṇūtē having the same relation to Av. vərəntē as Skt. mimītē, etc., to dattē. This ī is only introduced before the consonantal terminations; before vowels the simple reduced form of the suffix is found: jānáte, cf. A. zānaitē.

Nasal-infixing Class (Seventh, rudh-class)

Present, Active, S. I yunájmi, 2 yunákşi, 3 yunákti, D. I yuñjvás, 2 yunkthás, 3 yunktás, P. I yuñjmás, 2 yunkthá, 3 yunjánti.

Middle, S. I yuñjé, 2 yuñkşé, etc. . . . P. 2 yungdhvé, 3 yuñjáte. Imperfect, Active, S. I áyunajam, 2 áyunak, 3 áyunak, D. I áyuñjva, etc. . . . P. 3 áyuñjan.

Middle, S. I áyuñji, 2 áyunkthās, etc. . . P. 3 áyuñjata.

About thirty roots inflect in this manner. Common examples are: rinákti 'leaves' (Lat. linquo), chid-'cut', chinatti (Lat. scindo), bhid-'split', bhinatti (Lat. findo), piş-'crush', piṇaṣṭi (Lat. pinso), añj-'anoint', anákti (Lat. unguo), bhuj-, bhunákti 'benefits', bhunkté 'enjoys' (Lat. fungor).

In most languages outside Indo-Iranian the strong forms

In most languages outside Indo-Iranian the strong forms have been replaced by the weak forms, and the whole type transferred to the thematic class. The same tendency is seen in Skt. vindáti finds as opposed to Av. vinasti, and in the later history of Indo-Aryan such forms completely replace the older type (Pa. yuñjati, etc.). In Hittite the corresponding class has a double nasal infix and no apophony, e.g. harnink-'to destroy'; both these features are special developments of Hittite, out of the regular IE type which Sanskrit preserves.

This type appears superficially to be different from the two

This type appears superficially to be different from the two preceding types, the present stem being made by infixation instead of suffixation. Ultimately they are not really different, since in most of the roots of this class, the final consonant can be interpreted as an extension, that is to say originally a suffix which in course of time has become incorporated in the root.

Beside the root ywj-'to join' for instance there is also a simpler root yu-(yduti) with the same meaning. Evidence of the same kind is available in the case of a number of roots: e.g. chid-'to cut', cf. chydti' cuts', chitd-'cut'; ric-'to leave', rindkti, cf. rindti' lets flow'; trd-'to pierce', trndtti, cf. tfna-'grass', Engl. thorn; krt-'to spin', krndtti, cf. Lat. colus' distaff'. In such cases the analysis of the forms of this class is the same as of those of the other two nasal classes: 5 kl-n-tw-ti (śrnóti), 9 pl-n-th-ti (prndti), 7 yu-n-tg-ti (yundkti).

g pl-n-en-ti (prnati), 7 yu-n-eg-ti (yunakti).

At the same time not all forms can be explained in this way, e.g. anakti 'anoints'. Once the infixing class was established through the incorporation of the second suffix, it attracted a certain number of other roots which did not belong to the original nucleus.

B. Thematic Presents

Radically Accented Class (First or bhu-class)

Present, Active, S. I bhávāmi, 2 bhávasi, 3 bhávati, D. I bhávāvas, 2 bhávathas, 3 bhávatas, P. I bhávāmas, 2 bhávatha, 3 bhávanti.

Middle, S. I bháve, 2 bhávase, 3 bhávate, D. I bhávāvahe, 2 bhávethe, 3 bhávete, P. I bhávāmahe, 2 bhávadhve, 3 bhávante.

Imperfect, Active, S. I ábhavam, 2 ábhavas, 3 ábhavat, D. I ábhavāva, 2 ábhavatam, 3 ábhavatām, P. I ábhavāma, 2 ábhavata, 3 ábhavan.

Middle, S. I ábhave, 2 ábhavathās, 3 ábhavata, D. I ábhavāvahi, 2 ábhavethām, 3 ábhavetām, P. I ábhavāmahi, 2 ábhavadhvam, 3 ábhavanta.

This is the commonest of all the present classes in Sanskrit, being formed by nearly half of the verbal roots in the language. The predominance of thematic formations is paralleled in the nominal stems, and it recurs in other IE languages. Direct equations between Sanskrit and other languages, attesting IE forms, are commoner in this class than anywhere. Examples are: plávate, právate 'floats', Gk. πλέ(F)ω; srávati 'flows', Gk. ρέει; svánati 'sounds', O. Lat. sonit; stánati 'roars', Gk. στένει; bhárati 'bears', Gk. φέρω, Lat. fero, Goth. bairip, Ir. berid, O. Sl. beretű; cárati 'goes', Gk. πέλομαι, Lat. colo; bódhati 'understands', Gk. πεύθομαι; jóṣate 'enjoys', Gk. γεύομαι 'taste', Goth. kiusip' tests, chooses'; ródhati, róhati 'grows', Goth. liudip; óṣati 'burns', Gk. εὖω, Lat. ūro;

vártate 'turns', Lat. vertitur; párdate 'breaks wind', Gk. πέρδεται; sárpati 'creeps', Gk. ἔρπει, Lat. serpit; yásati 'seethes', Gk. ζέω; váhati 'carries', Gk. Pamph. Γέχω, Lat. vehit, O. Sl. vezetű; vásati 'dwells', Goth. wisip' is '; násate 'resorts to', Gk. νέομαι 'return', Goth. ganisip' is saved'; májjati 'plunges', Lat. mergit; trásati 'trembles', Gk. τρέω; pátati 'flies', Gk. πέτομαι; sthágati 'covers', Gk. στέγει, Lat. tegit; sácate 'associates with', Gk. ἔπεται 'follows', Lat. sequitur 'id'; dáhati 'burns', Lith. degù; pácati 'cooks', Lat. coquit, O. Sl. pečetű; tákṣati '(carpenter) joins, constructs; hews', Lat. texit 'weaves'; hávate 'calls', Av. zavaiti, O. Sl. zovetű; ájati 'drives', Gk. ἄγει, Lat. agit, Ir. ad 'aig; ánati 'breathes' (beside ániti), Goth. uzanip 'breathes out, expires'.

The majority of roots conform to the normal type, the stem consisting of the accented and gunated root followed by the thematic vowel. In a small number of verbs vrddhi instead of guna is found: bådhate 'repels', bhråjate 'shines', dhåvati 'runs' (Gk. θέω with guna grade), krámati 'strides' (beside middle krámate), ācāmati 'sips'. The class is augmented by a number of varied thematic formations with accent on the root or first syllable which did not originally belong here: e.g. (1) a form with infixed nasal, nindati 'blames' (cf. nid- 'contempt, insult', Gk. ὄνειδος); formations of this type are commoner in the sixth class; (2) forms with a suffix -va: jivati 'lives', tarvati 'overcomes', etc.; in such cases it can be seen from the apophony that the initial accent is not original; (3) stems containing the IE inchoative suffix -ske/sko- (>ccha-) with secondary radical accent: gácchati 'goes' (cf. Gk. βάσκε, impv.) yacchati 'holds'; (4) reduplicated thematic formations: tişihati 'stands' (sthā-), cf. Lat. sistit, pibati 'drinks' (pā-), Ir. ibid, jighrati 'smells' (ghrā-); in stdati 'sits', Lat. sīdo (IE sizdfrom sed-) the normal phonetic development would have given d in Sanskrit, but d appears here through the influence of other parts of the conjugation.

Suffixally Accented Class (Sixth or tud-class)

This and the following two classes are conjugated in exactly the same way as the preceding. The sixth class is fairly common, something like 150 roots being conjugated in this way. Typical examples: rujáti 'breaks', višáti 'enters', tudáti

'pushes', disati 'points out', mṛśati 'strokes', spṛśati 'touches', suvati 'drives', kirati 'scatters', sṛjati 'lets go'. In contrast to Sanskrit this type is rare in most of the IE languages, because the preceding class has become normal for thematic verbs. In Greek this type of stem is found usually only in a oristic use, where its contrasts in apophony with the normal thematic presents, $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$: $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \gamma \nu \nu$. In such presents of this type as can be found the accent has been transferred to the root $(\gamma \lambda \dot{\nu} \phi \omega)$.

A fairly common sub-class here is formed by roots taking an infixed nasal: siñcáti 'sprinkles', muñcáti 'releases', vindáti 'finds', kṛntáti 'cuts', lumpáti 'breaks', limpáti 'smears'. Some are obvious transfers from the seventh class, e.g. undáti, yuñjáti beside unátti, yunákti, and it is possible that the whole series arose in this way.

The accented suffix -cchá- (IE -ske-) appears in iccháti 'wishes', uccháti 'shines', rccháti 'goes' (roots iṣ-, vas-, 7). In prccháti 'asks' (Lat. poscit) it has been incorporated into the root (pf. papráccha), but the unextended root appears occasionally in nominal derivatives: praśná- 'question'.

Ya- Class (Fourth or div- class)

The fourth class contains some 130 roots which form their present by means of the suffix ya: e.g. kúpyati 'is angry', krúdhyati 'id', túṣyati 'is pleased', yúdhyati 'fights', vídhyati 'pierces' (vyadh-), dtvyati 'plays', hfṣyati 'rejoices', tápyate 'is hot', páṣyati 'sees', náhyati 'ties'. This suffix is also used in the formation of denominative verbs, and the form of the passive differs from the middle of this class only in having suffixal accent. The formation is found in Hittite (wemiezzi 'finds', zaḥḥiezi 'fights') and Greek (µalverai 'is mad', cf. Skt. mányate 'thinks', βalvω, orlζω, etc.). In Latin on the other hand non-thematic stems in -i appear instead of this type (cupio, cupit as opposed to Skt. kúpyati). In Sanskrit the existence of a present stányati 'thunders' (O. Sl. stenjo) beside the non-thematic stanihi illustrates how the formation may arise by the thematic enlargement of an i-stem in the same way as in nominal stems (°kravi°: kravya-, etc.). The apophony of the majority of forms indicates original suffixal accent, such as is found in the passive. On the other hand there are certain forms with vyddhied root, e.g.

mādyati 'becomes intoxicated', śrāmyati 'becomes tired', which must have had radical accent from the beginning, which makes it appear that there were originally two types combined in this class.

Certain roots in \bar{a} which belong here, e.g. $g\bar{a}$ -' to sing' $(g\bar{d}yati)$, $gl\bar{a}$ -' to be weary' $(gl\bar{d}yati)$, $tr\bar{a}$ -' to save' $(tr\bar{d}yate)$ and $dhy\bar{a}$ -' to think' $(dhy\bar{d}yati)$, are by the grammarians attached to the first class by the wholly unnecessary assumption of roots of the form $g\bar{a}i$ -, etc. Since the roots are certainly to be set up as $g\bar{a}$ -, etc., these presents must be attached to this class, in which their form and accent are regular.

There are a number of roots in \bar{a} which lose this vowel before the accented suffix -ya-: $d\bar{a}$ -' to bind' (d-yáti), $ch\bar{a}$ -' to cut' (chyáti), $s\bar{a}$ -' to sharpen' (syáti) and $s\bar{a}$ -' to bind' (syáti: cf. Hitt. ishiya-' bind'). They retain what must have been, as noted above, the original accent of this class, because the complete reduction of the radical syllable made impossible any shift of accent to the root.

The Tenth Class (cur-class)

The suffix is -dya-. This has normally been specialised in the formation of causative verbs, but it is not exclusively used for this purpose, and a nucleus of forms remain which belong to the primary rather than the secondary conjugation. In the language of the Veda there is a fairly clear distinction between presents in dya which do not have strengthening of the root (guna or vṛddhi) in which a causative sense is usually absent, and those in which it is so strengthened which are normally causative. Examples of the former are: citdya-'notice, observe', iṣdya-'flourish', turdya-'hasten, speed', dyutdya-'shine', rucdya-'id', śubhdya-'be splendid', mṛddya-'pardon', spṛhdya-'desire', patdya-'fly about'. Here belong originally such presents as hvdyati'calls', śvdyati's swells' and dh-dyati'sucks', which the grammarians have attached to the first class by the assumption of the root forms śvi-, hve-, dhe-.

In the classical language the verbs classified here are more miscellaneous and they include a variety of formations of denominative or causative origin: e.g. kāmāyate 'desires', corāyati 'steals', chādāyati 'covers', avalokayati 'looks upon', dūṣāyati 'spoils', bhūṣāyati 'adorns', tāḍāyati 'beats', etc.

§9. THE FUTURE

The stem of the future is formed by means of the suffix -syd-, or, with connecting vowel -i-, -isya- added to the gunated root, and it is inflected in precisely the same way as the thematic presents: $d\bar{a}sydii$ 'he will give', dhoksydii 'he will milk', bhavisydii 'he will be', karisydii 'he will do', etc. There are no simple rules by which the distribution of the two forms can be stated. In Iranian there exists a corresponding formation in -sya- (Av. $vax\check{s}y\bar{a}$ 'I will say'), but none corresponding to -isya-. The same formation appears in Lithuanian: $d\acute{u}osiu$ 'I will give'. On the other hand the Greek future ($\delta\epsilon i\xi\omega$, etc.) appears to be based on a simple so-stem. In the early period of the Sanskrit language the future tense is comparatively rare, as it is in the Avesta, the sense of the future being most usually expressed by the subjunctive, but it rapidly becomes more common.

The future is a specialised type of present stem and it belongs with the various denominative formations in -yá-. It is capable of forming a preterite in the same way as the present stems proper. This formation functions as a conditional: yad evam nâvakṣyo mūrdhā te vyapatiṣyat 'If you had not spoken in this way, your head would have fallen off'. Only one example of the conditional is found in the Rgveda and it is never very common during any period of the language.

In addition to the ordinary future Sanskrit created a second or periphrastic future based on the agent nouns in -tar. In the third person the nom. sg., du. and pl. of such nouns functions as the second future without any addition: karta, kartarau, kartaras. In the first and second persons forms of the verb ' to be ' are added to the nom. sg. of agent noun, in the dual and plural as well as in the singular: kartāsmi, kartāsi; kartāsvas; kartasmas. The function of the second future is to express the future in connection with some specified time: śvo vrasta 'it will rain tomorrow', etc. This type of future first begins to appear in the Brahmana period, and its use continues later, though it is never anything like as common as the first future. There was created also a corresponding middle, which, however, is exceedingly rare, since it appears to have been current in the living language for only a very limited period. The special middle forms, which exist only for the first and second persons,

are as follows: S. 1 kartáhe, 2 kartáse, D. 1 kartásvahe, 2 kartásathe, P. 1 kartásmahe, 2 kartádhve.

§ 10. THE AORIST

The aorist is formed by seven different types of stem which fall clearly into two classes, non-sigmatic and sigmatic. Of the non-sigmatic types the root aorist (ddhāt) and the a-aor. (druhat) do not differ in their formation from the imperfects of the corresponding present classes (dyāt, dtudat). It has been pointed out that the two types of preterite, imperfect and aorist, have arisen by specialisation out of a system with undifferentiated preterite, and the continued existence of forms common to the two remains as an indication of this. The difference in function depends on whether a corresponding present exists or not. The reduplicating aorist is less closely connected with corresponding present stems and it has undergone special developments of its own, but it cannot be separated in origin from the reduplicating type of present. On the other hand the various aorist s-stems are formations which are confined to this use, with the rarest exceptions (Av. nāismi is a present from an s-aor. stem.)

The Root-Aorist

The root-aorist is abundantly represented in the Vedic language. The apophony differs from that which is regular in the imperfect in that guṇa of the root appears normally in all the persons of the active with the exception of the third person plural. The normal weak form of the root appears in the middle. Typical forms are: S. I áśravam, agām, ákaram, 2 dgās, áśres, akar (for ákars), 3 áśrot, ásthāt, ákar (for ákart, cf. Av. čōrəṭ), D. 2 agātam, kartam, 3 ákartām, ádhātām, P. I ákarma, ádāma, áhema, 2 ákarta, ágāta, áhetana, 3 (a) ákran, ákṣan (ghas-), ágman, (b) ádhur, ásthur, ákramur.

Middle: S. I ákri, áyuji, 2 ákrthās, agathās, ayukthās, 3 ákrta, ámata (man-), áyukta, D. I ganvahi (gam-), P. I áganmahi, ámanmahi (with strong form of root), ayujmahi, áhūmahi, 2 acidhvam, ayugdhvam, 3 (a) akrata, ágmata, (b) ádrśran, abudhran, (c) ádrśram, ábudhram.

The root agrist of the root bhū- (which is conjugated only in the active) is anomalous in having the weak grade throughout: abhūvam, abhūs, abhūt, ... abhūta, abhūvam.

Certain root aorists are attested as Indo-European by such correspondences as Skt. ásthāt, Gk. ἔστη; ágāt, Gk. ἔβη; ágamam, ágan, ágata, etc., cf. Arm. ekn 3 sg., Gk. βάτην 3 du.; 3 sg. mid. akṣata, Gk. ἔκτατο; impv. kṣidht 'destroy', cf. Gk. ἄφθιτο, φθίμενος. The anomalous form of the root aorist of bhū- reappears in Greek, 3 sg. ἔφῦ, etc. Occasionally what appears as root aorist in one language appears as imperfect in another, showing that the distribution of these radical stems between the two tenses was not completely settled in the IE period: Skt. áhata 3 sg. mid. impf., cf. Gk. ἄπεφατο: ἀπέθανεν (aor.); kṣċti 'dwells', Gk. κτίμενος (aorist stem); conversely Skt. dvyta, aor. compared with Lat. vult, present.

Skt. dvyta, aor. compared with Lat. vult, present.

The Greek aorists of roots in long vowel that belong here show the weak form of the root in the plural of the active (ἔδομεν, ἔθεμεν) which is in contradistinction to Sanskrit (ddāma, ddhāma), but, since it conforms to the general pattern of verbal apophony, doubtless more original. The weak forms that appear in the middle (ἔδοτο, ἔθετο) are in accordance with the Sanskrit practice, but in the case of roots in ā Sanskrit has, in accordance with its common practice, introduced the union vowel -i- into the middle forms to help out the conjugation: a-dh-i-thās, adhita; adithās, adita; asthitas, asthita, asthiran. In some cases the union vowel appears as ī (cf. -ī- in the present, brav-ī-ti and elsewhere): a-dh-ī-mahi, adīmahi (dā- 'to cut'), d-ś-ī-ta (śā- 'to sharpen'). It seems necessary also to assume such an -i- after such roots, in this case after the strong forms, in the optatives (active) of the root aorist: dheyām, deyām, stheyāma, etc. (stah-i-yā-, etc.), otherwise the roots should appear with ā (as yāyām, etc., of the present).

The phonetic tendencies which brought about the reduction

The phonetic tendencies which brought about the reduction of final consonant groups in the earliest Indo-Aryan strongly affected the 2 and 3 sg. active of the root aorist, producing forms which ceased to be grammatically clear and unambiguous: e.g. akar, or in the appropriate sandhis, akah, etc.; $\bar{a}nat$ for -nas+s and -nas+t; aghas 2 and 3 sg.; skan for skand-t, etc. In addition when the root terminates with an occlusive and the termination begins with one (abhakta, etc.) it is not possible to tell from the form itself the difference between root aorist and s-aorist, on account of the elision of s in such position. In the post-Vedic period the root aorist undergoes a rapid decline, and there is little doubt that this phonetic mutilation and the con-

sequent ambiguities were largely responsible for the development. All that remains of the root aorist in the classical language is the active inflection of certain roots in \bar{a} (ádāt, etc.) and of $bh\bar{u}$ - (ábhūt).

In addition there are certain forms of the 2 and 3 middle of the root aorist (where the root terminates in a short vowel according to the grammarians) which in the later history of the language became heteroclitically attached to the s-aorist, e.g. we find 2 sg. dvythās and 3 sg. dvyta forming part of the same paradigm with I sg. dvysi and 3 pl. dvysata, etc. In the same way the middle forms quoted above from the roots dā-, dhā-, sthā- with connecting vowel -i- are combined with -is- aorist forms to produce a series like sg. I a-sth-is-i, 2 d-sth-i-thās, 3 dsthita.

The a- Aorist

The form and conjugation of the a-aorist agrees with the imperfect accented a-class: ásicam, ásicas, ásicat, etc., cf. átudam, átudas, átudat, etc. The stems agree in apophony, and also in accent, in the comparatively rare instances where the unaugmented aorist forms bear the accent: S. I ruhám, 2 vidás, 3 dhṛṣát, vidát, P. 3 dhvasán, vidán, tṛpán; regularly in the participle tṛpánt-dhṛṣánt-, śucánt-, etc., middle, śucámāna-, etc.

Correspondences with other languages attest the IE date of a number of such formations: ἀνίἀαt, Gk. ἴδον, inf. ἰδεῖν, Arm. egit; dṛśan, Gk. ἔδρακον; ἀricat, cf. Gk. ἔλιπον, Arm. elik'; budhanta, cf. Gk. ἐπύθοντο. At the same time there is sometimes disagreement between languages in the assignment of a form to imperfect or aorist: Skt. άdaśat impf.: Gk. ἔδακον aor.; Skt. ábhujat impf.: Gk. ἔφυγε aor. The suffixal accent is retained in Greek in participles and infinitives (λιπών, λιπεῖν).

In addition to the regular type there are a number of a-aorists in Sanskrit whose form agrees rather with imperfects of the first class rather than of the sixth class since they have guṇa of root: e.g. áśakam, ásanam, ásaram, ákaras, ágamat, atanat, ásadat. This is the normal form of the a-aorist for roots consisting of two consonants and the thematic vowel. Furthermore where accent occurs these forms are accented like stems of the first present class. Examples of this are káras, sánat, sárat, dáršam (=the Gk. present stem δέρκομαι), gáman,

sádatam, sádatam, and the participles sádant-, sánant (these have also contaminated the regular type above to some extent, so that forms accented like rúhat occur occasionally).

A number of the stems listed here are probably thematisations of root aorists, and not ancient. For instance the a-aorist ágamat appears later in the history of the language than the root aorist agam. On the other hand some are clearly old (e.g. ásadat), and since the type appears also in Greek (ἐγένετο, γένεσθαι) it must be referred to Indo-European.

The a-aorist has attracted a number of reduplicated forms which did not originally belong to it, namely apaptat (pat-' to fall'), avocat (for avave-, vac-' to speak') and, with what in the perfect becomes a substitute for reduplication, nesat (nas-' to perish').

Reduplicated Aorist

Active, S. I ájījanam, 2 ájījanas, 3 ájījanat.... P. 3 ájījanan. Middle, S. I ájījane, 2 ájījanathās, 3 ajījanata.... P. 3 ájījananata... P. 3 ájījananata... P. 3 ájījananata... P. 3 ájījananata. The typical reduplicating vowel of this is ī but the reduplication is subject to the following modifications: (I) If the root begins with two consonants short i is employed in reduplication: acikṣipat, apispṛṣat. (2) If the root vowel is u the reduplicating vowel is ū or u under the same circumstances: abūbudhat, acukrudhat. (3) If the root is a heavy syllable two processes are found: (a) the root is unaltered and the reduplication is short: adidīkṣam, abubhūṣam; where the radical vowel is a followed by two consonants or long ā the reduplicating vowel is a: adadakṣam, adadhāvam; (b) in order to preserve the rhythm favoured in this aorist heavy roots may be weakened: avīvaṣam (vāṣ-), acikradat (krand-). It is in accordserve the rhythm favoured in this aorist heavy roots may be weakened: avīvašam (vāś-), acikradat (krand-). It is in accordance with this principle that roots appear either with guṇa or in the weak form: ajījanat but avīvyāhat. Verbs which make a causative stem in -āp- substitute -ip- in the reduplicated aorist: atiṣṭhipat (sthāpayati). (4) Roots beginning with a vowel are found either to repeat the whole root (āmamat) or, later, to repeat the last consonant with i (arpipat).

In the Vedic language there are a number of non-thematic forms attached to the reduplicated aorist: ajīgar, aśiśnat, dīdhar atc. These represent an alternative type of the redup-

didhar, etc. These represent an alternative type of the reduplicated aorist which was early abandoned owing to the prevalence of the thematic type.

The reduplicated agrist stands out from the other forms of aorist because it is attached not to the simple verb but to the causative; diffianat is the aorist of jandyati, dvivydhat of vardhayati and so forth. Such an aorist is therefore made from all roots which have causatives, in addition to their normal aorists. This arrangement is essentially a development of Indo-Aryan, though its roots go back to Indo-Iranian. The other IE languages have nothing which can strictly be compared. In form the stem of the reduplicated aorist is related to the reduplicating present (bibharti), and more closely to the thematic variety of the same (tisthati; Gk. ylyvoµai; Lat. gignit, sistit) but it has developed features of its own, namely the length of the reduplication and the guna of the root in certain forms (ajījanat). The transitive sense which is observable in some of the related stems with this type of reduplication (Lat. gignit, etc.) has been generalised and eventually developed into a full causative.

Related Iranian forms provide some interesting information about the history of this formation, because it has also present stems formed in the same way: zīzanənti 3 pl., etc. (also non-thematic zīzənti 3 sg., cf. the Skt. type ajīgar). From this it is possible to conclude that originally this was a type of stem forming both present and preterite in the manner of the various formations of the present system. The aorist, it has been observed, came about by the specialisation of certain preterite formations, and this is a case in point. To begin with we may assume two pairs *jtjanati: djījanat and jandyati: djanayat having essentially the same sense. In the further development jandyati comes to be exclusively used in the present and djījanat originally simple preterite (=imperfect) becomes when isolated integrated into the aorist system.

There are a few roots in the classical language which take this aorist as part of their primary conjugation, e.g. asisriyat and adudruvat from sri- 'to resort' and dru- 'to run'. There are further examples in the Veda (e.g. dcikradat from krand- 'to roar') and also some non-thematic forms which are made exactly like imperfects of the reduplicating class: dsisret, adudrot. Certain reduplicated stems which became attached to the a-aorist have already been mentioned. These continue the IE usage which had reduplicated aorists in primary function and none that were specifically causative: cf. Gk. ἔπεφνον, ἐκέκλετο, etc.

The s- Aorist

Active, S. I ánaişam, 2 ánaişīs, 3 ánaişīt, D. I ánaişva, 2 ánaiştam, 3 ánaiştām, P. I ánaişma, 2 ánaişta, 3 ánaişur.

Middle, S. I áneşi, 2 áneşthās, 3 áneşta, D. I áneşvahi, 2 áneşāthām, 3 áneṣātām, P. I áneşmahi, 2 áneḍhvam, 3 áneṣata.

The s-aorist stem differs from other verbal stems in having the vṛddhi grade throughout the active, in the dual and plural as well as in the singular. In the middle roots with medial vowel i, u, r appear in the weak form (acchitsi, árutsi, ásṛkṣi); also roots in final r (ákṛṣi) and in the Veda certain roots with final nasal, e.g. ágasmahi from gam- and masīya opt. I sg. from man- (cf. Av. māhmaidī). Elsewhere there is guna. The subjunctive takes guṇa in both active and middle (stoṣāni, etc.) and this is extended to certain injunctive forms (jeṣma).

Before terminations beginning with occlusive when the root ends in such the s of this aorist is elided according to the usual phonetic rule: araudh-s-ta becomes arauddha, etc. This leads to some confusion between this aorist and the root aorist, but this is largely eliminated in the post-Vedic period by the disuse of the root aorist except in connection with very few roots. Phonetic decay also strongly affected the 2 and 3 sg. active, with the result that both the tense sign s and the terminations frequently disappear: abhār for abhār-s-t and abhār-s-s, similarly araik, asvait, etc. In the post-Vedic period these inconvenient and ambiguous forms are abandoned and their place is taken by new formations taking the connecting vowel -ī-: anaiṣīt, acchaitsīt, etc.

The s-aorist and the other forms of sigmatic aorist are sharply distinguished from the other classes of aorist in that there are no present-imperfect stems formed in the same way. There are indeed in the Veda certain isolated forms of the present made in this way (stuṣē, hiṣe, kṛṣe) as well as some anomalous formations containing s which cannot be referred to the s-aorist stem (i arcase, ṛṣṣiase, ii gṛṇṣṣe, punṣṣe) but these have the appearance of being tentative formations which never developed very far rather than relics of an earlier system.

The s-aorist is found in Greek («ζευξα, «δειξα, etc.) and Slavonic (νέςτ, slucht, etc.). In Latin s-aorist forms have coalesced with perfect forms to make one tense (perf. dīxī, dūxī, etc.). In Irish injunctive and subjunctive forms of the

s-aorist are retained (the s-subjunctive). No trace of it appears in Germanic. Radical vrddhi is attested for the vowel e by Latin and Slavonic (Lat. vēxī, O. Sl. vēsŭ: Skt. ávāksam from vah-); for roots in diphthongs there is no clear evidence. In Hittite there is no s-aorist any more than any other kind of aorist, but there are certain preterite forms in the 2 and 3 singular which have final -s: 2 sg. da-a-as' you took', tarna-a-s' you put in', da-is' you placed', pa-is' you gave'; 3 sg. da-a-as' he took', da-a-is' he placed', ag-ga-as' he died', etc. These forms consist of the verbal stem enlarged by the suffix -s and have no personal ending proper, and beside them there are forms to which the personal terminations have been secondarily added: 2 sg. da-iš-ta beside da-iš, 3 sg. na-iš-ta 'lead' beside na-i-is. These forms are compared to the s-aorist of other IE languages, but it seems unlikely that they are simply remains of a fully developed IE s-aorist system. In the first place we have seen reason to believe that the agrist in general has arisen by specialisation out of an undifferentiated preterite, and in this respect Hittite should represent an earlier state of affairs. Furthermore there is some agreement between Hittite and Tocharian on this point, since the latter language has also a certain type of preterite using an s-stem in the 3 sg.: A. präkäs, B. preksa 'he asked', and this coincidence does not seem to be fortuitous. Bearing these considerations in mind we may perhaps rather explain the IE s-aorist to be a post-Hittite formation based on the extension to the whole paradigm of an ssuffix which was originally restricted to the preterite of certain persons (notably the 3 sg.) of one class of verbs.

The is-Aorist

Active, S. I ápāviṣam, 2 ápāvīs, 3 ápāvīt, D. I ápāviṣva.... Pl. 3 ápāviṣur; Middle S. I ápaviṣi, etc.... P. 3 ápaviṣata.

This aorist has in the active vṛddhi of the root if it terminates in a vowel (ápāviṣam, átāriṣam, ásāyiṣam) and guṇa in the case of medial i, u, r (árociṣam, etc.). Both types occur from roots with medial a: ákāniṣam; ávadhīt. In the middle the root has normally guṇa, but occasional forms with weak root occur: nudiṣṭhās, (opt.) ruciṣīya beside rociṣīya, gmiṣīya, idhiṣīmahi.

Just as the s-aorist is founded on certain s-stems which functioned as finite verbal forms, so the is- aorist is formed on stems in the compound suffix -i-s, cf. arocista beside rocis-

'light'. To judge by the small number of such forms in Iranian (xšnəvīšā' I will satisfy', čəvīšī' I expect') it was not to begin with very frequent, but it became more common in Indo-Aryan in accordance with the tendency that is observable everywhere with set forms of the verb. Several different but closely related types of formation have come to be associated with the isaorist. (I) The suffix -īş- (as opposed to -iṣ- with short -i-) is used in the case of the root grabh- 'to seize': agrabhīşma. (2) Non-sigmatic formations in -ī- (compare abravīt, etc., of the present system) appear: agrabhīm, avadhīm, agrhītām, agrhīthās. The normal 2 and 3 sg. of the iṣ-aorist is adapted from this formation, since -iṣ-s and -is-t cannot phonetically produce -īs and -īt. (3) Some preterite stems in short -i- (compare presents of the type vamiti) have been incorporated in this aorist: atārima, avādiran, bādhithās, avitá, etc.

The isolated and anomalous vanuṣanta of the RV. is interesting because it is formed on the bases of an -uṣ- stem in the same way as this aorist is founded on -iṣ- stems, but unlike the iṣ-aorist it has never developed to form a system.

The aorist forms stheṣam, stheṣur, deṣma, jħeṣam, khyeṣam, etc., from roots in \bar{a} are best interpreted as regular iṣ-aorist forms (stah-iṣ-, etc.). There are corresponding middle forms with weak root as in the examples quoted above: asth-iṣ-i, asthiṣata, etc. With these are associated certain non-sigmatic forms which were mentioned in connection with the root aorist: asth-i-ta, etc.

The sis- Aorist

This aorist, which is inflected exactly like the preceding $(\dot{a}y\bar{a}sisam,\,\dot{a}y\bar{a}s\bar{s}s,\,\dot{a}y\bar{a}s\bar{s}t,\,$ etc.) arises from a mixture of the two preceding. It is an innovation of Indo-Aryan, unknown to Iranian, and in the RV. it can be quoted only from two roots $(g\bar{a}$ -'sing', $y\bar{a}$ 'go'). More examples are found later but it is never very common. In the classical language it is allowed to be made, in the active only, from roots in \bar{a} and nam-'bow', yam-'hold', ram-'be content'.

The sa- Aorist

The sa- aorist has the normal thematic inflection and the weak root. The accent on unaugmented forms, when it occurs, is on the suffix (dhukṣán) which is in accordance with the apophony. It is made only from roots containing a medial vowel

i, u, γ and a final consonant which combines with the s of the suffix to produce -ks- e.g. ddikṣat, dmṛkṣat, amṛkṣanta, avṛkṣam, adukṣat later adhukṣat from diś- 'point', mṛś- 'touch', mṛj- 'wipe', vṛh- 'tear', duh- 'milk'. It is rare in RV. (examples from γ roots), which may suggest that it is an innovation, though from Iranian (O. Pers. niyapišam 'I wrote down') it appears to be of at least Indo-Iranian date. Nothing that can be exactly compared is found in the other IE languages.

The Passive Aorist in -i

There exists a passive aorist in -i, used only in the 3rd person singular, which is independent of any of the foregoing aorist stems: djflāyi 'was known', ddarśi 'was seen', etc. Unaugmented forms (which appear in both indicative and injunctive use) are always accented on the root syllable: śrāvi, pādi, etc. Roots having i, u, r as medial vowel appear in the guṇa grade (aceti, dbodhi, asarji); elsewhere there is normally vṛddhi (dgāmi, dkāri, dstāvi, aśrāyi), more rarely guṇa (ajani, avadhi). The formation is taken by some 40 roots in the RV., to which others are added later. It appears also in Iranian (Av. srāvī, O. Pers. adāriy = Skt. śrāvi, ddhāri), but not elsewhere in Indo-European.

Neglecting the augment, which was a secondary and optional addition to preterite formations in Indo-European, it is clear that these forms are nothing more than old neuter *i*-stems, without any termination, which have been adapted to the verbal conjugation.

§ II. THE PERFECT

Active, S. I cakára, cakára, 2 cakártha, 3 cakāra, D. I cakrvá, 2 cakrathur, 3 cakratur, P. I cakrma, 2 cakra, 3 cakrur.

Middle, S. 1 cakré, 2 cakrsé, 3 cakré, D. 1 cakrváhe, 2 cakráthe, 3 cakráte, P. 1 cakrmáhe, 2 cakráhvé, 3 cakriré.

The perfect is formed from the root stem but this is characterised by (1) reduplication and (2) a special series of endings. The general principles of reduplication have already been detailed (§ 5). Of the types there enumerated the one adopted for the perfect is that which uses the vowel a (IE e) in the reduplicating syllable, with the proviso that in Sanskrit (as opposed to Greek, etc.) i and u are substituted before roots which contain such a vowel (tatāna: pipēṣa, bubhója). Special features of the

perfect reduplication, in addition to those mentioned above are as follows: (1) There is a class of roots in the Veda which reduplicate with a long vowel: dādhāra, jāgāra, māmrjē, pīpāya, tūtāva. This is mainly intensive reduplication, but in some cases the a of the normal reduplication has coalesced with an element elsewhere lost before the beginning of the root, e.g. in the perfects of gr- 'to awake' and mrj- 'to wipe'; cf. Gk. ἐγείρω, $\partial \mu \delta \rho \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \mu i$. (2) Two roots in \bar{u} reduplicate with a instead of the usual u and in both this is associated with irregular weak form of root in the singular active: $babh\bar{u}va$, $sas\bar{u}va$ from $bh\bar{u}$ - 'to be' and $s\bar{u}$ - 'to give birth'. (3) Roots beginning with a normally have \bar{a} - (a+a) in the perfect, e.g., $\bar{a}da$, $\bar{a}sa$ from ad- 'to eat' and as- 'to be'. A different type appears in the perfect of $a\bar{n}j$ - 'to anoint' and $a\bar{s}$ - 'to attain' (variant root forms ams-, nas-) where an n which forms part of the root is repeated in the reduplication: anáñja, anajé; anamsa, anasé (cf. Ir. t-ān-aic 'he came'). This spreads by analogy to other roots including a number beginning with r-: ānárca, ānrcé from rc- or arc- to praise. (4) Roots beginning with i or u reduplicate with these vowels which in the strong forms of the active are pre-fixed to the guna grade of the roots with intervening -y- and -vand in the weak grade coalesce with the radical vowels to form i and ū: iyeṣa, iṣe, uvoca, ūce from iṣ- 'to seek' and uc- 'to be accustomed '. (5) A similar type of reduplication appears in the case of one root beginning with ya- and a number beginning with va- reduplicate with i and u. These coalesce with iwith va- reduplicate with i and u. These coalesce with iand u- in the weak forms of the root to produce \bar{i} and \bar{u} : $iy\dot{a}ja$, $\bar{i}j\dot{e}$ from yaj- 'to sacrifice' (weak form ij- in pass. $ijy\dot{a}te$, etc.), $uv\dot{a}ca$, $\bar{u}c\dot{e}$ from vac- 'to speak' (weak form uc- in $ucy\dot{a}te$, $ukt\dot{a}$ -, etc.); similar forms from vap- 'sow', vad'speak', vas- 'dwell' and vah- 'carry'. (6) Roots having a
medial a before a single consonant, and beginning with a consonant that is unchanged in reduplication have the normal reduplication only in the strong forms: tatāna, papāta from tan-' stretch' and pat-' fall'; the weak forms of the perfect are made by substituting -e- for the a of the root: tené, tenúr, pecé, pecúr. This is an innovation of Sanskrit which is by no means complete in the Vedic period; the more original forms which occur are V. paptima, tatne, mamnāte, etc. The type originated in certain roots which acquired such form through normal phonetic development, notably sad-' to sit' where sedúr stands regularly for earlier *sazdur (cf. Av. hazdyāṭ pf. opt.) and roots beginning with y- (yemur for *yaymur). (7) The root vid-'know' has no reduplication, and this is in accordance with the related languages: Skt. véda, Gk. olda, Goth. wait, etc. There are a few other sporadic cases of unreduplicated perfect forms in the Veda, e.g. takṣathur, takṣur, skambhathur, skambhur, and three perfect participles formed without reduplication: dāśvás-'pious', mīḍhvás-'liberal' and sāhvás-'overpowering'.

In apophony the perfect follows the normal type of verbal inflection, that is to say the strong form of the root appears in the three singular persons of the active, the weak form elsewhere. Normally the strong grade is guna (vavarta, ciketa, bubodha) but wherever in the strong stem medial a appears before a single consonant (1 sg. act. tatápa, bibháya, cakára, etc.) vrddhi is substituted in the 3rd person sg., and in the later language optionally in the 1st: tatápa, bibháya, cakára, etc. This feature does not appear outside Indo-Iranian; the majority of the languages show guna only, and by general agreement the o-grade of the root: Gk. yéyove, etc. Original o-grade is attested also by Sanskrit, since certain roots which have undergone the second palatalisation retain the original guttural in these perfect forms: cikáya, cikéta, jigáya, jaghána from cigather', cit-'observe', ji-'conquer' and han-'slay'.

The weak forms of the root are the normal ones that result from the loss of the guna vowel: cakré, jaghné, jagmúr, bubudhe, etc. Roots in ā lose this vowel altogether in the weak forms as generally (da-dh-ur), but before consonantal endings invariably insert the union vowel i (dadh-i-ré) in contradistinction to the present. Samprasāraṇa appears in such roots as vyadh- 'to pierce' and svap- 'to sleep' (vividhúr, suṣupur; their reduplicating vowel goes with this form). In some roots having nasal in the strong form the weak form is marked by the absence of this nasal: cakradé from krand- 'to roar'. On weak stems of the type ten- see above.

For the personal terminations see § 6. There are not many complications. Instead of the normal endings roots in ā terminate in -au in the I and 3 sg. of the active: dadaú, dadhaú, tasthāú, jajñāú from dā- 'to give', dhā- 'to place', sthā- 'to stand', jñā- 'to know' (for IE *dedōH-u, etc., with vṛddhi before laryngeal). The final u- element, which appears here in place of a personal termination, is found also in Latin, incor-

porated into certain perfect forms: novit 'knew', cf. Skt. jajnaú; plēvit 'filled', cf. papraú.

A feature of the perfect conjugation is the frequency with which the connecting vowel -i- appears before the terminations that begin with a consonant: 2 sg. bubódhitha, I du. bubudhivá, I pl. bubudhimá, . . . 3 pl. mid. bubudhiré, etc. In the later language the -ré of the 3 pl. mid. has it always. Before other consonantal endings except the 2 sg. act. it is taken by the vast majority of verbs. In the 2 sg. act. the anit forms allowed are more numerous, and a number of roots take optionally either form, e.g. ninétha, nináyitha. Roots in ā have this option, but when taking -i- they appear in the weak form and the accent is transferred to the ending: dadátha, dadithá. In the Veda the use of the union vowel is less extensive than in the classical language. As a general rule it appears after roots ending in a consonant provided the last syllable of the stem is a heavy one: vivéditha, ūcimá, paptimá, etc., as opposed to tatántha, yuyujmá, etc. It is also taken by roots in -ā (dadimá, dadhimá) but the type dadithá of the 2 sg. is unknown to the early usage. In Iranian the use of the auxiliary vowel is exceedingly rare which makes it clear that in the main its employment in the perfect (as elsewhere in the verbal system) is an innovation of Indo-Aryan.

The perfect tense is widely represented in Indo-European, having been dropped only in Armenian and Balto-Slavonic. Perfects common to Sanskrit and other languages may be illustrated by such examples as the following: jajāna (jan-' to beget'): Gk. γέγονε; dadárśa (dṛś- ' to see'): Gk. δέδορκε; cicchéda, cicchidé (chid-' to split'): Lat. scicidī, Goth. skaískaiþ; didéśa, didiśé (diś- ' to point out'): Gk. δέδειχα, δέδειγμαι, Goth. ga-taih; riréca, riricé (ric- ' to leave'), Gk. λέλοιπα, Lat. līquī, Goth. laih; nineja, ninije (nij- ' to wash'), Ir. -nenaig; tutóda, tutudúr (tud- ' to push'), Lat. tutudī, Goth. staístaut; vavárta (vṛt- ' to turn'), Lat. vortī, vertī, Goth. warþ; dadhárṣa (dḥṛṣ- ' to be bold'), Goth. ga-dars; jaghāna (han- ' to slay'), Ir. I sg. -gegon, 3 sg. -gegoin.

In some languages, notably Latin and Germanic, the reduplication is not an essential part of the perfect formation. It occurs with certain roots and is absent in the case of others. This corresponds more nearly to the original state of affairs in IE. The reduplication was, to begin with, no more an essential

part of the perfect formation than was the augment of the aorist and imperfect. Its generalisation in Greek and Indo-Iranian is one of the many isoglosses that unite those two branches within the IE family. Even they preserve in *véda* 'knows' the older type of non-reduplicating perfect.

Here, as elsewhere, Hittite shows greater divergence from the normal IE type. Hittite has no perfect, but a special type of present conjugation, the hi- conjugation, which has been compared with, and has certain features in common with, the normal IE perfect. At the same time the gap is not easy to bridge, since we have on the one hand a special tense with a sense of its own (state, result) which is made by most roots in addition to the present tense, and on the other hand a variant form of the present taken by certain roots. It is probable that in this matter Hittite is the major innovator, but it is not possible simply to derive the Hitt. hi-conjugation from a system corresponding to the IE perfect, because there are outside Hittite also certain present formations which go with it: notably (I) Skt. formations of the type \acute{aduha} enumerated above, (2) the Gk. conjugation of thematic verbs $(\lambda\acute{e}\gamma\omega, \lambda\acute{e}\gamma\epsilon\iota)$.

The perfect in Sanskrit and Greek conjugates in both active and middle. There is reason to believe that this is a secondary arrangement. In Sanskrit the middle endings of the perfect are in the main obvious imitations of the present, in marked contrast to the active endings which differ so markedly from those of the present. Furthermore it has already been pointed out that an active perfect not infrequently corresponds to a middle present, and that the endings of the active are more closely related to certain middle endings than to other active endings. All these indications lead us to believe that the existence of two voices in the perfect is of later origin than in other parts of the verbal system.

The evidence also points to the conclusion that the perfect did not to begin with have a corresponding preterite. Such forms of this kind as exist in several languages are to be classed as independent innovations. This applies both to Sanskrit and to Greek, and to a greater extent in the former, since while Greek did eventually develop a pluperfect with a meaning of its own, the forms classified as such in Sanskrit are in the main isolated and unstable formations which appear in the Vedic

language but are not used later. Such are sg. I ajagrabham, 3 djagan, du. 2 amumuktam, pl. 3 dbībhayur, mid. pl. 3 ajagmiran. The distinction between these forms and the imperfect of the reduplicating class is not very clearly to be drawn. Their sense is normally that of simple preterites.

§12. INJUNCTIVE AND SUBJUNCTIVE

The so-called injunctive is no separate morphological category, but the term is applied to those unaugmented forms of the imperfect or aorist which are used with the force of subjunctive or imperative (§ 2). In the RV, the augmentless forms are more than half as common as the augmented and they may appear indifferently in preterite or injunctive use. Such forms occur from both imperfect and aorist stems, more frequently from the latter. In the later Atharvaveda the proportion of such forms occurring is noticeably less, and of those that do occur a greater part are formed from the aorist stem. In post-Vedic Sanskrit the injunctive disappears except in one construction. Prohibitions continue to be expressed by the use of $m\bar{a}$ in connection with unaugmented aorist forms: $m\bar{a}$ bhaişīh do not be afraid, $m\bar{a}$ gāḥ do not go', etc.

The subjunctive stem is formed by the addition of the vowel a to the indicative tense stem, the gunated form of such a stem being employed if it exists: $d\delta ha$ -, juhdva-, yundja-, etc., from the tense stems $d\delta h$ -, $juh\delta$ -, yundj-. This a combines with the a of thematic stems to form long \bar{a} : $bhdv\bar{a}$ -, $tud\bar{a}$ -, $ucy\bar{a}$ -. The inflection of the subjunctive from non-thematic verbal stems is illustrated by the following paradigms:

Active, S. I dyāni, dyā, 2 dyasi, dyas, 3 dyati, dyat, D. I ayāva, 2 dyathas, 3 dyatas, P. I dyāma, 2 dyatha, 3 dyan.

Middle, S. 1 åsai, 2 åsase, åsāsai, 3 åsate, åsātai, D. 1 åsāvahai, åsāvahe, 2 åsaithe, 3 åsātai, P. 1 åsāmahai, åsāmahe, 2 åsadhve, åsādhvai, 3 åsante, åsanta, åsāntai.

It will be observed that the endings of the subjunctive are partly secondary and partly primary. In 1 du., 1 pl. and 3 pl. of the active secondary endings are employed; in 2 and 3 du. and 2 pl. primary endings; in 2 and 3 sg. either primary or secondary endings are used. In the middle forms with secondary endings are rare, appearing normally only in the 3 pl.

The r sg. active has a special ending of its own, -āni, beside which in the earlier language simple -ā appears which is to be

compared to the $-\bar{o}$ which appears in Greek in the subjunctive as well as in the active of the I sg. of thematic verbs $(\bar{a}\gamma\omega)$. The I sg. middle ending -ai arises from the contraction of the a of the subjunctive stem with the -e of the termination. This -ai is then extended to other parts of the middle inflection and such eventually become the normal forms. This type of termination is preceded by the vowel \bar{a} even in subjunctives of non-thematic verbs.

The conjugation of subjunctives from thematic stems is the same as the above but based on a stem in \bar{a} arising from the combination of the a of the tense stem and the subjunctive a:

Active, S. I bhávāni, 2 bhávāsi, bhávās, 3 bhávāti, bhávāt, D. I bhávāva, 2 bhávāthas, 3 bhávātas, P. I bhávāma, 2 bhávātha, 3 bhavān.

Middle, S. I bhávai, 2 bhávāse, bhávāsai, 3 bhávāte, bhávātai, D. I bhávāvahai, 2 bhávaithe, 3 bhávaite, P. I bhávāmahai, 2 bhávādhvai, 3 bhávāntai.

The subjunctive can be formed in the Vedic language from all three tense stems, present, aorist and perfect. This variety of formation is not matched by any variety of meaning, e.g. sṛṇḍvat, śravat and śuśravat all mean 'he will hear 'or 'let him hear 'and no sort of difference related to the tense stem appears between them. Aorist subjunctives are commonest from the root aorist (karat, gamat, yamat, varat; karati, jóṣati, bhédati, etc.) and from the s-aorist (jéṣat, néṣat, matsat; neṣati, parṣati, etc.); none are found from the sa-aorist. Examples of subjunctives from the perfect stem are jaghánat, jújoṣat, paspárśat; jújoṣati, didesati, búbodhati, etc.

The subjunctive remained in use during the later Vedic period (Brāhmaṇas and Upaniṣads), but, apart from the first persons which were incorporated in the imperative, it is extinct in the classical language.

A subjunctive corresponding in form and meaning to that of Sanskrit appears in Greek. Here the primary endings are exclusively used and the forms with long vowel associated with thematic stems have become predominant. The quality of this vowel (where Sanskrit has \bar{a}) varies in accordance with the variation in the indicative ($\tilde{a}\gamma\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$, $\tilde{a}\gamma\eta\tau\epsilon$). Some old short vowel subjunctives are preserved as futures ($\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, $\pi l\omega\mu\alpha\iota$) and the simplest explanation of the s-future is that it is the subjunctive of the s-aorist. The Latin future erit corresponds to

the Skt. subjunctive dsat(i) and both Italic and Celtic have forms deriving from the s-aorist subjunctive (Lat. $fax\bar{o}$, Osc. deivast, Ir. I sg. -tias, 3 pl. -tiassat from tiagu 'go'). These two groups have also an \bar{a} -subjunctive which does not appear in Greek or Sanskrit.

The subjunctive is absent over a considerable part of Indo-European, and has the appearance of being a comparatively late formation. It can be plausibly explained as having grown out of the injunctive, certain forms of which developed into an independent system. The hesitation between primary and secondary endings in Sanskrit represents a transition from an earlier system in which the endings were secondary (as in the injunctive) and a new one in which primary endings are applied as being more appropriate to its predominantly future meaning. This transition has been completed in Greek. Of the short and long vowel subjunctives the former is the earlier and more original. The addition of the thematic suffix to a stem already provided with such is without parallel elsewhere in IE stem formation, and its presence here is due to the analogy which created bhavā-, etc., having the same relation to bhava- as asato as-. The short vowel subjunctives with secondary endings (kārat, gāmat) have a form which cannot in itself be distinguished from injunctives (augmentless preterites) of thematic stems. That they are subjunctives depends not on the nature of the stem itself, since such stems are commonly used in the formation of present/imperfects, but in their relation to other forms in the system. The absence of any distinctive formative in the most original type of subjunctive is a clear indication of its secondary origin.

§13. THE IMPERATIVE

Active, S. I bhávāni, áyāni, 2 bháva, ihí, 3 bhávatu, étu, D. I bhávāva, áyāva, 2 bhávatam, itám, 3 bhávatām, itám, P. I bhávāma, áyāma, 2 bhávata, itá, 3 bhávantu, yántu.

Middle, S. I bhávai, ásai, 2 bhávasva, ássva, 3 bhávatām,

Middle, S. I bhávai, ásai, 2 bhávasva, ássva, 3 bhávatām, ástām, D. I bhávāvahai, ásāvahai, 2 bhávethām, ásāthām, 3 bhávetām, ásātām, P. I bhávāmahai, ásāmahai, 2 bhávadhvam, áddhvam, 3 bhávantām, ásatām.

This paradigm is composite. The first persons in the three numbers are properly subjunctive forms. Injunctive forms are employed in 2 and 3 du. and 2 pl. Specific imperative forms

occur in 2 sg. and 3 sg. and pl. In the 2 sg. the stem of thematic verbs functions as imperative without any addition in Sanskrit as in the related languages: bhára, Av. bara, Gk. φερε, Arm. ber, Goth. bair, Ir. beir; prochá 'ask', Lat. posce; dja 'drive', Gk. ἀγε, Lat. age, etc. With non-thematic verbs the ending is -hi, originally -dhi: ihi 'go', Av. idī, Gk. ἴθι. The original-dhi appears in Sanskrit after consonantal stems (viddhi 'know', Gk. ἴσθι, dugdhi 'milk', etc.) and occasionally elsewhere, edhi for *azdhi (cf. Av. zdī) from as-'to be', juhudhi from hu-'to sacrifice'. The Veda has further examples: śrnudhi 'hear', gadhi 'go', vrdhi 'cover'.

The forms of the 3 sg. and pl. are made by the addition of a particle -u to the secondary endings: bhávat-u, etc. Corresponding forms occur in Hittite: 3 sg. eštu: Skt. ástu, kuendu, Skt. hántu; 3 pl. ašandu, Skt. sántu; kunandu, Skt. ghnántu, etc. In the hi-verbs which have no t-ending in the 3 sg. present, this element u appears alone in the 3 sg. impv.: aku, aru from ak-' to die', ar-' to arrive', 3 sg. pres. aki, ari.

In the middle the termination of the 2 sg. is -sva. A corresponding formation is found only in Iranian: barayuha, Skt. bhárasva; kərəšvā, Skt. kṛṣvá, etc. This -sva is considered to be the stem of the reflexive pronoun. The 3 sg. and pl. are made by the addition of -ām to the secondary endings and here too corresponding forms are found only in Iranian, vərəzyatam, xraosəntam. The few verbs which have inflections without t in the 3 sg. active (e.g. duhé, áduha) keep this feature in the 3 sg. impv.: duhām, śayām. In the 3 pl. they have -rām with r as in the indicative: duhrām, cf. 3 pl. mid. duhré. Compromise forms are duhratām and śératām.

Beside the normal endings above there appears, particularly in the earlier language an ending -tāt. This is indifferent to the distinction between active and middle and it appears most frequently used as 2 sg.: brūtāt 'say', dhattāt 'put', dhāvatāt 'run', vittāt 'know', etc. It may also be used for other persons and numbers: I sg. jāgytād ahám 'let me keep awake', 3 sg. rājā mūrdhānam vi pātayatāt 'let the king cause his head to fall off', 2 pl. āpaḥ... devēṣu naḥ sukṣto brūtāt 'O waters, announce us to the gods as well-doers'. In the later language the use as 3 sg. tends to preponderate, but the total of examples as compared with the earlier language is small.

This form of imperative appears also in Greek (as 3 sg.) and

in Latin (as 2, 3 sg.): ἴστω 'let him know', Skt. vittắt, δότω, ἴτω, ἔστω, etc.; Lat. vehitō, Skt. váhatāt, poscitō, habētō, estō, etc., O. Lat. estōd, etc., cf. Osc. likitud, estud.

In the Veda there are certain 2 sg. forms in -si with imperative value: dhdksi 'burn', ydksi 'worship', pdrsi 'cross', pråsi 'fill', śróṣi 'hear', etc. The termination is identical with that of the 2 sg. indic. pres., but these imperatives are quite clearly distinguished because the presents are differently formed (ddhasi, śrnóṣi, etc.). Nothing comparable is found outside Indo-Aryan.

In the classical language the imperative forms are from the present stem. In the Vedic language imperatives may be made from all three stems, present, aorist and perfect, and, as in the case of the other moods, no difference of meaning appears between them. Examples of aorist imperatives are: kṛdhi, śrudhi, gahi, gantu, yukṣvá; sada, sána, sadatu; vocatāt, vocatu; of perfect imperatives, cikiddhi, mumugdhi, śaśādhi, dideṣṭu, vavṛṭsva. It should be noted that imperatives are not normally made from the s-aorist. There are a few formations such as 2 sg. neṣa, 3 sg. neṣatu and 3 sg. mid. rāsatām which are all thematic formations and therefore cannot properly be attached to the s-aorist.

§ 14. OPTATIVE AND PRECATIVE

Non-thematic:

Active, S. I duhyām, 2 duhyās, 3 duhyāt, D. I duhyāva, 2 duhyātam, 3 duhyātām, P. I duhyāma, 2 duhyāta, 3 duhyūr. Middle, S. I duhīyā, 2 duhīthās, 3 duhītā, D. I duhīvāhi, 2 duhīyāthām, 3 duhīyātām, P. I duhīmāhi, 2 duhīdhvām, 3 duhīrān.

Thematic:

Active, S. I bháveyam, 2 bháves, 3 bhávet, D. I bhávema, 2 bhávetam, 3 bhávetām, P. I bhávema, 2 bháveta, 3 bháveyur.

Middle, S. I bháveya, 2 bhávethās, 3 bháveta, D. I bhávevahi, 2 bháveyāthām, 3 bháveyātām, P. I bhávemahi, 2 bhávedhvam, 3 bháveran.

The non-thematic inflection of the optative differs in apophony from the usual system. The strong form of the suffix is not confined to the three singular persons of the active, but extended to all the active with the exception of the 3 pl. That

this is an innovation is clear from Latin which preserves two grades in the case of the verb 'to be': O. Lat. siem, siet for later sim, siet beside sīmus. A similar extension of the strong forms was observed in the case of roots in $-\bar{a}$: I pl. pres. yāmas 'we go', aor. ádhāma' we placed'. In the thematic classes the diphthongal stem of the optative (bháres, bháret, etc. = Gk. $\phi \neq \rho oi$, Goth. bairais, bairai) is formed by contraction of the thematic suffix and the weak form of the optative suffix $(o+\bar{i})$.

The terminations of the optative are mainly the normal secondary terminations. The I sg. middle has a special ending which has been noticed (§ 6), and the -ran of the 3 pl. appears in a minority of preterite forms (áduhran, etc.). The anomalous Vedic 3 sg. duhīyát (after which 3 pl. duhīyán) seems to be based on *duhīyá formed without -t- after the fashion of the indicative (duhē, áduha).

In the classical language the optative is formed from the present stem. In the Vedic language it is formed from all three stems, present, aorist and perfect, and, as with the subjunctive, no difference of meaning is attached to this difference of formation. Root aorist optatives are fairly common: aśyām, rdhyām, gamyās, bhūyāt, middle aśīya, etc. They are rarer in the a-aorist and reduplicated aorist. From the sigmatic aorists optatives are formed only in the middle and the 2 and 3 sg. take invariably the precative s: masīya (man-' to think'), maṃ-sīṣṭhās, maṃsīṣṭa, gmiṣīya, janiṣīṣṭa, yāsiṣīṣṭhās, etc. The perfect optative is common: jagamyām, riricyām, vavṛṭyās, ninīyāt, papatyāt, vavṛṭīya, cakṣamīthās, jagrasīta, etc.

The oldest type of optative is that attached to root stems,

The oldest type of optative is that attached to root stems, present or aorist. Here the suffix is attached to the root in the same way as in the various present stems, and the normal secondary endings are added to it: gam-yā-m like krī-nā-m. This stem developed on its own lines on account of the special meaning which became associated with it. The main developments which produced the optative in its final form were (1) the incorporation of the optative in the present system (aśnuyāt replacing aśyāt, etc.) and (2) the creation of optative forms to thematic stems by combining with them the weak form of the optative suffix. The first process is still incomplete in the Veda. On this theory the optative was to begin with a quite independent stem and its association with the various tense stems

secondary. The perfect optatives should be regarded from the same point of view. Reduplication in early IE was a feature liable to turn up in many parts of the verbal system, and on the other hand though it came to be especially associated with the perfect it was not to begin with an essential feature of that system. Bearing this in mind it is clear that a reduplicated optative is not in its origin connected with the perfect system. We have an optative stem (gamyā-m) originally independent of the tense stems, and beside it a reduplicated optative stem (jagamyā-m) originally equally independent. With the incorporation of the optative into the various tense stems these reduplicated optatives became formally attached to the perfect, but in meaning they never acquired any of the characteristics of the perfect. The dying out in the later language of all forms of the optative except those belonging to the present system, resulted naturally from the absence of any distinction of meaning between the different forms.

The forms of the Precative in the classical language are as follows:

Active, S. 1 bhūyāsam, 2 bhūyās, 3 bhūyāt, D. 1 bhūyāsva 2 bhūyāstam, 3 bhūyāstām, P. 1 bhūyāsma, 2 bhūyāsta, 3 bhūyāsur.

Middle, S. I bhavişīyá, 2 bhavişīşṭhås, 3 bhavişīṣṭá, D. I bhavi ṣīváhi, 2 bhaviṣīyāsthām, 3 bhaviṣīyāstām, P. I bhaviṣīmáhi, 2 bhavisīdhvám, 3 bhavisīrán.

The active forms are always made directly from the root by the addition of the optative suffix extended by s. The older form of the 3 sg. act. was bhūyās, which is preserved in the Vedic language. The middle forms are formed from the stem of the sigmatic aorist, and the precative s is absent in the first persons and in the 3 pl. The precative is the only modal form from a non-present stem retained by the classical language.

The use of the precative is not common in the classical language and knowledge of its inflection is based on the statements of the grammarians. In the pre-classical language most of the active forms as given by the grammarians are attested with the exception that the older form of the 3 sg. is used. In the middle there is no distinction in the Veda between optative and precative. The optative of the sigmatic agrist invariably inserts the precative s in the 2 and 3 sg. and this s is employed nowhere else in the conjugation. Such optative forms with precative s are

occasionally formed in the Veda from other stems: root-aorist, padīṣṭā, mucīṣṭa, a-aorist, videṣṭa, reduplicated aorist, rīriṣīṣṭa, perfect, sāsahīṣṭhās.

In the Rgveda, as opposed to the later Vedic literature, very nearly the same state of affairs prevails in the active. Precative forms from the root agrist are numerous in the 2 sg. (which cannot in this case be distinguished from the ordinary optative) and in the 3 sg. In the 3 sg. no non-precative forms are recorded. Outside these two persons there occur only I sg. bhūyāsam and I pl. kriyāsma (once each). Otherwise forms in -yā only appear outside the 2 and 3 sg. It is clear that the two first person precatives are innovations of the later RV. period and that in the original inflection s was proper only to the 2 and 3 sg., and there always used, as in the middle. The inflection may therefore be compared to that of the Hittite verbs mentioned above (§ 10, S. I tarnahun, 2 tarnaš, 3 tarnaš, P. I tarnumen, 2 tarnatin, 3 tarnir) in which s functions as the common termination of the 2, 3 sg. but does not appear in the other persons. In its earliest form the Sanskrit precative, which is not to be distinguished from the optative of the root agrist, or in the middle from that of the sigmatic aorist, preserves this ancient characteristic of one class of secondary endings. On the other hand, in the rest of the conjugation, the optative has adopted the normal secondary endings in these two persons. The precative is thus one of the most archaic formations in Sanskrit grammar.

§15. SECONDARY CONJUGATION

Under secondary conjugation are classified certain forms of present stem which differ from the ordinary series of present stems because (I) they have acquired a special meaning and (2) they are normally taken by roots in addition to their ordinary presents. The four types of secondary conjugation are (I) passive, (2) intensive, (3) causative and (4) desiderative. They are all essentially present formations, and with certain exceptions their inflection in other systems consists of more or less sporadic innovations.

I. The Passive

The formation of the passive is closely connected with that of the fourth present class. It differs from the middle of that

class only in the position of the accent. In the passive this is on the suffix yá whereas in the fourth class it is on the root: mányate 'thinks', but badhyáte' is bound'. This distinction is secondary since roots in the fourth class appear in their weak form (işyati, işyate) and this indicates original suffixal accent. Furthermore there are a few old intransitives (not passives) which are suffixally accented: mriyáte' dies', dhriyáte' is steadfast'. There is also fluctuation of accent in some passive and intransitive forms, múcyate and mucyáte' is released', kṣīyate and kṣīyáte' is destroyed', jīyate and jīyáte' is overcome', pácyate and pacyáte' is cooked'.

The passive in this form is found also in Iranian (Av. kiryeinte = kriyante), but not elsewhere. It is an Indo-Iranian innovation based on the fourth present class, and its origin was due to the frequency of intransitive verbs in that class. particularly with middle inflection: jäyate 'is born', pácyate 'becomes ripe, cooked', tápyate 'becomes hot', etc. Since a number of these verbs had differently formed transitive presents beside them (tápati 'heats', etc.) they could easily form the nucleus from which the passive system developed. Differentiation was made by the retention in the passive of the old accent, for which in the fourth class presents radical accent has been substituted. The examples above in which there is variation of accent are mainly old intransitives which have been adopted as passives (múcyate 'gets loose', etc.). The middle inflection is universal in Sanskrit (except for some late and incorrect Epic forms, drsyati ' is seen ', etc.), but active forms are not uncommon in Iranian: Av. bairyeiti 'is carried', O. Pers. θahyāmahy 'we are called', etc. Probably to begin with the usage was uncertain and the exclusive use of the middle later generalised in Indo-Arvan.

The passive is inflected only in the present system. In the perfect and future the middle voice frequently functions as passive: cakré 'was done', karisyáte' will be done'. In the aorist there is a passive 3 sg. of independent formation (ákāri) which has already been described. In the immediate preclassical period there was a tendency, which did not go very far, to extend this by adding other forms. None are found in the Vedic literature, but the grammarians lay down, for roots ending in vowels and grah-, drś-, han-, special passive aorist forms based on the above, e.g. I sg. ádāyişi, 3 pl. ánāyişata, etc.: 3 sg.

ddāyi, dnāyi. This type of stem was further extended to the future: I sg. dāyişye, 3 sg. ghānişyate, etc. Such forms occur very rarely in classical Sanskrit, and they are all learned formations taken from the grammar.

In addition to finite verbal forms the passive meaning could be expressed by the passive participles in -ta and the future passive participle in -tavya. In the later history of Indo-Aryan, in the Prakrit period, all forms of active preterite were lost, and their place was taken by passive constructions with the participle in -ta. This process is reflected in the later Sanskrit literature; the usual construction becomes mayā brāhmaṇa dṛṣṭaḥ ' the brahman was seen by me' instead of aham brāhmaṇam apasyam 'I saw the brahman'. Associated with this is the increasing use of the impersonal passive: iha sthīyatām 'stay here' (lit. 'let it be stayed here'), tena bhavitavyam 'it must be him', etc. This type of later Sanskrit is largely Prakrit in disguise. By such devices the wealth of the Pāniṇean verbal morphology can be mostly ignored, and this simplified Sanskrit was understandably popular.

II. The Intensive

The intensive is a form of present stem which expresses intensification or repetition of the sense expressed by the root. It is of common occurrence in the Vedic language, being attested from over 90 roots. In the classical language, though allowed by the grammarians to be made from every root, it is of infrequent occurrence. The stem consists of the root preceded by strong reduplication. In the case of roots containing i or u this reduplication has the corresponding guṇa vowel: 3 sg. act. nenekti, vevetti, mid. nenikté, dediṣté (nij- 'wash', vid- 'know', diś- 'point out'); 3 sg. act. jóhavīti, nónavīti, I pl. nonumas (hū- 'call', nu- 'roar'). Where the vowel is a the corresponding long vowel appears in the reduplication: 3 sg. cāhasīti, pāpatīti, 3 pl. nānadati (kāś- 'appear', pat- 'fall', nad- 'roar'). When roots contain or terminate in r (l) or a nasal, this consonant is repeated in reduplication: I sg. carkarmi, 2 sg. dardarṣi, 3 sg. jaṅghanti, calcalīti, (with dissimilation) alarti, 3 mid. nannate (kī- 'to commemorate', dī- 'to split', han- 'slay', cal- 'move', ar- 'rise, go', nam- 'bend'). As an alternative reduplication with long ā is sometimes used with roots of this form: jāgarti' is awake', etc. An ī is frequently inserted be-

tween the strong reduplication and the root: 3 sg. varīvarti, kanikranti, ganīganti, 3 pl. davidyutati, bharibhrati (vrt-'turn', krand-'shout', gam-'go', dyut-'shine', bhr-'carry'). The apophony of the root follows the usual system; it is strong in the three persons of the active, elsewhere weak: 3 sg. act. nenekti, pl. nenijati, 3 sg. mid. nenikté. When is inserted after the root in the singular active, the root only has guṇa where this does not produce a long syllable: jôhavīti but vévidīti. The accent is on the reduplication in the strong forms and in the 3 pl. (vévetti, vévidati); elsewhere on the terminations according to the classical grammar (vevidmás, etc.), but the Vedic usage fluctuates: 3 sg. mid. nenikté, etc., beside tétikte, etc.

The terminations are the normal ones (with -ati in the 3 pl. as in the reduplicating class). A common feature is the use of the connecting vowel \(\bar{i}\). This was observed also in the root class (brāvīti, etc.), but it is much more common in the intensive: johavīti, tartarīti, dardarīti, etc. It is never used when the same kind of \(\bar{i}\) appears after the reduplication. It is employed in the three singular persons and once in the dual (tartarīthas). In the 3 sg. mid. the ending -e occurs about as frequently as the ending -te: cekite, jóguve, yoyuve, etc. The 2 and 3 sg. of the imperfect suffer the usual phonetic mutilation: ádardar for 2 sg. *ddardar-s and 3* ádardar-t, etc. The connecting vowel -\(\bar{i}\)-appears in the imperfect in the 3 sg. (ájohavīt) and once in the 3 du. (ávāvasītām). The termination of the 3 pl. act. is -ur as in the reduplicating class: ájohavur.

The intensive forms subjunctives commonly, but almost exclusively with secondary endings. The root has guna only when this does not make a long vowel: 3 sg. janghanat, bobhavat; carkṛṣat, davidyutat. Imperatives are not uncommon: 2 sg dardṛhi, carkṛdhi, 3 sg. veveṣṭu, dadhartu, 2 pl. jāgṛtá; with -tāt, 2 sg. carkṛtāt, jāgṛtāt. There are a few forms with the auxiliary vowel ī: janghanīhi, johavītu. The optative is exceedingly rare (veviṣyāt AV.).

There exist a few intensives with perfect inflections. These are not perfects to the above, but an alternative type of present, in accordance with the old sense of the perfect. Such are davidhāva, nonāva, dodrāva, lelāya with the ordinary meaning of the intensive present.

There exists a second type of intensive formation which re-

duplicates in the same way as the above, but forms its stem by the addition of the accented -yd- suffix and inflects exclusively in the middle: marmrjyáte, dedīpyáte, dodhūyáte, etc. (mrj- 'wipe', dīp- 'shine', dhū- 'shake'). This is rare in the Vedic language, but in the classical language it is commoner than the basic type.

Intensive formations corresponding to the Sanskrit basic type were common in Old Iranian: cf. Av. zaozaomi, čarskrimaki, (opt.) dardairyāṭ, daēdōišṭ, (thematic) naēniāaiti, corresponding to the Sanskrit intensive bases johav-, carkar-, dardar-, dediš and nenij-. Though not recorded outside Indo-Iranian the formation is evidently ancient in Indo-European. The fact that it does not appear elsewhere is due to the general abandonment of non-thematic verbal inflection in the majority of IE languages. In contradistinction the second type of intensive formation (dedīpyáte), though rare in early Sanskrit, has parallels elsewhere, particularly in Greek: πορφύρω ' be in uneasy motion' (Skt. bhur-), παμφαίνω ' shine brightly', δαρδάπτω ' tear asunder', μαρμαίρω ' glitter', etc.

III. The Causative

The causative is the most productive of the secondary conjugations from the early period onwards. The stem is formed by the addition of the suffix -áya- to the root, which normally appears in its strengthened form, and it is identical with the stem of the tenth class of verbs. There are a considerable number of verbal formations in -áya-, particularly in the early language which have no causative function. Some have a frequentative sense (patáyati 'flies about', etc.) which from the comparative evidence is ancient (Gk. moréoua). The causative is only one of the uses attached to the dya- stem, but in course of time it becomes the predominant one. There is in the earlier language a distinction between causatives with strengthened root and non-causatives with weak root: dyutdya-, rucdya-'shine': dyotdya-, rocdya-'illuminate', etc.; similarly between guṇa and vṛddhi in patdya-'fly about', pātdya-'cause to fall '. The distinction is not absolute since there are formations with weak root having a causative sense (drmhava- ' make firm') and conversely formations with strengthened root having a non-causative sense (māddya-' get intoxicated'). In the later language the bulk of the non-causative forms die out, and what

remain are combined with formations of a more denominative character to form the tenth present class.

In the causative the root always has guna where this produces a long syllable: tarpáyati, vardháyati, kalpáyati, bodháyati, cetáyati from trp-' to be satisfied', vrdh-' to increase', klp-' to arrange', budh-' to be aware' and cit-' to observe'. Roots which in their strong form insert a nasal have this in association with guna in the causative: mandáyati 'gladdens', sramsáyati' causes to fall', etc. Where the guna form produces a short syllable (kar-, etc.) vrddhi is most commonly employed in the causatives: kāráyati' causes to do', trāsáyati' terrifies', nāśáyati' destroys', cyāváyati' causes to fall', etc. But a number of such roots retain guna: gamáyati' causes to go', tvaráyati' makes to hasten', namáyati' causes to bend', etc. The non-strengthened form of the root appears normally only with roots that have no other form (gūháyati from guh-' to conceal'), only rarely elsewhere (giráyati, sphuráyati). In dūṣáyati' spoils' (intr. duṣyati, sb. doṣa-) the long vowel serves as a substitute for the normal strengthening. The anomalous pūráyati' fills' is influenced by the form of the past participle passive (pūrná-).

Roots in ā commonly insert -p- before the causative suffix: dāpāyati, sthāpāyati, māpāyati, etc., from dā- 'to give', sthā- 'to stand', mā- 'to measure', etc. This -p- is an old suffix or enlargement which is known from comparative evidence to have been associated with certain of such roots (Lith. stapŷtis' to stand still'), and it has been extended to the whole class in the causative. It is further applied to the root r- (arpāyati) and to a number of roots in -i: adhyāpayati from adhi+i' to study', etc. In ropāyati' plants' (ruh-'to grow') it replaces the final consonant of the root. In Middle Indo-Aryan the popularity of this form of causative grew until it replaced the normal kind. A number of such Prakritic formations appear in later Sanskrit (krīḍāpayati' causes to play', jīvāpayati' causes to live', etc.).

There are a few other miscellaneous insertions before the causative suffix namely -l-: hālayati' 'protects' (hā) n in

There are a few other miscellaneous insertions before the causative suffix, namely -l-: pālayati 'protects' (pā-), n in prīnayati 'pleases' (prī-, prīnāti), s in bhīṣayate 'frightens', -t- in ghātayati 'has slain' (han-).

Formations outside the present system are made more commonly from the causative than from the other forms of secondary conjugation. The future (vardhayisyati, etc.) appears only

very rarely in the Rgveda, but later is regularly made. For the aorist, as already observed, the reduplicated aorist has been adapted to serve for the causative. Besides this a few sporadic is-aorist forms occur in the early language (avādayiṣṭhās, etc.). For the perfect the periphrastic form is used: gamayām cakāra, gamayām āsa. The passive is made by suffixing the passive yá directly to the form of the root as it appears in the causative: kāryāte, sthāpyāte, etc. (simple passive kriyāte, sthīyāte). Nominal forms from the causative are: participle in -tá, kāritā-, gerundive, kārayitavya-, kārya-, kāranīya-, infinitive, kārayitum, gerund, kārayitvā. The suffix of the gerund in -ya is added directly to the root when this is strengthened in the causative (-kārya), otherwise to the ay of the causative suffix (-gamayya).

The present formations in -aya are closely related to the nominal i-stems (roci-/rocay-: rocáyati). The causative stem consists of a thematic enlargement of this suffix, of a type which occurs, though very rarely, in the nominal formations. The formation, since it contains a series of guna vowels, is not likely to be very ancient in Indo-European, but it occurs fairly widely: Gk. τροπέω, στροφέω (τρέπω, στρέφω), Lat. spondeo (: Gk. σπένδω), moneo, Goth. nasjan, drausjan (ga-nisan, driusan), etc. The meaning is frequentative, as usually in Greek, or causative. The latter meaning is normal in Germanic (nasjan 'to save', ga-nisan 'to be saved') and in Slavonic. Where Verner's law operates Germanic confirms the position of the accent on the suffix, as in Sanskrit: wair pan: frawardjan. It has also participial forms corresponding to the Sanskrit participles in -itá (frawardi ps) which are thus shown to be ancient. In Slavonic there is a series of causatives with vrddhi as in Sanskrit, and this is one of the special features which connects the two families with Indo-European: O. Sl. saditi 'to plant', cf. Skt. sāddyati 'makes to sit, settles', slaviti 'to praise', cf. Skt. śrāváyati' makes to hear, be heard'.

IV. The Desiderative

The desiderative stem is formed by means of the suffix -sa associated with reduplication. The vowel of the reduplicating syllable is normally i but u is employed when that vowel occurs in the root: bibhitsati, titppsati, but yúyutsati (bhid-' to split', trp-' be satisfied', yudh-' to fight'). Long i occurs in a very

359

few cases: mimamsate 'investigates' (man- 'to think'). accent rests always on the reduplication.

The root appears normally in its weak form, but a final i and u are lengthened: figișati 'desires to conquer' (ji-), jühūsati 'desires to sacrifice '(hu-). Final r of a root becomes ir or ur before the desiderative -sa: cikīrsati 'desires to do', titīrsati 'desires to cross', múmūrsati' is about to die'. This is phonetically justifiable only in the case of roots in -f, i.e. those originally having final H (tr-, tar(H)-; titirsati) and from these it is extended to the rest.

A number of roots form an abbreviated stem in the desiderative in which the reduplication and the root are contracted into one syllable. An example is dipsati from dabh- 'to injure'. Corresponding to this Av. has diwžaidvai (inf.), and from a comparison of the two an Indo-Iranian stem dibžha- emerges. This represents a simplification of the original consonant group which occurred when the vowel of the root was elided in its weak form, i.e. di-dbh-sa-, a regularly formed desiderative. In the same way siksa- and siksa- appear from sak- and sah-, later dhīkṣa-, ripsa-, lipsa-, etc. (dah-, rabh-, labh-); to these are added ipsa- and irtsa- from roots beginning with a vowel (ap-' to obtain', rdh-' to prosper'). The roots dā and dhā make respectively ditsa- and dhitsa- in which the a of the root has regularly disappeared in the weak form (di-d-sa-, di-dh-sa-).

The roots van- 'to win 'and san- 'to gain 'make the desiderative stems vivāsa- and sisāsa- with ā out of -nH- as in other derivatives.

Roots in \bar{a} , apart from those mentioned above, generally keep the strong form in the desiderative: yivāsa-, pipāsa-, from yā-' to go', pā- ' to drink'. This, like the other forms with strong root below, is a Sanskrit innovation, as is clear from the preservation of ancient stems like ditsa- and the existence of Vedic pi-p-ī-sa- beside pipāsa. Anomalous strong forms appear from certain roots terminating in a nasal: jighāmsa-, jigāmsa- (beside iigamisa-) from han-, gam-. When the desiderative suffix appears as isa with the union vowel a final i, u, r of a root necessarily and a medial i, u, r optionally appear in the guna grade: śiśayiṣa-, ninartiṣa-, etc., but also rurudiṣa-. These and similar forms are laid down by the grammarians, but they do not occur in the earlier language.

Like other verbal formations the desiderative sa may be aug-

mented by the union vowel *i* and appear as -*iṣa*-. In the early language there occurs only *didh-i-ṣa-* (*dhā-*, beside *dhitsa-*); also *i* in certain cases where this enlargement has produced what is in practice an alternative form of the root (*pipiṣa-*, *jihiṣa-*). The numerous classical formations in *iṣa* (which have normally guṇa of root as noted above) are complete innovations.

From the desiderative there are made, though not in the earliest language: a future in işya: ttikşişye, an iş- aorist, dcikīrṣiṣam and a periphrastic perfect, īpsām cakāra/āsa. Nominal derivatives from the desiderative stem occur earlier; the most common are an adjective in -u (titikṣú-) and an abstract noun in ā (mīmāmsā).

In most desiderative stems the meaning ('wish to do something') is straightforward and clear, though sometimes it is rather to be about to do something' (múmūrṣati). In the case of a few roots the desiderative stem has developed a special meaning: cikitsa-' cure', jugupsa-' despise', titikṣa-' endure', bībhatsa- (bādh-) 'abhor', mīmāṃsa-' investigate', śuśrūṣa-' obey'.

The antiquity of the desiderative in Indo-European is attested by the reduced forms (ditsa-, dipsa-) which have been affected by the old apophony. Nevertheless it is not widely represented, a fact which must be due to loss in the individual languages. The only branch of Indo-European outside Indo-Iranian where a comparable formation occurs is Celtic. Since there are no close relations between these two members of the family this is itself an indication that the formation is ancient. The Celtic formation to be compared is the Old Irish reduplicated s-future:

I sg. -ninus <*niniksō (nigid 'washes', cf. Skt. nij-, des. ninikṣati), 2 sg. -riris (con-rig' binds'), 3 pl. lilsit from ligid' licks' (Skt. lih-, des. lilikṣati).

§ 16. DENOMINATIVE VERBS

Denominative verbs are those that are formed on the basis of a noun stem. Ultimately, as already observed, all verbal stems are not to be distinguished from the corresponding noun stems, but they have acquired independence. The denominative proper is a formation by which verbal stems continue to be made from the nouns existing in the language. The suffix employed in making denominatives is accented -yá-, the same suffix which forms one of the primary verbal classes (divyati).

The only difference is that the denominatives preserve the original accent of the suffix which in the primary verbs has been replaced by radical accent. The denominative is of IE origin replaced by radical accent. The denominative is of IE origin and among the other languages it is particularly well represented in Greek: τεκμαίρω 'determine' (for -aryō from the neut. noun τέκμαρ) ἐχθαίρω 'hate', ὀνομαίνω 'name', σαλπίζω 'trumpet' (σάλπιγξ), κηρύσσω 'proclaim' (κῆρυξ), etc., etc. Similar formations in other languages are Lat. custōdio, fīnio (custōs, fīnis), Goth. glitmunjan 'glitter', lauhatjan 'shine', etc. They are also common in Hittite, which gives a greater antiquity to the denominative formation than might otherwise have been expected: irmaliya-' to be ill' (irmalaš'ill'), kušaniya-' hire' (kušan 'pay'), lamniya-' to name' (lāman 'name', cf. Gk. ὀνομαίνω), etc.

Denominatives in -yd- are formed from all the various nominal stems and they may conveniently be classified accord-

nominal stems and they may conveniently be classified accordingly.

Stems in r: vadharyáti 'hurls a weapon', cf. vádhar 'weapon'. This type of nominal stem is practically obsolete, and the result is that there are a number of such denominatives where the corresponding nouns-stem has been lost: śratharyáti 'becomes loose', saparyáti 'attends to, worships', ratharyáti 'rides in a chariot', adhvaryáti 'performs a sacrifice', vithuryáti 'staggers' 'staggers'

Stems in n: Denominatives formed from n-stems are kṛpaṇyáti 'solicits', turaṇyáti 'is speedy', damanyáti 'subdues', bhuraṇyáti 'is active', saraṇyáti 'hastens', dhiṣaṇyáti 'pays attention', ruvaṇyáti 'roars', huvanyáti 'calls', etc. This type is based on the old neuter n-stems, likewise mainly extinct, and corresponding nominal stems are either nonexistent or take the form of thematic derivatives: kppana-, turana-, etc.

Stems in s: These are well preserved and denominatives are frequent: apasyáti 'is active', namasyáti 'reverences', canasyáti 'is pleased', manasyáti 'is mindful of', etc. In some cases the corresponding s-stem is not preserved, e.g. irasyáti ' is jealous', daśasyáti ' renders service to'. In other cases -asya- is extended to become an independent suffix, with a desiderative meaning: vysasydti 'desires the male', stanasydti 'desires the breast'. From the compound stems is and us are formed avisydti 'is eager to help' (the identity of this form with the future in -iṣya- should be noticed), taruṣyáti 'strives to overcome', etc.

Rare examples of denominatives formed from stems in occlusive appear in *bhiṣajyáti* 'acts the physician' and (from a stem not otherwise preserved) *iṣudhyáti* 'implores' (Av. *išūidya*-).

It will be observed from the examples quoted above that the denominatives in yá are normally from the neuter consonantal stems. Denominatives from the masculine (agent-noun) stems are rare: e.g. vṛṣaṇyáti 'acts like a male'. A few such formations are based on the nominative singulars: rājāyáte' is kingly', vṛṣāyáte' acts like a bull', svāmīyáti' treats as master'.

Stems in i and ī: janiyáti 'seeks a wife '(jáni-), taviṣīyáte 'is strong' (táviṣī). The form with long ī is usually extended to stems in short i (kavīyáti 'acts like a wise man', sakhīyáti 'desires friendship', arātīyáti 'is inimical'), but such forms are shortened in the pada text. The suffix -īya- develops to some extent independently, with a desiderative sense, and is applied to other than i-stems: putrīyáti 'desires a son', māṃsīyáti 'craves flesh', etc.

Stems in u and ū: Here also the long form of the suffix is applied to both types of stem, though short u is restored in the pada text: rjūyáti' is straight', vasūyáti' desires wealth', etc. In some cases there is no noun-stem and -ūya- functions as an independent verbal suffix: asūyáti 'grumbles', ankūyáti' moves crookedly', stabhūyáti' stands firm'.

Stems in ā: pṛtanāyáti 'fights', ducchunāyáte' desires mischief', manāyáte' is well disposed'. Denominatives from āstems are an ancient IE type, though more frequently elsewhere formed without the addition of ya: Hitt. newaḥḥun' I renewed', Lat. novāre, Gk. veāv. This type is found in Sanskrit only when ā is incorporated in the root (trāti), otherwise the -ya- denominative is used. The suffix -āya- early became an independent suffix, and there are a number of roots which inflect in this way without there being any corresponding ānouns: mathāyáti 'stirs', śrathāyáti 'loosens', muṣāyáti 'steals', etc. These stems commonly alternate with stems of the ninth class: mathnāti, śrathnāti, muṣṇāti, etc. As a result of the close association of the two types the denominative ya is sometimes appended to ninth class stems: hṛṇāyá-, hṛṇīyá-'be angry',

In the Vedic language the denominative in -āya- is commonly extended beyond its proper field and it is used to form denominatives from thematic stems beside the regular forms in -ayáti: aghāyáti 'plans mischief', aśvāyáti 'seeks for horses', priyāyáte' holds dear'.

Thematic Stems: amitrayáti 'acts like an enemy', devayáti 'cultivates the gods, is pious', vasnayáti' bargains', etc. This is the latest type of denominative formation. The addition of a further suffix to a final thematic suffix is against the principles of IE stem formation. It appears here in the denominative purely by analogy, deva-yá-ti, etc., being created after the pattern of brahman-yá-ti, etc. The resulting stem is similar to the causative, differing only in accent, but the origin and analysis are quite different. On the one hand we have an i-stem with thematic extension (analyse vardháy-a-), on the other hand a thematic stem with the mechanical and analogical addition of the denominative -yá- (analyse deva-yá-). The similarity of the two forms gave rise to some confusion, and there are stems, apparently denominative in origin which have the causative accent: artháyate 'desires', mantráyate 'takes council', mrgáyate 'hunts', etc. These are normally classified in the tenth present class.

In the later classical language most of the old denominatives made from consonant stems disappear. The thematic type remains living and takes two forms: (1) in the active the normal -ayati is used, kaluşayati 'makes turbid', taruṇayati 'rejuvenates', (2) in the middle, with intransitive sense, -āyate is used, kaluṣāyate 'becomes turbid', taruṇāyate 'is rejuvenated'. It was noted above that the -āya-stem, properly a derivative from the nominal ā-stem, was commonly used in the Veda to make denominatives from thematic stems, with the result that there are two alternative formations. In the later development of the language those two are specialised in different uses as just stated.

Forms outside the present system from denominative stems occur with the utmost rarity. There are a few isolated isacrist (avṛṣāyiṣata) and future forms (kanḍūyiṣyati). Participles in -ta (kanḍūyitá-, etc.) are somewhat more frequent. In the Vedic language abstract nouns in ā (vasūyā) and adjectives in -u (vasūyū-), made like the similar formations from the desiderative stem, are common, but the type in general dies out later.

§17. INFINITIVES

The difference between Vedic and classical Sanskrit is nowhere more marked than in the infinitive. The classical language has only one form of infinitive, in tum, which is added to the gunated root (kártum), and which, like other verbal formatives may be provided with the connecting vowel i (bhávitum). In the Vedic language this formation is exceedingly rare, but there exists a whole series of other forms classed as infinitives which do not survive in the later language. These Vedic infinitives consist of a variety of verbal action nouns inflected in various cases, namely:

- (i) Accusative, from root stems and stems in -tu: pratiram' to prolong', dâtum' to give'. The former may be compared with the Oscan-Umbrian infinitives in -om/um: Umbr. erom, Osc. ezum' to be', Osc. edum' to eat', etc. The latter, which eventually becomes the sole form of infinitive, has parallels in the Latin supine (datum) and in Balto-Slavonic (Lith. dêtu, O. Sl. dětů 'to place').
- (ii) Dative, much the most frequent type. These infinitives are made from root stems (drść 'to see', bhujé' to enjoy'), from stems in -as (dyase' to go', arháse' to be worthy of'), from stems in -i (drśdye' to see', yudháye' to fight'), from stems in -ti (vītdye' to enjoy', sātdye' to win'), from stems in -tu (étave' to go', yástave' to sacrifice') from stems in -tava (étavai' to go'), from stems in dhya (duhádhyai' to milk', sáhadhyai' to overcome'), from stems in man (dāmane' to give') and van (dāváne' to give'). Of these the infinitive in -tavai is remarkable in having a double accent (a phenomenon which has not been explained), and in always being followed by the particle u (étavā u). This infinitive, and the one in -dhyai are also distinguished in being formed from stems which are not otherwise in active use, and also in preserving the older form of the dative singular which has been replaced by -āya in the declension of nouns.
- (iii) Ablative-Genitive, from root stems and stems in -tu: avapádas 'falling down', sampreas 'coming in contact'; étos 'going', nidhātos' putting down'.
- (iv) Locative, from root stems (samcaksi 'on beholding'), stems in -san- (nesani 'to lead'), in -tar (vi)dhartari 'to support (bestow)', sotari 'in the pressing'.

The Vedic language (with Old Iranian) represents most accurately the state of affairs in Indo-European. The infinitive as an independent category is not yet fully developed. The forms classed as infinitives are various cases of verbal action nouns, in which as a general rule the case has its normal force:

Acc. váşti ārábham 'he desires to begin, wants a beginning'. Dat. āvis tanvàm kṛṇuṣe dṛśe kam 'you reveal your body for seeing'.

Abl. sá im mahim dhúnim étor aramnāt ' he stopped the great river from flowing '.

A curious feature of the Vedic language is that the noun which is logically the object of the infinitive is placed in the same case as the infinitive, so that for instance 'to see the sun' is expressed drśáye sáryāya, lit. 'for seeing, for the sun'; similarly, with ablative, trådhvam kartåd avapådah 'save us from falling into a pit', lit. 'save us from a pit, from falling down'.

In the normal usage of the Vedic infinitive there is not a great deal to distinguish it from an ordinary verbal noun inflected in an oblique case. One of the few things that places these formations in a special category is the fact that the majority of verbal noun stems which appear in this usage are not otherwise used, nor in other cases. Taking the neuter sstems as an example, there are many regular nouns so formed (yásas 'fame', etc.), but there are in addition a large number which appear only in the dative case, in this infinitival use. Many such dative infinitives are also distinguished formally, since they are given an accent (jīváse) which is different from that of the neuter nouns. The infinitives in the Veda which are most removed from ordinary nominal formation are those formed from stems which are no longer used in the formation of ordinary nouns. Such are the dative infinitives in -dhyai and the comparatively rare locative formations in -sani and -tari. Another feature differentiating infinitive from verbal noun, one only partially developed in the Vedic language, is that it governs the accusative like a verb instead of the genitive like a verbal noun, e.g. máhi dāváne 'to give something big' as opposed to gotrásya dāváne ' for the giving of a herd '.

In the classical language where the infinitive in -tum has re-

In the classical language where the infinitive in -tum has replaced all others, the infinitive has become quite independent of the nominal formation. It also takes over the sense of the dative infinitive (avasthātum sthānāntaram cintaya 'think of another place to stay in ') so that its original force as the accusative of a verbal noun is obscured. In one respect it retains a trace of its nominal origin, because it can be compounded, like a noun-stem, with kāma- and manas: yaṣṭukāma- ' desirous of sacrificing', vaktumanas- ' minded to speak'.

The Sanskrit infinitive, in its final form, is much less developed and integrated into the verbal system than the infinitives of Latin and Greek. The latter languages have developed special forms for various tenses (esse, fuisse) and for the voices (agere, agī), by a process of adaptation which took place independently in the two languages. Nothing of this kind appears in Sanskrit. There are in the Veda a few forms where the infinitive appears attached to special tense-stems (pusyáse 'to flourish', grnīsáni 'to praise', -pfccham 'to ask'; from the perfect vāvrdhádhyai 'to strengthen'), but these tentative formations came to nothing. The system by which the infinitive is formed only from the root prevailed, and the syntactical use of the infinitive is correspondingly wide. In particular it has to function not only as active and middle indiscriminately, but also, when the context demands it, as passive: kartum ārabdhaḥ 'began to be made', etc. This usage is particularly frequent with the passive forms of sak-: kartum na sakvate 'cannot be done'. etc.

§ 18. Active and Middle Participles

Like the infinitives these participles are in origin purely nominal forms and as such have been treated in the chapters concerning the formation and declension of nouns. They belong to the verb inasmuch as they have become integrated into the verbal system. This integration goes further than in the case of the infinitive in Sanskrit (though not as far as in Greek), and the process started earlier. The various participles are attached to particular tense stems, and they are divided, like the finite verb, into active and middle.

The active participle in -ant- is in Classical Sanskrit entirely, and in the Vedic language mainly, formed from the present stems of the verb. In the Vedic language there is a small number of such participles which are attached to the root aorist stem (kránt-, gmánt- from kr- 'do', gam- 'go') and to the a-aorist stem (trpánt-, vrdhánt- from trp- 'be satisfied' and vrdh- 'grow'). This association is mainly superficial, since such

formations are not different from typical adjective formations with accented suffix added straight to the root. Before their integration into the verbal system the -ánt- formations were ordinary adjectives (of which some examples remain, brhánt- 'tall', etc.), and the original type, derived straight from the root and having the adjectival accent, is preserved in these aorist participles.

The adaptation of ant- adjectives to make participles began early, since there are ant- participles also in Hittite. But at the time of the separation of Hittite the ant- participle had not settled down into its final role since in that language the antparticiples are used in a passive sense, as opposed to the active sense in the rest of Indo-European. The specialisation of the formation in *ant* as an active participle was followed by its transference to the present system. The radical formations were replaced by formations made from the various types of present stem (kránt- by krnvánt-, etc.). In the Veda this process is almost complete and the number of aorist participles is already small. By the classical period the process is complete. In Greek the same process began but ended differently, since there the appearance of present participles (φεύγων) beside the older agristic (i.e. suffixally accented type) φυγών led to the evolution of a twofold system in which these two types of participle, like the moods associated with the two tenses, express different kinds of action (punctual and durative). Greek has further extended the formation of this participle to the s-aorist stem, where it is to all intents and purposes nonexistent in Sanskrit, as it was in Indo-European.

The association of the active participle with the present system had the result that its accent (originally on the final, as an adjective) came to correspond to that of the verbal stem to which it was attached. It appears on the suffix in the case of the suffixally accented thematic class (tudánt-) and in non-thematic verbs (duhánt-, śṛṇvánt-, etc.). On the other hand the radically accented thematic verbs keep this accent in the participle: bhávant-, etc. The reduplicating verbs have accent on the reduplicating syllable associated with weak form of the participial suffix even in the strong cases: nom. sg. bíbhrat, acc. sg. bíbhratam.

The formation and morphology of the active perfect participle in -vas/us have already been detailed. The existence of a

separate participle for the perfect is in accordance with the view already recorded that the difference between present/aorist and perfect is the most original division in the verbal tense system. The perfect participle has the perfect sense (as opposed to the aorist participle which has no aorist sense, and as opposed to the moods of the perfect), cakrvás- one who has done, etc. The accent is on the participial suffix and the perfect stem appears in its weak form. The union vowel i (tenivás, etc.) appears under much the same conditions as in the rest of the perfect.

In the middle the participle used is in -amāna for thematic verbs (bhávamāna-, visámāna-, cintáyamāna-) and in -āna for non-thematic verbs (duhānd-, sunvānd-, vuñjānd-, etc.; accent final except in the 3rd class and intensives: júhvāna-, cékitāna-, etc.). The adaptation of these formations as participles is probably later than that of the active participles in -ant, since comparable forms are not widely spread in Indo-European. Corresponding to -amāna- Iranian has -amna- and Greek -όμενος, the actual forms varying in each case. No other IE languages have such participles, and where similar formations appear (Lat. alumnus, etc.) they are purely nominal. The participle in -ana is found only in Indo-Iranian, and only rare formations in the nominal derivation can be compared to it elsewhere (Lat. colonus, etc.). The middle usage of the participle is through adaptation, and it is certainly much later than the existence of middle forms in the finite verb. How the adaptation came about is no longer clear, since there is nothing about the related menformations of the noun that is connected with the middle, and in particular the Greek infinitives in $-\mu\epsilon\nu(a\iota)$, which have also become part of the verbal morphology, have an active, not a middle sense.

What was said above about the integration of the active participle into the present system applies also to the middle participle. Like other derivatives based on the simple n-suffix and the compound men-suffix these were originally made from the root, and after their adaptation as participles the present stem came to be used instead. In the classical language the aorist formations (dṛṣšānd-, vṛdhānd-, śucdmāna-) which incorporate what remains of the old radical formations are replaced in favour of the present tense. In contradistinction to the active there is no special participial suffix for the perfect in the

middle and the form -āna of the non-thematic verbs is used: cakrāṇā-, jajñānā-, etc. This is in accordance with the fact, noted before, that the middle is later in the perfect than in the present-aorist system.

§ 19. THE PAST PARTICIPLE PASSIVE

This participle is most commonly made by the addition of the suffix -tā to the weak form of the root (śrutā-' heard', etc.), and like other verbal derivatives it frequently employs the auxiliary vowel -i- (patitā-' fallen', etc.). The meaning is passive except in the case of intransitive verbs (gatā-' gone', etc.). The formation is ancient in Indo-European as is clear both from the fact that it appears in large proportion of the languages, and because it is subject to the old IE apophony. At the same time it does not appear to go back to the period when Hittite separated, since in that language the passive participle is expressed differently, by the suffix -ant. In contradistinction to the active and middle participles it is not associated with particular tense stems but formed directly from the root both in Sanskrit and other IE languages.

A minority of roots form their past passive participle in nd instead of -td. This is particularly the case with roots in -f (kīrnd-'scattered', gīrnd'swallowed'), roots in -ī (kṣīnd-'wasted away'), roots in -d (bhinnd-'broken', chinnd-'cut') and it is found in a number of roots in -j (bhugnd-'bent', bhagnd-'broken'). Very occasionally other suffixes are so used, notably pakvd-'cooked, ripe'. The details of these formations have been systematically treated in the section dealing with the formation of nouns, and need not be repeated here.

The importance of the past participle passive increases in the later language, and still more so in Prakrit, on account of the change that took place from active to passive construction. It becomes customary in later time to express past actions not by active preterites but by the past passive participle associated with the instrumental: sa mayā dṛṣṭah 'he (was) seen by me 'for 'I saw him'. This resulted in middle Indo-Aryan in the elimination of the old preterites, and in modern Indo-Aryan all the tenses expressing the preterite are based on the old past participle passive.

The past participle passive could be extended by the addition of the possessive suffix -vant: kṛtdvant- 'one who has something (or things) done', and this naturally assumes the functions of an active past participle. This is a creation of Indo-Aryan and the first purely participial formation of this character appears in the Atharva-veda: asitāvaty ātithau 'one's guest having eaten'. Later the participle in tavant (-navant- when roots take -na in this participle) comes to be used independently, the copula being understood, in place of an active preterite: na mām kaścid đṛṣṭavān 'no one has seen (saw) me'. In the classical language this is the common usage and it forms another alternative to the use of the preterite tenses in addition to the passive construction mentioned above.

§ 20. GERUNDIVES OR FUTURE PASSIVE PARTICIPLES

Classical Sanskrit has three verbal adjectives of identical function and having the sense of the Latin gerundive: $k\bar{a}rya$ -, kartavya-, $karan\bar{i}ya$ -' to be done, faciendus'. Of these the first is the only one to be found in the Rgveda, where it is common. The suffix is normally to be pronounced -iya. Formations of this kind are found with all three grades of root: guhya-' to be hidden', dveya-' to be hated', vacya-' to be said'. Final \bar{a} of a root coalesces with the suffix to produce -eya: deya-' to be given'. Roots in i, u, r commonly take the augment -i-before this suffix: srutya-' to be heard'. The accent is normally on the root, but there are some exceptions: bhavya-, adya-.

The formation in -tavya first appears in the Atharvaveda (janitavyà- 'to be born', himsitavyà- 'to be injured'). It becomes commoner in the period of the Brāhmaṇas, and in the classical language it is freely formed from all roots. The accent of the above two examples is the only type that occurs in accented texts. The grammarians allow also acute accent of the penultimate. In origin the formation is a secondary adjectival derivative from the action nouns in -tu.

The gerundive in -antya (a secondary adjectival derivative from the verbal nouns in -ana) is likewise first recorded in the Atharvaveda (upajīvantya). It remains rare in the Brāhmaṇas, but is common in the classical language, though not as frequent as the type in -tavya.

In addition to the three types of gerundive that appear in the classical language there are several formations in the same function that appear only in the Veda:

- (i) in -tva (normally pronounced as two syllables, -tuva) with accent and guna of root: kártva-' to be done', jétva-, nántva-, váktva-, etc.
 - (ii) in -enya (-eniya-): dṛśénya, yudhénya, várenya, etc.
- (iii) in -āyya (trisyllabic): panāyya- 'to be praised, praiseworthy', dakṣāyya-, śravāyya-, etc.

§21. GERUND OR INDECLINABLE PARTICIPLE

This form of participle was analysed above as being a kind of adverbially used action noun. The type of participle is not familiar elsewhere in Indo-European and although the form is explicable through the normal IE processes of stem formation, its adoption in this particular syntactic use is in the main a development of Indo-Aryan.

In the classical language the gerund is formed by means of the suffix $-tv\bar{a}$ when the verbal root is uncompounded by preposition, otherwise by the suffix -ya. In the Rgveda the latter suffix is in the majority of instances long $(-y\bar{a})$ and this no doubt is the more original form, the suffix $-y\bar{a}$ making verbal abstracts being used adverbially in the same way as $-tv\bar{a}$. Other instances have been noted of final $-\bar{a}$ (-aH) appearing as short a due to special circumstances of sentence sandhi (atra, etc.).

Beside $-tv\bar{a}$ the Rgveda also has a form $-tv\bar{d}ya$ which appears to be a contamination of the two alternative forms just mentioned. It also has a form in $-tv\bar{i}$ (hitvi having left, etc.) terminating in the suffix $-\bar{i}$, which is used in the same conditions as the $-tv\bar{a}$ form and is commoner than it. This $tv\bar{i}$ - form though absent in Sanskrit, is continued in certain Middle Indo-Aryan dialects of the North-West and West, and was clearly a local dialectal feature of Old Indo-Aryan.

Extended gerund forms in -tvānam and -tvīnam are mentioned as Vedic by the grammarians but examples of them have not been found in the extant literature. Middle Indo-Aryan has a common gerund in -tūna (gantūna) which appears to contain the same elements as -tvānam, but with different apophony.

The accusative of verbal action nouns in -a is used adverbially in constructions that resemble the gerund: imány ángāni

vyatyåsam sete 'he lies down changing the position of these limbs', etc. This usage does not occur in the earliest literature (RV., AV.), but it is common in the pre-classical prose. In the later classical prose it is comparatively rare, being used chiefly where the form is repeated: darsam-darsam' continually seeing', srāvam-śrāvam' continually hearing'.

CHAPTER VIII

NON-ARYAN INFLUENCE ON SANSKRIT

In the preceding chapters the history and development of the Sanskrit language has been described, from its remote Indo-European beginnings until it received final and definite form in India. The process was one of continual linguistic change, and when Sanskrit was artificially stabilised by the grammarians, this process was continued in the popular speech to produce first the Middle Indo-Aryan languages and finally the Modern Indo-Aryan languages. So far we have dealt only with developments that affected the inherited linguistic material which constitutes the basic texture of the language. But this is not all that has to be taken into consideration, since there are to be found in addition many elements in the language whose origin is to be sought elsewhere, namely in the influence of the various non-Aryan languages in contact with which Indo-Aryan developed.

Such influence affected mainly of course the vocabulary of the language. In more general terms such influence is seen in the phonetic development of a new series of occlusives, the socalled cerebrals. To begin with cerebrals appear in pure Aryan words as a result of phonetic changes affecting these (nižda-> nizda-> nīda-) and although such a development is a part of the processes taking place within Indo-Aryan itself, it can hardly be an accident that it should occur in the only branch of Indo-European which was in contact with languages possessing such sounds. In grammar the rapid loss of the Indo-European grammar in the stages subsequent to Sanskrit was very likely accelerated by the acquisition of Aryan speech by peoples who spoke originally different languages. On the other hand foreign influence in matters of detail is always difficult to establish. One feature in Sanskrit which may perhaps be assigned to such influence is the use of the gerund or conjunctive participle. In form these adverbial participles are of course purely Indo-European in origin, and their structure has been analysed above. On the other hand this type of formation is not used to make such participles elsewhere in Indo-European, and the employment of such adverbial forms to make a type of participle not familiar elsewhere is one of the special characteristics of Indo-Aryan. The same type of participle with the same kind of syntactic usage happens also to be a noteworthy feature of Dravidian. It may well be that the extensive use made of this formation in Sanskrit is partly due to the influence of Dravidian usage.

Although a few points of this kind may profitably be examined from the point of view of foreign influence, it is mainly in the vocabulary that detailed confirmation of such influence must be sought, and it is to an examination of this side of the problem that the present chapter is devoted. The basic vocabulary of Sanskrit is Indo-European, and it is this which has appeared in the preceding chapters, but in addition there exist large numbers of words which are without Indo-European etymology. In the very earliest language such words are few, but they progressively become more numerous. In the Middle Indo-Aryan period there is a further growth of new vocabulary, and again in the Modern Indo-Aryan languages there appears an abundance of words which are unknown to the earlier stages of the language.

The tendency to substitute new words for inherited IE words has been permanently active in Indo-Aryan. Among common examples in Sanskrit we may note ghoṭaka- 'horse' which appears beside asva- in later Sanskrit and supplants it in the later history of Indo-Aryan. Similarly svan- 'dog' gives way to kukkura- and its derivatives. It is not unusual to find pairs of names in Sanskrit, used equally commonly, of which one is non-Aryan, e.g. mārjāra- 'cat' (mrj-) beside biḍāla-, vyāghra-'tiger' beside śārdūla-, rkṣa- 'bear' beside bhallūka-. Sometimes the number of synonyms is much greater. The common word for elephant is hastin- ('possessed of a hand'), but beside it, all in common use, we find gaja-, kuñjara-, ibha-, nāga- and mātanga-. Similarly beside mahişa 'buffalo' we find other terms such as kāsara-, lulāya-, sairibha- and heramba-. In such cases what are clearly local words, belonging originally to different languages have been adopted into Sanskrit, and the multiplicity of the Sanskrit vocabulary reflects an original linguistic complication in India which has receded before the advance of Indo-Aryan.

These few examples serve to illustrate the composite nature of the Sanskrit vocabulary, and the total number of such extraneous words is very large. Their source is mainly to be found in pre-Aryan languages of India. It is likely that there existed in India various linguistic groups which have been totally extinguished by the advance of Indo-Aryan, and in so far as Sanskrit has drawn words from such sources, their origin must remain for ever unknown. On the other hand those non-Aryan languages which have maintained their independent existence form a valuable source for the investigation of the extraneous elements in Sanskrit. It will therefore be convenient to enumerate the various groups involved, and to examine what contribution each has to make to the investigation of the problem.

On its northern and eastern frontiers Indo-Aryan is contiguous with Tibeto-Burman languages and a number of such dialects are spoken within the political frontiers of India. In spite of this contact no evidence of influence from this side on Indo-Aryan has been produced. This linguistic family has always remained essentially external to India proper. Furthermore it appears that on the Eastern frontiers of India these peoples have displaced earlier Austro-Asiatic populations and that their contact is not very ancient. It is possible that a few Sanskrit words may eventually be traced to this origin, but at present no satisfactory evidence of such influence is available.

In the extreme North-West of India there is found Burushaski, a language which so far stands on its own. A connection between Burushaski and certain of the Caucasian languages has been suggested, but not proved, though it is possible that further work in this direction might be fruitful. An earlier form of this language must have existed in this region before the Aryan invasion, and it is likely to have occupied a more extensive territory. Evidence that Sanskrit has been influenced from this source has not been produced. It is a border language and such influence would only have been possible in the very earliest period of Indo-Aryan, since from the Vedic period it must have existed much as it does now, an isolated unit in a remote mountain tract.

In Eastern India there is found a family of languages which is of considerable importance from this and other points of view. The Munda or Kolarian languages as they are variously called, have in no instances achieved the status of literary languages but they are important scientifically firstly because there is definite evidence that Indo-Aryan had been influenced from this source, and secondly because of their connection with Mon, Khmer and other languages east of India. The most important centre of this family is the Chota Nagpur Plateau, where Santali, Mundari, and a number of fairly closely related dialects are spoken. In Orissa, not far from the above area occurs Juang, and further south, on the Orissa Madras border, Savara, Gadaba and two other dialects which form a special group within the Munda family. Of these Savara is particularly well preserved and less overlaid by Indo-Aryan than most members of the family. The most western Munda tribe is that of the Kurkus, who occupy the Satpura and Mahadeo hills in Madhya Pradesh.

The most important linguistic family in India outside Indo-Aryan is the Dravidian family. Four members of this family have achieved the status of literary languages—Tamil, Malayalam, Telugu, Kanarese, and in the case of Tamil the literary tradition goes back for at least two thousand years. Besides the major languages there are numerous minor non-literary Dravidian languages spoken in various parts of India, namely:

- (i) Southern: Tulu, Coorg, Toda, Kota.
- (ii) Central: (a) Kolami-Naiki, Parji, Dravidian Gadba;
- (b) Gondi, Konda, Pengo, Kui, Kuvi.
- (iii) Northern: (a) Kūrukh, Malto; (b) Brahui.

The existence of the last member of the family in Baluchistan, far away from the main concentration of Dravidian is consistent with the theory that before the Aryan conquest Dravidian occupied a much greater area including considerably portions of Northern India. We shall see that the extensive influence of Dravidian on Sanskrit, beginning at an early period, also seems to point to this conclusion.

In addition to the above-mentioned linguistic groups it has recently been established that the Nahali language still spoken by a small number of people in the Nimar district of Madhya Pradesh constitutes a further independent unit, unconnected with any of the groups previously mentioned. This language was briefly treated in the Linguistic survey of India where it was wrongly assigned to the Muṇḍa or Kolanian family. Examination of the material provided by the Linguistic Survey

itself rendered this assertion extremely doubtful, and recent field researches, by greatly increasing the material available, have made it clear that Nahali is the last surviving remnant of what must originally have been a quite independent family. Yet others may have existed of which nothing is now known, and the possibility that Sanskrit (and also later Indo-Aryan) has drawn on such sources is always to be borne in mind.

Remains of an ancient language of India have been unearthed in the Indus cities of the third millennium B.C. So far no serious progress has been made in its decipherment, since no key to the solution is available. There is at present no means of knowing what kind of language is represented in these documents, which might be connected with one of the linguistic groups known in India, or be something quite different. Nor is there any means of knowing whether or not Sanskrit may have been influenced from this. There is only the possibility that some day, with the discovery of further information, a new chapter may be contributed to the linguistic history of India.

From this brief survey it is clear that there are two practical sources where the origin of the non-Arvan element in Sanskrit may be sought, namely the Munda and Dravidian languages, and in both these directions progress has been made. As far as the Munda languages are concerned the main difficulty is that many of them have been inadequately explored. A necessary basis for the study of their influence on Sanskrit is a proper comparative study of the languages themselves, but this cannot be undertaken until adequate grammars and dictionaries exist for all the independent members. At present the most detailed information exists for the Northern group (Santali, Mundari, etc.) but this happens to be the one which has been most profoundly influenced by Indo-Aryan. Consequently in the absence of full comparative evidence it is often difficult to decide which way the borrowing has taken place. There is also the question of the relation of Munda and Mon-Khmer. The evidence of this is clear enough to be decisive, but it has not been worked out in proper detail. This will eventually be necessary both for the comparative study of the Munda languages themselves, and for the special question under discussion, their influence on Indo-Arvan.

The connection between Munda and Mon-Khmer, etc., as members of a larger Austro-Asiatic family, has normally been assumed by those who have investigated this section of the Sanskrit vocabulary. Such etymologies are in some cases only available from Austro-Asiatic languages outside India. For instance one of the words for elephant mentioned above. mātanga- has been explained as Austro-Asiatic for 'animal with a hand ' (cf. hastin-), but the forms with which it may be compared (tang 'hand', maintong 'elephant') are quoted not from India but from the Malay peninsula. The same is the case with Skt. anganā 'women' which is explained as containing a common Austro-Asiatic word for woman with prefix an-: cf. Khmer kan, Mon k'nā, etc., with prefix a-, Bahnar akan, with prefix en-, Nicobar enkāna. The bird known in Sanskrit as kulinga- ('fork-tailed strike') has apparently an Austro-Asiatic name (Khasi khlīn 'kite, eagle', Khmer khlen, Stieng klin' kite'), but forms are not quoted from Munda. Common Austro-Asiatic words may have ceased to be current in Munda. or not known through defective documentation, and consequently etymologies based on languages outside India may be consistent with Sanskrit having acquired the words in India. In some cases the source of a word is definitely to be sought outside India, e.g. in the case of imported plants. Such is the case with lavanga-' cloves', where the origin of the plant as well as the name (Javanese lawan, etc.) is to be sought in Indonesia.

The following is a short list of words for which, with reasonable plausibility, a Munda, or more widely, Austro-Asiatic source has been claimed:

alābu 'bottle-gourd': cf. Malay labu, labo, Khmer lbow, Batak labu, etc.

unduru- rat': with prefix un-; cf. Khmer kāndŏr with different prefix, Savara guntur- rat', further Savara ondren-rat'.

kadalī 'banana': cf. Sakai telui, kelui, Nicobar talūi, Khmer tut taloi, Palaong kloai 'plantain'; Savara kin-tēn- 'banana'.

karpāsa- 'cotton' (>Gk. κάρπασος): cf. Malayan kapas, etc. An unprefixed form appears in Črau paç, baç, Stieng patic, which may be reflected in Ta. pañci, Ka. pañji 'cotton', and possibly in Skt. picu 'id'.

jambāla- 'mud': cf. Santal jobo, etc., 'damp'. Kharia jobhi 'swampy ground', jubilā 'a wet field', Ho. jobe 'mud', Savara jobbā- 'id'.

jim-, jemati 'to eat' (late; common in Mod. IA, Hi. jevnā 'to eat', jimānā 'to feed', Mar. jevnē 'to eat', etc.): cf. Santal jām, Kurku jome, Juang jim, Savara jvm, etc.

tāmbūla- 'betel': prefixed form; cf. Alak balu, Khmer mluo, Bahnar bölöu, etc.; various prefixes, Mon jablu, Halang lamlu, etc. No form is quoted corresponding exactly to Sanskrit, but the same radical element is shared by all.

marica-' pepper': cf. Savara marid-sā-. This language has also a shortened form mid-, indicating that the r in the full form is an infix, and the whole word is apparently a compound. The shortened form of the stem has apparently passed into Dravidian (Ta. milahu ' pepper').

lāngala- 'plough', Pa. nangala: cf., with varying prefixes, Khmer ankāl, Čam lanal, lanar, Khasi ka-lynkor, Malay tengala, tangāla, Batak tingala, Makassar nankala. In Muṇḍa there is Santal nahel. This word is interesting because Dravidian has borrowed independently from the same source: Ta. $n\bar{a}ncil$, Ka. $n\bar{e}gal$, etc. A non-prefixed form with the change k>h characteristic of the northern group of Muṇḍa languages, appears in Sanskrit as hala- 'plough'.

sarṣapa- 'mustard', Pkt. sāsava-; cf. Malay sesawi, etc.: Old Tamil aiyavi (<*sasavi) is an independent loan from Austro-Asiatic.

This short selection of words is sufficient to show the importance of Austro-Asiatic as a source of Sanskrit words. When the languages concerned have been properly studied and properly compared it is expected that more will be available, and that there will be greater certainty about the detailed history of the forms concerned. At present such studies are in their infancy, so that it is not possible to estimate how much of the Indo-Aryan vocabulary will eventually prove to be derived from this source.

The most important source of the foreign element in the Sanskrit vocabulary is to be found in the Dravidian languages. Although the comparative study of the Dravidian languages is still in its infancy, the position is much better than with the Muṇḍa languages. Full lexicographical material is available for the major literary languages, and although much work remains to be done in the first-hand study of the minor languages, more is known about them than about the majority of the Munda languages. More work has been done on the influ-

ence of Dravidian on Sanskrit and more abundant results have been achieved. It has become clear that quite a considerable portion of the Sanskrit vocabulary is of Dravidian origin, and that this influence has operated over a long period in the history of the language.

The influence of Dravidian on Sanskrit may be illustrated by a list of the most important and certain of the Dravidian loans: aguru-' fragrant aloe wood': Ta. Ma. akil, Tu. agilu'id'. ankola-' Alangium hexapetalum': Ta. arificil, Ma. arififil'id'.

anala-' fire': Ta. anal' fire'; vb. 'to burn', Ma. anal' fire, heat', Ka. analu' heat'.

arka- 'Calotropis gigantea': Ta. erukku, Ma. erikku, Ka. erke, ekke, yakka, Tu. ekkamāle, ekkame 'id'.

alasa-'lazy, tired, faint': Ta. alacu' to be exhausted, become weary, alacal 'laziness, languor', alaicu' to be lazy', alaiyal' languishing, drooping', Ma. alayuka' to be wearied', alasal' fatigue', Ka. alasu' to become weary, relaxed, tired', etc.

ārabhaṭa- 'violent, turbulent, noisy': Ka. ārbaṭa- 'crying aloud, roaring', Tu. ārbaṭa 'a fearful noise, uproar', Ta. ārbhaṭamu 'cry, uproar'.

uñch- 'to glean' (proñch- 'rub, wipe, wipe out, efface'): Ta. uriñcu' to rub, scrape', Ka. ujju' to rub', etc.

ulapa- 'bush, shrub, a kind of soft grass, a creeper': Ta. ulavai 'green twig with leaves on it, branch of a tree, grove, n. of various shrubs'.

ulupin- 'porpoise': Ka. unaci, Ta. uluca, ulasa.

ulūkhala-' mortar': Ta. ulakkai ' pestle', Ma. ulakka, Ka. olake' id', Te. rõkali' a large wooden pestle'.

eḍa- 'sheep, ram, wild goat ': Ta. yāṭu, āṭu 'goat, sheep ', Ma. āṭu 'id ', Ka. āḍu 'goat ', Tu. ēḍu 'id ', Te. ēṭa 'ram ', Go. yēṭi 'she-goat ', Brah. hēṭ 'id '.

kanka-'heron': cf. Ta. Ma. Ka. kokku'crane, stork, heron', Tu. korngu'crane', Te. konga, Kuvi kongi'id', etc.

kajjala- 'soot, lampblack ': Ta. karical 'blackness'.

kaţu- 'pungent, acrid, sharp': Ta. kaţu 'severe, pungent, sharp', Ma. kaţu- 'extreme, impetuous, fierce', kaţukka 'grow hard, sharp', Ka. Te. Tu. kaḍu 'severe, intense', etc.

kathina- 'hard, firm, stiff': Ta. katti 'anything hardened, coagulated', Ka. kadugu' become hard', gatti 'firmness, hard-

ness', Tu. gatti 'firm, hard', Te. kattidi 'hard-hearted', gatti 'hard, firm'.

karīra- 'shoot of bamboo': cf. Ka. karīle 'bamboo shoot', Tu. kanīle, Pj. karrī, Kur. kharrā 'id', Brah. kharrīng 'to sprout'.

kaluşa- 'turbid': Ta. kalur 'to become turbid', kaluri 'turbid water', cf. Ta. kalanku 'to be stirred up', Kur. khalakhnā 'to disturb, make muddy as water', etc.

kāka- 'crow': Ta. kākkai, Ma. kākka, Ka. kāke, Pj. kākal,

Kur. khākhā, Malt. qāqe, Brah. khākhōʻid'.

kāca-, kāja- 'carrying yoke': Ta. kā 'id', kāvu 'carry with yoke', Pj. kācal 'carrying yoke', kāñ- 'to carry with yoke', Kui kāsa, Kuvi kānju 'carrying yoke'.

kāficika-, kāfijika- 'rice-gruel': Ta. kafici, Ma. kafifi, Ka.

Tu. Te. gafiji 'id'.

kānana-'forest': Ta. kā'forest', kān'd', kānam' woodland, grove', kānal' grove or forest on the sea shore', Ma. kāvu' garden, grove', kānal' dry jungle', Ka. kā' forest'.

kāla- 'black': Ta. kār, Ka. kār 'blackness', kargu' to turn

black '.

kuța- 'pot ': Ta. Ma. kuțam, Ka. koḍa, Kot. koṛm 'id '.

kuți- 'hut, house': Ta. Ma. kuți, Ka. Tu. Te. gudi 'hut, house, temple', Kui. kūri 'hut'.

kuţila-'crooked': Ta. koţu'crooked', kuţa'curved, bent', kuţavu'bend, curve', Ma. koţu, Ka. kudu'crooked'.

kuff- 'to pound': Ta. Ka. kuffu 'pound, beat', Malt. qofe 'knock, strike, beat', Kur. khoffnā 'to break', etc.

kuṇḍa- 'hole in the ground, pit ': Ta. kuṇṭu 'hollow, pool, pit ', Ma. kuṇṭu 'hole, pit ', Ka. kuṇṭe, kuṇḍa, guṇḍi 'hole, pit ', etc.

kunda- 'pot': cf. kuta-, etc.

kunda- 'clump': Ka. konde 'tassel', gonde 'cluster, tuft, tassel', Tu. gonde 'id', etc.

kuṇḍala-'ring, earring, coil of rope': cf. Ka. guṇḍa, guṇḍu'round', Tu. guṇḍu'anything round', guṇḍala'an ear-ornament', Te. guṇḍrana'roundness', guṇḍrani'round'.

kuddāla-' kind of spade or hoe': Ka. guddali' kind of pickaxe, hoe', Tu. guddoli, Te. guddali' id', guddaliñceu' to hoe', Kat. kudāy' hoe', Malt. qodali' id'; cf. Ka. guddu' strike, pound', etc.

kuntala- 'hair of the head': Ta. Ma. kūntal, Ka. kūdal'id'.

kurula- 'curl': Ta. kurul 'to curl, a curl', Ma. kurul 'curls', Ka. kurul, Te. kurulu'id'.

kulattha- 'Dolichos uniflorus ': Ta. koļ, Ma. koļļu, Tu. kudu, Pj. kol 'id'.

kuvalaya-' lotus': Ta. kuvaļai, Ka. kōmaļe, kōvaļ, kōļe' id'. kūrd-' to leap, jump, play': cf. Ta. kuti' jump, leap', Ma. kuti' leap, gallop', Ka. kuduku' trot', gudi' jump'; cf. also Ta. Ma. kūttu' to dance', Ka. kūtu' dance, play'.

kūpa- 'mast': Ta. Ma. kūmpu, Tu. kūvė, kuvè 'id'.

ketaka- 'Pandanus odoratissimus': Ta. kaitai, kaital, Ma. kaitā, Ka. kēdage, Te. gēdage 'id'.

kemuka- (also kevuka-, kecuka-, kacu-, kacvī) 'Colocasia antiquorum': Ta. Ma. cēmpu, Tu. cēvu, tēvu, Ka. kesu, kesa, kesavu, kēsu, kēsave, Te. cēma'id'.

kojara- 'hollow, cavity': Ka. gojaru, gojru, cf. godagu 'hollow, hole', kojja, gojja 'bamboo tube', etc.

koṇa-'corner': Ta. kōṇ'crookedness, corner, angle', kōṇu'to be bent, crooked', Ma. kōṇ'corner, angle', kōṇuka'to bend', Ka. kōṇ, kōṇe, Tu. kōṇè, Te. kōna'corner'.

koraka-' bud': Ta. kurai' sprout, shoot', Kui. kōru' new shoot or bud', Go. korsānā' to sprout', Kur. khōrnā' to shoot out new leaves', khōr' leaf bud, new leaves', Malt. qōroce' to sprout'.

khala- 'threshing-floor': Ta. Ma. kaļam 'threshing-floor, open space', Ka. kaļa, kaṇa 'threshing floor', Te. kalanu, Pj. kali, Go. kaṇā, Kui. klai 'id'.

khala-'a rogue': Ta. kal' to steal', kalvan' thief', kalavu' theft, deception', Ka. kalla 'thief', Te. kalla 'deceit', kallari'a rogue', etc.

ganda-'lump, excrescence, boil': Ka. gadde' a bulbous root, a lump', gante, gadde, gende' id'. Cf. also Skt. gadu' excrescence, lump', from the same source.

guḍa- 'globe, ball',: Te. guḍusu 'a circle, round', guḍḍu 'eyeball', goḍḍa 'a cylindrical stone', Ka. guḍasu 'anything round', guḍḍu 'eyeball', etc.

ghuna- 'wood worm': Ka. gonne (-puru) 'id'.

ghūka- 'owl': Ta. kūkai, Ka. gūgi, gūge, gūbi, Te. gūbi, gūba 'id'.

cikkaṇa- 'unctuous, viscid': cf. Ta. Ma. cikku 'to be stuck fast', Ka. sikku 'id', cigil, jigil 'to be viscous, glutinous', jigaţu 'stickiness, gumminess', etc.

catura- 'dexterous, clever': Ta. catur 'ability, skill', Tu. cadupu 'skill', Ka. caduru, ceduru 'cleverness', Te. caduvu 'to learn', caduru 'cleverness'.

candana-'sandal wood': cf. Ta. cāntu' paste, sandal paste', cāttu' daub, smear', Ma. cāntu' sandal paste', Ka. sādu' a fragrant substance', Te. cādu' to rub into a paste'.

capețā 'slap with the open hand ': Ka. capparisu ' to slap ', cappați 'clapping the hands ', Te. cappața 'a clap of the hands ', etc.

cumb- 'to kiss': Ta. cūppu 'to suck', cūmpu 'to suck, fondle with the lips', Tu. jumbuni 'to suck', etc.

cūḍā-' tuft of hair, crest': Ta. cūṭu' to wear on the head; hair-tuft, crest', Ma. cūṭuka 'to wear on the head', cūṭṭu' cock's comb', Ka. sūḍu.

talina-'thin, slender, meagre, clear': Ka. tel 'thinness, fineness', tellage 'thin, delicate', tellane'id', etc.

tādaka-, tāla-, tālaka- 'lock, bolt': Ta. tār 'bolt, bar', tār-kkōl' id', Ma. Ka. tār, Tu. tārkolu' id'.

tāmarasa- 'lotus': Ta. tāmarai, Ma. tāmara, Ka. tāmare, tāvare, Te. tāmara, Pj. tāmar 'id'.

tāla- 'Palmyra-palm': Ka. tār, Te. tādu 'id'.

tubarī- 'Cajanus indicus': Ta. tuvarai, Ma. tuvara, Ka. togari, tovari, Tu. togari, togarè 'id'.

tuvara- 'astringent': Ta. tuvar 'to be astringent: astringency', tuvarppu 'astringent taste', Ka. tuvara-, tovara-, togari, togaru 'astringent', Kui. torpa 'to be astringent'.

tūla-'cotton, down': Ta. Ma. tūval' feather, down', etc. danda-'stick, cudgel, stem, handle': Ta. tantu 'stalk, staff', Ka. dantu 'stalk', danda 'staff', Tu. dantu 'stalk', dante' walking stick', dandu 'stalk', Te. dantu 'stalk', etc.

nakra-'alligator': Ka. negar, Tu. negaru, Te. negadu'id'.
nibida-'pressed tight, close, compact': cf. Ta. ñemiţu,
niminţu'crush, press, squeeze', Ma. ñamunţu, ñevinţu'id',
Tu. naunţuni'to pinch'.

nirgundī- 'Vitex negundo': cf. Ta. Ma. nocci, Tu. nekki, Ka. nekki, lekki, lakki, 'id'.

nīra- 'water': Ta. Ma. Ka. nīr, Tu. nīru, Te. nīru, Pj. nīr 'water', Kui nīr 'juice, sap, essence', Brah. dīr 'water'.

patola- 'Trichosanthes dioeca': Ta. putal, putalai, Ma. puttal, pittal, Ka. potla'id'.

pan- 'to bargain, wager', pana- 'wager, compact, agree-

ment': Ta. punai' to tie; tie, bond, pledge, security', Ka. pone' bond, bail', Tu. punè' id'.

paṇḍa- 'eunuch, effeminate man': cf. Ta. pen, pențu 'woman', pețțaiyan, pēți 'hermaphrodite', Ka. pen, penḍa 'woman', Te. penți 'female', pēḍi 'eunuch', etc.

pandita- 'wise, learned': properly 'ripened, mature', cf. Te. pandu 'to ripen, mature; ripe', panda 'wisdom, intelligence', Pi. pand-'to mature', Go. Kol. pand-'to ripen'.

pallī 'house lizard': Ta. Ma. Ka. Tu. palli, Te. ballī 'id'.

palli' small village': Ta. Ma. Ka. palli 'hamlet, settlement, small village', Te. palli, palliya' small village'.

pāli-: 'row, line, margin, dike': Ka. pāri'row, line, regularity, method, rule', Ma. pāļi'row, line', Te. pāḍi'propriety'. piṭaka-'boil, blister': cf. Tu. puṭla, poṭla' pustule, blister', puḍi'sore, ulcer', Go. boṭṭa' blister', Kui. poḍosi'boil', poṭkori' blister', āḍipuṭi'small-pox pustule'.

pinda- 'lump, clod': Ka. petta, pette, pente, hente, hende 'clod, lump of earth', Te. pedda, pella 'id', pindali 'a lump or mass', Ka. Te. pindu 'to squeeze together'.

punkha- 'feathered part of arrow': Ta. puruku 'arrow-head', Ka. piluku, pilku 'feathered part of arrow'.

puța- 'fold, pocket, leaf basket ': cf. Ta. puțțil 'basket, sheath', Ka. puțți 'basket of leaves', etc., Te. puți 'flower-basket', Kui. puți 'basket'. Cf. further Skt. pițaka- 'basket'. puttikā- 'termite': cf. Ta. purru, Ka. puttu, Te. puțța, Malt.

pute 'anthill'. This Drav. word also appears in Skt. as puta- in pipīlakapuṭa- 'anthill'.

punnaga- 'Calophyllum inophyllum': Ta. punnai, Ma. punna, Ka. ponne, punnike, Tu. ponne, Te. ponna'id'.

baka- 'crane': Ta. vakkā, vankā 'white stork', Te. vakku 'crane'.

bala- 'strength': Ta. val 'strong', valam 'strength', Ma. val 'strong', Ka. bal 'strong', balume, baluhu 'strength, power', Tu. balu 'big, powerful', Te. vali, valuda 'id', etc.

biḍāla-, birāla-' cat': Ta. Ma. veruku, Ka. berku, Go. warkār, Kur. berkhā are related radically though formed with a different suffix.

bila-'hole, cave': Ta. viļavu'cleft, crack', viļ, viļļu'to crack, split', Ma. viļļu'to crack, burst open', viļļal'a hollow, rent', viļļu'a crack, aperture'.

385

bilva- 'Aegle marmelos': Ta. viļā, viļavu, veļļil, Ma. viļā, Ka. beļaval, Te. velāga 'id'.

manku-'confused, stupefied' (Buddh, skt madgu-): cf. Ta. makku 'to become dull, dullness', manku 'to grow dim, lose lustre', Ma. mannuka'id', Ka. manku 'dimness, obscurity', maggu 'grow dim or faint'.

mațaci 'grasshopper, locust': Ka. midice, midite 'grasshopper, locust', Te. miduta, Pj. mițaka, Kol. mițte' id'.

mayūra- 'peacock': Ta. maññai, mayil, Ma. mayil, Tu. mairų, Pj. mañil 'id'.

mallikā 'jasmine': Ta. mullai, Ma. mulla, Ka. molle, Te. molla 'id'.

mași- 'ink, lampblack': Ta. mai 'blackness, ink, lampblack', Ka. masi 'dirt, impurity, soot, ink', Tu. maji 'coal, black powder, ink', Kui māsi 'dirt'.

mahilā 'woman, female': Ta. makaļ 'daughter, woman, wife', makaļu 'id', Ma. makaļ 'daughter', Tu. magaļu, Pj. māl 'id'.

mālā- 'wreath, garland': Ta. mālai, Ma. Te. māla, Ka. māle 'id'; cf. further Ta. malai 'to wear as a garland' and Ta., etc., malar 'flower'.

mīna-' fish ': Ta. mīn, Ka. mīn, Te. mīnu, Go. Pj. mīn, Kui, Malt. mīnu 'id '.

mukuţa- 'crest, diadem': cf. Ta. Ma. mukaţu 'top, highest part, head', Ta. mucci 'crown of head', Ma. mukaţ 'top, summit, ridge, roof', Ka. Te. mogaḍu 'ridge of a roof', Tu. mugili 'turret', Go. mukur 'comb of cock'.

mukula- 'bud': Ta. Ma. mukir 'a bud', Ta. mukai 'to bud; a bud', Ta. mokkul 'a bud', Ka. mugul 'a bud; to bud', moggu, mogge 'a bud', Kui mogo 'bud'.

muktā 'pearl': Ta. muttu, muttam, Ma. Ka. Tu. muttu 'id'.

muraja-'drum': Ta. muracu'a drum', muravam'a drum; noise, reverberation', mural'to sound', Ka. more'to hum, buzz', Te. morayu'to sound'.

murungī- 'Moringa pterygosperma': Ta. murunkai, Ma. murinna, Ka. nugge, nuggi, Tu. nurige, nurge, Te. munaga, Pj. mulnga'id'.

lālā- 'saliva, spittle ': Ma. ñōļa, nōļa, Tu. ñōli, nōņe, Ka. lōle 'id '.

valaya- 'bracelet': Ta. vaļai 'circuit, bracelet', etc.;

vb. 'to bend, to be round, surround', Ka. bale 'bracelet', balasu' to go round, encircle, encompass'.

valli- 'creeper': Ta. Ma. valli, Ka. balli, Te. valli 'id'.

śakala- 'scales of fish: bark' (śalka-, śalkala- 'id'): Ta. cekiļ 'skin or rind of fruit: fish-scales', Tu. caguļi 'rind of fruit', Malt. cheglo' id'.

satha- 'deceitful, dishonest': cf. Ka. cotta, sotta 'crookedness', sati, sete, sede 'become crooked or bent', Te. cotta 'crookedness, lameness'.

śava- 'corpse': cf. Ta. cā 'to die', cāvu 'death', Ka. sā 'to die', sāvu 'death; a corpse', Te. caccu 'die', cāvu 'death', etc.

śūrpa-' a winnowing basket or fan ': Ka. tūru' to winnow', tūruvike 'winnowing', Tu. tūpu 'winnowing', tūpuni 'to winnow', Te. tūrpiḍi 'winnowing', tūrpettu 'to winnow'.

sīmikā 'ant': Te. cīma, Kol. si-ma, Kuvi sīma 'ant'.

hintāla- 'the marshy date tree': Ta. īntu 'date-palm', īncu, īccam-panai 'date-palm', Ma. ītta, īttal, Ka. īcal, īcil, Tu. īncilu, īcilu, Te. īdu, īdādu 'id'.

hudukka- 'small drum': Ta. utukku, utukkai 'small drum', Ma. utukka, Tu. uduku, Te. uduka- 'id'.

heramba- 'buffalo': Ta. erumai 'buffalo', Ma. erima, eruma, Ka. emme, Tu. erme, Go. ermī, armī 'id'.

Concerning the date when these words were taken into Sanskrit, it may be observed that the majority are post-Vedic. On the other hand it is important to note that there is a small nucleus already found in the Rgveda. Such are: ulūkhala-, kaţuka-, kunda-, khala-, danda-, pinda-, bala-, bila-, mayūra-. The number added in the later Samhitas (e.g. Av. tūla-, bilva-, VS. kanka-, śūrpa-) and in the Brāhmanas (e.g. S Br. arka-, alasa-, pandita-, manku-, śava-) remains comparatively restricted. The large majority appear first in the classical language, but in its early stage, being first recorded in Pāṇini, Patanjali, Mahābhārata, Śrautasūtra, etc. The majority appear also in Pali, which is important for dating since these canonical texts take us back to a period from 500-300 B.C. The number that occur first only in later Sanskrit literature is again comparatively small. It is clear that as far as Sanskrit is concerned the active period of borrowing from Dravidian was well over before the Christian era. In Prakrit there are some new borrowings from Dravidian, but they are a good deal less numerous

than those recorded above for the early Sanskrit period. They form only a small percentage of the new vocabulary of Prakrit. The common vocabulary of Modern Indo-Aryan has further new elements as opposed to Prakrit, but it is only rarely that any of these can be shown to be Dravidian.

It is evident from this survey that the main influence of Dravidian on Indo-Aryan was concentrated at a particular historical period, namely between the late Vedic period and the formation of the classical language. This is significant from the point of view of the locality where the influence took place. It is not possible that at this period such influence could have been exercised by the Dravidian languages of the South. There were no intensive contacts with South India before the Maurya period by which time the majority of these words had already been adopted by Indo-Aryan. If the influence took place in the North in the central Gangetic plain and the classical Madhyadesa the assumption that the pre-Aryan population of this area contained a considerable element of Dravidian speakers would best account for the Dravidian words in Sanskrit. The Dravidian languages Kurukh and Malto are preserved even now in Northern India, and may be regarded as islands surviving from a once extensive Dravidian territory. The Dravidian words in the Rgveda attest the presence of Dravidian in North-Western India at that period. Brahui in Baluchistan remains as the modern representative of north-western Dravidian.

It follows that the problem of Dravidian loanwords in Sanskrit is somewhat different from what is usually met with in loanword studies, since the particular dialects or languages from which the borrowings took place have vanished leaving no record behind, and the major Dravidian languages of the South, with which mainly the comparisons must be made, are separated by great distances geographically and by anything up to a millenium or over in time. Fortunately the differences between the various Dravidian languages are not so great as to render dubious the reconstruction of the primitive form of the language and the form of words met with in the loanwords in Sanskrit does not differ materially from that which is arrived at by the comparative study of the existing Dravidian languages. It is a characteristic of the Dravidian languages that they have not evolved with the same rapidity as Indo-Arvan, and consequently the classical Dravidian languages and even the minor spoken languages recorded only in modern times can be used profitably to trace the Dravidian origin of Sanskrit words which were borrowed before any of these languages are themselves recorded, and from other ancient Dravidian dialects which have themselves disappeared.

SELECT BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ALLEN, W. S. Phonetics in ancient India, Oxford 1953.
- ARNTZ, H. Sprachliche Beziehungen zwischen arisch und Balto-slavisch, Heidelberg 1933.
- BENVENISTE, E. Origines de la formation des noms en indoeuropéen, I, Paris 1935.
- BLOCH, J. L'indo-aryen du Veda aux temps modernes, Paris 1934.
- BRUGMANN, H. Grundriss der vergleichende Grammatik der indo-germanischen Sprachen, 2nd ed., Strassburg 1897–1916.
- BÜHLER, J. G. Indische Paläographie, Strassburg 1896.
- CHATTERJI, S. K. Indo-Aryan and Hindi, Ahmedabad 1942.
- DELBRUCK, B. Altindische Syntax, Halle 1888.
- EDGERTON, F. Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit, I Grammar, II Dictionary, Newhaven 1953.
- FRIEDRICH, J. Hethitisches Elementarbuch, I, Heidelberg 1940.
- GEIGER, W. Pali Literatur und Sprache, Strassburg 1916.
- GHOSH, B. Linguistic Introduction to Sanskrit, Calcutta 1937.
- HENDRIKSEN, H. Untersuchungen über die Bedeutung des Hethitischen für die Laryngaltheorie, Copenhagen 1941.
- JACOBSOHN, H. Arier und Ugrosinnen, Göttingen 1922.
- KENT, R. G. Old Persian Grammar, Texts, Lexicon, Newhaven 1950.
- KURYLOWICZ, J. L'accentuation des langues indo-européennes, Cracow 1952.
- Études indoeuropéennes, Cracow 1935.
- MACDONELL, A. A. Vedic Grammar, Strassburg 1910.
- MANSION, J. Esquisse d'une histoire de la langue sanskrite, Paris 1931.
- MAYRHOFER, M. Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen (in progress), Heidelberg 1953 ff.

- MEILLET, A. Les dialectes indo-européennes, Paris 1908 (1922).

 —— Introduction a l'étude comparative des langues indo-européennes, 8th ed., Paris 1937 (1949).
- PEDERSEN, H. Hettitisch und die anderen indoeuropäischen Sprachen, Copenhagen 1938.
- Tocharisch von Gesichtspunkt der indoeuropäischen Sprachvergleichung, Copenhagen 1941.
- PISANI, V. Grammatica del Antico Indiano (incomplete), Rome 1930-33.
- PISCHEL, R. Grammatik der Prakrit-Sprachen, Strassburg 1900.
- POKORNY, J. Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch (in progress), Bern 1948 ff.
- REICHELT, H. Avestisches Elementarbuch, Heidelberg 1909. RENOU, L. Grammaire sanscrite, Paris 1930.
- --- Grammaire de la langue védique, Paris 1952.
- SPECHT, F. Der Ursprung der indogermanischen Deklination, Göttingen 1944 (1948).
- SPEYER, J. Sanskrit Syntax, Leiden 1886.
- ---- Vedische und Sanskrit-Syntax, Strassburg 1896.
- STURTEVANT, E. H. The Indo-Hittite Laryngeals, Baltimore 1942.
- —— A Comparative Grammar of the Hittite Language (Revised Edition), I, New Haven 1951.
- THUMB, A. Handbuch des Sanskrit, Heidelberg 1905.
- UHLENBECK, C. C. Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch der altindischen Sprache, Amsterdam 1898-99.
- WACKERNAGEL, J. and DEBRUNNER, A. Altindische Grammatik, vols. I-III, Göttingen 1896-1954.
- WALDE, A. Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der indogermanischen Sprachen, herausgegeben und bearbeitet von J. Pokorny, 3 vols., Berlin and Leipzig 1927–32.
- WHITNEY, W. D. A Sanskrit Grammar, 5th ed., Leipzig 1924.

	-
a°, 103, 282	angúri-, 156
a-, 271, 284	ángya-, 184
aṃśū-, 179	ánghri-, 156
áṃsa-, 67, 162	ácchā-, 286
amhati-, 168	aj-, 24, 72, 147, 303, 328
dmhas, 72, 95, 222	ajá-, 20
amhú-, 72, 181	ajagará-, 125
akrstapacyá-, 212	ajalomá-, 216
ákravihasta-, 159, 176	ajāvayah, 217
akṣ-, 289	ajina-, 20
ákṣa-, 79	ajmá-, 174
ákşi, 19, 81, 176, 220, 223, 246	ájman-, 130, 174
akṣṇayā, 152	ájra-, 101, 147, 184
agásti-, 163	<i>ájry</i> à-, 184
aguru-, 380	<i>añj</i> -, 326, 341
agnāyī, 180, 250	áñjana-, 135, 137
agnāviṣṇū, 180, 250	añjasa-, 162
agni-, 156, 183, 222, 232, 244 ff.,	añjivá-, 186
251	aṭ-, 97
agnimánt-, 146	aṭani-, 96
agnivánt-, 146	aṇīyaska-, 196
agnimindhá-, 213	aņu-, 97, 183
ágra-, 135, 285	átas, 276
agratás, 166	atasá-, 162
agrimá-, 175	atasi-, 163
agriyá-, 184	áti, 284, 285
<i>agryá-</i> , 184	átithi-, 136, 187, 195
agrū-, 224	atimātra-, 216
aghá-, 196	átka-, 39, 196
aghāyáti, 363	attár-, 146
anká-, 75	atyamhas-, 215
ankasá-, 162	atrá-, 146, 150
ankura-, 148	átra, 131, 276, 279
ankūyáti, 362	<i>átri-</i> , 156
angá, 283	atharī, 191
anganā, 378	átharvan-, 4
ángāra-, 21	ad-, 110, 294, 318, 341

adati, 193	antrá-, 136
adánt-, 110, 144, 225	dndhas, 39
adás, 275 ff.	annādá-, 125, 212
adāná-, 154	anyá-, 274
ádābhya-, 108	anyátas, 278
<i>ádga-</i> , 93	anyátra, 131
<i>ádbhuta-</i> , 108, 166, 189, 323	anyáthā, 279
adyatana-, 152	anvavasarga-, 54
admará-, 148	áp- (ápas), 220
addhå, 93	ápa, 67, 101, 285
addhātí-, 168	ápaciti-, 112, 168, 287
adhamá-, 175, 274	ápatighnī, 193
ádhara-, 128, 274	apamá-, 274
adharahanú-, 209	apará, 274
adharāt-, 282	aparāhná-, 112
adhás, 278, 285	āpas, 39, 101, 139, 157, 202
adhástāt, 279	apás-, 159, 202
ddhi, 284, 285	apasyáti, 367
adhivāsá, 287	apam napat, 4
adhyāpayati, 357	ápi, 284, 285
ádhvan-, 133, 147	aptúr-, 138
adhvará-, 147	ápnavāna-, 155
adhvaryáti, 361	ápnas, 158
adhvaryú-, 186	apratá, 249
an°-, 282	apvå, 190
an-, 101, 189, 320, 328	abjit-, 89
ana-, 20, 271, 274 ff.	abhi-, 284, 285
anadváh-, 220	abhidyu-, 215
ánatidbhuta-, 189	abhidrúh-, 287
ánapta-, 166	abhidrohá-, 124
anarvíš-, 127	abhipitvá-, 170
anala-, 380	abhimātí-, 169
ánas, 101, 157	abhimātín-, 169
anilayá-, 212	abhiratha-, 28
ánu, 247, 284, 285	abhyāśe, 285
anudrá-, 215	abhrá-, 103, 135
anuşthu, 278	ábhri-, 156
ántaka-, 196	am-, 320
ántama-, 175, 274	áma-, 39, 271, 274
antár, 131, 277, 284, 285	ámati-, 168
ántara-, 149, 274	amatīván-, 141
antarā, 284, 285	ámatra-, 150
antárhita-, 287	ámanyuta-, 166
ánti, 84, 101, 278	ámavatī, 193
,,, -, -	, -,5

	393
amå, 274	aryamán-, 4, 142
amitrayáti, 362	árvan-, 228
amitradámbhana-, 212	árvant-, 39, 119, 114, 228
aminá-, 151	arvāk, 285
ámīvā, 190	áršas, 157
amú-, 275 ff.	aršasāná-, 154
amúrhi, 280	arh-, 77
amṛtatvá-, 170	arha-, 123
ámbhas, 135	<i>arhánā</i> , 156, 190
ayá-, 124	arháse, 364
ayám, 245 ff.	álam, 84, 173
áyas, 101	<i>alasa-</i> , 380
áyase, 158, 223, 364	alābu, 378
ayujá-, 123	áva, 278, 283, 284
ayúta-, 261	avá-, 271, 273
aráni-, 156	avaļá-, 96, 129
áratni-, 82, 98, 156	avatá-, 21, 39, 96, 129, 152
áram, 173	avatará-, 149
arātīyáti, 362	avadhrá-, 146
arātīvań, 141	avapádas, 364
ari-, 40, 184, 221, 244, 246	ávapanna-, 287
aruṇá-, 151	avapána-, 257
aruniudá-, 159	avár, 127, 147, 277
árus, 159	ávara-, 147, 284
arușá-, 162	avalokayati, 330
arká-, 123	avás, 278, 285
arka-, 380	avasá-, 162
arghá-, 25, 74, 77, 123	ávi-, 67, 87, 101, 176, 221-2,
arc-, 341	244
arcá-, 123	avikā, 196
arcátri-, 156	avisyáti, 188, 361
arci-, 176, 178	avisyā, 188
arcin-, 142	avisyú-, 188
arcis-, 159, 176, 224	avtrat-, 164 ávya-, 86, 184
árjuna-, 84, 151	
arnavá-, 188	avyathisyai, 163
árņas, 158 arņasá-, 162	aš-, 289, 323, 341 ašīti-, 90, 260
arth-, 288, 363	dśni-, 156
ártha-, 195, 285	ásman-, 76, 110, 134
arpáyati, 357	asmanta-, 152
arbhaká-, 196	aśmarī, 134, 148
árya-, 40	áśri-, 156
aryá-, 14, 184	áśru, 156, 177
,	, -Je, -//

dśva-, 101, 119, 186, 374 aśvatará-, 149 áśvavant-, 119 aśvavāra-, 56 aśvavālá-, 210 aśvavid-, 212 áśvā, 101 aśvāyáti, 362 áṣāḍha-, 94 așțamá-, 175, 261 aṣṭaú, 33, 90, 102, 258-9 ástrā, 24, 96, 155 as-, 93, 95, 110, 294, 318, 321, 348 asamkhyeya-, 261 asánā, 156 así-, 14, 103, 183 dsiknī, 98, 160 dsita-, 41, 98, 160 ásu-, 179 ásura-, 4, 24, 40 asūyati, 362 asūryampaśyá-, 212 ásṛj-, 84, 127, 220 asaú, 275 ff. astrá-, 136 ásthi, 67, 84, 101, 176, 220. 226 asmadīya-, 268 asmá-, 263 ff. ásvapnaj-, 197 áha, 283 ahám, 19, 87, 262 ff. áhar, 221, 223, 225 áhi-, 183 ahorātrá-, 217, 277 ahnāya, 282

å, 284-6 ākhará-, 289 ākhú-, 289 ågas-, 75, 157 ågniveśi-, 198 ågnīdhra-, 199

āngirasá-, 198 āṅgūṣá-, 162 ācāryajāy**ā**, 210 ājarasá-, 215 ājí-, 178 *ājya-*, 179 āḍhya-, 96 āṇāá-, 21, 97 ātithyá-, 136 ātmán, 133, 268 ādityá-, 185 ādyà-, 199, 370 ādhoraņa-, 128 ādhrá-, 39 ānuṣák, 277 āntrá-, 136 åpathi-, 215 åþas, 157 *āþí*-, 39, 183 āmátara-, 150 āmiṣ-, 159 āyasá-, 162 *āyu*, 159, 177-8, 182-3 āyú-, 182-3 *dyu*ṣ-, 159 ārabhaṭa-, 380 *ārā*, 24 ārtnī, 96 årtvijya-, 198 *årya-*, 15, 40 ārșeyá-, 198 āvām, 262 āvis, 278, 286 *āśā*, 190 āśiná-, 151 āśistha-, 161 āśú-, 101, 145, 181, 183 āsumánt, 145 āśvīna-, 199 ās-, 93, 294, 318-19 **ās-, 101, 122** āsandī, 191 āsánnişu-, 214 āsanvánt, 145

	0,0
āsutīvalá-, 148	īś-, 319
āsyà-, 220	īśvará-, 27, 147-8
āhuti, 168	īşdt, 278
<i>within,</i> 100	işai, 276
<i>i</i> -, 102, 110, 289, 290, 318, 323,	u, 283
348	uktá-, 90
<i>ijyā</i> , 108	ukthá-, 195
iḍādadha-, 213	ukthaśaṃsin-, 212
stara-, 274	ukş-, 289
itás, 278	ukṣan-, 142, 219, 221, 22 4
itthám, 276, 279	ukṣavehát-, 210
itthå, 279	ukhā, 190
ityā, 190	ugrá-, 75-6, 146
itvará-, 147-8	ugrátama-, 175
idám, 269, 275 ff.	ugrábāhu-, 214
idā, 276, 279	uc-, 341
idānīm, 276, 279	ucátha-, 195
indra-, 5, 28	uccā, 231
indravāyū, 217	uccais, 281
indraśatru-, 214	uccaistarām, 281
indrasená, 210	uñch-, 380
indrotá-, 27, 213	udu-, 56
iddhágni-, 214	utá, 283
idhmá-, 174	uttamá-, 175
inv-, 289, 323	úttara-, 149, 284
ibha-, 374	útsa-, 162
imá-, 271, 274 ff.	ud-, 329
iyant-, 143	úd, 284-5
irasyáti, 361	udaká-, 207, 220
iş-, 325, 329, 330, 341	údaktāt, 279
işáni, 132	udán-, 102, 207, 220
ișirá-, 39, 148	udaniya-, 86, 185
ișu-, 179	udanyú-, 186
	udaþātrá-, 210
isudhydti, 362	
ișta-, 77	udáyana-, 287
istáni-, 156	udára-, 135
ișțāpūrtá-, 217	udrá-, 117, 119, 120, 146, 203,
istva, 171	205
ihá, 33, 45, 69, 276, 280	udvana-, 151
<u></u>	unduru-, 378
īkṣeṇya-, 151	úpa, 278, 285
i dya-, 184	upakakṣá-, 215
<i>ip</i> s-, 359	upajīvantya-, 370
īrmá-, 39, 86	upatapat, 163

upatrnya-, 215	ürmi-, 86, 184-5
upanadam, 216	úrmya-, 184
upabdá-, 72, 88, 108	ūrvá-, 185
úpara-, 147	ūşmán-, 133
uparátāt-, 172	<i>mp///delit-</i> , 133
uparājam, 216	<i>ŗ</i> -, 322, 329
upári, 127, 285	fkvan-, 141, 144, 205, 228
upaśruti-, 287	fkvant-, 144
upasarga-, 48	jkşa-, 81, 91, 110, 162, 374
upasthá-, 163	° <i>ŗk</i> şará-, 149
upānasá-, 162	fc-, 122, 220
uptá-, 108	rcáse, 158, 223
ubha-, 257	rjīṣá-, 162
úras, 157	<i>rjú</i> -, 181
urú-, 181	rjūyáti, 362
urușyáti, 132, 188	rjrá-, 151
urūņasa-, 215	rṇāván-, 141
urvárā, 86, 155	rtádhāman-, 27
ulapa-, 380	rtayā, 281
ulupin-, 380	rtåvan-, 141, 226
úlūkayātū-, 210	rtávarī, 141, 193 rtuthá, 279
ulūkhala-, 380	rtupati-, 56
ulkā, 190	rté, 285
ulkābhihata-, 213	rdh-, 301, 359
uślj-, 39, 197	fddhi-, 167
uş-, 327	rbhuks-, 228
ușar-, 80, 127, 134, 221	fbhvan-, 226
uṣás-, 93, 206, 221 uṣásā-náktā, 216	fbhvas-, 159, 226
ușusa-nakia, 210 ușțá-, 167	rsabhá-, 197
ușțu-, 107 úșțra-, 150	<i>1</i> \$1-, 183
uṣṇā-, 150 uṣṇā-, 150	rsti-, 4
usrá-, 149	rsvá-, 185
ūḍhá-, 77, 90, 93	rhánt-, 143
ūti-, 168, 231	éka-, 257, 274
údhar, 24, 126, 221, 231	ékataya-, 262
úma-, 174	eka-vartana-, 28
ūrj-, 99	ekavīrá-, 209
ūrjasvala-, 148	ékādaśa, 260
árņā, 86, 190	ekonavimšati, 260
ūrņu-, 288	eda-, 380
ūrdhvá-, 86, 185, 285	éta-, 166
ūrdhvánabhas-, 215	etárhi, 280
ūrdhvasāná-, 155	étave, 170, 364

étavaí, 170, 364 étos, 364 edh-, 291 edhatú-, 169 édhas, 102, 157 ena-, 269, 273 énī, 166 éma-, 174 éman-, 174 evá, 282 evám, 282 éşa-, 123 eşá-, 123 eşa, 261, 269

ókas, 140
okivás-, 159
ójas, 102
ójisṭha-, 161
ojmán, 133, 206
onī, 191
ótu-, 169
omán-, 133-4
óṣṭha-, 21
auŝijá-, 198

ká-, 13, 20, 74, 269, 271-2 kakúd-, 197 kakúbh-, 69, 197 kakubhá-, 69 kakuhá-, 69 *kák*şa-, 67, 75, 91 *kanka-, 380* kacu-, 382 kacvī, 382 kacchapa-, 92 kajjala-, 380 katu, 380 kathina-, 97, 380 kaṇḍūya-, 303 katamá-, 273-4 katará-, 273-4 káti, 167, 273 katidha, 279

kathám, 275, 279 kathå, 275, 279 kadalī, 378 kadā, 20, 275-6 kadrū-, 191 kánistha-, 161 kánīyas-, 160 kanyánā, 155 kanyálā, 155 kanya, 76, 192, 194, 226 kapanā, 180 kapi-, 183 kapilá-, 183 kapiśa-, 196 kaprth-, 127 kapóta-, 166 kapha-, 26 kam-, 330 kárana-, 135, 137, 150 karaná-, 134, 137, 150 *kara*ṇīya-, 370 káras, 157 karásna-, 152 *karīra*-, 381 *karīşa-*, 162 karúna-, 137 kárūdatī, 100 karkata-, 75 kartár-, 138, 187 kártave, 170 kartávya-, 171, 370 kartum, 162 kartr nt., 169 kártos, 170 kártra, 131 kártva, 170, 371 karpāsa-, 378 karmakará-, 212 karmatha-, 149 kárman-, 130 karmānta-, 153 karmāra-, 140, 153 kárvara-, 135 kárhi, 273, 280

kalatra-, 137	kuļa-, 381
kaluşa-, 381	kuți-, 97, 381
kaluşayáti, 363	kuțila-, 97, 381
kaluşāyáte, 363	kutt-, 381
kalyānt, 253	kuṇḍa-, 381
kavatnú-, 157	kuṇḍala-, 381
kavāsakhá-, 180	kútas, 273
kavi-, 75, 180, 183-4	kútra, 273, 279
kavīyáti, 362	kuddāla-, 381
kavyá-, 88, 184-5	kuntala-, 381
kaścana, 282	kup-, 329
kaśyapa-, 92	kúpaya-, 185
kastam, 283	kupitá-, 188
kāka-, 381	kumāraka-, 196
kāca-, 381	kumārikā, 196
<i>kāja-</i> , 381	kurula-, 382
kāñcika-, 381	kulattha-, 382
kāñjika-, 381	kulampuná-, 213
kāņvāyaņa-, 198	kulinga-, 378
kānana-, 381	°kulva-, 75, 82, 186
kāraņa-, 33, 96	kuvalaya-, 382
kāraņiya, 358	kuvit, 273
kārayitavya-, 358	kúha, 20, 273, 280
kārayitum, 358	kúcit, 273
kārayitvā, 358	kūpa-, 26, 382
kāri-, 183	kūrd-, 382
<i>kāritá</i> -, 358	<i>kṛ</i> -, 78, 108, 177, 293, 324,
kārú-, 40	331-3, 340, 353, 357-9, 364
kārotará-, 149	kṛcchrá-, 135, 149
kārya-, 358, 370	kṛkadāśū-, 193
kārṣīvaṇa-, 148	kṛṇvant-, 367
<i>kāla-</i> , 76, 381	<i>kṛt</i> -, 48, 75, 290, 293, 327, 329
kāvyá-, 199	krtá-, 108
kās-, 354	kṛtávant-, 370
kāsara-, 374	kṛtākṛta-, 217
kim, 74, 272	krte, 285
kiyant-, 143, 273	krtnú-, 157
kila, 283	kṛtrima-, 175
kīri-, 183	kýtvan-, 141
kīrņá-, 86, 369	kftvas, 262
kīlāla-, 41	kṛtvā, 171
kivant-, 273	kṛtvt, 171
kukkura-, 374	kṛtsná-, 132
kuñjara-, 374	kṛdhú-, 181

krntátra-, 137	krośaná-, 150
kfp-, 104	kland-, 291
kṛpaṇa-, 137, 361	klam-, 75
krpanyáti, 361	klóman-, 82, 97, 133
kfmi-, 74	kvà, 273
kṛśa-, 124	ksatrá-, 4, 91, 137, 291
kṛṣ-, 301	kṣad-, 291-2
kṛṣi-, 178	kṣan-, 81, 289, 291, 324, 333
kṛṣīvalá-, 145, 147	kṣáp-, 91, 191, 220
kṛṣṇá-, 21, 152	kṣapā, 190, 192
kṛṣṇatā, 171, 190	kṣam-, 290, 294
kṛṣṇaśakuni-, 209	kṣám-, 81, 219, 233
kṛṣṇasarpa-, 55, 209	kṣamā, 190
kṛsara-, 149	kṣámya-, 184
k - -, 80, 329, 354	kṣar-, 81, 94
klp-, 104	kṣāmá-, 94
kecuka-, 382	kṣāy-, 94
kéta-, 123	ksi-, 81, 91, 290, 322, 333, 353
ketaka-, 382	ksiti-, 168
kemuka-, 382	ksip-, 99
kevuka-, 382	ksipanú-, 147
keśa-, 97	kṣiprá-, 146-7
keśava-, 97, 186	kṣīṇa-, 94, 369
keśavant-, 144	kṣīrá-, 135
keśavardhana-, 212	kṣudrá-, 79, 146
keśaśmaśru-, 217	kṣúdh-, 91, 291, 294
kesara-, 97	kşumánt-, 98
kotara-, 382	ksumā, 175
koți-, 261	kṣurá-, 148, 323
koṇa-, 382	kṣétra-, 91, 136
koraka-, 382	kṣepnú-, 147
krátu-, 41, 169, 222, 229, 244	kşéma-, 174
krátvāmagha-, 214	kşont-, 191
kránt-, 366-7	kṣṇu-, 288, 323
krand-, 291, 342, 355	7.7 ~ . 0-
kram-, 328	khañj-, 80
kravis-, 39, 67, 75-6, 105, 159,	khan-, 289
. 176	khánitra-, 187
kravya-, 179	kharvá-, 186
<i>kriyā</i> , 190	khala-, 382
krī-, 74-5, 290, 325	khalīna-, 57
krīḍ-, 93, 357	khalu, 283
krīdā, 190	khā-, 71, 289
krudh-, 329	khārt, 191

kheta-, 97 khola-, 56 khyā-, 334 gaja-, 374 gadu, 382 ganda-, 382 gatá-, 109, 167, 369 gáti-, 103, 168, 244, 251 gatvå, 171 gatut, 171 gántum, 169 gábhasti-, 163 gam-, 74, 78, 92, 109, 289, 333 328, 338, 355, 357-9 gámadhyai, 197 gámana-, 109 gamitá-, 188 gámbhan-, 127 gambhára-, 127, 135 gáya-, 123, 289 gáristha-, 161 garútmant, 165 gardabhá-, 197 gárbha-, 82 gármut-, 165 garh-, 90 gávya-, 184 gavyáya-, 185 gáhvara-, 135 gā-, 289, 290, 329, 330, 333 gātú-, 39, 169 gāthá-, 195 girí-, 21, 86, 183 gīrņá-, 369 guda-, 382 gup-, 360 gur-, 74 gurú-, 46, 74, 85, 114, 181 guh-, 357 gúhya-, 184, 370 gr-, 341 grnīsáni, 132, 360 gṛdhnú-, 147, 157

grdhrá-, 147 gfbhi-, 183 gf-, 22, 74, 86, 289, 35; gó-, 74, 102, 180, 250 goghná-, 125, 212 gománt-, 222 gomin-, 143 govinda-, 213 góspada-, 211 gaurt, 252 gnå, 39, 74-5, 204 gnáspáti-, 211 gmánt-, 366 grath-, 291 grapsa-, 162 grabh-, 69, 325, 339 gras-, 289 grah-, 69 grābhá-, 125 grāmavāsa-, 216 grāmyá-, 184 grāvan-, 14, 74, 133 grāhi-, 199 grīvā, 20, 190 grīsmá-, 174 graivya-, 185 glā-, 330 glāni-, 156

gha, 283 ghaná-, 123 gharmá-, 74, 77, 174 ghas-, 108 ghasmará-, 148 ghātuka-, 196 ghāsa-, 108 ghuņa-, 382 ghūka-, 382 ghrṇá-, 150 ghṛṇi-, 156 ghṛtá-, 14 ghṛṣu-, 186 ghṛṣvi-, 186 ghotaka-, 374 ghnánt-, 143 ghramsá-, 161 ghrā-, 328

ca, 76, 103, 112, 283 cakrvás-, 160, 223, 368 cakrá-, 75-6, 82 cakrt, 191 cakrāná-, 369 cakş-, 91, 319 cáksase, 158 cákşuş-, 160 cátasras, 140, 258 catura-, 383 caturthá-, 195, 261 cáturdaśa, 259 caturdhå, 279 cátustaya-, 262 catus, 263 catvara-, 135, 140, 258 catvāras, 76, 135, 140, 258 catvāri, 237, 258 catvārimsá-, 262 catvārimsát-, 260 cánas, 39 canasyáti, 361 candana-, 383 candrámas-, 209 capeţā, 383 cam-, 328 camasá-, 162 camasådhvaryu-, 216 camú-, 191, 253 cáyana-, 137 car-, 324, 327 cardni-, 156 caráse, 158, 223 carișnú-, 157 cára-, 76 cárman-, 130, 131 cal-, 354 cāru-, 203

cikitvít, 166, 278 cikitsa-, 360 cikkana-, 382 cit-, 290, 292, 296, 319, 330, 342, 357 citā, 171 citi-, 178 cítti-, 231 cintáyamāna-, 368 cintayāna-, 155 cirá-, 281-2 cumb-, 383 cur-, 330 cūḍā, 383 cékitāna-, 368 coda-, 123 coditár-, 189 caurabhaya-, 210 cyu-, 357 cyautná, 39, 152 chad, 330 chardis, 159 chā-, 291, 327, 330 chága-, 25-6 chāy**ā**, 92, 190 chid-, 92, 291, 320 chidurá-, 148 chinná-, 369 chinnápaksa-, 214

jakṣ-, 108, 189, 320 jagatkartar-, 54 jagmúṣī, 193 jagdhá-, 94 jajñānd-, 369 jájjhat-, 94, 108 jaṭhára-, 96 játu, 77, 177 jatū-, 191 jatūkā, 196 jatru-, 177, 182 jan-, 78, 320, 343, 353 janamejayá-, 212 jánas, 39

cărutara-, 150

ci-, 290, 321, 342

402	
<i>jdni</i> -, 39, 246, 362	
jániya-, 86, 185	
janitavyà-, 370	
janiyáti, 362	
jambāla-, 378	
jdmbha-, 72	
jámbhya-, 184	
jayá-, 124	
<i>jayú-</i> , 181	
jayuş-, 160	
<i>jáyya</i> -, 184	
jaranā, 156, 190	
járant, 72, 83	
járant, 72, 83 jarás-, 158	
<i>jarā</i> , 190	
jarimán-, 133	
jartú-, 96	
jálpi-, 178	
javá-, 124	
jávana-, 151	
javistha-, 161	
jásu-, 179 jásuri-, 156	
jasuri-, 156	
jásvan-, 141	
jāgar-, 304, 326, 354	
jāgrvi-, 186	
jātu, 169	
jānaśruteyá-, 198	
janu, 72, 177-8, 241	
jāmarya-, 128 jāmātar, 139	
jāmi-, 183	
jāmitra-, 57	
jāyā, 190	
jāyāpatī, 217	
ji-, 78, 108, 189, 289, 300, 32	23
325, 342, 353	-5
jighatsú-, 95	
jighāṃsant-, 144	
jigīvás-, 160	
jigīṣā, 206	
<i>jitá</i> -, 108	
jitvara-, 147	
jinv-, 289, 323	

jim-, 379 jisnú-, 157 jihmá-, 174 jihvá, 190-1, 194 jī-, 290 jīrņá-, 45 jīv-, 289, 328, 357 jīvá-, 76, 102, 185, 323 jīvapitrka-, 215 jīváputra-, 214 ñváse, 158 *jīvātu-*, 169 júvas-, 157 juş-, 72, 79, 102, 327 jústi-, 167, 231 júhvāna-, 368 jū-, 290 jūtá-, 290 jūtí-, 168 jūrní-, 156 *jṛ*, 300-I jétva-, 170, 371 jenya-, 39 jéya-, 184 jaitra-, 150, 198 jósa-, 73, 79, 124 jostár-, 73 johútra-, 150 jñā-, 339, 340, 342 jñāti-, 168 *jyā-*, 290 jyå, 76 jyāní-, 156 jyeşthátāti-, 172 jyók, 278 , jyótis-, 159, 224 jyotiskýt-, 165, 212 jrayasāná-, 154

> tá-, 19, 268 ff. táku-, 185 takmán-, 133-4 takṣ-, 71, 79, 91, 93, 328 tákṣan-, 81, 140

tad-, 330	taruņayāti, 363
tatd-, 167	taruņāyāte, 363
tata-, 107 tatanústi-, 163	tarutár-, 189
	tárutra-, 150
tátas, 166, 278	
táti, 167-8	taruş-, 159
tátra, 279	tárușa-, 189
táthā, 279	taruşyáti, 362
tadā, 20, 269, 279	tarj-, 291
tadānīm, 279	tárdman-, 131
taddhita-, 48	tárhi, 280
tan-, 324, 340-I	talina-, 383
tán-, 126, 192	talpeśayá-, 212
tána-, 126	tavás-, 158-9
tánaya-, 185	tavisá-, 162
tánus, 191	táviṣī, 163, 191, 362
tánā, 191-2	taviṣīyáte, 362
tanú-, 67, 181	távyas-, 160
tánus-, 191	tásara-, 135
tanú-, 191-2, 203, 224, 229,	tasthivás-, 160
252 ff., 268	tāḍaka-, 383
tanûśubhra-, 213	tāmarasa-, 383
tántu-, 169, 206	tāmbūla-, 379
tantri-, 191	tāyú-, 80
tandri-, 156, 191	tārá-, 124
tan-, 80	tārā, 80
tanyatú-, 188	tāraņa-, 137
tap-, 329	tāla(ka-), 383
tapant-, 191	tālu, 177
tápas, 157	tāvaká-, 268
tapasvin-, 143	tigitá-, 166
tapus-, 159-60	tigmá-, 75, 80, 174
tapyatú-, 169	tij-, 80, 355, 360
	titaü-, 39, 177
tamasá-, 162	titikșú-, 360
támisrā, 137, 155, 190	tirás, 85, 158, 278, 284, 286
tár-, 139	tilámiśra-, 213
tára-, 124	
tárana-, 137	tisrás, 80, 140, 202, 258
taráni-, 156	tīkṣṇá-, 152
taráddveşa-, 215	tīrņā-, 86, 109
taras, 159	tīrtvā, 171
tart, 191	tīrthá-, 45, 195
tárīyas, 161	tīvrá-, 148
tarīşáņi, 161	tu, 283
táruņa-, 151	tuccha-, 92

dod	
tucchyá-, 21	tyd-, 209, 271-2
tud-, 80, 300, 328	tyajas-, 159
tudánt-, 144, 367	trápu, 177
tubarī, 383	trayá-, 262
túmra-, 147	trdyastrimsat, 260
tur-, 330	tráyodaśa, 259
turá-, 124	tras-, 109, 289, 292, 328, 357
turaņa-, 361	trasádasyu-, 215
turaņyati, 361	trā-, 290, 330
turiya-, 261	
turus 067	trí-, 67, 79, 258 triṃśá-, 262
turya-, 261	trinisa-, 202
tuvara-, 383	triṃśát-, 260 tridhā, 262, 279
tuvisváni-, 156	tridhžtu 000
tus-, 329	tridhåtu, 279
tū-, 320	trivatsá-, 215
tūrv-, 189, 289, 328	trivft-, 220
tūrváņi-, 156	tris, 262 trėtā, 200
tūrvane, 130, 223	traitāná-, 200
tūrvayāṇa-, 155	
tůrní-, 156	tva-, 209, 271, 273
tárvi-, 183	tvakṣ-, 39, 91 tvadīya-, 268
tūla-, 383 tūșņim, 21	tvám, 263
	tvar-, 357
tfṇa-, 25, 110, 327 tṛṇodaká-, 217	tvaraņá-, 150
tṛṭiya-, 258	tvarita-, 46
tṛtīyasavand-, 209	tvástar-, 98
tṛd-, 110, 327	tviși-, 178
tṛdilá-, 148	, 1/O
trp-, 98, 323, 357	dámṣṭra-, 146, 150
tṛpánt-, 366	daṃsána-, 137
tṛṣú-, 181	dákṣa-, 162
tṛṣṭá-, 166	dákṣas-, 158
trşndj-, 197	daksāyya, 371
tfṣṇā, 155, 190	dákşiņa-, 20, 79, 91, 151, 274
tṛh-, 94	284
<i>t</i> 7-, 80, 86, 105, 109, 177, 18	
289, 290, 301, 328, 359	dakşinatrā, 279
téjas, 157	dakṣiṇā, 281
tejasvín-, 143	dakṣiṇāgni-, 209
tenivás-, 368	dakşu-, 163
toká-, 39	dakşuş-, 100
tókman, 39, 174	dagdhá-, 77, 89, 90
tośás-, 159	daņļa-, 383
• • •	

151, 274,

• • •	405
ddtra-, 137	damane, 130, 223, 304
dddhi, 21, 176, 220	aava-, 124
dánt-, 89, 110	dāváne, 130, 158, 225, 364
dabh-, 70, 94, 108, 323, 359	°dāvarī, 141
dam-, 109	dåru, 177-8, 199, 200, 221, 226,
dáma-, 39, 67, 101, 124	246-7
damanyáti, 361	dārú-, 181
dámiya-, 81, 185	dāruņa-, 151
<i>daya</i> , 190	dāršanika-, 110
darad, 197	d á šuri-, 156
daridrāti, 320	dāśvás-, 39, 159, 342
darinú-, 157	dāsá-, 25, 41
darmá-, 174	dāsyāḥputra-, 211
darmán-, 142, 174	ditá-, 188
<i>dárvi-</i> , 186	dina-, 291
daršatá-, 111, 160	dips-, 33
darśana-, 137	div-, 329
dala-, 82	divasá-, 102
dáša, 67, 73, 172, 257, 259	<i>divā</i> , 281
dašát-, 164, 167, 260	dívātara-, 149
dašati-, 164, 167	divit(mant-), 165
dáśataya-, 185	diviyoni-, 214
daśamá-, 175, 261	divodāsa-, 211
dašasyáti, 361	divyá-, 184
dasmá-, 39, 174	diś-, 72, 94, 219, 220, 328, 340,
dasyú-, 37, 41, 186	354
dasrá-, 39	díś-, 192
dah-, 70, 77, 328, 359	diśā, 192
dā-, 20, 88-9, 93, 105, 109, 301,	dih-, 69
321, 329, 330, 333, 342, 353,	<i>dtdivi-</i> , 186
357, 359	<i>dī</i> -, 320
dākṣāyaṇa-, 151, 198	<i>dīp-</i> , 291
dātár, 110, 120	dīrghá-, 67, 75, 86, 197
dåtave, 170	dīrghatā, 171
dativāra-, 215	dīrgháśmaśru-, 214
dātu-, 169	ducchúnā, 92
dātrī, 202, 253	ducchunāyáte, 362
dādhṛvi-, 186	duradabhna-, 213
dānam, 67, 127	duroņá-, 151
dā navá-, 199	duvasaná-, 152
d ánu , 39, 177	dúvas, 157, 159
dānupinvá-, 212	duvasyú-, 186
dāntá-, 86, 109	duș-, 320, 357
dāman-, 133-4, 142, 206	duh-, 69, 77, 94, 319, 331, 340

doşå, 190, 281 duhádhyæi, 364 dos, 132, 157, 220 duhánt-, 367 dóhas, 157 duhāná-, 154, 368 doháse, 158 duhitár, 87, 139, 242 dyuksá-, 161 *dūdábha-*, 93, 100 dyut-, 291, 330, 355-6 dūtá-, 160 dyút-, 122 dūtyà-, 179 dyumánt-, 145 dūraká-, 196 dyumná-, 145, 151 dūraṃgamá-, 125 dyó-, 180, 229, 233, 249, 250 *dūrvā*, 190 dyótana-, 151 *dṛ-*, 354 dyotana, 156 drmh-, 293, 350 dyotani-, 156 dymhitár-, 139 drapsá-, 39, 162 drś-, 72, 101, 110, 177, 340, dram-, 289, 290 342, 353 dravá-, 124 dyśati-, 168 dravát, 278 dršáye, 178, 364 dravará-, 147 drśāná-, 368 drávina-, 137 dyść, 122, 364 drávinas, 158 prsenya-, 371 dravitnú-, 157, 187 dysád-, 197 drávya-, 179 drstá-, 109, 167 drastár, 187 drstvā, 171 drahyát, 278 *déya-, 370* drā-, 289, 290 devá-, 5, 102, 229, 254 drāghmán-, 174 devakilbisá-, 210 dru-, 289, 290, 336, 355 devátā, 171, 193 drugdhá-, 77 devátāt(i-), 172 drughaná-, 210 devátta-, 213 drupadá-, 210 devatrā, 131, 279 druh-, 77, 291 devatvá-, 170 drúh-, 122 devayájana, 212 drúhvan, 141, 144 devayáti, 186, 362 dvayá-, 262 devayú-, 37, 186 dvayá-, 262 devár-, 102, 140 dvådasa, 259, 260 devavandá-, 212 dvādašá-, 262 devasas, 280 dvā7-, 220 devastút-, 165 dví-, 257 deváhiti-, 211 dvita, 193 devi, 193-4, 252 ff. dvittya-, 257, 261 destrá-, 136 dvipád-, 257 deșná-, 152 dvišás, 280 dehi, 69, 162, 191-2, 224, 252 dvis-, 95, 318 daivya-, 198

dvíş-, 92, 118, 122 dvís, 262 dvéşas, 118 dvéşya-, 370 dvaú, 19, 257 dháksu-, 163

dhákşu-, 163 dhána-, 137 dhanajít-, 212 dhanañjaya-, 212 dhánasāti-, 211 dhanin-, 142 dhanu-, 179, 191 dhanutár-, 189 dhanuş-, 159, 191, 226-7 dhanú, 191 dhánvan-, 129, 133, 159, 191, 222, 226-7 dham-, 22 dharuna-, 151 dharnasi-, 163 dharni-, 156 dhartári, 131, 364 dhártra-, 135 dhárma-, 174 dharman-, 141 dhā-, 68-9, 88, 89, 105, 109, 289, 321, 332-3, 342, 359 dhåtu-, 169 dhānā, 21 dhāman-, 134 dhåyase, 158, 223 dhārā, 155 dhārú-, 156

dhārmika-, 198 dhāv-, 293, 328, 355 dhāsí-, 163 dhik, 283

dhiyamjinvá-, 212 dhisanyáti, 361

dhi-, 220, 229, 230, 253

dhīti-, 108 dhúni-, 179 dhúrvaņe, 130 dhū-, 289, 301, 323, 350 dhūti-, 168 dhūmá-, 68, 102, 174 dhūmrá-, 148

dhūrv-, 289 dhūsara-, 149 dhṛ-, 289, 340, 353 dhṛti-, 167

dhṛṣ-, 10, dhṛṣ-, 79, 323 dhṛṣáj-, 197 dhṛṣṭi-, 168 dhṛṣṇú-, 157 dhṛṣṇuyā, 282 dhénā, 156

dhenú-, 157, 244, 251

dhénukā, 196 dhenumátī, 193 dhenuṣṭart, 210 dhaurita-, 128 dhyā-, 338

dhrāji-, 178 dhru-, 291 dhruvá-, 185 dhrúvi-, 186 dhvaní-, 178-9 dhvarás-, 159 dhvas-, 289

dhvasáni-, 147 dhvasmán-, 134 dhvasrá-, 147

ná, 20, 282 nagná-, 150 nákt-, 39, 74, 164, 167 naktán-, 131, 226 náktam, 281 naktayå, 281

nákti-, 167 nakra-, 383 nakṣ-, 289 náksatra-. 1

nákṣatra-, 137, 195 nakhá-, 195

nața-, 96 nadá-, 124 nadi-, 183 nadi, 191 naddhá-, 69 nantva-, 371 nápāt-, 101, 139, 164 naptár, 139 nabhanū-, 151, 191 nábhas, 67, 68, 157 nabhasá-, 162 nábhya-, 176, 179 nam-, 339, 357 namasāná-, 154 namasyáti, 112, 361 námasvant, 227 nár-, 140, 242-3 naristā, 163 narmá-, 174 nárman-, 174 narmatha-, 149 nárya-, 184 nava-, n. 80 náva-, adj., 67, 125, 260, 282 náva, nom., 259 navatí-, 260 navadāvá-, 209 navamá-, 259, 261 návā, 85, 193 návisti-, 163 návīyas, 160, 193 návyas-, 160 naś-, 289, 333, 357 naśvara-, 147 nas-, 328 nás-, 101, 220 nasvánt-, 145 nah-, 69, 329 náhuş-, 39, 138, 160 nāga-, 374 nādyá-, 199 nānā, 283 nānānám, 283 nāndī, 191 nāpitá-, 166-7 nābhi-, 11, 176, 178, 200

nåman-, 19, 24, 48, 67, 222, 225, 231 nāyá-, 125 nāyaka-, 109 nåsatya-, 5, 28 ni, 284-5 nims-, 320 nikaşā, 284 niktá-, 77, 167 niks-, 289 nij-, 77, 321, 354 nityam, 281 nid-, 328 nidāghá-, 77 nidhí-, 287 nind-, 328 ninda, 190 nipāta-, 48 nibida-, 383 nimná-, 151 niyút-, 164 niráyana-, 287 niravasita-, 54 nirașța-, 91 nirukta-, 41 nirjhara-, 94 nírhasta-, 136 nisadvará-, 147 nis, 285 nihākā, 80 nī-, 99, 109, 337 nīcais, 281 nīḍá-, 33, 45, 93 nītá-, 109 nīta-, 383 nīlalohitá-, 218 nīlotpalá-, 209 nīhāra-, 80 nu, 282 nū, 282 nūtana-, 152, 282 nútna-, 152, 282 nūnám, 282 nṛti-, 178

nṛtú-, 181 nṛpātf-, 212 nṛmṇd-, 151 nṛvdnt-, 145 netrá-, 136 nédiṣṭha-, 93, 161 néma-, 39, 271, 274 neṣáṇi, 132, 364 néṣṭar-, 136 neṣṭrá-, 136 nairhastá-, 136 naú-, 102, 245-6 nau, 267

pakthá-, 267 pakvá-, 185, 369 *pakṣá-*, 162 pakşati-, 168 páksas, 158 páksman, 130 paksmalá-, 148 paksin-, 142 pańkti-, 259, 260 pac-, 76, 293, 328, 341, 353 pacchás, 92 pajrá-, 135 páñca, 67, 76, 258-9 pañcathá-, 261 pañcamá-, 261 pañcāśá-, 262 pañcāśát, 260 pañjara-, 135 patola-, 383 paņ-, 383 pana-, 383 pandita-, 384 pat-, 67, 187, 328, 330, 335, 341, 356 pataga-, 197 patatra-, 137, 195 pátana-, 137 patará-, 147

páti-, 101, 168, 222, 246, 248

patarú-, 157

patti-, 164, 168 pátnī, 98, 246 pátman-, 130 pátvan-, 129, 141 pátsala-, 137 path-, 71, 228 pád-, 88, 108, 110 padá-, 126, 173 padāti-, 164, 168 padvánt-, 145 pan-, 39, 189 panayayya-, 189 panayya-, 371 panitár, 189 pánisti-, 163, 189 pányas-, 160 páyas, 21 paramá-, 175 paraśu-, 82 parás, 278, 285 parastāt, 285 para, 284-5 parāri, 42 pári, 83, 278, 284-5 paritas, 166 paripanthin-, 215 parisadvalá-, 148 parihastá-, 215 párīņas, 158 parīņasá-, 162 parut, 42, 118, 233 *páru*ș, 40, 159, 226 parusá-, 40, 102 páreta-, 287 parnadhvas-, 95 pard-, 83, 328 párvata-, 152 párvan-, 40, 129, 159, 226 páršu-, 179 parsáni, 132, 156 palasti-, 163 palālī, 191 palāva-, 82 páliknī, 98, 166

•
palitá, 82, 98, 163, 165
pallī, 384
palvalá-, 82, 147
pávīrava-, 188
pávīru-, 186
paś-, 80, 329
páśu, 76, 177, 221, 224, 244-5
paśú-, 80, 101, 178, 221, 224-5,
244-5
pasumánt-, 146, 219, 223, 227
paśca, 231
paścat, 232, 282, 285
pásas, 157
pastyå, 39
pā-, 71, 84, 101, 109, 328
pāṃsú-, 2I
pāṃsurá-, 148
pāṃsulá-, 148
pājas, 40, 157-8
páñcajanya-, 185
pātrahasta-, 214
påda-, 121
pāpmán-, 133-4
pāmán-, 133-4
pāmand-, 134, 148
pāmará-, 134, 148
pāyú-, 40, 182 pārṣṇi-, 83, 156
parșņi-, 03, 150
pāli-, 384
pålitya-, 198
pāṣāṇá-, 97 pāṣyá-, 97
pi-, 288, 290, 323
picu, 378
pitaka-, 384
piṇđa-, 384
pitár-, 103, 104, 110, 139, 202,
219, 221, 229, 233, 242
bitābutraú, 217
pitú-, 39, 109, 178, 221, 225,
245
pstrya-, 184
pinv-, 288, 223
pipīlikapuṭa-, 384

plśuna-, 151, 290 pis-, 79, 291, 326, 340 pīd-, 93, 291 pītá-, 109 · pītvā, 171 pīy-, 290 pīyatnú-, 157 pīyú-, 181 bīvūsa-, 132, 162 ptvan-, 102, 148, 186 *pīvara-*, 148 pivarī, 141 pivas, 117 pīvasá-, 162 pums-, 227 punkha-, 384 puta-, 384 punyalaksmīka-, 215 puttikā, 384 putrá-, 150 putraká-, 196 putrávant-, 144 putrīyáti, 362 punar, 277 punarmanyá-, 212 punnāga-, 384 púr-, 82, 86 purandará-, 212 purás, 85, 158, 278-9, 285 purástāt, 279, 285 purā, 193, 285 purāņa-, 154 purīșa-, 162 purú-, 86, 181, 206, 229, 244, 249, 250-I pulú-, 181 púrusa-, 162 purușátā, 171 purusatrā, 131, 279 puruşamıga-, 200 purușarājá-, 216 pulaka-, 163 pulasti-, 163 pus-, 132

	•
pușți, 168	pratyagni, 216
рй-, 104, 290, 338	pratyanc-, 220
pūtá, 290	prath-, 71, 291
páti-, 102, 168	prathamá-, 261
pūrú-, 162	prathimán-, 130, 134, 187, 226
párușa-, 162	prathiyas-, 160
párva-, 186, 274	pradaksinit, 166, 278
pūrņā-, 86, 109, 150, 290	prapitvá-, 170
pūŗnatā, 171	prapyasá-, 162
pūrņámāsa-, 209	prabhangin-, 287
pūṣaṇá-, 152	prayatadakşina-, 214
pūşán-, 132, 142	práyas, 157
pūṣaryà-, 132	prayúta-, 261
prksd-, 161	pravaná-, 151
prtanāyáti, 362	praśna-, 90, 288, 329
pjitavana-, 155	prā-, 343
pṛthú-, 71, 103, 181	prākṛta-, I
pṛthuk-, 71, 103, 101 pṛthuka-, 70	práktāt, 279
pṛthut, 193, 253	prājāp at yá-, 185
pjinot, 193, 233 pjdāku-, 196	prātār, 127, 131, 233, 277
	prādús, 278, 286
pfsni-, 156	prāyas, 278
pfsat-, 143, 163, 166	prasú-, 193
prșatá-, 166	
<i>p</i> 7 -, 82, 119, 290, 300-1, 321,	priya-, 124 priyádhāma-, 174
325, 357	
petva-, 170	priyāyáte, 303
pótar-, 136	<i>pri-</i> , 357
potrá-, 136	pru-, 82, 284, 327
paurukutsi-, 198	pruș-, 22
paúruseya-, 198	prení-, 156
pyā-, 290	premán-, 133
pyúksna-, 152	plīhán-, 80, 82, 133
prá, 278, 285	plu-, 82, 327
prajāpatisrsta-, 213	pluti-, 168
prach-, 92, 102, 288, 329	plusi-, 82, 163, 183
pratara-, 149	psā-, 290
práti, 176, 278, 284, 286	phárvara-, 135
pratidivan-, 140	phalgu-, 40, 191
pratidoșám, 281	phála-, 70, 80
pratinisam, 216	phéna-, 20, 70, 80
pratiram, 364	
pratisara-, 197	baka-, 384
pratná-, 152	badhirá-, 148
pratnáthā, 279	<i>bandh-</i> , 69
-	-

•	
<i>bándhu-</i> , 69, 179	bhakti-, 168
bandhútā, 171	bhaks-, 91, 289
babhūvás-, 160	bhagná-, 369
babhrú-, 191	bhanga-, 22
babhruśá-, 196	bhangurá-, 148
barhánā, 156	bhaj-, 33, 77, 91
barhis-, 21, 159	bhañj-, 99
bala-, 384	bhaṭṭa-, 56
baladāvan-, 212	bhaṭṭāra(ka-), 56, 96
balin-, 194, 224, 252	bhaṇḍ-, 96
bahirdhā, 279	bhadrapāpa-, 217
bahis, 20, 100, 130, 278-9, 285	bhayá-, 173
bahu-, 144, 181	bhára-, 21
bahudhā, 279	bharatá-, 166
bahúvrīhi-, 214	bharádvāja-, 37, 215
bahvi, 203	bhárant-, 144
bādh-, 189, 328, 366	bhárantī, 202
<i>bāla-</i> , 190, 234	bharișa-, 162
bāhú-, 69, 101, 115, 179	bharman-, 130
bāhya-, 100	bharv-, 289
bibhrat-, 367	bhala, 283
biḍāla-, 374, 384	bhallūka-, 374
birāla-, 384	bhávana-, 117
bila-, 384	bhávant-, 222, 268, 367-8
bilva-, 385	bhávamāna-, 368
buddhá-, 89	bhas-, 290
buddhi-, 89	bhasád-, 197
budh-, 20-1, 102, 327, 334	<i>bhā</i> -, 69
budhá-, 124	bhajana-, 137
budhná-, 69	bhānú-, 157
bubudhāná-, 154	bhāma-, 174
bṛṃh-, 22	<i>bhārá-</i> , 124, 206
brhant-, 143, 367	bhārata- (-ī), I
bfhaspáti-, 208, 211	bhārvará-, 148
bódha-, 124	bhårman, 199
bradhná-, 21	bhāvyá-, 199, 370
bráhman-, 118, 120, 130, 135,	
138, 205	bhāsurá-, 148
brahmán-, 15, 119, 120, 135,	
141, 205, 222, 229	bhid-, 326, 358
brahmabhūya, 179	bhidurá-, 148
brū-, 319, 320	bhidelima-, 175
	bhinná-, 150, 369
<i>bhaktá-</i> , 77, 91	bhiyás, 158, 201, 221, 224
	-

	7-3
bhiydsāna-, 154	makṣā, 79, 91, 195, 278
bhisáj-, 197	makhá-, 195
bhişajydti, 362	maghávan-, 141, 221, 228
bhī-, 22, 289, 296, 301, 357	manku-, 385
bhīmá-, 173	majj-, 93, 328
bhīrú-, 157	majján-, 21, 133
<i>bhīṣā</i> , 163, 190	mațacī, 183
bhugná-, 150, 369	mani-, 183
bhuj-, 99, 294, 326	maṇigrīva-, 214
bhuji-, 178	mátasna-, 137
bhujişyd-, 163	mati-, 168, 206, 229, 232, 245
bhujmán-, 142	matka-, 268
bhujyú-, 186	matsará-, 132, 149
bhur-, 290	math-, 228, 325, 362
bhuranyáti, 128, 361	mad-, 330, 356
bhurij-, 197	madirá-, 148
bhurváni-, 148, 156	madīya-, 268
bhúvana-, 137	máderaghu-, 213
bhuvanti-, 128	madgú-, 93
bhúvar, 127	mádvan-, 141
bhū-, 30, 332, 341, 364	mádhu, 24, 68, 87, 101, 176-7,
bhū-, 220, 230, 253	183, 193, 221-2, 226, 231,
bhūtvi, 171	244 ff.
bhūmán-, 133-4, 226	máďhujihva-, 214
bhúmi-, 175, 184	madhurá-, 148
bhūmyá-, 184	madhulá-, 148
bhūyas, 160	madhuhastya-, 215
bhúyasī, 203	mádhya-, 101, 173, 176, 179,
bhūri-, 156	285
bhúrja-, 72, 86	madhyamá-, 173
bhūṣ-, 330	mayūra-, 385
bhr, 15, 101, 114, 321, 327, 337,	mayūraroman-, 214
355	man-, 233, 359, 360
bhfgavāṇa-, 155	mánas, 157
bhṛtá-, 166	manasá-, 162
bhṛti-, 168	manasyáti, 361
bhṛthá-, 195	manāvī, 180, 250
bhṛśa-, 103	manīṣā, 163, 190
bhṛṣṭi-, 40	mánu-, 179, 200
bhóga-, 123	mánus, 138, 160
bhogá-, 124	manojighrá-, 213
makş-, 25	manoyúj-, 212
makṣā, 25	mántu-, 169, 170
makşikā, 25	mantráyate, 363
• •	, , , ,

mandayátsakha-, 215	māyā
mandasāná-, 132, 154	māru
mánman-, 130	mārjā
manyú-, 37, 186	mārd
marica-, 379	mālā,
márīci-, 165, 196	mās-,
marút-, 28, 165	mähi
marditár-, 189	mi-,
márta-, 166	miks
márman-, 131	mit,
márya-, 40	mitra
maryaká-, 196	mitra
márșa-, 79	mitro
mallikā, 385	mith
malvá-, 185	mith
mași, 385	mith
mastiṣka-, 163, 196	mith
mastu, 169	mith
máhar, 127-8	miye
mahánt-, 143	miśr
mahas-, 159	mih-
mahā-, 87, 194, 228	mī-,
mahāgrāmá-, 209	mīd)
mahāvīrá-, 209	mīdl
mahādhaná-, 209	mīd)
máhi, 228	mīno mīm
mahitvaná-, 170	muk
mahimán-, 130, 133	muk
mahilā, 385	muk
mahisá-, 162, 374	muk
mā-, 67, 321-2, 357 mā, 101, 298	muc
māṃsīyáti, 362	mún
mātanga-, 374, 378	mur
mātár-, 19, 67, 101, 115, 139,	mur
202, 242	mus
mātarisvan-, 4I	mus
mātṛtamā, 175	múh
måtrā, 155	múh
måna-, 124	muh
mānavá-, 198-9, 200	müş
mānasá-, 162	mūr
māmaká-, 198, 268	mṛ-,
māmakīna-, 268	myk
•	-

1, 190 ta-, 198 āra-, 374 līká-, 198 , 383 , 93 ina-, 47 291, 323 -, 289 123, 164 á-, 4, 28, 150 adhā, 279 āváruņā, 216 -, 291 atí-, 168 iás, 158, 278 iu-, 278 uná-, 151 édha-, 40, 93 rá, 21, 289 -, 94 290 há-, 40, 93 hústama-, 175 hvás, 159, 342 a-, 385 *iāṃsā*, 360 kuta-, 385 kula-, 385 tā, 385 khatás, 166 -, 22, 329, 353 ni-, 183 raja-, 385 rungī, 385 , 362 īván-, 141 hu, 129, 278 ur, 129, 152, 278 hūrtá-, 129, 252 5-, 67, 79 rdhán-, 133, 221, 225, 233 , 353, 359 myks-, 289

	7-4
mṛgáyate, 363	yájvarī, 141
<i>mṛj</i> -, 77, 289, 319, 341, 356	yatamá-, 274
mrd-, 189, 330	yatará-, 273-4
mṛḍayāku, 189	yáti, 167, 273
mṛdīká-, 40, 90, 93, 196	yátra, 273
mṛtá-, 103	yáthā, 273
mṛtyú-, 186	yadā, 273
mṛtyubándhu-, 210	yádi, 273, 279
mṛtsna-, 152	yantúr-, 138
mṛd-, 291	yam-, 328-9, 342
mṛdú-, 103	yamá-, 4
mṛdh-, 291	yárhi, 280
mfdhas, 157	yávamant-, 146
mṛś-, 328	yávasa-, 162
mṛṣ-, 79	yahú-, 40, 119, 185
mṛṣā, 193	yahvá-, 40, 185
mṛṣṭá-, 77	yahvánt-, 119
m̄, 290, 325	yáśas-, 119, 159, 205
meghá-, 68, 75	yaśás-, 119, 159, 205
medhā-, 33, 98	yástave, 170, 364
medhirá-, 148	yaṣṭukāma-, 366
mnā-, 290	yas-, 328
mrit-, 290	yā-, 290, 329, 359
mrádīyas-, 160	yága-, 78
mlāná-, 150	yāc-, 291
mluc-, 291	yācitár-, 187
mlecchá-, I	yātanā, 146
, _	yåtar-, 139, 146, 202
yá-, 271, 273	yāvant-, 273
yákṛt, 67, 126, 163, 219, 220,	°yāvarī, 141
225	yu-, 291, 319, 321
yakşá-, 162	yuktá-, 77, 88, 90
yákşma-, 174	yukti-, 168
yákşman-, 174	yugá-, 67, 88, 172-3, 126, 231
yaj-, 34, 77, 101, 108, 293	yugapad, 277
yajatá-, 111, 166	yugmá-, 172, 231
yájatra-, 150	yuj-, 77, 88, 90, 102, 291, 326
yajátha-, 195	yuñjánt-, 221
yájamāna-, 154	yuñjāná-, 368
yajás-, 159	yudh-, 329, 358
yájus-, 159	yudháye, 178, 364
yajñá-, 4, 101, 150	yudhénya-, 371
yajñádhīra-, 213	yudhmá-, 174
ydjyu-, 37, 186	yúdhvan, 141
J-7J 1 3/1	J

410	INDEX
yuvati-, 228	<i>rabh-</i> , 359
yúvan-, 67, 140, 221, 228	rábhyas-, 100
yuvaśá-, 196	ram-, 335
yuváku, 196, 226	rayi-, 178, 221, 225, 245
yuvādatta-, 266	rašanā, 156
yuvām, 263	raśmán-, 133, 174
yuṣmāka-, 266	raśmi-, 175
yuşmādatta-, 266	raså, 190
	ráhas, 280, 282
yūthá-, 195, 197	7ā-, 322
yúpa-, 197	råj-, 14, 67, 91, 101, 122
yūpadāru-, 210	rājan-, 14, 67, 83, 101, 108,
yūyám, 263	122, 127, 140-1, 199, 210,
yūs-, 67, 220	222, 233
yóga-, 123	7ājarşf-, 210
yodha-, 124	rājaputrá-, 210, 214
yódhya-, 184	rājāyáte, 362
yóni-, 151, 184	rājīva-, 186
yoşit-, 140, 165	rājnī, 204, 253
yos, 14, 40, 157	rājya-, 179, 184
nám ki TEQ	rāti-, 108, 169
rámhi-, 178 rakṣ-, 81, 289	rātin-, 169
	råtrī, 156
rákṣas, 91, 159	rāyaspoṣa-, 211
raksás-, 159, 223, 229 raghú-, 181	rástrá-, 136
raghuyā, 282	rāstradipsú-, 212
rajatá-, 72, 166	rāsabhá-, 197
rajatapātrá-, 209	riktá-, 74
rájas, 40	rikthá-, 195
rajasá-, 162	ric-, 74, 79, 182, 102, 326, 334
ráji-, 178	rit-, 164
rána-, 137	rip-, 82, 291
raņvá-, 185	ripú-, 181
ránvan-, 185	riprá-, 135
ráti-, 167	rih-, 82, 94
rátna-, 98, 137	rī-, 327
ratnadhåtama-, 175	ru-, 291
rátha-, 70, 71, 83, 128, 19	5 rukmá-, 174
ratharyáti, 361	rukşá-, 161
rathaspati-, 255	ruc-, 7
ráthiya-, 86, 185	rucá-, 124
rathirá-, 148	rúce-, 176, 178, 188
rathi, 193-4, 204, 252	rucirá-, 148
radāvasu-, 215	ruj-, 291, 328

rujá-, 123 rud-, 291-2, 320, 339 ruddhá-, 89 rudh-, 69, 326-7, 337 rudhirá-, 67, 69, 83, 102, 148 rup-, 84, 291 ruvanyáti, 361 rúšant-, 75, 143, 291 ruh-, 69, 327, 332, 357 rūkṣá-, 162 rūpaká-, 196 re, 283 rékņas, 117, 158 renú-, 179 *rétas*, 158 reșmán-, 133 raí-, 14, 85 roká-, 123 róga-, 123 rocá-, 123 roci-, 176, 188 rocis-, 105, 159, 176, 188 rocisnú-, 187 ródasī, 40 rópi-, 178 róman-, 130 romaśá-, 196 róhita-, 69, 84 rohişyai, 163

lakṣá-, 261
lakṣmɨ-, 175, 191
laghú-, 67, 74, 82, 181
lajj-, 84
labdhá-, 89
labh-, 294, 359
lard-, 61
lavaṅga-, 57
lavaṇá-, 80, 151
lāṅgala-, 379
lālā, 385
lip-, 82, 291, 329
lih-, 72, 77, 82, 90, 92
lī-, 291, 325, 355

līḍhá-, 77 lup-, 84, 291, 329 lubh-, 67, 82 lulāya-, 374 loká-, 102 lóhita-, 69, 84

vámsaga-, 197 váktva-, 170, 371 vakrá-, 146 vakş-, 142, 289 vaksátha-, 195 vagvaná-, 151 vagvanú-, 157 vac-, 79, 90-1, 335, 341 vacana-, 150 vácas, 114, 117, 119, 157 vacasá-, 162 vájra-, 24, 26, 147 vájrabāhu-, 214 vanij-, 197 vatsá-, 161 vatsatará-, 149 vad-, 105, 189, 341, 358 vadh-, 338 vadhá-, 124 vádhatra-, 137, 195 vadhánā, 127, 156 vadhar, 127-8, 146, 361 vadharyáti, 361 vadhasná-, 152 vadhasnú-, 157 vadhū-, 191 vádhri-, 40, 156 van-, 339, 359 ván-, 122, 126 vána-, 122, 126 vanád-, 197 vanánā, 156 vananvant-, 127 vanar°-, 147 vanargú-, 127 vánas, 157 vánaspáti-, 211

418	INDEX
vanisthú-, 163	vásistha-, 98
vanúș-, 160	vásīyas-, 98
vanusyáti, 188	vásu, 98, 177, 183
ványa-, 86, 184-5	vasútā, 171
vap-, 108, 341	vasútāti-, 172
vápra-, 147	vasūyáti, 362
vápus-, 159, 160	vasūyā, 363
vápuşa-, 200	vasūyú-, 186, 363
vam-, 300, 320	vástu, 169, 247
vamraká-, 196	vástra-, 136
vayám, 263	vasná-, 40
vayúna-, 137	vasnayáti, 362
vara-, 123	vásman-, 130-1
varatrā, 197	vásyas-, 160
varāha-, 24, 197	vah-, 20, 67, 77, 91, 94, 293
varimán-, 130, 134	328
várivas, 158-9, 187	vahát-, 164
varīmát, 131	vahatú-, 169
varīman-, 124, 160	váhni-, 40, 156
váruņa-, 28	vā, 283
várutra-, 323	vāgurā, 155
várūtha-, 195	vāgmin-, 143
várenya-, 151, 371	vāghát-, 164
vartani-, 156	vāc-, 67, 91, 101, 122, 206, 220
vartiş-, 159	vācya-, 184, 370
vártman-, 130	vāja-, 40
várdha-, 124	vājayú-, 37
vardhitár-, 187	vájina-, 137
várdhra-, 197	vātyā, 191
várman, 130	vānara-, 127, 147
várṣīyas-, 79	vāpuṣá-, 162, 200
várşman-, 79, 153	vām, 266-7
varşmán-, 153	vāmá-, 174
valaya-, 385	<i>vāyavyá-</i> , 198
valgú-, 181	vāyasá-, 162
vallī, 386	vāyú-, 222
vas-, 90, 96, 263, 318	vāra-, 124
vásti-, 168	vārabāṇa-, 43, 56
vas-, 92, 95, 266, 294, 319, 3	
328-9, 341	várya-, 199
vasati-, 168	vává, 283
vásana-, 25	vāvṛdhddhyai, 366
vasantá-, 128	vāhá-, 124
vasar ^d , 147, 221, 225	vāhas-, 157

vāsá-, 124
vāsantiká-, 198
vāsará-, 147, 199
vāsas, 157, 199
västu, 169, 241
västeya-, 198
vi, 284, 286
ví-, 180, 250
vimśá-, 262
viṃśá-, 262 viṃśati-, 260
vimšatitama-, 175
vikaļá-, 96
viklava-, 98
vij-, 291
vithuryáti, 361
vid-, 67, 70, 89, 102, 115, 32
326, 329, 334, 348, 361
vidmanāpas-, 213
vidmáne, 130, 158, 223
vidyā, 190
vidátha-, 195
vidadvasu, 215
vidurá-, 148
vidvalá-, 147
vidvas-, 227-8
vidhávā, 68, 186
vidhu-, 181, 186
vinā, 20, 284-5
vip-, 291
vipanya, 128, 147
vipanyú-, 128
vipās-, 91
vipula-, 42, 83
vipra-, 110, 147
viprús-, 90, 92-3, 95
viprús-, 90, 92-3, 95 vibhávasu-, 160
vibhṛtra-, 150
vibhvan-, 140
virāṣāṭ, 98
virúkmant, 145
vivásvan-, 129, 144
vivásvan-, 129, 144 vivásvant-, 4, 144
viś-, 321, 328
viś-, 33, 72, 90-1, 241
101

viśámāna-, 368 viśya-, 185, 200 viśpáti-, 22, 208, 210 vísva-, 274 visvákarma-, 174 viśvajít-, 165 viśváthā-, 279 viśvadanīm, 270 viśvádhā, 279 viśvaminvá-, 212 viśváha, 280 visa-, 79, 125 vișuna-, 151 visra-, 80 vīdu-, 40 24, vitáye, 364 vīrá-, 83, 102 vīrájāta-, 211, 213 vr-, 323, 333 vfka-, 19, 25, 103, 110, 115, 125, 195, 231 vrkáti, 168 vrkátāt-, 172 vrki, 194, 224, 251 vrkká-, 25 vrksá-, 161 vrjana-, 137 vrjiná-, 151 vrt-, 67, 83, 109, 294, 321, 327, 343, 355 vŕt-, 164 vrttá-, 103, 167 vrtrá-, 150 vrtrahán-, 212, 219, 220 vrddhá-, 89 výddhi-, 168 vrdh-, 293, 357 vydhá-, 124 vrdhánt-, 366 vrdhasāná-, 154 vrdhāná-, 368 vrsanyáti, 362 visan-, 142 vrsabhá-, 197

INDE

vrsasyáti, 361 vrsakapi-, 210 vrsāyáte, 362-3 vrsti-, 96 vysni-, 156 vétana-, 137 vetasá-, 162 véda-, 124 ven-, 40 venā, 190 vepáthu-, 195 vélā, 137 veśantá-, 128, 152 veśás-, 159 vesáņa-, 137 vehát-, 164 vai, 283 vaiśyá-, 200 vyac-, 322 vyath-, 291 vyáthis, 159 vvadvará-, 147 vyadh-, 329, 342 vyäghrá-, 374 vratá-, 21 vratacārin-, 212

śámstar-, 136 \$ak-, 323, 334, 359 *śakala-*, 386 śakuná-, 22, 151 śakúni-, 152 śakúnta, 152 *śákrt*, 126, 163, 220 *śákti-,* 168 śagmá-, 174 śańk-, 72 *śankā*, 190 śaṅkú-, 179 *śankhá-, 7*1, 195 śácī, 191 śacīvasu-, 160 satha-, 386 satagvín, 215

śatatama-, 262 śatám, 12, 24, 72, 103, 26 *śataśás*, 262, 280 śátru-, 222 śatrutvá-, 170 *śad-*, 101 śánakais, 281 śántāti-, 172 śantivá-, 186 śapátha-, 195 śaphá-, 70, 196 śaphara-, 22 śam-, 320 śám, 122 śama-, 40 śámi, 176, 191 *śámī*, 191 śayú-, 181 śayutrā, 131, 279 śarád-, 73, 197 śarabhá-. 25 śáru-, 179 śardha-, 76 śardhañjaha-, 213 sarvá-, 185 śárvarī, 141 śalabhá-, 197 śalākā, 26 *śalka(la-)*, 386 śalyakrntá-, 213 śava-, 386 śavasāná-, 154 śavasin-, 142 śavast, 163 *śaśá-*, 98 śaśayá-, 144, 185 śáśīyas-, 144, 185 śáśvant, 144, 185 śas-, 81, 98, 289, 291 śasana-, 81 śastrá-, 136 śā-, 321-2, 330 śāka-, 22 śākiná-. 131

śākvará-, 147	śūdrá-, 40
śākhā, 71, 190	śūnyá-, 73
śāntá-, 86	śūra-, 147
śānti-, 168	śūrpa-, 381
śāpa-, 22	śūṣá-, 162
śārdūla-, 374	śŕnga-, 197
śās-, 93, 98, 319	śrnvánt-, 367
śāstrá-, 136	\$7, 325
śikvá-, 185	śoka-, 123, 124
\$\$\text{\$\exitt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\texititit{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	soci-, 176
\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\texitex{\$\}}}}\$\text{\$\text{\$\texititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex	śocię, 159, 176
sithirá-, 46, 148	sobháse, 158
sithilá-, 46, 148	\$0\$a-, 102
sittá-, 105, 188	snath-, 291, 320
	6máseu- 76 08 177
ślprā, 40	śmáśru-, 76, 98, 177
\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}{2}\text{\$\frac{1}\text{\$\frac{1}	śmásruná-, 148
śśras, 86, 132, 157, 220, 226	śmaśrulá-, 148
sirogrivá-, 217	śyāmá-, 21, 174, 185
śiśu-, 186	śyāvá-, 21, 174, 185
śiśná-, 291	śyetá-, 40, 160
śīghrá-, 197	śyénī, 166
śītoṣṇa-, 218	śrath-, 291, 362
śībham, 197	śratharyáti, 128, 361
śīrṣán-, 86, 226, 233	śraddhā-, 14
śukrá-, 147	śraddhivá-, 186
śuklá-, 147	śram-, 330
śuc-, 291, 320	śrávana-, 108, 151
śucá-, 123-4	śrávas, 72, 82, 157
śucádratha-, 214	śraudyya-, 371
śucámāna-, 368	śri-, 82, 290, 336
śúci-, 185, 203, 229, 244	śru-, 22, 108, 110, 289, 290,
śucitvá-, 170	292, 300, 323, 340, 358, 360
śuná-, 40, 92	śrutá-, 102, 108, 110, 114, 166,
śundhyú-, 186	369
śubh-, 291, 330	śrútya-, 370
śubhri-, 156	śruș-, 289
śúbhvan-, 141	śrustīván-, 141
śuśukvaná-, 151	śréni-, 156
śuśukváni-, 156	śreniśás, 280
śuśruvás-, 160	śréyas-, 227
śúṣka-, 79, 98, 196	śroná-, 151
suşmáya-, 185	śróni-, 72, 82, 156
śū-, 290, 330	śrótra-, 136
sūka-, 25-6	śrómata-, 137
······································	, -J/

422 IN	U.M.
śroșamāna-, 76	sagh-, 290
ślakṣṇa-, 82, 98, 152	saṃgamá-, 124
sliş-, 98	sac-, 76, 92, 108, 294, 328
sıış-, 90 \$leşmán-, 98	sacátha-, 195
	sacama-, 195 sásā 102 085
ślesmana-, 148	sácā, 193, 285
ślesmala-, 148	sáci, 176, 184
ślóka-, 83, 196	saciva-, 186
śloná-, 151	saj-, 321
svan-, 72, 140, 221, 374	sattrá-, 89, 136
śvanin-, 142	satyá-, 184
śvábhra-, 135	satyátāti-, 172
śváśura-, 76, 98, 148	satyavādin-, 212
śvúsrű-, 191, 252	satyānṛtá-, 217
śvas-, 189, 320	saiyāvan-, 141
śvás, 278	sátvan-, 27, 144
śvi-, 290	satvaná-, 151
śvit-, 22, 291	satvánt, 144
śvitīci-, 196	sad-, 87, 93, 108, 177, 328
śvitna-, 150	334-5, 341, 358
śvitra-, 147	sádana-, 137
śvetana, 128, 147	sadandi-, 197
śvetra-, 128	sádam, 173
,	sádas, 108, 157
şaţ, 98, 259	sádā, 193
şaddhā, 94	sadāþṛṇa-, 213
sașți-, 260	sadivas, 278
şaştitama-, 262	sádman-, 130, 141, 173
şaşiha-, 261	sadmán-, 141
şodasa, 259	sadyás, 278
şodusu, 259 şodhā, 94	sadhástha-, 87
şounu, 94	sádhiş-, 196
60 ff	
sa, 268 ff.	san-, 109, 324, 359
samvatsará-, 149	sána-, 67, 101, 125
samskrta-, I	sanaká-, 196
sakrt, 257	sanáj-, 197
sáktu, 169	sanat, 165
sákthi, 176, 233	sanaya-, 185
sakṣa-, 162	sánara-, 135
sakṣáṇi, 156	sanát, 232, 282
sákhi-, 110, 136, 179, 180 184	sanātána-, 152
195, 222, 229	saní-, 178
sakhyá-, 136, 179	sanitúr, 277
sakhitvaná-, 170	sánitva-, 170
sakhīyáti, 362	sanituan- 120
J 41.0	

sanişyáti, 188
sanişyú-, 188
suntsyu-, 100
sánutar-, 189
sanutár, 131, 149, 277
sánutara, 149
sányas-, 160 sap-, 40, 128
sap-, 40, 128
sapadi, 282
saparyáti, 40, 128, 361
saptá, 103, 172, 258-9
sabtati 260
saptathá-, 261 saptamá-, 175, 259, 261
saptamá- 175, 250, 261
sápti-, 168
sapsará-, 149
sabardúgh-, 127
sám, 284, 286
sama-, 271, 274 samá-, 257
sama-, 257
samajyā, 190
sámana-, 137 sámanas-, 257
sámanas-, 257
samám, 284
samáyā, 284
samaha, 274, 280
samāná-, 154, 257
samít-, 164, 167
sámiti-, 167
samīpe, 285
samuşyalá-, 148
samrājnī, 193
sar-, 80, 290
sarágh-, 197
saranyáti, 361
sarátham, 284
sarasi, 163
sárít, 165, 290
sarirá-, 148
sárga-, 78
sarpis-, 82, 159
347 p 3 -, 02, 139
sárma-, 175
sárva-, 186, 274
sarvátas, 166
sarvátät(i-), 172

sarvadā, 279 sarvanāman-, 48 sarvavedasá-, 215 sarşapa-, 379 salilá-, 148 savitave, 170 savyá-, 21 saścát-, 164 sas-, 40, 318, 321 sasthåvan-, 148 sasvár, 129, 278 sasvárta, 193 sah-, 77, 359 sahá, 280, 284 sáhadhyai, 197, 364 sáhas, 157 sahasāná-, 154 sahásra-, 261 sahasraśás, 280 sáhuri-, 156 sahobhári-, 212 sáhyas-, 160 sáhyu-, 186 sākám, 284 sākṣāt, 282 sāḍha-, 77 sātá-, 86, 109 sātáye, 364 sādá-, 124 sádh-, 323 sādhú-, 181, 323 sānasi-, 163 sånu, 177-8, 199, 246-7 sāndra-, 22 sā-, 84, 105, 290, 330 sāmaná-, 134 sāmi-, 101, 278 samavipra-, 213 sāmidhent, 151 sārdhám, 284 sárá-, 124 sāhá-, 125 sāhvás-, 139, 342 si- (see also sā-), 188, 290

· ·	
sic-, 291-2, 329, 334	sytvara-, 147
sita-, 41	sṛp-, 67, 83, 294, 328
sitá-, 105, 188	srmará-, 148
sindhu-, 71, 87, 179, 19	sṛṣṭá-, 77
simá-, 274	sétu-, 25, 169
sīm, 267	sénā, 4, 156, 251
sīmán-, 133	sevā, 190
sīmánta-, 152	sairibha-, 374
sīmikā, 386	sodhá-, 77
su-, 288, 322	sótari, 364
sutarman-, 27	sótu-, 169
sutvan-, 141	sóma-, 4, 175
sunvánt-, 22I	somán-, 142
sunvāná-, 368	somapāvan-, 212
	sómasuti-, 211
suptá-, 74	somyá-, 184
sumánas-, 136, 202, 220	
sumná-, 151	saúbhāgya-, 179
sumnáyā, 281	saumanasá-, 136, 200
suyaśas-, 215	saumyá-, 198
sura-, 41	skabh-, 325
súrā, 25, 155, 190	skhad-, 80
surungā, 57	stan-, 105, 320, 327, 329
suvāsas, 121	stána-, 98
suśiśvi-, 186	stanayitnú-, 80, 105, 157, 188
susthu, 283	stanasyáti, 361
sū-, 329, 341	stanitá-, 188
sūtá-, 166	stabhūyáti, 362
sútave, 170	stár-, 139
sútu-, 169	start, 191
sūtra-, 136	stāyánt-, 80
sūri-, 156	stāyú-, 80
sūnú-, 67, 102, 157, 232. 244 ff.	stigh-, 40, 68, 75
251	stīrņá-, 290
súrya-, 28, 82, 184	stu-, 93, 291, 319
súryatejas-, 214	stúkā, 197
sūryatejas-, 214 sūryatejas-, 214	stút-, 123, 164
suryutejus-, 214	stuti-, 168
sūrmt, 175, 191	stubh-, 291
sfkvan-, 129	steibhnam TAT
srkvin-, 130, 176	stúbhvan-, 141
sṛj-, 77, 328	stūpa-, 197
sŕni-, 156, 184	stī-, 290, 322-3
sṛṇt, 191	stená-, 80, 150
sfnya-, 184	stotrá-, 136
sftvan-, 141	stóma 175

	• •
styāná-, 46	syoná-, 40, 151
sthag-, 67, 70-1, 75, 328	srams-, 136, 359
sthapáti, 168	sragvin-, 143
sthála-, 135	sráj-, 197
sthā-, 70, 71, 101-2, 105, 189,	sravát-, 164
297, 321, 328-9, 333, 342,	sravátha-, 195
357-8	srastara-, 136
*sthåtar, 131	srāmá-, 22, 175
sthātár-, 138, 205	sru-, 83, 290, 327
sthātrá-, 136	srutá-, 167
sthāvaná-, 147	srutí-, 168
sthāvará-, 147	sruvá-, 185
sthirá-, 105, 148	srótas, 117, 158
sthitá-, 104, 188	svá-, 140, 267
sthiti-, 105	svadhávan-, 141
sthūṇā, 97, 156	svan-, 289, 327
sthūrá-, 147	svap-, 189, 291, 320, 342
sthūlá-, 147	svapaná-, 150
sthūlabhá-, 197	svápna-, 46, 74
sthéman-, 105	svapnayā, 281
sthéyān, 105	svayám, 267
s nā-, 101	svar-, 289
snátvá, 171	svàr, 128, 225
snáyu, 177	svari-, 183
snávan-, 129	sváru-, 179
snuṣā, 102	svávas, 227
snuh-, 69	svásar, 19, 25, 202, 233, 242
spáś-, 80, 91, 122, 220	svādistha-, 161
spūrdháse, 158, 225	svādú-, 101, 181
<i>sp</i> ?-, 291	svādukṣádman-, 212
<i>spṛdh-</i> , 291	svådman-, 130, 133
spṛś-, 328	svādmán-, 133
<i>spṛh-</i> , 291, 330	
spṛhā, 190	ha, 283
sphigī, 191	haṃsá-, 101, 162
sphij-, 197	hatá-, 103, 109, 167
sphirá-, 148	han-, 32, 69, 74, 77-8, 109, 110,
sphūrj-, 70	318, 342, 354, 359
sphyá-, 70, 80	hánu-, 179
sma, 283	hántar-, 283
smad, 278	hántaval, 170
syá, 269, 271-2	hántos, 170
syand-, 71, 87, 196, 291	hántva-, 171
syúman-, 130, 133	hánman-, 130
	-

háras, 40, 77, 157 *hári-*, 138 harít-, 165 hárita-, 165-6 hariná-, 151 hárini, 166 harimán-, 133 harimantha-, 57 harmuta, 149 harmyá-, 149 hary-, 40 háva-, 124 hávana-, 109 havirád, 212 havirádya-, 179 havis-, 159, 192, 252 háviman-, 134 hávya-, 184 havyá-, 86 havyaváh-, 220 hala-, 379 háliksna-, 152 has-, 108 hasana, 156 hásta-, 73 hastákṛta-, 213 hastin-, 374 hasrá-, 146 hā-, 289, 321-2, 360 hās-, 289 hātaka-, 96 *hårdi*, 176 *hāsa-*, 108 hi-, 323 hí, 283 himsā, 190 himsitavyà-, 370 hitá-, 69 hitut, 371 hintāla-, 386

hinv-, 323 himá-, 32, 72, 174 hímā, 175 hiranya-, 4, 25, 86 hiranyakesya-, 215 hiranyáya-, 185 hiranyarathá-, 210 hīḍ-, 93 hu-, 321, 359 hudukka-, 386 huvanyáti, 361 hū-, 22, 78, 109, 328, 330, 354 hūtá-, 109 hrnāya-, 362 hrnīya-, 362 hrttás, 278 *h†daya-*, 179 hrdayāvidh-, 212 hrdroga-, 57 *hṛ*ṣ-, 329 hetú-, 169 heman, 102 hemantá-, 72, 102, 152 heramba-, 374, 386 héşas, 157 hótar-, 4, 136, 139 hotrvárya-, 179 hotrá-, 136 hótrā, 155 hóma-, 175 hóman-, 109 horā, 43 hyás, 278 hyastana-, 152 hradá-, 98 hrasvá-, 185 hrādúni-, 156 Tri-, 321 First-, 164

11252

hvar-, 322

